

Stanislav Hencl\*, Department of Mathematical Analysis, Charles University,  
Sokolovská 83, 186 00 Prague 8, Czech Republic.  
email: [hencl@karlin.mff.cuni.cz](mailto:hencl@karlin.mff.cuni.cz)

## NOTES ON ABSOLUTELY CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES

### Abstract

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain. The result of J. Kauhanen, P. Koskela and J. Malý [4] states that a function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with a derivative in the Lorentz space  $L^{n,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  is  $n$ -absolutely continuous in the sense of [5]. We give an example of an absolutely continuous function of two variables, whose derivative is not in  $L^{2,1}$ . The boundary behavior of  $n$ -absolutely continuous functions is also studied.

### 1 Introduction.

Absolutely continuous functions of one variable are admissible transformations for the change of variables in the Lebesgue integral. Recently, J. Malý [5] introduced a class of  $n$ -absolutely continuous functions giving an  $n$ -dimensional analogue of the notion of absolute continuity from this point of view. For the recent development in the theory of  $n$ -absolutely continuous functions also see [2] and [3].

Suppose that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a domain. A function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is said to be  $n$ -absolutely continuous if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for each disjoint finite family  $\{B_i\}$  of open balls in  $\Omega$  we have

$$\sum_i \mathcal{L}_n(B_i) < \delta \implies \sum_i (\text{osc}_{B_i} f)^n < \varepsilon.$$

It was shown in [5] that  $n$ -absolute continuity implies weak differentiability with gradient in  $L^n$ , differentiability a.e., area and coarea formula.

---

Key Words: absolutely continuous functions of several variables, boundary behavior

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 26B30, 26B05

Received by the editors September 22, 2003

Communicated by: B. S. Thomson

\*This research has been supported in part by the Research Project MSM 113200007 from the Czech Ministry of Education, Grant No. 201/00/0767 from the Grant Agency of the Czech republic (GA ČR)

It was proved by J. Kauhanen, P. Koskela and J. Malý [4] that a function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has an  $n$ -absolutely continuous representative if  $\nabla f \in L^{n,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . This result gains in interest if we realize that  $L^{n,1}(\Omega)$  is the largest rearrangement invariant Banach space of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with such a property, (see [1]). In the third section we give an example of 2-absolutely continuous function, whose derivative is not in the Lorentz space  $L^{2,1}$ .

Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the study of the boundary behavior of  $n$ -absolutely continuous functions. The aim of these sections is to find conditions on the domain  $\Omega$  which guarantee that every  $n$ -absolutely continuous function on  $\Omega$  can be continuously extended to  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Example 4.3 demonstrates that the existence of a continuous extension is not generally guaranteed by the condition that a domain  $\Omega$  has  $C^{1,\alpha}$  boundary. On the other hand, in Section 5 it is shown that a continuous extension exists if  $\Omega$  has a  $C^{1,1}$  boundary. (See Preliminaries for the definition of  $C^{1,\alpha}$  boundary.)

## 2 Preliminaries.

We will denote by  $\mathcal{L}_n$  the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. We will use the symbol  $\alpha_n$  to denote the Lebesgue measure of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We will denote by  $B(x, r)$  the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean open ball with the center  $x$  and diameter  $r$  and by  $\overline{B}(x, r)$  the corresponding closed ball. Throughout the paper, we will use the letter  $B$  only for open balls.

For a mapping  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $f'(x)$  the vector of all partial derivatives of  $f$  at  $x$ . We write  $\nabla f$  for the weak (distributional) derivative.

The convex hull of a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  will be denoted by  $\text{conv}(A)$ . The closure of a set  $A$  is denoted by  $\overline{A}$  and its boundary is denoted by  $\partial A$ . We denote by  $|x|$  the Euclidean norm of a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be an open set and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . A function  $F : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous if there is a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$|F(x) - F(y)| \leq K|x - y|^\alpha \text{ for every } x, y \in A. \quad (2.1)$$

As usual,  $F$  is called Lipschitz if it is 1-Hölder continuous. We will denote by  $C^{1,\alpha}(A)$  the family of functions from  $A$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  whose derivative, as a function from  $A$  to  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous. Let us denote by  $C^1(A)$  the family of functions whose derivative is continuous.

We will use the letter  $\Omega$  to denote a domain; i.e., a connected open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . A domain  $\Omega$  is said to have  $C^{1,\alpha}$  boundary (or  $C^1$  boundary)  $\partial\Omega$  if for every  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  there is a ball  $B(x_0, r_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , an open set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $h \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  (or  $h \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ )

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\Omega \cap B(x_0, r_0) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : [x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n] \in D \text{ and} \\ &\quad h(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) = x_i\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and that either  $G^+ \subset \Omega$  and  $G^- \cap \Omega = \emptyset$  or  $G^- \subset \Omega$  and  $G^+ \cap \Omega = \emptyset$  where

$$\begin{aligned} G^+ &= \{x \in B(x_0, r_0) : h(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) < x_i\} \\ \text{and } G^- &= \{x \in B(x_0, r_0) : h(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) > x_i\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

We will need the following version of the Taylor theorem which holds for  $C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  mappings.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $h : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^{1,1}$  mapping. Let  $K$  denotes the Lipschitz constant of  $h'$  (i.e.,  $|h'(x) - h'(y)| \leq K|x - y|$  for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ). Then*

$$|h(\tilde{x}_0 + \tilde{x}) - h(\tilde{x}_0) - h'(\tilde{x}_0)\tilde{x}| \leq \frac{K}{2}|\tilde{x}|^2 \quad (2.4)$$

for every  $\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

If  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a mapping and  $x \in \Omega$ , we write  $\text{mlip}(f, x)$  for the ‘‘maximal function’’ version of Lipschitz constant

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mlip}(f, x) &= \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \right| : \right. \\ &\quad \left. y \in \Omega \setminus \{x\} \text{ and } x, y \in B \text{ for some ball } B \subset \Omega \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We write  $\text{osc}_{B(x,r)} f$  for the oscillation of  $f$  over the ball  $B(x, r)$ , which is the diameter of the image  $f(B(x, r))$ . The support of a function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $\text{spt}(f) = \{x \in \Omega : f(x) \neq 0\}$ .

Throughout this paper, we use the letter  $\gamma$  for a continuous mapping  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ . Set  $\langle \gamma \rangle = \{\gamma(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ . The length of the curve  $\gamma$  is denoted by  $\ell(\gamma)$ . For  $x, y \in \Omega$ , we will denote by  $\rho_\Omega(x, y)$  the distance of  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\Omega$ ; i.e.,

$$\rho_\Omega(x, y) = \inf\{\ell(\gamma); \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega, \gamma(0) = x \text{ and } \gamma(1) = y\}.$$

We use the convention that  $C$  denotes some positive constant. The value of this constant may differ from occurrence to occurrence but for a fixed  $n$  (the dimension of the underlying space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) it is always an absolute constant.

Given a function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the  $n$ -variation of  $f$  on  $\Omega$  is defined by

$$V_n(f, \Omega) = \sup \left\{ \sum_i (\text{osc}_{B_i} f)^n : \{B_i\} \text{ is a disjoint finite family of balls in } \Omega \right\}.$$

We define the space  $AC^n(\Omega)$  to be the family of all  $n$ -absolutely continuous functions  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $V_n(f, \Omega) < \infty$ .

A function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to satisfy the RR-condition (written  $f \in RR(\Omega)$ ) if there is a function  $g \in L^1(\Omega)$ , called the weight, such that

$$\left(\operatorname{osc}_{B(x,r)} f\right)^n \leq \int_{B(x,r)} g$$

for every ball  $B(x,r) \subset \Omega$ . A condition similar to  $RR$  was used by Rado and Reichelderfer [6] as a sufficient condition for the area formula and for the differentiability a.e. It was shown in [5] that the RR-condition easily implies  $n$ -absolute continuity.

**Theorem 2.2 (RR-condition).** *Suppose that a function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the RR-condition. Then  $f \in AC^n(\Omega)$ .*

Moreover the results of M. Csörnyei [2] give  $RR(\Omega) = AC^n(\Omega)$ , but we will not need this fact in this paper.

### 3 Lorentz Space $L^{n,1}$ .

If  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a measurable function, we define its distributional function  $m(\cdot, f)$  by

$$m(\sigma, f) = \mathcal{L}_n(\{x : |f(x)| > \sigma\}), \quad \sigma > 0,$$

and the nonincreasing rearrangement  $f^*$  of  $f$  by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\sigma : m(\sigma, f) \leq t\}.$$

The Lorentz space  $L^{n,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  is defined to be the class of all measurable functions  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

$$\int_0^\infty t^{\frac{1}{n}} f^*(t) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

For abbreviation, we write  $L^{n,1}(\Omega)$  instead of  $L^{n,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ . For an introduction to Lorentz spaces see for instance [7].

The following theorem of J. Kauhanen, P. Koskela and J. Malý [4] states that functions with the distributional derivative in the Lorentz space  $L^{n,1}$  are  $n$ -absolutely continuous.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\nabla f \in L^{n,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then there is a representative of  $f$  such that  $f \in AC^n(\Omega)$ .*

This result is quite sharp, because A. Cianchi and L. Pick [1] proved that  $L^{n,1}$  is the largest rearrangement invariant Banach space of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the property  $\nabla f \in L^{n,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow f \in C(\Omega)$  (see also [4, Theorem F]).

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof that there are  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $f \in AC^2(B([0,0], \varepsilon))$  such that  $\nabla f \notin L^{2,1}(B([0,0], \varepsilon), \mathbb{R}^2)$ . It follows that these two classes of functions do not coincide.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $B(0, R) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $f : B(0, R) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a continuous function. Suppose that there is a decreasing function  $g : (0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $f(x) = g(|x|)$ . Then  $f \in L^{n,1}(B(0, R))$  if and only if  $\int_0^R g < \infty$ .*

PROOF. Since  $m(\sigma, f) = \mathcal{L}_n(\{x : |f(x)| > \sigma\}) = \alpha_n(g^{-1}(\sigma))^n$ , it follows that

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\sigma : m(\sigma, f) \leq t\} = \inf\{\sigma : \alpha_n(g^{-1}(\sigma))^n \leq t\} = g\left(\frac{\sqrt[n]{t}}{\sqrt[n]{\alpha_n}}\right).$$

From this we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{1}{n}} f^*(t) \frac{dt}{t} &= \int_0^{\alpha_n R^n} t^{\frac{1}{n}} f^*(t) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha_n R^n} t^{\frac{1}{n}} g\left(\frac{\sqrt[n]{t}}{\sqrt[n]{\alpha_n}}\right) \frac{dt}{t} = C \int_0^R g(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $B(0, R) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $G : [0, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be an increasing continuous function which is differentiable on  $(0, R)$ . Assume further that  $G'$  is a continuous decreasing function on  $(0, R)$ . Then a function  $F(x) = G(|x|)$  satisfies  $F' \in L^{n,1}(B(0, R), \mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

PROOF. Set  $f = |F'|$  and  $g = G'$ . Clearly,  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 and  $\int_0^R g = \int_0^R G' = G(R) - G(0) < \infty$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** From Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.1 we have that  $AC^n(\Omega)$  functions can have arbitrarily “bad” modulus of continuity even on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ . Note that functions from  $AC^n(\Omega)$  are not necessarily uniformly continuous on  $\Omega$  if  $\partial\Omega$  is not “nice” (see Section 4 for details).

The following lemma provides a criterion for absolute continuity.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain and let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $g(x) = \text{mlip}^n(f, x) \in L_1(\Omega)$  then  $f$  satisfies the RR-condition with weight  $Cg$ , and hence  $f \in AC^n(\Omega)$ .*

PROOF. Fix  $B = B(z, r) \subset \Omega$  and  $x \in B$ . There exist  $a, b \in B$  such that

$$\frac{\text{osc}_B f}{2} \leq |f(a) - f(b)|.$$

Since  $|a - x| \leq 2r$  and  $|b - x| \leq 2r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{osc}_B^n f}{r^n} &\leq C \frac{|f(a) - f(b)|^n}{r^n} \leq C \left( \frac{|f(a) - f(x)|^n}{(2r)^n} + \frac{|f(b) - f(x)|^n}{(2r)^n} \right) \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{|f(a) - f(x)|^n}{|a - x|^n} + \frac{|f(b) - f(x)|^n}{|b - x|^n} \right) \leq C \text{mlip}^n(f, x) = Cg(x) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\text{osc}_{B(z,r)}^n f = C \int_{B(z,r)} \frac{\text{osc}_{B(z,r)}^n f}{r^n} dx \leq C \int_{B(z,r)} g(x) dx.$$

Hence  $f$  satisfies the RR-condition with weight  $Cg$ , and the desired conclusion follows from Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

**Example 3.6.** *There is a function  $f : B([0, 0], 1/2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f \in AC^2(B([0, 0], 1/2))$ , but  $\text{mlip}^2(f, x) \notin L^1(B([0, 0], 1/2))$ .*

PROOF. Set

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|\log|x||^{1/2}} & \text{for } x \in B([0, 0], 1/2), \\ 0 & \text{for } x = [0, 0]. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.1 give that  $f \in AC^2(B([0, 0], 1/2))$ . An easy computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B \text{mlip}^2(f, x) dx &= \int_B \left| \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x - 0} \right|^2 dx = \int_B \frac{1}{|x|^2 |\log|x||} dx \\ &= C \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{r^2 |\log r|} r dr = C \int_{-\infty}^{\log \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{|a|} da = \infty. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.7.** *There exist  $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1/2$  and  $F : B([0, 0], \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F \in AC^2(B([0, 0], \varepsilon_0))$  and  $\nabla F \notin L^{2,1}(B([0, 0], \varepsilon_0))$ .*

PROOF. Set

$$g(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\ln r} r \sin \frac{1}{r} & \text{for } r \in (0, 1/2), \\ 0 & \text{for } r = 0. \end{cases}$$

We claim that the function  $F(x) = g(|x|)$  satisfies desired conditions if  $\varepsilon_0$  is small enough. Plainly,  $F' \in C(B([0, 0], 1/2) \setminus \{0\})$  and  $\nabla F = F'$  a.e.

Let us first prove that  $F' \notin L^{2,1}(B([0, 0], \varepsilon_0))$ . We compute

$$|F'(x)| = |g'(|x|)| = \left| \frac{1}{\ln|x|} |x| \frac{-1}{|x|^2} \cos \frac{1}{|x|} + \frac{1}{\ln|x|} \sin \frac{1}{|x|} + \frac{1}{|x|} \frac{-1}{\ln^2|x|} |x| \sin \frac{1}{|x|} \right|.$$

Let

$$M = \left\{ r \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) : \left| \cos \frac{1}{r} \right| \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left[ \frac{1}{\frac{\pi}{3} + k\pi}, \frac{1}{-\frac{\pi}{3} + k\pi} \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

We have

$$|g'(r)| \geq \left| \frac{1}{r \ln r} \cos \frac{1}{r} \right| - \left| \frac{1}{\ln r} \sin \frac{1}{r} \right| - \left| \frac{1}{\ln^2 r} \sin \frac{1}{r} \right| \geq \frac{-1}{2r \ln r} - \left| \frac{1}{\ln r} \right| - \frac{1}{\ln^2 r}$$

for every  $r \in M$ . Clearly, there is  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$  such that for  $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{-\frac{\pi}{3} + k_0\pi}$  we have

$$|g'(r)| \geq \frac{-1}{4r \ln r} \text{ for every } r \in M \cap (0, \varepsilon_0). \quad (3.2)$$

Set

$$f(x) = \frac{-1}{4|x| \ln|x|}, \quad x \in B([0, 0], \varepsilon_0).$$

We claim that the nonincreasing rearrangements of  $F'$  and  $f$  satisfy

$$(F')^*(t) \geq f^*(4t). \quad (3.3)$$

From (3.2) we have

$$|F'(x)| \geq |f(x)| \text{ for } |x| \in M \cap (0, \varepsilon_0). \quad (3.4)$$

An elementary computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} & 3\mathcal{L}_2 \left( \left\{ x : |x| \in \left[ \frac{1}{\frac{\pi}{3} + k\pi}, \frac{1}{-\frac{\pi}{3} + k\pi} \right] \right\} \right) \\ & > \mathcal{L}_2 \left( \left\{ x : |x| \in \left[ \frac{1}{-\frac{\pi}{3} + k\pi}, \frac{1}{\frac{\pi}{3} + (k-1)\pi} \right] \right\} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ . From (3.4), (3.5) and

$$[0, \varepsilon_0] \cap M = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq k_0} \left[ \frac{1}{\frac{\pi}{3} + k\pi}, \frac{1}{-\frac{\pi}{3} + k\pi} \right]$$

we obtain  $4m(\sigma, F') \geq m(\sigma, f)$ . The inequality (3.3) easily follows.

Since  $\int_0^{\varepsilon_0} \frac{-1}{4r \ln r} dr = \infty$ , we have  $f \notin L^{2,1}(B([0, 0], \varepsilon_0))$  by Lemma 3.2. Thus (3.3) implies

$$F' \notin L^{2,1}(B([0, 0], \varepsilon_0)).$$

Using Lemma 3.5 we will prove that  $F \in AC^2(B([0, 0], \varepsilon_0))$ . Clearly,

$$\text{mlip}^2(F, x) = \text{mlip}^2(g, |x|).$$

For every  $r$  such that  $0 < r < \varepsilon_0 < 1/e$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g'(r)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\ln r} r \frac{-1}{r^2} \cos \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{\ln r} \sin \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{-1}{\ln^2 r} r \sin \frac{1}{r} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{-1}{r \ln r} + \frac{-1}{\ln r} + \frac{1}{\ln^2 r} \leq \frac{-3}{r \ln r}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Fix  $r$  such that  $r < \varepsilon_0 < 1/e$  and  $t$  such that  $1/r + 2\pi \leq 1/t \leq 1/r + 4\pi$  and define  $\tilde{t} = t/(1 - 2\pi t)$  (i.e.,  $1/\tilde{t} = 1/t - 2\pi$ ). Since the function  $t/\ln t$  is decreasing on the interval  $(0, 1/e)$ , we obtain  $|g(\tilde{t})| \geq |g(t)|$  and therefore

$$\sup_{t, \frac{1}{t} \in [\frac{1}{r} + 2\pi, \frac{1}{r} + 4\pi]} |g(r) - g(t)| \leq \sup_{\tilde{t}, \frac{1}{\tilde{t}} \in [\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{r} + 2\pi]} |g(r) - g(\tilde{t})|.$$

Analogously, we conclude that

$$\sup_{t, \frac{1}{t} > \frac{1}{r} + 2\pi} |g(r) - g(t)| \leq \sup_{\tilde{t}, \frac{1}{\tilde{t}} \in [\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{r} + 2\pi]} |g(r) - g(\tilde{t})|.$$

This and  $5/r > 1/r + 2\pi$  for  $r < \varepsilon_0 < 1/e$  give

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon_0} \left| \frac{g(r) - g(t)}{r - t} \right| = \sup_{\frac{r}{5} \leq t \leq \varepsilon_0} \left| \frac{g(r) - g(t)}{r - t} \right|. \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.6) and (3.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mlip}(g, r) &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon_0} \left| \frac{g(r) - g(t)}{r - t} \right| \\ &= \sup_{\frac{r}{5} \leq t \leq \varepsilon_0} \left| \frac{g(r) - g(t)}{r - t} \right| \leq \sup_{\frac{r}{5} \leq \xi \leq \varepsilon_0} |g'(\xi)| \leq \frac{-3}{\frac{r}{5} \ln \frac{r}{5}}. \end{aligned}$$

An easy computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B([0, 0], \varepsilon_0)} \text{mlip}^2(F, x) &\leq \int_{B([0, 0], \varepsilon_0)} \left( \frac{-3}{\frac{|x|}{5} \ln \frac{|x|}{5}} \right)^2 dx \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} \left( \frac{1}{r \ln \frac{r}{5}} \right)^2 r dr = C \int_{-\infty}^{\ln \frac{\varepsilon_0}{5}} \frac{1}{a^2} da < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $F \in AC^2(B([0, 0], \varepsilon_0))$  by Lemma 3.5.  $\square$



#### 4 Boundary Behavior—Negative Results.

In this section we give examples of domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  for which there is a function  $f \in AC^n(\Omega)$  that fails to have a continuous extension to  $\partial\Omega$  (i.e., there is no  $\tilde{f} \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $f = \tilde{f}$  on  $\Omega$ ). When  $\Omega$  is bounded, this is equivalent to the fact that there is  $f \in AC^n(\Omega)$  which is not uniformly continuous on  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain and suppose that there is  $x \in \partial\Omega$  such that for all balls  $B \subset \Omega$  we have  $x \notin \partial B$ . Then there is  $f \in AC^n(\Omega)$  such that there is no continuous extension of  $f$  to  $\partial\Omega$ .*

PROOF. This theorem is an easy consequence of Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain and let  $0 < R < 1$ . Suppose that there is  $x \in \partial\Omega$  such that  $x \notin \partial B$  for every ball  $B \subset \Omega$ . Then there is  $f \in AC^n(\Omega)$  such that  $f \geq 0$ ,  $\text{spt}(f) \subset \overline{B(x, R)}$  and  $\lim_{y \rightarrow x} f(y) = +\infty$ . Moreover, there is  $g \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\text{spt} g \subset \overline{B(x, R)}$  such that  $f$  satisfies the RR-condition with weight  $g$ .*

PROOF. For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we set

$$M_m = \bigcup \left\{ B\left(z, \frac{1}{m}\right) : z \in \Omega, \text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{m} \right\}.$$

Since it is not possible to touch  $\partial\Omega$  at the point  $x$  with a ball of radius  $1/m$ , we have  $r_m = \text{dist}(x, M_m) > 0$ .

Set  $a_1 = R$ . We define a sequence  $\{a_m\}_{m=2}^\infty$  by induction. Given  $a_m$ , we will show that there is  $a_{m+1}$  such that  $0 < a_{m+1} < a_m$  and for every ball  $B$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ B \cap B(x, a_{m+1}) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } B \cap \left( B(x, a_m) \setminus B\left(x, \frac{a_m}{2}\right) \right) \neq \emptyset \right] \\ \implies B \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \neq \emptyset. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{k} < \frac{a_m}{6}$ . For every  $B(z, r)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ r \leq \frac{1}{k} \text{ and } B(z, r) \cap \left( B(x, a_m) \setminus B\left(x, \frac{a_m}{2}\right) \right) \neq \emptyset \right] \\ \implies B(z, r) \cap B\left(x, \frac{a_m}{6}\right) = \emptyset. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

We prove that (4.1) holds for  $a_{m+1} = \min(a_m/6, r_k)$  by contradiction. If there were a ball  $B(z, r)$  such that (4.1) failed, we would have

$$B(z, r) \cap B(x, r_k) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } B(z, r) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) = \emptyset \implies r \leq \frac{1}{k},$$

by the definition of  $r_k$ . From (4.2) we obtain  $B(z, r) \cap B(x, a_m/6) = \emptyset$  and therefore  $B(z, r) \cap B(x, a_{m+1}) = \emptyset$ , contrary to the assumption in (4.1).

Let  $f$  be defined for  $y \in \Omega$  by

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & y \in \Omega \setminus B(x, a_1), \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{m} \frac{2}{a_m} (a_m - |x - y|) & y \in B(x, a_m) \setminus B(x, \frac{a_m}{2}), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{i} & y \in B(x, \frac{a_m}{2}) \setminus B(x, a_{m+1}), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $\lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in \Omega}} f(y) = +\infty$ . Set

$$g(y) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{2}{a_m m}\right)^n & y \in B(x, a_m) \setminus B(x, \frac{a_m}{2}), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & y \in B(x, \frac{a_m}{2}) \setminus B(x, a_{m+1}), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

From (4.1) we have

$$g(y) = \text{mlip}^n(f, y) \text{ for } y \in B(x, a_m) \setminus B\left(x, \frac{a_m}{2}\right), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 3.5 now gives  $\text{osc}_B^n f \leq C \int_B g$  for every ball  $B \subset B(x, a_m) \setminus B(x, \frac{a_m}{2})$ .

From (4.1) and the definition of  $f$  it is evident that for every ball  $B \subset \Omega$  there is a ball  $B' \subset B$  such that  $\text{osc}_B f = \text{osc}_{B'} f$  and  $B' \subset B(x, a_m) \setminus B(x, \frac{a_m}{2})$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus

$$\text{osc}_B^n f = \text{osc}_{B'}^n f \leq C \int_{B'} g(y) dy \leq C \int_B g(y) dy.$$

Hence  $f$  satisfies the RR-condition with weight  $Cg$ . An easy computation gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} g &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n\left(B(x, a_m) \setminus B\left(x, \frac{a_m}{2}\right)\right) \left(\frac{2}{a_m m}\right)^n \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C a_m^n \left(\frac{2}{a_m m}\right)^n = C 2^n \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^n < \infty. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Example 4.3.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . There exist a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $C^{1,\alpha}$  boundary and  $f \in AC^n(\Omega)$  such that there is no continuous extension of  $f$  to  $\partial\Omega$ .

PROOF. Set  $\Omega = \{[x_1, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 > |[x_2, \dots, x_n]|^{\alpha+1}\}$ . It is not difficult to show that  $\Omega$  has  $C^{1,\alpha}$  boundary and that for every ball  $B \subset \Omega$  we have  $0 \notin \partial B$ . Thus Theorem 4.2 shows that there is  $f \in AC^n(\Omega)$  such that there is no continuous extension of  $f$  to the point  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ .  $\square$

The following example shows that it is not enough to assume that we can touch every point of a boundary by a ball.

**Example 4.4.** *There is a bounded, convex domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $C^1$  boundary such that for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$  we have  $x \in \partial B$  for some ball  $B \subset \Omega$ . Moreover, there is  $f \in AC^2(\Omega)$  such that there is no continuous extension of  $f$  to  $\partial\Omega$ .*

PROOF. For  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  set  $x_i = [\frac{1}{2^i}, \frac{1}{2^{2i}}]$  and

$$A_i = \left\{ [x, y] \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in \left[ \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{1}{2^i} \right], y = \frac{3}{2^{i+1}}x - \frac{1}{2^{2i+1}} \right\}.$$

Define  $\Omega_1 = \text{conv}(S)$ , where we have set

$$S = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i \cup \{ [x, y] : x^2 + (y-1)^2 = 1, y \geq 1 \} \\ \cup \{ [x, y] : x^2 + (y-1)^2 = 1, x \leq 0 \}.$$

Clearly, there is a continuous function  $h : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\Omega_1 = \{ [x, y] : x \in (-1, 1), h(x) < y < 1 + \sqrt{1-x^2} \}.$$

For every  $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\frac{1}{2^j} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2^{j-1}}$  we have

$$h(x) \leq h\left(\frac{1}{2^{j-1}}\right) = \frac{1}{2^{2(j-1)}} = 4\frac{1}{2^{2j}} \leq 4x^2 \leq \frac{1}{8} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{8^2} - x^2}.$$

Thus  $B([0, 1/8], 1/8) \subset \Omega_1$ .

Applying Theorem 4.2 to  $\Omega_1$ ,  $x_i$  and  $r_i = \frac{1}{2^{i+3}}$  we obtain functions  $f_i, g_i$  such that  $\text{spt}(g_i)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , are pairwise disjoint. Consider  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a_i > 0$  such that  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \int_{\Omega_1} g_i < \infty$ . Set  $f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i f_i$ . Clearly,  $f$  satisfies the RR-condition with weight  $g = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i g_i$  and hence  $f \in AC^2(\Omega_1)$ .

There are  $y_i \in \Omega_1$  such that

$$\text{dist}(y_i, A_i) = \text{dist}(y_i, A_{i-1}) \text{ and } a_i f_i(y_i) = 1$$

and there is  $y_0 \in \Omega_1$  such that

$$\text{dist}(y_0, A_0) = \text{dist}(y_0, \partial B([0, 1], 1)) \text{ and } a_0 f_0(y_0) = 1.$$

Let  $B_i = B(y_i, \text{dist}(y_i, \partial\Omega_1))$ . Fix  $z_i \in A_i \cap \partial B_i$  and  $z \in \partial B([0, 1], 1) \cap \partial B_0$ . Set

$$\Omega_1^i = (\Omega_1 \setminus B(x_i, |x_i - z_i|)) \cup B_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \Omega_1^0 = \left( \Omega_1 \setminus B\left(\frac{z+z_0}{2}, \frac{|z-z_0|}{2}\right) \right) \cup B_0.$$

Let  $\Omega = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \Omega_1^i$ . Now  $\Omega$  obviously satisfies all assumptions. Further,  $f \in AC^2(\Omega)$  and there is no continuous extension of  $f$  to the point  $[0, 0]$  since

$$[0, y] \xrightarrow{y \rightarrow 0^+} [0, 0] \text{ and } f([0, y]) \xrightarrow{y \rightarrow 0^+} 0 \text{ but } y_i \rightarrow [0, 0] \text{ and } f(y_i) \rightarrow 1. \quad \square$$

**Remark 4.5.** In much the same way we can prove that there is a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with the same properties as in Example 4.4.

## 5 Boundary Behavior—Positive Results.

**Definition 5.1.** A domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to have the property (P) if the following holds. There are  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\eta > 0$  and a function  $h : [0, \eta) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $h(0) = 0$ ,  $h$  is continuous at 0, and for every  $x, y \in \Omega$  satisfying  $|x - y| < \eta$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{There are balls } B_i = B(s_i, r_i) \subset \Omega, i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \text{ such that} \\ &x \in B_1, B_i \cap B_{i+1} \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}, \\ &y \in B_k \text{ and } r_i \leq h(|x - y|) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, k\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

For abbreviation of (5.1), we say that the points  $x$  and  $y$  are joined in  $\Omega$  by  $k$  balls.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Suppose that a domain  $\Omega$  has the property (P) and let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$[B(c, r) \subset \Omega, r < \delta] \Rightarrow \text{osc}_{B(c, r)} f < \varepsilon. \quad (5.2)$$

*Then there is  $\tilde{f} \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $f = \tilde{f}$  on  $\Omega$ .*

PROOF. To obtain a contradiction, suppose that there are  $\Omega$  and  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying (5.2) such that there is no continuous extension of  $f$  to the point  $x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$ . Then we can find sequences  $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \Omega$ ,  $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \Omega$  and  $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$a_j \rightarrow x, b_j \rightarrow x, |a_j - b_j| < \eta \text{ and } |f(a_j) - f(b_j)| \geq \tilde{\varepsilon}$$

where  $\eta$  is occurring in the definition of the property (P). Applying (P) to points  $a_j, b_j$  we obtain balls  $B_1^j, B_2^j, \dots, B_k^j$  such that

$$a_j \in B_1^j, B_i^j \cap B_{i+1}^j \neq \emptyset \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \text{ and } b_j \in B_k^j.$$

By the triangle inequality, we have

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} \leq |f(a_j) - f(b_j)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \text{osc}_{B_i^j}(f).$$

Therefore there is  $d(j) \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $\text{osc}_{B_{d(j)}^j}(f) \geq \tilde{\varepsilon}/k$ . Let us denote by  $r_j$  the radius of  $B_{d(j)}^j$ . From  $|a_j - b_j| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $r_j \leq h(|a_j - b_j|)$ ,  $h(0) = 0$  and the continuity of  $h$  at 0 we obtain  $r_j \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $\text{osc}_{B_{d(j)}^j}(f) \geq \tilde{\varepsilon}/k$  contradicts (5.2).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $R > 0$  and let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain. Suppose that we have a continuous curve  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$  such that  $\text{diam}(\langle \gamma \rangle) < R$  and that for every  $z \in \langle \gamma \rangle$  there is a ball  $B_z = B(c_z, R) \subset \Omega$  such that  $z \in B_z$ . Then there are  $z_1, \dots, z_{2 \cdot 3^n} \in \langle \gamma \rangle$  such that  $x = \gamma(0)$  and  $y = \gamma(1)$  are joined by  $B_{z_1}, B_{z_2}, \dots, B_{z_{2 \cdot 3^n}}$  in  $\Omega$ .*

PROOF. Find  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \in \langle \gamma \rangle$  such that  $x$  and  $y$  are joined by  $B_{z_1} \dots B_{z_k}$  and  $k$  is minimal in the sense

$$[z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_l \in \langle \gamma \rangle, B_{z'_1}, \dots, B_{z'_l} \subset \Omega \text{ join } x \text{ and } y] \implies k \leq l. \quad (5.3)$$

If there were  $a, b, c \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $a \neq b \neq c \neq a$  such that  $B_{z_a} \cap B_{z_b} \cap B_{z_c} \neq \emptyset$ , then one of the balls  $B_{z_a}, B_{z_b}, B_{z_c}$  would be redundant in joining  $x$  and  $y$  which contradicts the minimality of  $k$  in the sense of (5.3). From this and  $B_{z_i} \subset B(x, 3R)$  we have

$$\mathcal{L}_n\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i\right) \leq 2\mathcal{L}_n(B(x, 3R)) \implies k \leq \frac{2\mathcal{L}_n(B(x, 3R))}{\mathcal{L}_n(B(0, R))} = 2 \cdot 3^n. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 5.4.** *Given  $r > 0$  and  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  suppose that  $\Omega = \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, r)$  is a bounded domain. Suppose that for every  $z \in \partial\Omega$  and for every sequences  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty, \{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \Omega$  we have*

$$x_i \rightarrow z, y_i \rightarrow z \implies \rho_\Omega(x_i, y_i) \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.4)$$

*Then  $\Omega$  has the property (P).*

PROOF. Set

$$g(t) = \sup\{\rho_\Omega(x, y) : x, y \in \Omega, |x - y| \leq t\} \text{ for } t \geq 0.$$

We claim that the function  $g$  is continuous at 0. Conversely, suppose that there are  $\delta > 0$  and  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \{y_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Omega$  such that  $|x_i - y_i| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\rho_\Omega(x_i, y_i) > \delta$ . Since  $\bar{\Omega}$  is compact, we may assume that there is  $z \in \bar{\Omega}$  such that  $x_i \rightarrow z$  and  $y_i \rightarrow z$ . Clearly this would not be possible if  $z \in \Omega$  and therefore  $z \in \partial\Omega$ . However this contradicts condition (5.4).

Fix  $\eta > 0$  small enough such that for  $t < \eta$  we have  $2g(t) < r$ . Set  $h(t) = 2g(t)$  and  $k = 2 \cdot 3^n$ . We claim that  $\Omega$  satisfies the property (P) with the constants  $k, \eta$  and the function  $h$ .

Fix  $x, y \in \Omega$  such that  $|x - y| < \eta$ . It follows from the choice of  $\eta$  that  $h(|x - y|) < r$ . By the definition of  $\rho_\Omega(x, y)$ , there is a continuous curve  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$  such that  $\gamma(0) = x$ ,  $\gamma(1) = y$  and  $\ell(\gamma) < 2\rho_\Omega(x, y)$ . Clearly,

$$\text{diam}(\langle \gamma \rangle) < 2\rho_\Omega(x, y) \leq 2g(|x - y|) = h(|x - y|).$$

For every  $z \in \langle \gamma \rangle$  we can find  $B(c_z, h(|x - y|)) \subset \Omega$  with  $z \in B(c_z, h(|x - y|))$  since  $\Omega = \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, r)$  and  $h(|x - y|) < r$ . Applying Lemma 5.3 to  $R = h(|x - y|)$  we obtain points  $z_1, \dots, z_k \in \langle \gamma \rangle$  such that  $B(c_{z_1}, R), \dots, B(c_{z_k}, R)$  join  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

Thanks to Lemma 5.2 we can rephrase Lemma 5.4 as follows.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r > 0$ . Suppose that  $\Omega = \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, r)$  is a bounded domain such that for every  $z \in \partial\Omega$  and for every sequences  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty, \{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \Omega$  we have*

$$x_i \rightarrow z, y_i \rightarrow z \implies \rho_\Omega(x_i, y_i) \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.5)$$

*Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$[B(c, r) \subset \Omega, r < \delta] \implies \text{osc}_{B(c, r)} f < \varepsilon. \quad (5.6)$$

*Then there is  $\tilde{f} \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $f = \tilde{f}$  on  $\Omega$ .*

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain with  $C^{1,1}$  boundary. Then for every  $n$ -absolutely continuous function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  there is  $\tilde{f} \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $f = \tilde{f}$  on  $\Omega$ .*

PROOF. We only give the main ideas of the proof. We can assume that  $\Omega$  is bounded, for the existence of the extension is a local property. Clearly, every  $n$ -absolutely continuous function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies (5.6) and hence it remains to verify the assumptions of Theorem 5.5 about the domain  $\Omega$ .

Let  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  and find  $r_0 > 0$ ,  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and a function  $h \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  occurring in (2.2). Without loss of generality we may assume that  $i = 1$ ,  $x_0 = 0$ ,

$$\partial\Omega \cap B(0, r_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : [x_2, \dots, x_n] \in D \text{ and } h(x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1\},$$

$G^+ \subset \Omega$  and  $G^- \cap \Omega = \emptyset$  (where  $G^+$  and  $G^-$  are defined in (2.3)). It is clear from this description that (5.5) holds for  $z = x_0$ . Now it remains to show that  $\Omega = \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, r)$  for some  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r > 0$ .

Let us denote by  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  the vector of partial derivatives of  $h$  at 0. Choose a constant  $K > 0$  large enough such that  $K$  is greater than the Lipschitz constant of  $h'$  (i.e.,  $|h'(x) - h'(y)| \leq K|x - y|$  for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ ) and moreover

$$B\left(0, \frac{\sqrt{1+|V|^2}}{K}\right) \subset D \text{ and } B\left(0, \frac{\sqrt{1+|V|^2}}{K}\right) \subset B(x_0, r_0). \quad (5.7)$$

We claim that

$$\tilde{B} := B\left(\left[\frac{1}{2K}, \frac{-V_1}{2K}, \dots, \frac{-V_{n-1}}{2K}\right], \frac{1}{2K}\sqrt{1+|V|^2}\right) \subset \Omega. \quad (5.8)$$

Let  $x \in \partial\tilde{B} \setminus \{0\}$ . Set  $\tilde{x} = [x_2, \dots, x_n]$  and notice that  $\tilde{x} \in D$  and  $x \in B(x_0, r_0)$  by (5.7). From (5.8) we have  $|x|^2 = \frac{1}{K}x_1 - \frac{1}{K}V\tilde{x}$ . Proposition 2.1 now gives

$$h(\tilde{x}) \leq V\tilde{x} + \frac{K}{2}|\tilde{x}|^2 < V\tilde{x} + K|x|^2 = x_1$$

which implies  $x \in \Omega$  since  $G^+ \subset \Omega$ . Clearly  $\partial\tilde{B} \subset \Omega \cup \{0\}$ , implies  $\tilde{B} \subset \Omega$ . Note that the radius of  $\tilde{B}$  depends only on  $h, r_0$  and  $D$ , and not on a particular point  $x_0$ . Therefore it is possible to find  $\tilde{r}_0 > 0$  and  $r_1 > 0$  such that for every  $x \in \partial\Omega \cap B(x_0, \tilde{r}_0)$  there exists a ball  $B(c_x, r_1) \subset \Omega$  such that  $x \in \partial B(c_x, r_1)$ .

Since  $\partial\Omega$  is compact, this implies that there is  $r_2 > 0$  such that for every  $x \in \partial\Omega$  there is a ball  $B(c_x, r_2) \subset \Omega$  such that  $x \in \partial B(c_x, r_2)$ . From this and the definition of  $C^{1,1}$  boundary it is not difficult to deduce that  $\Omega = \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, r)$  for some  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r > 0$ .  $\square$

The following example shows that the assumptions of Lemma 5.4 are not equivalent to the property (P).

**Example 5.7.** *There is a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  which has the property (P) and does not satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 5.4.*

PROOF. Set

$$A = \{[x, y] : x^2 + (y-1)^2 = 1 \text{ and } ((x \leq 0) \text{ or } (y \geq 1))\}$$

and

$$B_i = B\left(\left[\frac{1}{2^i}, \frac{1}{2^i} + \frac{1}{8 \cdot 2^{2i}}\right], \frac{1}{2^i}\right).$$

We claim that the domain  $\Omega = \text{conv}\left(A \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right)$  has the desired properties. Since  $\partial B_i \cap \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{diam } B_i \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\Omega \neq \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, r)$  for any  $r > 0$  and  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Thus  $\Omega$  does not satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 5.4. The proof of the property (P) for  $\Omega$  is straightforward and not difficult and hence we omit it.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author wishes to express his thanks to Professor Jan Malý for suggesting the problems and for many stimulating conversations. The author would like to thank Professor L. Zajíček and the referee of the paper for valuable comments.

## References

- [1] A. Cianchi, L. Pick, *Sobolev embeddings into BMO, VMO and  $L^\infty$* , Ark. Mat., **36** (1998), 317–340.
- [2] M. Csörnyei, *Absolutely continuous functions of Rado, Reichelderfer and Malý*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **252** (2000), 147–166.
- [3] S. Hencl, *On the notions of absolute continuity for functions of several variables*, Fund. Math., **173** (2002), 175–189.
- [4] J. Kauhanen, P. Koskela and J. Malý, *On functions with derivatives in a Lorentz space*, Manuscripta Math., **100:1** (1999), 87–101.
- [5] J. Malý, *Absolutely continuous function of several variables*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **231** (1999), 492–508.
- [6] T. Rado, P. V. Reichelderfer, *Continuous Transformations in Analysis*, Springer, 1955.
- [7] E. M. Stein, G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1971.