

## NICE ELONGATIONS OF PRIMARY ABELIAN GROUPS

PETER V. DANCHEV AND PATRICK W. KEEF

*Abstract*

---

Suppose  $N$  is a nice subgroup of the primary abelian group  $G$  and  $A = G/N$ . The paper discusses various contexts in which  $G$  satisfying some property implies that  $A$  also satisfies the property, or visa versa, especially when  $N$  is countable. For example, if  $n$  is a positive integer,  $G$  has length not exceeding  $\omega_1$  and  $N$  is countable, then  $G$  is  $n$ -summable iff  $A$  is  $n$ -summable. When  $A$  is separable and  $N$  is countable, we discuss the condition that any such  $G$  decomposes into the direct sum of a countable and a separable group, and we show that it is undecidable in ZFC whether this condition implies that  $A$  must be a direct sum of cyclics. We also relate these considerations to the study of nice bases for primary abelian groups.

---

### 0. Introduction and Terminology

By the term “group” we will mean an abelian  $p$ -group, where  $p$  is a prime fixed for the duration. Our group theoretic terminology and notation will generally follow [11]. We will say a group  $G$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of cyclic groups. We will also utilize the language of *valuated groups* and *valuated vector spaces* (see [22] and [12], respectively); for example, if  $Y$  is a valuated group, the letter  $v$  will be reserved for its valuation, and for any ordinal  $\alpha$ , by  $Y(\alpha)$  we will mean the subgroup  $\{y \in Y : v(y) \geq \alpha\}$ . We will implicitly assume that all valuated vector spaces are over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

If  $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence, we will routinely identify  $X$  with an actual subgroup of  $G$  and  $A$  with the quotient group  $G/X$ ; we will say that  $G$  is an *elongation* of  $A$  by  $X$ . We then say that  $G$  is a *nice-elongation* of  $A$  if  $X$  is a nice subgroup (i.e., for every  $y \in G$ , the coset  $y + X$  has an element of maximum height). Further,

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 20K10.

*Key words*. Nice subgroups, elongations, abelian groups,  $\omega_1$ -homomorphisms.

if  $X$  is countable, then we will say  $G$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -*elongation* of  $A$  by  $X$ . We can clearly combine these and speak of *nice- $\aleph_0$ -elongations*.

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate how certain properties of groups are preserved under nice- $\aleph_0$ -elongations, though we will occasionally prove results that extend beyond the countable case. For example, if  $\lambda \leq \omega_1$  is an ordinal, then a group  $G$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -*group* if for every  $\alpha < \lambda$ , if  $H$  is a  $p^\alpha$ -high subgroup of  $G$  (i.e.,  $H$  is maximal with respect to the property that  $H \cap p^\alpha G = \{0\}$ ), then  $H$  is a dsc-group (i.e., a *direct sum of countable groups*). We verify that if  $G$  is a nice- $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$ , then  $G$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group iff  $A$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group (Corollary 2.4).

In another direction, a valuated group  $Y$  will be said to be *Honda* if it is the ascending union of subgroups  $X_m$  whose value spectra  $\{v(x) : x \in X_m\}$  are finite (i.e., they are *value-finite*). Note that if  $Y$  is actually countable, then it is clearly Honda, since it is the ascending union of finite subgroups.

If  $G$  is a group with a subgroup  $Y$ , then restricting the height function on  $G$  to  $Y$  gives a valuation on  $Y$ . A group  $G$  is *summable* if  $G[p]$  is isometric to a free valuated vector space. More generally, following [8], if  $n$  is a positive integer, a reduced group  $G$  is  *$n$ -summable* if  $G[p^n]$  is isometric to a valuated direct sum of countable valuated groups, and  $G$  is  *$n$ -Honda* if  $G[p^n]$  is Honda as a valuated group. In [8] it was shown that if  $G$  is  $n$ -summable, then (a) it is summable, so that  $p^{\omega_1} G = \{0\}$  (see, for example, [11, Theorem 84.3]); (b) the valuated group  $G[p^n]$  is determined up to isometry by its Ulm function; (c)  $G$  is  $n$ -Honda iff it is  $n$ -summable and has countable length (i.e.,  $p^\alpha G = \{0\}$  for some countable  $\alpha$ ). In fact, these results were proven in the category of *valuated  $p^n$ -socles* (which extends the idea of a valuated vector space). Note that (c) generalizes the classical criterion (due to Honda) for the summability of a group of countable length (see, for example, [11, Theorem 84.1]).

If  $G$  is a nice-elongation of  $A$  by  $N$ , we show that if  $N$  is countable and  $G$  is  $n$ -summable, then  $A$  is also  $n$ -summable (Theorem 1.1); and conversely, if  $N$  is Honda (as a valuated group using the height valuation from  $G$ ),  $p^{\omega_1} G = \{0\}$  and  $A$  is  $n$ -summable, then  $G$  is  $n$ -summable (Theorem 1.2). It follows that if  $G$  is a nice- $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$  and  $p^{\omega_1} G = \{0\}$ , then  $G$  is  $n$ -summable iff  $A$  is  $n$ -summable (Corollary 1.3).

If  $A$  is a separable group and  $G$  is an elongation of  $A$  by  $X$ , then  $X$  will always be nice in  $G$ , so that an elongation of  $A$  is always a nice-elongation. Next, if  $X = p^\omega G$  (so that  $A \cong G/p^\omega G$  is separable), we will say that  $G$  is an  $\omega$ -*elongation* of  $A$  (this terminology agrees with [20]), so that any  $\omega$ -elongation is also a nice-elongation; and if  $X = p^\omega G$  is also countable, we will say  $G$  is an  $\omega$ - $\aleph_0$ -*elongation* of  $A$ .

The group  $G$  will be said to be *countable plus separable* (or cps for short), if it is isomorphic to  $C \oplus S$ , where  $C$  is countable and  $S$  is separable. Note that if  $G = D \oplus R$ , where  $D$  is divisible and  $R$  is reduced, then  $G$  is cps iff  $D$  is countable and  $R$  is cps; we may occasionally, therefore, assume that some cps group is actually reduced. We will say that the separable group  $A$  has the *cps-elongation property* if whenever the group  $G$  is a (nice-) $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$ , then  $G$  is cps; similarly we will say  $A$  has the  *$\omega$ -cps-elongation property* if whenever the group  $G$  is an  $\omega$ - $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$ , then  $G$  is cps. It is straightforward to show that any  $\Sigma$ -cyclic group has the cps-elongation property and that any group with the cps-elongation property has the  $\omega$ -cps-elongations property (Proposition 3.1); the natural question is whether a group with one of these latter two properties must actually be  $\Sigma$ -cyclic. We show that these questions are independent of the standard set-theoretic axioms (ZFC) by showing that they are consequences of the axiom of constructibility ( $V = L$ ), but that counter-examples can be constructed using Martin's Axiom and the denial of the Continuum Hypothesis ( $\text{MA} + \neg\text{CH}$ , Theorem 3.4).

We then apply these results to question of when a group  $G$  has a *nice basis*; that is, an ascending sequence of nice subgroups  $X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots$  whose union is all of  $G$  such that each  $X_m$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic (see, for example, [2]). In particular, we note that  $\text{MA} + \neg\text{CH}$  implies that there is separable group  $A$  which is not  $\Sigma$ -cyclic with the property that whenever  $G$  is an  $\omega$ - $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$  by  $X$ , then  $G$  has a nice basis (Example 4.7).

Referring to [6], a group homomorphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow A$  is said to be  *$\omega_1$ -bijective* if both the kernel and the co-kernel of  $\varphi$  are countable. Thus, if there exists an  $\omega_1$ -bijective homomorphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow A$ , then  $G/\ker \varphi \cong \varphi(G)$  and  $G$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $\varphi(G)$  by  $\ker \varphi$ . In particular, if  $\ker \varphi$  is a countable nice subgroup of  $G$  and  $\varphi$  is surjective, then  $G$  is a nice- $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$ ; so there is a natural connection between these two concepts. We also establish some results pertaining to  $\omega_1$ -bijective homomorphisms of special classes of abelian groups (e.g., Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 5.6).

### 1. $n$ -Summable Groups

A subgroup  $X$  of a valuated group  $Y$  is *nice* if every coset  $y + X$  has an element of maximal value; such a  $y$  is called *proper with respect to  $X$* ; and in this case, letting  $v(y + X) = v(y)$  makes  $Y/X$  into a valuated group.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $n$  is a positive integer and  $G$  is a nice- $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$  by  $N$ . If  $G$  is  $n$ -summable, then  $A$  is  $n$ -summable.*

*Proof:* Fix a valuated decomposition  $G[p^n] = \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i$ , where each  $C_i$  is a countable valuated group. We claim there is a countable subset  $J \subseteq I$  such that:

- (a)  $N[p^n] \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i$ ;
- (b)  $(N + \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i) \parallel G[p^n]$ , that is, for every  $x \in N + \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i$  and  $y \in G[p^n]$  there is a  $z \in (N + \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i) \cap G[p^n] = \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i$  such that  $ht_G(x + z) \geq ht_G(x + y)$ .

To begin, let  $J_0$  be a countable subset of  $I$  such that  $N[p^n] \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in J_0} C_i$ . If we have constructed a countable subset  $J_k$  of  $I$ , we want to construct another countable subset  $J_{k+1}$  of  $I$  containing  $J_k$  such that:

- (c) for every  $x \in N + \bigoplus_{i \in J_k} C_i$  and  $y \in G[p^n]$  there is a  $z \in \bigoplus_{i \in J_{k+1}} C_i$  such that  $ht_G(x + z) \geq ht_G(x + y)$ .

For a second, fix  $x$  to be an element of the countable subgroup  $N + \bigoplus_{i \in J_k} C_i$ . We claim that there is countable sequence of elements  $y_{x,\ell}$  of  $G[p^n]$  such that

$$\sup\{ht_G(x + y) : y \in G[p^n]\} = \sup\{ht_G(x + y_{x,\ell}) : \ell < \omega\}.$$

This (essentially well-known) fact is a consequence of the assumption that  $G[p^n]$  is a valuated direct sum of countable valuated groups and such an object will always be complete in the (induced)  $\omega_1$ -topology: To see this, note that if it failed, we could, for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , choose  $y_\alpha \in G[p^n]$  such that  $ht(x + y_\alpha) \geq \alpha$ . It follows that if  $\alpha < \alpha' < \omega_1$ , then  $ht(y_\alpha - y_{\alpha'}) \geq \alpha$ . If for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $y_\alpha = (z_{\alpha,i})_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i$ , then  $z_{\alpha,i}$  must eventually be constant for each  $i \in I$ ; say it takes on the value  $w_i$ . We next verify that all but finitely many of the  $w_i$  must be 0: If this failed, and  $\omega_1 > \alpha > ht(w_i)$  for an infinite number of indices  $i$ , it would easily follow that  $z_{\alpha,i} \neq 0$  for this same infinite set of indices  $i$ , which cannot be. It follows that  $w = (w_i)_{i \in I}$  is in  $\bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i$ , and that for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $ht(x + w) = ht(x + y_\alpha + w - y_\alpha) \geq \alpha$ , so that  $x + w = 0$ ; which means that we could let  $y_{x,\ell} = w$  for all  $\ell < \omega$ .

We then let  $J_{k+1}$  be a countable subset of  $I$  containing  $J_k$  such that  $y_{x,\ell} \in \bigoplus_{i \in J_{k+1}} C_i$  for all  $x \in N + \bigoplus_{i \in J_k} C_i$  and  $\ell < \omega$ .

If we then let  $J = \bigcup_{k < \omega} J_k$ , then (a) is immediate and (b) follows from (c).

Let  $L = I - J$ . If  $x$  is a non-zero element of  $\bigoplus_{i \in L} C_i$ , we claim that  $ht_G(x) = ht_{G/N}(x + N)$ : Note that if this failed, then since  $N$  is nice in  $G$ , there would be an element  $y \in N$  such that  $ht_G(x + y) > ht_G(x)$ . Now, using (b), we could then find a  $z \in \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i$  such that

$ht_G(x+z) \geq ht_G(x+y) > ht_G(x)$ , but this contradicts the observation that  $G[p^n] = (\oplus_{i \in J} C_i) \oplus (\oplus_{i \in L} C_i)$  is a valuated direct sum.

It follows that  $\oplus_{i \in L} C_i = V$  embeds isometrically in  $A[p^n]$ . By Theorem 2.6 of [8], we will be done if we can show that  $A[p^n]/V$  is countable. To that end, suppose  $P$  is a countable pure subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N$ . Note that if  $z + N \in A[p^n]$ , then  $p^n z \in N \subseteq P$ , so  $p^n z = p^n w$  for some  $w \in P$ . Therefore,  $z - w \in G[p^n] = (\oplus_{i \in J} C_i) \oplus V$ , so  $z - w = t + u$  where  $t \in \oplus_{i \in J} C_i$  and  $u \in V$ . It follows that  $z = (w+t) + u \in (P + \oplus_{i \in J} C_i) + V$ , so that  $A[p^n] \subseteq (P + \oplus_{i \in J} C_i) / N + V$ , and since  $(P + \oplus_{i \in J} C_i) / N$  is clearly countable,  $A[p^n]/V$  must be countable, as well.  $\square$

Recall that a countable valuated group is always Honda, so the following is essentially a converse of the above.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose  $n$  is a positive integer,  $G$  is a nice-elongation of  $A$  by  $N$ ,  $p^{\omega_1} G = \{0\}$  and  $N$  is Honda (as a valuated group using the height valuation from  $G$ ). If  $A$  is  $n$ -summable, then  $G$  is  $n$ -summable.*

*Proof:* Since the value spectrum of  $N$  is countable, there is a countable ordinal  $\lambda$  such that  $N(\lambda) = N \cap p^\lambda G = \{0\}$ . Let  $G'$  be a  $p^{\lambda+n}$ -high subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N$ . Then  $p^\lambda G'$  is  $p^n$ -bounded and there is a decomposition  $p^\lambda G = p^\lambda G' \oplus X$  leading to an isometry  $G[p^n] = G'[p^n] \oplus X[p^n]$ , where the values in  $X[p^n]$  are  $\lambda$  plus the heights of elements computed in  $X$  (cf. [8, Lemma 1.9]). Since  $N(\lambda) = N \cap p^\lambda G = \{0\}$  and  $N$  is nice, the map  $p^\lambda G \rightarrow p^\lambda A$  is an isomorphism, so that  $p^\lambda G$ , and hence  $X$ , is  $n$ -summable. We therefore only need to show that  $G'$  is  $n$ -summable. Towards this end, note that  $G'$  is isotype in  $G$  and  $N$  is nice in  $G'$ . It follows that  $A' = G'/N$  embeds as an isotype subgroup of  $A = G/N$ . Since  $p^{\lambda+n} A' = p^{\lambda+n}(G'/N) = [p^{\lambda+n} G' + N]/N = \{0\}$ , it follows that  $A'[p^n]$  is Honda. Without loss of generality, then, assume  $G' = G$  has countable length  $\mu = \lambda + n$  and  $G/N = A$  is  $n$ -Honda.

Let  $M$  be the subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N$  satisfying  $M/N = (G/N)[p^n] = A[p^n]$ . The height function on  $G$  gives a valuation on  $M$  and  $M/N$  is the valuated direct sum  $\oplus_{i \in I} V_i$ , where  $V_i$  is countable. For each coset in  $M/N$ , choose an element which is proper with respect to  $N$  and let  $M_p \subseteq M$  be the collection of all these proper elements.

Let  $O_j$  for  $j < \omega$  be an ascending sequence of finite sets of ordinals with union  $\mu$ .

For each  $i \in I$ , suppose  $F_{i,j}$ , for  $j < \omega$  is an ascending chain of finite subgroups of  $V_i$  whose union is all of  $V_i$  such that the value spectrum

of  $F_{i,j}$  is contained in  $O_j$ . Let  $F'_{i,j} = \langle x \in M_p : x + N \in F_{i,j} \rangle$ , so that  $F'_{i,j}$  is a finite group.

Suppose  $N$  is the ascending union of the subgroups  $X_j$  such that the value spectrum of  $X_j$  is contained in  $O_j$ . Define

$$Z_j = X_j + \langle F'_{i,k} : i \in I, k \leq j \text{ and } F'_{i,k} \cap N \subseteq X_j \rangle.$$

We claim that  $M$  is the ascending union of these  $Z_j$ , and that the value spectrum of  $Z_j$  is contained in  $O_j$ .

**Claim 1.**  $Z_j \subseteq Z_{j+1}$ .

First, note that  $X_j \subseteq X_{j+1}$ . Second, if  $i \in I$ ,  $k \leq j$ , and  $F'_{i,k} \cap N \subseteq X_j$ , then  $k \leq j+1$ , and  $F'_{i,k} \cap N \subseteq X_j \subseteq X_{j+1}$ , so that the claim follows.

**Claim 2.**  $M = \cup_{j < \omega} Z_j$ .

Note  $N = \cup_{j < \omega} X_j \subseteq \cup_{j < \omega} Z_j$ . Further, if  $i \in I$  and  $k < \omega$ , then since  $F'_{i,k}$  is finite, we can find a  $j \geq k$  such that  $F'_{i,k} \cap N \subseteq X_j$ , and it follows that  $F'_{i,k} \subseteq Z_j$ . It follows that  $F_{i,k} \subseteq [\cup_{j < \omega} Z_j + N]/N = [\cup_{j < \omega} Z_j]/N$ , and since this happens for all  $i$  and  $k$ , it follows that  $M/N = [\cup_{j < \omega} Z_j]/N$ , which implies the claim.

**Claim 3.** *The value spectrum of  $Z_j$  is contained in  $O_j$ .*

Suppose

$$z = x + g_{i_1} + \cdots + g_{i_m} \in Z_j$$

where  $x \in X_j$ , and for  $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ , each  $i_\ell \in I$  and for some  $k_\ell \leq j$ ,  $g_{i_\ell} \in F'_{i_\ell, k_\ell}$ , where  $F'_{i_\ell, k_\ell} \cap N \subseteq X_j$ . Note that each  $g_{i_\ell}$  is congruent modulo  $F'_{i_\ell, k_\ell} \cap N \subseteq X_j$  to some element of  $F'_{i_\ell, k_\ell} \cap M_p$ , and so we actually assume each  $g_{i_\ell}$  is proper with respect to  $M$ .

Note

$$\begin{aligned} v(z) &\leq v(z + N) \\ &= v(g_{i_1} + \cdots + g_{i_m} + N) \\ &= \min\{v(g_{i_1} + N), \dots, v(g_{i_m} + N)\} \\ &= \min\{v(g_{i_1}), \dots, v(g_{i_m})\} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$v(x) = v(z - (g_{i_1} + \cdots + g_{i_m})) \geq v(z),$$

which further implies that

$$v(z) = \min\{v(x), v(g_{i_1}), \dots, v(g_{i_m})\} \in O_j.$$

It follows from Claims 2 and 3 that  $M$  is Honda. We now observe that  $G[p^n]$  is contained in  $M$ , and since  $M$  is Honda, so is  $G[p^n]$ , so that  $G$  must be  $n$ -summable, as required.  $\square$

Since a countable valuated group is always Honda, the following is an immediate consequence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2:

**Corollary 1.3.** *Suppose  $n$  is a positive integer and  $G$  is a nice- $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$  with  $p^{\omega_1}G = \{0\}$ . Then  $G$  is  $n$ -summable iff  $A$  is  $n$ -summable.*

**Example 1.4.** The hypothesis of niceness is necessary in Theorem 1.1.

As in Example 6.8 of [6], let  $G_0$  be a group satisfying the following:

- (a)  $G_0$  is summable,  $B$  is a countable unbounded  $\Sigma$ -cyclic group and there is an embedding of the torsion completion  $\overline{B}$  in  $G_0$  such that  $p^\omega G_0 = \overline{B}[p]$  and  $G_0/\overline{B}$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic. [To construct such a  $G_0$ , let  $H$  be a dsc-group of length  $\omega + 1$  such that there is a group isomorphism  $\phi: p^\omega H \rightarrow \overline{B}[p]$ , and let  $G_0 = [H \oplus \overline{B}]/\{(x, \phi(x)) : x \in p^\omega H\}$ , so  $G_0$  is the sum of  $H$  and  $\overline{B}$  along  $\phi$ .]

Now, suppose  $M$  is a countable group such that there is an isomorphism  $f: p^\omega M \rightarrow B$ . Let  $G = M \oplus G_0$ ,  $X = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in p^\omega M\} \subseteq G$ , and  $A = G/X$ . It follows that

- (b)  $G$  is summable (since both  $M$  and  $G_0$  are summable);
- (c)  $X$  is countable (since  $M$  is countable);
- (d)  $p^\omega A \cong \overline{B}$ , so that  $A$  is not summable.

To verify (d), note that clearly  $B \cong B' = [(\{0\} \oplus B) + X]/X \subseteq p^\omega A$ , and since  $A/B' \cong (\overline{B}/B) \oplus (G_0/\overline{B}) \oplus (M/p^\omega M)$ , where the first term of this decomposition is divisible and the last two terms are  $\Sigma$ -cyclic and hence separable, (d) then follows.  $\square$

**Example 1.5.** Theorem 1.1 does not hold if the nice subgroup  $N$  is only assumed to be Honda, as opposed to countable.

Suppose  $A$  is any separable group which is not  $\Sigma$ -cyclic and  $N \rightarrow G$  is a pure-projective resolution of  $A$  (i.e.,  $G$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic and  $N$  is pure in  $G$ ), then  $N$  is clearly Honda (since it is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic and the height valuation on  $G$  and  $N$  agree),  $G$  is summable, but  $A$  is not summable.  $\square$

**Example 1.6.** The hypothesis  $p^{\omega_1}G = \{0\}$  is necessary in Theorem 1.2.

Suppose  $G$  is a totally projective group with  $N = p^{\omega_1}G$  countably infinite and  $A = G/N$ . Then  $A$  is a dsc-group, and hence  $A$  is summable. Since  $p^{\omega_1}G \neq \{0\}$ , however,  $G$  will not be summable.  $\square$

**Example 1.7.** The hypothesis of niceness is necessary in Theorem 1.2.

In Example 2.3 of [6], a separable group  $G$  was constructed which was not  $\Sigma$ -cyclic but had a (pure) countable subgroup  $X$  such that  $A = G/X$  was a dsc-group of length  $\omega + 1$ . It follows that this  $A$  is summable, but  $G$  is not; in fact  $G$  is  $p^{\omega+1}$ -projective.  $\square$

## 2. Totally projective groups and generalizations

A *nice composition series* for the valuated group  $Y$  is an ascending chain of nice subgroups  $\{X_i : i \leq \delta\}$  such that

- (a)  $X_0 = \{0\}$ ,  $X_\delta = Y$ ;
- (b) for all  $i < \delta$ ,  $X_{i+1}/X_i \cong \mathbf{Z}_p$ ;
- (c) for all limit ordinals  $\lambda \leq \delta$ ,  $X_\lambda = \cup_{i < \lambda} X_i$ .

It is reasonably easy to verify that if  $Y$  has a nice composition series, then so does  $Y/Y(\alpha)$  for every ordinal  $\alpha$ , and that if  $Y$  is Honda (so in particular, if  $Y$  is countable), then it has a nice composition series. It is well known that a reduced group  $G$  using the height valuation has a nice composition series iff it is totally projective (see, for example, [11, Theorem 81.9]). The following (essentially well-known) observation follows as a direct consequence.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose  $G$  is a nice-elongation of  $A$  by  $N$ . If  $N$  has a nice composition series as a valuated group and  $A$  is totally projective, then  $G$  is totally projective.*

*Proof:* If  $\{X_i\}_{i \leq \delta}$  is a nice composition series for  $N$ , it can readily be checked that each  $X_i$  is also nice in  $G$ . If  $\{Z_j\}_{j \leq \epsilon}$  is a nice composition series for  $A = G/N$ , and for  $j < \mu$  we let  $Z'_j$  be the subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N$  defined by the equation  $Z'_j/N = Z_j$ , then each  $Z'_j$  will also be nice in  $G$ . It readily follows that  $\{X_i\}_{i \leq \delta} \cup \{Z'_j\}_{j \leq \epsilon}$  is a composition series for  $G$ , so  $G$  is totally projective.  $\square$

We include the following (also well-known) observation for future reference.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Suppose  $G$  is a reduced group which is a nice- $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$  by  $N$ . Then  $A$  is totally projective iff  $G$  is totally projective.*



*Proof:* Sufficiency follows immediately from Proposition 2.1, and necessity follows directly from Proposition 1.1 of [6] (the second statement does not require the niceness of  $N$  in  $G$ ).  $\square$

Recall that if  $\lambda \leq \omega_1$ , then  $G$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group if for every  $\alpha < \lambda$ , one (and so each)  $p^\alpha$ -high subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is a dsc-group. If  $\lambda$  is a limit, this is equivalent to requiring that  $G/p^\alpha G$  is a dsc-group for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ , and if  $\lambda$  is isolated, this is equivalent to requiring that one (and so each)  $p^{\lambda-1}$ -high subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is a dsc-group (see, for example, the discussion in the first two paragraphs of Section 1 in [17]).

**Theorem 2.3.** *Suppose  $\lambda \leq \omega_1$ ,  $G$  is a nice-elongation of  $A$  by  $N$  and  $N$  has a nice composition series (using the height function on  $G$ ). If  $A$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group then  $G$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group.*

*Proof:* Assume  $A$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group. First, suppose  $\lambda$  is a limit. Now, for all  $\alpha < \lambda$  there is a nice short-exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N/N(\alpha) \rightarrow G/p^\alpha G \rightarrow A/p^\alpha A \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $A/p^\alpha A$  is a dsc-group, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that  $G/p^\alpha G$  must be a dsc-group. Since this holds for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ , it follows that  $G$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group, as required.

Next, suppose  $\lambda = \gamma + 1$  is isolated; so, in particular,  $\lambda$  is countable. If  $\lambda$  is finite, the result is trivial (since then any group is a  $C_\lambda$ -group), so suppose  $\lambda$  is infinite. We therefore have a nice short-exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow N/N(\lambda) \rightarrow G/p^\lambda G \rightarrow A/p^\lambda A \rightarrow 0.$$

Since a  $p^\gamma$ -high subgroup of  $G$  maps to a  $p^\gamma$ -high subgroup of  $G/p^\lambda G$ ,  $G$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group iff  $G/p^\lambda G$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group. Without loss of generality, then, replace  $N$ ,  $G$  and  $A$  by  $N/N(\lambda)$ ,  $G/p^\lambda G$  and  $A/p^\lambda A$ , so that we may assume all these groups have length at most  $\lambda$ .

Let  $Y$  be a  $p^\gamma$ -high subgroup of  $A$ , then since  $\gamma$  is infinite, we have that  $A/Y$  is divisible and

$$(p^\gamma A)[p] = p^\gamma A \rightarrow (A/Y)[p]$$

is an isomorphism (see, for example, [14, Theorem 92]).

Let  $X$  be the subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N$  such that  $X/N = Y$ . Note that

$$G/X \cong (G/N)/(X/N) = A/Y$$

is divisible and  $(p^\gamma G)[p] \rightarrow (G/X)[p]$  can be factored as follows:

$$(p^\gamma G)[p] = p^\gamma G \rightarrow p^\gamma A = (p^\gamma A)[p] \cong (A/Y)[p] \cong (G/X)[p]$$

and since these maps are all surjective, it follows that  $X$  is  $p^\lambda$ -pure in  $G$  (see, for example, [14, Theorem 91]). This means that  $X$  is isotype in  $G$ , so that

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$$

is a nice sequence. Since  $A$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group,  $Y$  is a dsc-group. By Proposition 2.1 this means that  $X$  is also a dsc-group. Next, observe that  $G[p]$  is isometric to a valuated direct sum  $X[p] \oplus W$  where  $W \subseteq p^\gamma G[p]$ . This implies that if  $X'$  is a  $p^\gamma$ -high subgroup of  $X$ , then  $X'$  is also  $p^\gamma$ -high in  $G$ . Since  $X'$  will be isotype in  $X$ , it follows that  $X'$  is a dsc-group (see, for example, [14, Theorem 104]). However, this implies that  $G$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group, as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Suppose  $\lambda \leq \omega_1$  and  $G$  is a nice- $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$ . Then  $G$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group iff  $A$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group.*

*Proof:* If  $A$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group, then Theorem 2.3 implies that  $G$  is as well; and conversely, if  $A$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group, then Theorem 3.5 of [6] implies that  $G$  is as well (the second implication does not require the niceness of  $N$  in  $G$ ).  $\square$

*Remark 1.* If  $\lambda > \omega$ , then Example 1.5 shows that in Corollary 2.4, if  $N$  is only assumed to be Honda, as opposed to countable, then  $A$  may be a  $C_\lambda$ -group, while  $G$  is not.

For the remainder of this section  $n$  will denote a fixed positive integer;  $G$  is said to be an  $n$ - $\Sigma$ -group if  $G[p^n]$  is the ascending union of a sequence of subgroups  $X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots$  such that for all  $i < \omega$ ,  $X_i(i) = X_i \cap p^i G = (p^\omega G)[p^n]$ . Utilizing Proposition 3.2 of [6], a group is an  $n$ - $\Sigma$ -group iff it is a  $C_{\omega+n}$ -group. Therefore, these last two results can be reformulated as follows:

**Corollary 2.5.** *Suppose  $G$  is a nice-elongation of  $A$  by  $N$ .*

- (a) *If  $N$  has a nice composition series (using the height function on  $G$ ) and  $A$  is an  $n$ - $\Sigma$ -group, then  $G$  is an  $n$ - $\Sigma$ -group.*
- (b) *If  $N$  is countable, then  $G$  is an  $n$ - $\Sigma$ -group iff  $A$  is an  $n$ - $\Sigma$ -group.*

A group  $G$  is said to be *pillared* if  $G/p^\omega G$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic. Since this is true iff for all  $n < \omega$ ,  $G/p^{\omega+n} G$  is a dsc-group, it follows that a group is pillared iff it is a  $C_{\omega \cdot 2}$ -group. Letting  $\lambda = \omega \cdot 2$ , then, we have the following:

**Corollary 2.6.** *Suppose  $G$  is a nice-elongation of  $A$  by  $N$ .*

- (a) *If  $N$  has a nice composition series (using the height function on  $G$ ) and  $A$  is pillared, then  $G$  is pillared.*
- (b) *If  $N$  is countable, then  $G$  is pillared iff  $A$  is pillared.*

A group  $G$  is said to be  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective if there is a subgroup  $P \subseteq G[p^n]$  such that  $G/P$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic (e.g., [21]). By a classical result of Fuchs (see [13]), if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective, then  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are isomorphic iff  $G_1[p^n]$  and  $G_2[p^n]$  are isometric. On the other hand if  $P$  is any valuated group with  $p^n P = \{0\}$  which is separable in the sense that  $P(\omega) = \{0\}$ , then there is a separable group  $G$  containing  $P$  such that the valuation on  $P$  agrees with the height function on  $G$ ,  $G$  is separable and  $G/P$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic; note that this  $G$  will be  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective.

More generally, imitating [3], a group  $G$  is called  $p^{\omega+n}$ -totally projective if  $p^\omega G$  is totally projective and  $G/p^\omega G$  is  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective. Clearly both  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective groups and totally projective groups are themselves  $p^{\omega+n}$ -totally projective. By the same token, we define a group  $G$  to be  $p^{\omega+n}$ -summable if  $p^\omega G$  is summable and  $G/p^\omega G$  is  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective. Observe that  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective groups are  $p^{\omega+n}$ -summable, whereas summable groups need not be  $p^{\omega+n}$ -summable since there exists a summable group with unbounded torsion-complete first Ulm factor and it is known that torsion-complete  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective groups are bounded (see, for instance, [16]).

**Proposition 2.7.** *Suppose  $G$  is a nice- $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$  by  $N$ . We then have:*

- (a)  *$G$  is  $p^{\omega+n}$ -totally projective iff  $A$  is  $p^{\omega+n}$ -totally projective.*
- (b) *If  $p^{\omega_1} G = \{0\}$ , then  $G$  is  $p^{\omega+n}$ -summable iff  $A$  is  $p^{\omega+n}$ -summable.*

*Proof:* Observe that  $p^\omega G$  is a nice- $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $p^\omega A$  by  $N(\omega) = N \cap p^\omega G$ , and  $G/p^\omega G$  is a nice- $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A/p^\omega A$  by  $N/N(\omega)$ . By Theorem 4.2 of [6],  $G/p^\omega G$  is  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective iff  $A/p^\omega A$  is  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective.

Therefore, (a) follows from Corollary 2.2 applied to  $p^\omega G$  and  $p^\omega A$ , and (b) follows from Corollary 1.3 applied to the same. □

### 3. CPS groups and $\omega_1$ -separable groups

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $A$  is a separable group.*

- (a) *If  $A$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic, then it has the cps-elongation property.*
- (b) *If  $A$  has the cps-elongation property then it has the  $\omega$ -cps-elongation property.*

*Proof:* In (a), if  $A$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic and  $G$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$  by  $X$ , then there is clearly a countable pure subgroup  $Y$  of  $G$  containing  $X$  such that  $Y/X$  is a summand of  $A$ . Since  $G/Y \cong A/(Y/X)$  will be  $\Sigma$ -cyclic, it follows that  $G \cong Y \oplus S$ , for some  $\Sigma$ -cyclic group  $S$ , so that  $G$  is cps. It follows that  $A$  has the cps-elongation property.

The implication in (b) is obvious.  $\square$

We now translate a notion that has been of considerable importance in the study of (torsion-free) free groups (see [10]) into the language of valuated vector spaces. We will say the valuated vector space  $V$  is  $\aleph_1$ -coseparable if it is separable (i.e.,  $V(\omega) = \{0\}$ ), and for all subspaces  $W$  of  $V$  with  $V/W$  countable there is a closed subspace  $U$  of  $V$  such that  $U \subseteq W$  and  $V/U$  is countable —note that this implies that the quotient valuated vector space  $V/U$  is separable and hence free, so that  $V$  is isometric to  $U \oplus F$  where  $F$  is countable and free. Note also that if  $V/W$  is unbounded (i.e.,  $(V/W)(m) \neq \{0\}$  for all  $m < \omega$ ), then the same will be true of  $F$ .

We pause to review the following standard construction (see, for example, [14, Theorem 106]).

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose  $A$  is a separable group,  $D \subseteq A[p]$  is a dense subsocle and  $X$  is a group such that  $A[p]/D \cong X/pX$ . Then there is an  $\omega$ -elongation  $G$  of  $A$  by  $X$  such that  $D = [G[p] + p^\omega G]/p^\omega G \subseteq A[p]$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $Y$  be a pure and dense subgroup of  $A$  such that  $Y[p] = D$ , so that  $A/Y$  is divisible; and let  $Z$  be a divisible hull for  $X$ , so that  $Z/N$  is also divisible. The isomorphism  $A[p]/D \cong X/pX$  implies that there is an isomorphism  $\phi: A/Y \rightarrow Z/X$ , and we can let  $G = \{(a, z) \in A \oplus Z : f(a+Y) = z+X\}$ . The assignment  $x \rightarrow (0, x)$  gives an injection  $X \rightarrow G$ , and the assignment  $(a, z) \rightarrow a$  gives a surjection  $G \rightarrow A$ , and it can be checked that these conditions will imply the conclusions.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *The following conditions are equivalent (in ZFC):*

- (a) *Every separable group  $A$  with the  $\omega$ -cps-elongation property is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic.*
- (b) *Every separable  $p^{\omega+1}$ -projective group  $A$  with the  $\omega$ -cps-elongation property is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic.*
- (c) *Every  $\aleph_1$ -coseparable valuated vector space is free (as a valuated vector space).*

*Proof:* It is clear that (a) implies (b). Suppose next that (b) holds and that  $V$  is  $\aleph_1$ -coseparable; we want to show that  $V$  must be free. Let  $A$  be a separable group containing  $V$  as a subgroup where  $A/V$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic,

so that  $A$  is  $p^{\omega+1}$ -projective. Since there is a valued injection of the valued quotient space  $A[p]/V$  into  $(A/V)[p]$  and the latter is Honda, so is  $A[p]/V$ , and hence free as a valued vector space. It follows that  $A[p]$  is isometric to  $V \oplus F$  where  $F$  is a free valued vector space. In fact, after possibly adding to  $A$  a summand which is an infinite  $\Sigma$ -cyclic group, we may assume that every Ulm invariant of  $F$  is infinite.

We now show that this  $A$  has the  $\omega$ -cps-elongation property. To this end, let  $G$  be an  $\omega$ - $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$ , so that  $A = G/p^\omega G$ ; we need to show  $G$  is cps. We begin by letting  $W' = [G[p] + p^\omega G]/p^\omega G \subseteq A[p]$ . It can easily be checked that  $\phi(x + p^\omega G) = px + p^{\omega+1}G$  gives a well-defined homomorphism  $A[p] \rightarrow p^\omega G/p^{\omega+1}G$  whose kernel is  $W'$ . It follows that  $A[p]/W'$  embeds in the countable group  $p^\omega G/p^{\omega+1}G$ , and hence  $A[p]/W'$  is also countable.

Now let  $W = W' \cap V$ . Since  $V/W$  embeds in  $A[p]/W'$ , it follows that  $V/W$  is countable. Let  $U$  be a closed subspace of  $V$  contained in  $W$  such that  $V/U$  is countable. Finally, let  $P$  be a subgroup of  $G[p]$  such that  $G[p] \rightarrow W'$  maps  $P$  isomorphically onto  $U$ ; since the homomorphism  $G[p] \rightarrow W' \subseteq A[p]$  preserves all finite heights, it follows that  $P$  also maps isometrically onto  $U$ .

From this construction we can conclude that  $[p^\omega G \oplus P]/p^\omega G = U$ . Finally, let  $Q = p^\omega G \oplus P \subseteq G$ , so that  $G/Q \cong (G/p^\omega G)/([p^\omega G \oplus P]/p^\omega G) = A/U$ .

**Claim.** *The decomposition  $Q = p^\omega G \oplus P \subseteq G$  is valued,  $G/Q$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic and, for all  $m < \omega$ , the relative Ulm function  $f_{G,Q}(m)$  is infinite.*

Since  $p^\omega G \cap P = \{0\}$ , the first statement readily follows. Since  $G/Q \cong A/U$ , we must show that the latter is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic. Observe that  $V/U$  is a free, separable valued vector space, so there is a valued embedding  $V/U \rightarrow J$ , where  $J$  is a  $\Sigma$ -cyclic group using the height valuation. Since  $V$  is nice in  $A$  and  $A/V$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic, it follows that this embedding extends to a homomorphism  $f: A \rightarrow J$ , for which the kernel of  $f|_V$  is  $U$ . It follows that the kernel of the obvious map  $A \rightarrow (A/V) \oplus J$  is  $U$ , and since  $(A/V) \oplus J$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic, so is  $A/U$ .

Observe that if  $m < \omega$ , then  $f_{G,Q}(m) = f_{A,U}(m)$ . Since  $F$  has infinite Ulm invariants,  $U \subseteq V$ , and  $A[p]$  is isometric to  $V \oplus F$ , the claim follows.

Let  $C$  be a countable group whose Ulm function is defined by

$$f_C(\alpha) = \begin{cases} f_G(\alpha), & \text{when } \omega \leq \alpha \leq \infty; \\ 1, & \text{when } \alpha < \omega. \end{cases}$$

Note this implies that  $p^\omega C$  and  $p^\omega G$  are isomorphic, even when they are not reduced. Let  $H = C \oplus A$ , so that  $Q' = p^\omega C \oplus U$  is nice in  $H$ , and  $H/Q' \cong (C/p^\omega C) \oplus (A/U)$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic. In addition,  $Q'$  and  $Q$  are both isometric to  $p^\omega G \oplus U$  and so they are isometric to each other. Next, it is readily checked that if  $\alpha \geq \omega$ ,  $f_{G,Q}(\alpha) = 0 = f_{H,Q'}(\alpha)$  and if  $m < \omega$ ,

$$f_{H,Q'}(m) = f_{G,Q}(m) + 1 = f_{G,Q}(m).$$

Therefore, by the fundamental result of Hill on extending isometries on nice valuated subgroups of groups (see, for example, [11, Theorem 83.4]), it follows that there is an isomorphism  $G \rightarrow H = C \oplus A$ . It therefore follows that  $G$  is cps, so that  $A$  has the  $\omega$ -cps-elongation property. By hypothesis, then,  $A$  must be  $\Sigma$ -cyclic, and this implies that  $A[p]$  is free as a valuated vector space, and since  $V$  is a subspace of  $A[p]$ , it is also free, as required.

We now need to show that (c) implies (a), so suppose every  $\aleph_1$ -coseparable valuated vector space is free and  $A$  is a separable group with the  $\omega$ -cps-elongation property, and let  $V = A[p]$ ; it follows trivially that  $V$  is separable. Let  $W$  be a subspace of  $V$  such that  $V/W$  is countable. Note that if  $\overline{W}$  is the  $p$ -adic closure of  $W$  in  $V$ , then  $\overline{W}/W = (V/W)(\omega)$ . Since  $V/W$  is countable, there is a subspace  $W'$  of  $V$  containing  $W$  such that  $V/W$  is isometric to the valuated direct sum  $(W'/W) \oplus (\overline{W}/W)$ . Note that  $W$  is closed (and hence, nice) in  $W'$  and  $W'/W$  is countable (and hence, free), so that  $W' = W \oplus F$  for some countable, free subspace  $F$ .

Note that  $W'$  is dense in  $V$ . By Lemma 3.2 there is an  $\omega$ -elongation  $G$  of  $A$  such that  $p^\omega G$  is countable,  $G/p^\omega G = A$  and  $[G[p] + p^\omega G]/p^\omega G = W'$ .

By hypothesis,  $G = C \oplus S$ , where  $C$  is countable and  $S$  is separable. First, note that we can identify  $A[p] = V$  with  $(C/p^\omega C)[p] \oplus S[p]$ , so that we can view  $S[p]$  as a subgroup of  $W' \subseteq A[p] = V$ . We now let  $U = S[p] \cap W$ . Since both  $V/S[p]$  and  $V/W$  are countable, and  $V/U$  embeds in  $(V/S[p]) \oplus (V/W)$ , it follows that  $V/U$  is countable.

To show that  $U$  is closed, let  $u_i$  for  $i < \omega$  be a sequence in  $U$  which converges in the  $p$ -adic topology to  $z \in V$ . Since  $A[p] = (C/p^\omega C)[p] \oplus S[p]$ ,  $S[p]$  is closed in  $V$ , so that  $z \in S[p] \subseteq W'$ . In the decomposition  $W' = W \oplus F$ , each  $u_i \in W$ , so that  $z \in W$ , as well. It follows that  $z \in S[p] \cap W = U$ .

Note that the arguments in the last two paragraphs imply that  $V$  is  $\aleph_1$ -coseparable. It follows, therefore, that  $V = A[p]$  is free, so that  $A$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic, as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *The following hold:*

- (a) *Assuming  $(MA + \neg CH)$ , there is a separable group  $A$  which has the cps-elongation property (and hence it also has the  $\omega$ -cps-elongation property), but is not  $\Sigma$ -cyclic.*
- (b) *Assuming  $(V = L)$ , if  $A$  is a separable group which has the  $\omega$ -cps-elongation property (in particular, if  $A$  has the cps-elongation property), then  $A$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic.*

Before proceeding, note that the last result implies the following.

**Corollary 3.5.** *The following statements are independent of ZFC:*

- (a) *Every separable group with the cps-elongation property is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic.*
- (b) *Every separable group with the  $\omega$ -cps-elongation property is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic.*
- (c) *Every  $\aleph_1$ -coseparable valuated vector space is free.*

*Proof:* By Theorem 3.4, both (a) and (b) fail in a model of  $MA + \neg CH$  and both are true in  $V = L$ . By Theorem 3.3, (b) is equivalent to (c).  $\square$

Recall that a group  $A$  is  $\omega_1$ -separable iff it is separable and every countable subgroup of  $A$  is contained in a countable summand of  $A$ . Using a (by now) standard construction, it can be shown in ZFC that there are  $\omega_1$ -separable groups of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  which are not  $\Sigma$ -cyclic.

*Proof of Theorem 3.4:* Regarding (a), suppose  $A$  is an  $\omega_1$ -separable group of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  which is not  $\Sigma$ -cyclic. If  $G$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$  by  $X$ , then let  $P$  be a countable pure subgroup of  $G$  containing  $X$ . Since  $A = G/X$  is  $\omega_1$ -separable, we can find a countable subgroup  $C$  of  $G$  containing  $P$  such that  $C/X$  is a summand of  $G/X = A$ .

We claim that  $C$  will be pure in  $G$ : Note that  $C/X$  is clearly pure in  $G/X$ , which implies that  $C/P \cong (C/X)/(P/X)$  is pure in  $G/P \cong (G/X)/(P/X)$ . Since  $P$  is pure in  $G$ , it follows that  $C$  is pure in  $G$ , as required.

If we let  $A = A' \oplus (C/X)$ , then the countability of  $C/X$  and the fact that  $A$  is  $\omega_1$ -separable readily implies that  $A'$  is also  $\omega_1$ -separable. In the presence of  $MA + \neg CH$ , by Theorem 3.1 of [18], we can conclude that  $\text{Pext}(A', C) = \{0\}$ . However, since  $C$  is pure in  $G$  and  $G/C \cong (G/X)/(C/X) \cong A'$ , we can conclude that  $G \cong A' \oplus C$ , as required.

Regarding (b), note that if  $G$  is an  $\omega$ -elongation of  $A$  by  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , then since  $A$  has the  $\omega$ -cps-elongation property, it follows that  $G \cong C \oplus S$ , where  $C$  is countable and  $S$  is separable. If  $H_{\omega+1}$  is the generalized Prüfer group of length  $\omega + 1$ , there is clearly a homomorphism  $G \rightarrow C \rightarrow H_{\omega+1}$  which

is non-zero on  $p^\omega G = p^\omega C$ . In the presence of  $V = L$ , by Theorem 2.2 of [20],  $A$  must be  $\Sigma$ -cyclic.  $\square$

Although by Proposition 7.2 of [4],  $A$  being  $\omega_1$ -separable implies that  $A/C$  is  $\omega_1$ -separable whenever  $C$  is a countable nice subgroup of  $A$ , we shall see that the converse implication does not hold in some versions of set theory. First, we pause for the following assertion which answers Problem 7.3 from [4].

**Proposition 3.6.** *Suppose  $A$  is a separable group and  $f: G \rightarrow A$  is an  $\omega_1$ -bijective homomorphism. If  $G$  is  $\omega_1$ -separable, then  $A$  is  $\omega_1$ -separable.*

*Proof:* Let  $I$  be the image of  $f$  and  $K$  be the kernel of  $f$ . Since  $I$  is a subgroup of  $A$ ,  $K$  is a countable and nice subgroup of  $G$ , so by Proposition 7.2 of [4],  $I \cong G/K$  is  $\omega_1$ -separable. Now, if  $C$  is a countable subgroup of  $A$ , then after possibly expanding  $C$ , we may assume that  $A = I + C$ . Let  $C'$  be a countable subgroup of  $I$  containing  $I \cap C$  such that  $I = I' \oplus C'$ ; the existence of such a  $C'$  follows from the fact that  $I$  is  $\omega_1$ -separable. Then  $C \subseteq C' + C'$  is countable and one easily checks that  $A = I' \oplus (C' + C)$ . It follows that  $A$  is  $\omega_1$ -separable, as desired.  $\square$

The next result shows that the converse of Proposition 3.6 is undecidable in ZFC for groups of cardinality  $\aleph_1$ . It settles Problem 7.2 from [4].

**Theorem 3.7.** (a) *(MA +  $\neg$ CH) If the separable group  $G$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -elongation of the  $\omega_1$ -separable group  $A$  and  $|A| = \aleph_1$ , then  $G$  is also  $\omega_1$ -separable.*

(b) *(V = L) There is a separable group  $G$  which is an  $\aleph_0$ -elongation of an  $\omega_1$ -separable group  $A$  with  $|A| = \aleph_1$  such that  $G$  is not  $\omega_1$ -separable.*

*Proof:* Regarding (a), a separable group  $H$  is *weakly  $\omega_1$ -separable* if for every countable subgroup  $C$  of  $H$ , the  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{C}$  is also countable. By [19], in the presence of MA +  $\neg$ CH, for groups of cardinality  $\aleph_1$ , the classes of weakly  $\omega_1$ -separable and  $\omega_1$ -separable groups coincide. Finally, in view of Corollary 5.2 of [6] we have that  $G$  is weakly  $\omega_1$ -separable iff  $A$  is weakly  $\omega_1$ -separable.

Regarding (b), Megibben [19, Theorem 3.2] found in the presence of  $V = L$  an  $\omega_1$ -separable group  $A$  of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  containing a pure and dense subgroup  $G'$  which is not  $\omega_1$ -separable such that  $A/G'$  is countable. If  $C$  is a countable subgroup of  $A$  such that  $G' + C = A$ , then let  $G = G' \oplus C$  (note this is an *external* direct sum). Since  $G'$  is not  $\omega_1$ -separable, the countability of  $C$  easily implies that  $G$  is not  $\omega_1$ -separable. If we



then consider the sum map  $G = G' \oplus C \rightarrow G' + C = A$ , defined by  $(g, c) \mapsto g + c$ , we have our result.  $\square$

#### 4. Groups with nice bases

Following [2], recall that a nice basis for a group  $G$  is an ascending sequence of nice subgroups  $X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots$  whose union is all of  $G$  such that each  $X_m$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a nice- $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$  by  $N$  such that  $N \cap p^\omega G = \{0\}$ . If  $A$  has a nice basis, then  $G$  has a nice basis.*

*Proof:* Write  $G/N = \cup_{i < \omega} (G_i/N) = (\cup_{i < \omega} G_i)/N$ , where  $N \leq G_i \subseteq G_{i+1} \leq G$  and all  $G_i/N$  are nice in  $G/N$  and are  $\Sigma$ -cyclic groups. Therefore,  $G = \cup_{i < \omega} G_i$  with  $p^\omega G_i \subseteq N$ . Hence  $p^\omega G_i \subseteq N \cap p^\omega G = \{0\}$  and we conclude that all  $G_i$  are separable. By Corollary 2.2, they are  $\Sigma$ -cyclic groups. Moreover, utilizing Lemma 79.3 of [11], we derive that these  $G_i$  are also nice in  $G$ , as needed.  $\square$

The last result can also be deduced from [4, Proposition 9.1]; nevertheless we have given another, more smooth, proof.

**Example 4.2.** In Proposition 4.1, the restriction  $N(\omega) = N \cap p^\omega G = \{0\}$  is necessary.

Suppose  $A$  is any separable thick group and let  $G$  be any group such that  $p^\omega G$  is countable, reduced inseparable (thus it is not  $\Sigma$ -cyclic) with  $G/p^\omega G \cong A$ . Applying Theorem 1.3 of [7],  $G$  does not has a nice basis (see also Proposition 4.5 below).  $\square$

**Example 4.3.** In Proposition 4.1, the niceness of  $N$  in  $G$  is also necessary.

Let  $G$  be a reduced group without a nice basis with a countable basic subgroup  $N$  (for example, if  $G/p^\omega G$  is torsion-complete with a countable basic subgroup and  $p^\omega G$  is not  $\Sigma$ -cyclic, it follows from [7] that  $G$  does not have a nice basis). Clearly,  $N(\omega) = \{0\}$  is satisfied and  $A = G/N$  is divisible, hence it does have a nice basis owing to [2].  $\square$

We now investigate the hypothesis that  $N(\omega) = \{0\}$  in the last result by contrasting it with the case where  $G$  is an  $\omega$ - $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$  by  $N$ ; i.e., we are contrasting the situation in which  $N \cap p^\omega G = \{0\}$  with the situation in which  $N = p^\omega G$ . We begin by considering an apparently unrelated notion.

A separable valued vector space  $V$  (i.e.,  $V(\omega) = \{0\}$ ) will be called *essentially finitely indecomposable* (or efi for short) if (1) it is *unbounded*

(in the sense that  $V(m) \neq \{0\}$  for all  $m < \omega$ ); and (2) there is no valuated decomposition  $V = W \oplus F$  where  $F$  is an unbounded free valuated vector space. For example, if  $V$  is complete in the  $p$ -adic topology (i.e., if it is isometric to the socle of a torsion-complete group), then  $V$  is efi. The following topological characterization utilizes ideas from [7].

**Proposition 4.4.** *An unbounded separable valuated vector space  $V$  is efi iff  $V$  cannot be expressed as the ascending union of a sequence of closed subspaces  $C_0 \subseteq C_1 \subseteq C_2 \subseteq \dots$  such that  $V/C_m$  is unbounded for every  $m < \omega$ .*

*Proof:* If there is a decomposition  $V = W \oplus F$  and we let  $F = \bigoplus_{i < \omega} B_i$  where  $B_i(i) \neq \{0\}$ , then we may simply let  $C_m = W \oplus (\bigoplus_{i < m} B_i)$ . Therefore,  $V/C_m \cong \bigoplus_{m \leq i} B_i$ , so that  $C_m$  is closed and  $V/C_m$  is unbounded.

Conversely, if we have the collection of closed subgroup  $C_m$  satisfying the above, then let  $x_m \in V - C_m$  be chosen so that  $v(x_m) \geq m$  and  $\langle x_m \rangle$  is a valuated summand of  $V/C_m$ ; denote the valuated projection  $V/C_m \rightarrow \langle x_m \rangle$  by  $\pi_m$ . If  $f: V \rightarrow \prod_{m < \omega} \langle x_m \rangle$  is given by  $f(x) = (\pi_m(x + C_m))_{m < \omega}$ , then it is easy to verify that  $f$  is a valuated homomorphism whose image is unbounded and is actually contained in the free valuated vector space  $\bigoplus_{m < \omega} \langle x_m \rangle$ . If we let  $W$  be the kernel of  $f$ , then  $V = W \oplus F$ , where  $F$  is an unbounded free valuated vector space.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *If  $A$  is any unbounded separable group that has a subsocle  $P \subseteq A[p]$  which is efi, then there is a group  $G$  which is an  $\omega$ - $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$ , such that  $G$  does not have a nice basis.*

*Proof:* Let  $N$  be any reduced countable group which is not  $\Sigma$ -cyclic, i.e.,  $p^\omega N \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $D$  be a dense subspace of  $A[p]$  such that  $A[p]/D$  is countably infinite and  $D + P(i) = A[p]$  for all  $i < \omega$  [start with a dense subspace  $D'$  of  $P$  such that  $P/D'$  is countably infinite, and if  $W$  is a subspace of  $A[p]$  such that  $P \oplus W = A[p]$ , then we can let  $D = D' + W$ ].

By Lemma 3.2 there is a group  $G$  which is an  $\omega$ -elongation of  $A$  by  $N$  such that  $D = [G[p] + p^\omega G]/p^\omega G \subseteq A[p]$ . Suppose now that  $G$  actually does have a nice basis  $M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots$ . If for each  $i < \omega$  we let  $L_i = [M_i + N]/N$ , then  $L_i$  is closed in the  $p$ -adic topology on  $A$  and their union is all of  $A$ . It follows that  $P$  is the union of the closed subspaces  $C_i = P \cap L_i$ . Since  $P$  is efi, it follows from Proposition 4.4 that, for some  $i$ ,  $P(i) \subseteq P \cap C_i \subseteq L_i$ . We therefore have  $A[p] \subseteq D + L_i$ .

If  $x \in N$ , then find  $y \in G$  such that  $py = x$ . Since  $y + N \in A[p]$  there is a  $w \in G[p]$  and  $z \in M_i$  such that  $y + N = (w + z) + N$ . So there is a  $u \in N$  such that  $y = w + z + u$ . This implies that  $x = py = pw + pz + pu = pz + pu$ . Note that  $pz \in M_i(\omega)$ ,  $pu \in pN$ , so that we

can conclude  $N = M_i(\omega) + pN$ . This, in turn, implies that  $N/M_i(\omega)$  is divisible. Since  $M_i$  is nice in  $G$ ,  $M_i(\omega)$  is nice in  $N$ . Since  $N$  is reduced and  $N/M_i(\omega)$  is divisible, we must have that  $N = M_i(\omega) \subseteq M_i$ . This is a contradiction, because  $M_i$  being a part of a nice basis is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic, though we assumed  $N$  was not.  $\square$

**Example 4.6.** There are  $p^{\omega+1}$ -projective groups  $A$  satisfying Proposition 4.5.

If  $P$  is any separable valuated vector space which is efi, then there is a separable group  $A$  containing  $P$  such that the valuation on  $P$  agrees with the height function on  $A$  and  $A/P$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic.  $\square$

*Remark 2.* If we start with the group  $A$  from Example 4.6 and construct the group  $G$  as in Proposition 4.5, then since  $G$  does not have a nice basis, it follows from [2] that it is not  $p^{\omega+1}$ -projective. Therefore, in Theorem 4.2 of [6], the condition of separability is necessary and cannot be eliminated.

Following [7], the separable group  $A$  is said to have the *nice basis extension property* if for all  $\omega$ -elongations  $G$  of  $A$ , if  $p^\omega G$  has a nice basis, then so does  $G$ . By Corollary 2.13 of [7], the Continuum Hypothesis (CH) implies that when  $A$  has a countable basic subgroup, then  $A$  has the nice basis extension property iff it is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic, and it was asked whether all groups with this property are necessarily  $\Sigma$ -cyclic. The following is related to this question by restricting to the case of  $\omega$ - $\aleph_0$ -elongations.

**Example 4.7.** In the presence of MA +  $\neg$ CH, there is a separable group  $A$  which is not  $\Sigma$ -cyclic, with the property that every  $\omega$ - $\aleph_0$ -elongation  $G$  of  $A$  has a nice basis. This group may be chosen to be  $p^{\omega+1}$ -projective.

Let  $A$  be as in Theorem 3.4(a). If  $G$  is an  $\omega$ -elongation of  $A$ , then since  $A$  has the  $\omega$ -cps-elongation property,  $G \cong C \oplus S$ , where  $C$  is countable and  $S$  is separable. Since  $C$  is countable, it is totally projective, and hence it has a nice basis by [2]. Since  $S$  is separable, it clearly has a nice basis, as well. Therefore, in view of [2],  $G$  has a nice basis, as required. The last part follows from Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

The following completely answers Problem 4 of [7].

**Example 4.8.** There are groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with  $p^\omega G_1 \cong p^\omega G_2$  and  $G_1/p^\omega G_1 \cong G_2/p^\omega G_2$  such that  $G_1$  has a nice basis but  $G_2$  does not have a nice basis.

Suppose  $A'$  is a separable group with a subsocle  $P$  which is efi, and  $B$  is an unbounded  $\Sigma$ -cyclic group. So if  $A = A' \oplus B$ , then since  $P$  is also a subsocle of  $A$ , by Proposition 4.5, there is an  $\omega$ - $\aleph_0$ -elongation  $G_2$  of  $A$  by a countable group  $N = p^\omega G_2$  which does not have a nice basis. Since  $N$  is countable and  $B$  is unbounded, there a dsc-group  $H$  such that  $p^\omega H \cong N$  and  $H/p^\omega H \cong B$ . If we let  $G_1 = H \oplus A'$ , then  $H$  has a nice basis by [2] (since it is a dsc-group and hence totally projective),  $A'$  has a nice basis (since it is separable), so that  $G_1$  has a nice basis in virtue of [2], as required.  $\square$

**Question 1.** Is the converse of Proposition 3.1(b) valid in ZFC? That is, if  $A$  is a separable group with the  $\omega$ -cps-elongation property, does it follow in ZFC that  $A$  has the cps-elongation property?

**Question 2.** Suppose  $A$  is a separable group with the property that every  $\omega$ - $\aleph_0$ -elongation  $G$  of  $A$  has a nice basis. In  $V = L$ , does it follow that  $A$  must be  $\Sigma$ -cyclic? (Note that by Example 4.7, this does not hold in a model of  $\text{MA} + \neg\text{CH}$ .)

**Question 3.** Suppose  $A$  is a separable group with the property that every  $\omega$ -elongation  $G$  by a totally projective group  $X = p^\omega G$  has a nice basis. Can we conclude that  $A$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic? (If this holds, then all such  $G$  will also be totally projective.)

## 5. Almost totally projective groups

A group  $G$  is *almost totally projective* if it has a collection of nice subgroups  $\mathcal{N}$  with the properties:

- (0)  $\{0\} \in \mathcal{N}$ .
- (1) If  $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an ascending chain of subgroups in  $\mathcal{N}$ , then  $\cup_{i \in I} H_i \in \mathcal{N}$ .
- (2) If  $C \subseteq G$  is countable, then there is a countable  $M \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $C \subseteq M$ .

We pause to review the following.

**Proposition 5.1** ([15], [5]). *If  $K$  is a subgroup of the reduced group  $H$  such that  $H/K$  is countable and  $K$  is almost totally projective, then  $H$  is almost totally projective.*

**Proposition 5.2** ([5]). *If  $K$  is a countable and nice subgroup of the reduced group  $H$  such that  $H/K$  is almost totally projective, then  $H$  is almost totally projective.*

More directly, we can state the following (see [6] for totally projective groups).

**Proposition 5.3.** *Suppose  $A$  is reduced and  $G$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$  by  $X$ . If  $G$  is almost totally projective, then  $A$  is almost totally projective.*

*Proof:* Let  $\mathcal{N}$  satisfy (0), (1), (2) above, and let  $\mathcal{N}' = \{0\} \cup \{N/X : N \in \mathcal{N} \text{ and } X \subseteq N\}$ . First, note that if  $N/X \in \mathcal{N}'$ , then  $N$  is nice in  $G$ , so that  $N/X$  is nice in  $A$ . Next, that  $\mathcal{N}'$  satisfies (0) is immediate. As for (1), if  $\{H_i/X\}_{i \in I}$  is an ascending chain of subgroups in  $\mathcal{N}'$ , then  $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an ascending chain of subgroups in  $\mathcal{N}$ . It follows that  $\cup_{i \in I} H_i \in \mathcal{N}$ , so that  $\cup_{i \in I} (H_i/X) \in \mathcal{N}'$ , proving that (1) holds for  $\mathcal{N}'$ . Finally, if  $C/X$  is countable for some  $C \leq G$ , then so is  $C$  and hence there is a countable  $M \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $C \subseteq M$ . Thus  $C/X \subseteq M/X \in \mathcal{N}'$ , whence (2) follows as required.  $\square$

**Example 5.4.** The converse of Proposition 5.3 need not hold.

By Example 2.3 of [6], there is a separable non  $\Sigma$ -cyclic group  $G$  with a countable but not nice subgroup  $X$  such that  $A = G/X$  is a dsc-group, hence is almost totally projective, with  $p^\omega A$  uncountable. If this  $G$  were, in fact, almost totally projective, then  $X$  would be contained in a countable closed subgroup  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . If  $\overline{X}$  is the  $p$ -adic closure of  $X$  in  $G$ , then  $p^\omega A = \overline{X}/X \subseteq N/X$  would be countable, contrary to hypothesis.  $\square$

We have the following consequence of the above.

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $G$  be a reduced group which is a nice- $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $A$ . Then  $G$  is almost totally projective iff  $A$  is almost totally projective.*

*Proof:* Sufficiency follows from Proposition 5.2 and necessity follows from Proposition 5.3.  $\square$

Observe that similar results were proved by Dieudonné [9] for  $\Sigma$ -cyclic groups and by Balof-Keef [1] for almost  $\Sigma$ -cyclic groups.

We conclude with the following result.

**Theorem 5.6.** *Suppose  $G$  and  $A$  are reduced groups and  $f: G \rightarrow A$  is an  $\omega_1$ -bijective homomorphism. If  $G$  is almost totally projective, then  $A$  is almost totally projective.*

*Proof:* Let  $I$  be the image of  $f$  and  $X$  be the kernel of  $f$ . Note that  $G$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -elongation of  $I$  by  $X$ , so by Proposition 5.3,  $I$  is almost totally projective. Since  $A/I$  is also countable, by Proposition 5.1, we can conclude that  $A$  is almost totally projective, as required.  $\square$

The above discussion leads naturally to the following.

**Question 4.** If  $f: G \rightarrow A$  is an  $\omega_1$ -bijective homomorphism and  $A$  is totally projective, what we can say about  $G$ ?

### References

- [1] B. BALOF AND P. KEEF, Invariants on primary abelian groups and a problem of Nunke, *Note Mat.* (to appear).
- [2] P. V. DANCHEV, Nice bases for primary abelian groups, *Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat.* **53(1)** (2007), 39–50.
- [3] P. V. DANCHEV, On countable extensions of primary abelian groups, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* **43(1)** (2007), 61–66.
- [4] P. V. DANCHEV, Generalized Dieudonné and Hill criteria, *Port. Math.* **65(1)** (2008), 121–142.
- [5] P. V. DANCHEV, On extensions of primary almost totally projective abelian groups, *Math. Bohem.* **133(2)** (2008), 149–155.
- [6] P. V. DANCHEV AND P. W. KEEF, Generalized Wallace theorems, *Math. Scand.* **104(1)** (2009), 33–50.
- [7] P. V. DANCHEV AND P. W. KEEF, Nice bases and thickness in primary abelian groups, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* (to appear).
- [8] P. V. DANCHEV AND P. W. KEEF,  $n$ -Summable valuated  $p^n$ -socles and primary abelian groups, *Comm. Algebra* (to appear).
- [9] J. DIEUDONNÉ, Sur les  $p$ -groupes abéliens infinis, *Port. Math.* **11** (1952), 1–5.
- [10] P. C. EKLOF AND A. H. MEKLER, “*Almost free modules. Set-theoretic methods*”, Revised edition, North-Holland Mathematical Library **65**, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 2002.
- [11] L. FUCHS, “*Infinite abelian groups*”, Vol. I, Pure and Applied Mathematics **36**, Academic Press, New York-London, 1970; “*Infinite abelian groups*”, Vol. II, Pure and Applied Mathematics **36-II**, Academic Press, New York-London, 1973.
- [12] L. FUCHS, Vector spaces with valuations, *J. Algebra* **35** (1975), 23–38.
- [13] L. FUCHS, On  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective abelian  $p$ -groups, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **23(3–4)** (1976), 309–313.
- [14] P. A. GRIFFITH, “*Infinite abelian group theory*”, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London, 1970.
- [15] P. HILL AND W. ULLERY, Isotype separable subgroups of totally projective groups, in: “*Abelian groups and modules*” (Padova, 1994), Math. Appl. **343**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995, pp. 291–300.

- [16] J. IRWIN AND P. KEEF, Primary abelian groups and direct sums of cyclics, *J. Algebra* **159**(2) (1993), 387–399.
- [17] P. KEEF, On iterated torsion products of abelian  $p$ -groups, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **21**(3) (1991), 1035–1055.
- [18] C. MEGIBBEN, Crawley’s problem on the unique  $\omega$ -elongation of  $p$ -groups is undecidable, *Pacific J. Math.* **107**(1) (1983), 205–212.
- [19] C. MEGIBBEN,  $\omega_1$ -separable  $p$ -groups, in: “*Abelian group theory*” (Oberwolfach, 1985), Gordon and Breach, New York, 1987, pp. 117–136.
- [20] A. H. MEKLER AND S. SHELAH,  $\omega$ -elongations and Crawley’s problem, *Pacific J. Math.* **121**(1) (1986), 121–132.
- [21] R. J. NUNKE, Purity and subfunctors of the identity, in: “*Topics in Abelian Groups*” (Proc. Sympos., New Mexico State Univ., 1962), Scott, Foresman and Co., Chicago, Ill., 1963, pp. 121–171.
- [22] F. RICHMAN AND E. A. WALKER, Valuated groups, *J. Algebra* **56**(1) (1979), 145–167.

Peter V. Danchev:  
Department of Mathematics  
Plovdiv University “Paisii Hilendarski”  
Plovdiv 4000  
Bulgaria  
*E-mail address:* pvdanchev@yahoo.com

Patrick W. Keef:  
Department of Mathematics  
Whitman College  
Walla Walla, WA 99362  
USA  
*E-mail address:* keef@whitman.edu

Primera versió rebuda el 24 de març de 2009,  
darrera versió rebuda el 21 de setembre de 2009.