

Ewa Strońska, Mathematical Institute, Kazimierz Wielki University, Plac  
Weyssenhoffa 11, 85-071 Bydgoszcz, Poland. email: [stronska@neostrada.pl](mailto:stronska@neostrada.pl)

## ON ALMOST CONTINUOUS DERIVATIONS

## Abstract

It is proved that every derivation is the sum of two almost continuous (in Stallings' sense) derivations and the limit of a sequence (of a transfinite sequence) of almost continuous derivations.

A function  $g : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be almost continuous (in Stallings' sense [5]) if for every open set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  containing the graph  $\text{Gr}(g)$  of the function  $g$  there is a continuous function  $h : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\text{Gr}(h) \subset D$ .

A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called additive ([4]) if it satisfies Cauchy's equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

An additive function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a derivation if it satisfies the equation

$$f(xy) = xf(y) + yf(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is well known that there exists a discontinuous additive almost continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ([2] and [3]) and that every additive function is the sum of two additive almost continuous functions and the limit of a sequence (of a transfinite sequence) of additive almost continuous functions ([1]). In this article I prove analogous theorems for derivations.

If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function, by a blocking set of  $f$  we mean a closed set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\text{Gr}(f) \cap K = \emptyset$  and  $\text{Gr}(g) \cap K \neq \emptyset$  for every continuous function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . An irreducible blocking set (IBS)  $K$  of  $f$  is a blocking set of  $f$  such that no proper subset of  $K$  is a blocking set ([3]).

It is known that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is almost continuous if and only if it has no blocking set. Moreover, if  $f$  is not almost continuous, then there is an

---

Key Words: derivation, additive functions, continuity, almost continuity, transfinite sequences

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 26A15, 14L27, 26A51, 54C08

Received by the editors May 22, 2006

Communicated by: B. S. Thomson

(IBS)  $K$  of  $f$  and the  $x$ -projection  $\text{pr}_x(K)$  of  $K$  is a non-degenerate interval ([3]). Let

$$K_0, K_1, \dots, K_\alpha, \dots, \alpha < \omega_c,$$

be a transfinite sequence of all irreducible blocking sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , with  $K_\alpha \neq K_\beta$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ,  $\alpha, \beta < \omega_c$  and  $\omega_c$  denoting the first ordinal of the cardinality of the continuum.

Let  $F \subset K$  be a field. An element  $a \in K$  is called algebraically dependent (or algebraic) over  $F$  if there exists a non-trivial ( $\neq 0$ ) polynomial  $p$  with the coefficients from  $F$  such that  $p(a) = 0$ .

The algebraic closure of  $F$  (in  $K$ ) is the set

$$\text{algcl}(F) = \{a \in K : a \text{ is algebraic over } F\}.$$

It is known that  $\mathbb{R} \neq \text{algcl}(\mathbb{Q})$  and there exists an algebraic base of  $\mathbb{R}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  ([4, p. 102]).

In the proofs of the main theorems we use the following.

**Theorem 1** ([4] Th. 1, p. 352). *Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero, let  $F$  be a subfield of  $K$ , let  $X$  be an algebraic base of  $K$  over  $F$ , if it exists, and let  $X = \emptyset$  otherwise. Let  $f : F \rightarrow K$  be a derivation. Then, for every function  $u : X \rightarrow K$  there exists a unique derivation  $g : K \rightarrow K$  such that  $g|_F = f$  and  $g|_X = u$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a derivation, then there are two almost continuous derivations  $g, h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = g + h$ .*

PROOF. We apply transfinite induction. Since  $\text{pr}_x(K_0)$  is a non-degenerate interval, there are algebraically independent (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) elements  $u_0, v_0 \in \text{pr}_x(K_0) \setminus \text{algcl}(\mathbb{Q})$ .

Next, we fix an ordinal  $\alpha > 0$  with  $\alpha < \omega_c$  and assume that for each ordinal  $\beta < \alpha$  we have defined elements  $u_\beta, v_\beta \in \text{pr}_x(K_\beta)$ , such that the set

$$S_\alpha = \{u_\beta, v_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$$

is algebraically independent (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) and  $(u_{\beta_1}, v_{\beta_1}) \neq (u_{\beta_2}, v_{\beta_2})$  for  $\beta_1 < \beta_2 \leq \beta$ .

Finally, there are algebraically independent (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) elements  $u_\alpha, v_\alpha \in \text{pr}_x(K_\alpha) \setminus \text{algcl}(\mathbb{Q} \cup S_\alpha)$ .

Observe that the set  $S = A \cup B$ , where  $A = \{u_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_c\}$  and  $B = \{v_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_c\}$  are algebraically independent (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Consequently, there is an algebraic base  $X \supset S$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

For every  $\alpha < \omega_c$ , let  $t_\alpha, z_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  be points such that

$$(u_\alpha, t_\alpha) \in K_\alpha \text{ and } (v_\alpha, z_\alpha) \in K_\alpha.$$

Let

$$g_1(x) = \begin{cases} t_\alpha & \text{if } x = u_\alpha, \alpha < \omega_c, \\ f(x) - z_\alpha & \text{if } x = v_\alpha, \alpha < \omega_c, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise in } X, \end{cases}$$

and let

$$h_1(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) - t_\alpha & \text{if } x = u_\alpha, \alpha < \omega_c, \\ z_\alpha & \text{if } x = v_\alpha, \alpha < \omega_c, \\ f(x) & \text{otherwise in } X, \end{cases}$$

and let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an extension of  $g_1$  to some derivation. Since  $f - g$  is a derivation, the function  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h|_X = h_1$  and  $h(x) = f(x) - g(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus X$  is an extension of  $h_1$  to some derivation.

Observe that for every  $\alpha < \omega_c$ ,

$$(u_\alpha, g(u_\alpha)) = (u_\alpha, t_\alpha) \in K_\alpha \text{ and } (v_\alpha, h(v_\alpha)) = (v_\alpha, z_\alpha) \in K_\alpha.$$

So, the functions  $g, h$  are almost continuous and evidently  $f = g + h$ . □

The next remark follows from Theorem 2.

**Remark 1.** *There are almost continuous derivations  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are discontinuous.*

PROOF. It suffices to find a discontinuous derivation  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ([4, Th. 2, p. 352]) and two almost continuous derivations  $g, h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\phi = g + h$ . Then, at least one derivation  $g$  or  $h$  is discontinuous. □

**Theorem 3.** *If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a derivation, then there is a sequence of almost continuous derivations  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , such that  $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ .*

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 2, for every  $\alpha < \omega_c$  we find a sequence of points

$$x_{\alpha,n} \in \text{pr}_x(K_\alpha), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

such that the set

$$S = \{x_{\alpha,n} : \alpha < \omega_c, \quad n \geq 1\}$$

is algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $X \supset S$  be an algebraic basis (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) in  $\mathbb{R}$ . For each point  $x_{\alpha,n}$  there is a point  $y_{\alpha,n}$  such that

$$(x_{\alpha,n}, y_{\alpha,n}) \in K_\alpha, \quad \alpha < \omega_c, n \geq 1.$$

For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$g_n(x) = \begin{cases} y_{\alpha,k} & \text{if } x = x_{\alpha,k}, \alpha < \omega_c, k \geq n, \\ f(x) & \text{otherwise in } X, \end{cases}$$

and let  $f_n$  be an extension of  $g_n$  to a derivation on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since

$$(x_{\alpha,n}, y_{\alpha,n}) \in K_\alpha \cap \text{Gr}(f_n) \text{ for } \alpha < \omega_c \text{ and } n \geq 1,$$

all functions  $f_n$  are almost continuous. Moreover, if  $x = x_{\alpha,k}$ , where  $\alpha < \omega_c$ , and  $k \geq 1$ , then  $f_n(x) = f(x)$  for  $n > k$  and if  $x \in X$  and  $x \neq x_{\alpha,k}$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_c$  and  $k \geq 1$ , then  $f_n(x) = f(x)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . So,  $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$  on  $X$  and consequently on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus, the proof is completed.  $\square$

Now we will consider the transfinite convergence. Recall that a transfinite sequence of functions  $f_\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\alpha < \omega_1$  ( $\omega_1$  denoting the first uncountable ordinal), converges to a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (then we write  $\lim_\alpha f_\alpha = f$ ) if for each point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there is a countable ordinal  $\beta(x)$  such that for each countable ordinal  $\alpha > \beta(x)$  the equality  $f_\alpha(x) = f(x)$  holds ([6]).

**Theorem 4.** *Assume that  $\omega_1 = \omega_c$ . If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a derivation, then there is a transfinite sequence of almost continuous derivations  $f_\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , such that  $\lim_\alpha f_\alpha = f$ .*

PROOF. As above we find pairwise disjoint sets  $T_\alpha, \alpha < \omega_1 = \omega_c$ , such that every set

$$\text{pr}_x(K_\alpha) \cap T_\alpha, \alpha < \omega_1,$$

is uncountable, and the union  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \text{pr}_x(K_\alpha) \cap T_\alpha$  is algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , let  $(x_{\alpha,\beta})_{\beta < \omega_1}$  be a transfinite sequence of all points of the set  $\text{pr}_x(K_\alpha) \cap T_\alpha$ , and let

$$g_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} y_{\alpha,\beta} & \text{if } x = x_{\alpha,\beta}, \omega_1 > \beta \geq \alpha, \\ f(x) & \text{otherwise in } X, \end{cases}$$

where  $y_{\alpha,\beta}$  are points such that

$$(x_{\alpha,\beta}, y_{\alpha,\beta}) \in K_\alpha, \alpha, \beta < \omega_1,$$

and let  $f_\alpha$  be an extension  $g_\alpha$  to a derivation on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Analogously, as in the proof of Theorem 3 we can observe that all functions  $f_\alpha$  are almost continuous and

$$\lim_\alpha f_\alpha = f.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Z. Grande, *On Almost Continuous Additive Functions*, Math. Slovaca, **46**, No. 2-3 (1996), 203–211.
- [2] F. B. Jones, *Connected and Disconnected Plane Sets and the Functional Equation  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **48** (1942), 115–120.
- [3] K. R. Kellum, *Almost Continuity and Connectivity – Sometimes It’s as Easy to Prove a Stronger Result*, Real Anal. Exch., **8(1)** (1982/83), 244–252.
- [4] M. Kuczma, *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities. Cauchy’s Equation and Jensen’s Inequality*, PWN Warszawa–Kraków–Katowice, 1985.
- [5] J. Stallings, *Fixed Point Theorems for Connectivity Maps*, Fund. Math., **47** (1959), 249–263.
- [6] W. Sierpiński, *Sur les Suites Transfinies Convergent de Fonctions de Baire*, Fund. Math., **1** (1920), 134–141.

