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Abstract
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be open and suppose that $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a bilipschitz mapping such that $D f \in B V_{\operatorname{loc}}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}\right)$. We show that under these assumptions the inverse satisfies $D f^{-1} \in B V_{\operatorname{loc}}\left(f(\Omega), \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}\right)$.

## 1. Introduction

Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is an open set and let $f: \Omega \rightarrow f(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a homeomorphism. In this paper we address the issue of the regularity of $f^{-1}$ under regularity assumptions on $f$. The starting point for us is the following very recent result from [4] (see Preliminaries for the definition of the space $B V$ ).

Theorem 1.1. Let $\Omega, \Omega^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be open and suppose that $f: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^{\prime}$ is a homeomorphism. Then $f \in B V_{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ if and only if $f^{-1} \in B V_{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega^{\prime} ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Moreover, both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are differentiable almost everywhere.

In the same paper we also studied the conditions that guarantee that $f^{-1} \in B V_{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in higher dimensions. With some additional assumptions (namely that $f$ is a mapping of finite distortion) it is moreover possible to prove that $f^{-1} \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1,1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (see $[\mathbf{2}]$, $[\mathbf{3}]$ and [5]).

In this paper we want to address the issue of regularity of the second derivative of $f^{-1}$. The classical inverse function theorem states that if $f$ is $C^{2}$ and $J_{f}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ then there is a small neighborhood of $x_{0}$ where $f$ is homeomorphism and $f^{-1}$ is $C^{2}$. We will assume that $f$ is a bilipschitz mapping and show that $D f^{-1} \in B V_{\text {loc }}$ provided that $D f \in$ $B V_{\text {loc }}$. This resembles the result from [6] that the inverse of bilipschitz Delta-convex mapping is again Delta-convex.
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Theorem 1.2. Let $\Omega, \Omega^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be open and suppose that $f: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^{\prime}$ is a bilipschitz mapping such that $D f \in B V_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}\right)$. Then $D f^{-1} \in$ $B V_{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega^{\prime} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}\right)$.

It is moreover possible to show that $D f^{-1}$ belongs to the Sobolev space $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p}$ if $D f \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p}$.
Theorem 1.3. Let $\Omega, \Omega^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be open, $p \geq 1$ and suppose that $f: \Omega \rightarrow$ $\Omega^{\prime}$ is a bilipschitz mapping such that $D f \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}\right)$. Then $D f^{-1} \in$ $W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p}\left(\Omega^{\prime} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}\right)$.

Let us make a comment on our assumptions. Let $\alpha>1$ and consider the function $f:(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $f(x)=|x|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sgn} x$. Then it is easy to check that $f$ is Lipschitz, homeomorphism, $D f \in W^{1,1}((-1,1))$, but $D f^{-1} \notin B V_{\text {loc }}((-1,1))$. Thus the assumption that $f^{-1}$ is Lipschitz cannot be omitted.

In Section 4 we give an example which shows that Theorem 1.2 is not valid in dimension $n \geq 4$ without the assumption that $f$ is Lipschitz. If $n=1$, then $D f \in B V$ implies that $D f$ is bounded and that $f$ is Lipschitz, and thus this assumption is redundant. We would like to know if a homeomorphism $f: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^{\prime}$ such that $f^{-1}$ is Lipschitz and $D f \in B V_{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}\right)$ must satisfy $D f^{-1} \in B V_{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega^{\prime} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}\right)$ in dimensions $n=2$ and $n=3$. Unfortunately our method of the proof and our counterexample do not provide an answer to this question.

## 2. Preliminaries

By $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}$ we denote the canonical basis in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ we write $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ for its coordinates, i.e. $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}$. The euclidean distance of $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is denoted by $|x-y|$ and the norm of the $n$ times $n$ matrix $A$ is denoted by $\|A\|$.

In the whole paper $\Omega$ will denote an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We say that $F: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a Lipschitz map if there is a constant $K>0$ such that

$$
|F(x)-F(y)| \leq K|x-y|
$$

for every $x, y \in \Omega$. Further $F$ is said to be bilipschitz if it is an invertible mapping and both $F: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $F^{-1}: F(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are Lipschitz.

The Lebesgue measure of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{n}(A)$. A mapping $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to satisfy the Lusin condition $(N)$ if $\mathcal{L}_{n}(f(A))=0$ for every $A \subset \Omega$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{n}(A)=0$.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be open and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. A function $h \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ is of bounded variation, $h \in B V(\Omega)$, if the distributional partial derivatives of $h$ are
measures with finite total variation in $\Omega$ : there are Radon (signed) measures $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}$ defined in $\Omega$ so that for $i=1, \ldots, n,\left|\mu_{i}\right|(\Omega)<\infty$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega} h D_{i} \varphi d x=-\int_{\Omega} \varphi d \mu_{i}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. We say that $f \in L^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ belongs to $B V\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ if the coordinate functions of $f$ belong to $B V(\Omega)$. Analogously we define the Sobolev space: $f \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ if $f \in L^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and the distributional derivatives of the coordinate functions are in $L^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Further, $f \in B V_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ (or $f \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1,1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ ) requires that $f \in B V\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ (or $f \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ ) for each open $\Omega^{\prime} \Subset \Omega$. For an introduction to the theory of $B V$ and $W^{1,1}$ spaces see $[\mathbf{1}],[\mathbf{7}]$. The function $h: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is said to be a representative of $g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ if $h=g$ almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.

For function $f:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ we define

$$
\begin{array}{r}
V(f,(a, b)):=\sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|f\left(a_{i}\right)-f\left(b_{i}\right)\right|:\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right. \text { are pairwise disjoint } \\
\text { intervals in }(a, b)\} .
\end{array}
$$

The function $f$ is said to have finite variation if $V(f,(a, b))<\infty$.
It is a well-known fact (see e.g. [1, Section 3.11]) that a mapping $u \in$ $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ is in $B V_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ (or in $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1,1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ ) if and only if there is a representative which has bounded variation (or is an absolutely continuous function) on almost all lines parallel to coordinate axes and the variation on these lines is integrable. More precisely, let $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and denote by $\pi_{i}$ the projection on the hyperplane perpendicular to the $x_{i}$-axis. Suppose that $Q(c, r):=\left(c_{1}-r, c_{1}+r\right) \times \cdots \times\left(c_{n}-r, c_{n}+r\right) \subset \Omega$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, r>0$ and set $Q^{i}(c, r)=\pi_{i}(Q(c, r))$. Let $y \in Q^{i}(c, r)$ and denote

$$
u_{i, y}(t)=u\left(y+t \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) \text { for } t \in\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+r\right)
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be open and let $u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
(i) Then $u \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ if and only if the following happens. For every cube $Q(c, r) \Subset \Omega$ and for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ there is a representative $\tilde{u}$ of $u$ such that the function $\tilde{u}_{i, y}(t)$ is absolutely continuous on $\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+r\right)$ (i.e. each coordinate function is absolutely
continuous) for $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ almost every $y \in Q^{i}(c, r)$ and moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q^{i}(c, r)} \int_{c_{i}-r}^{c_{i}+r}\left|\nabla \tilde{u}_{i, y}(t)\right| d t d y<\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Then $u \in B V_{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ if and only if the following happens. For every cube $Q(c, r) \Subset \Omega$ and for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ there is a representative $\tilde{u}$ of $u$ such that the function $\tilde{u}_{i, y}(t)$ has bounded variation on $\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+r\right)$ for $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ almost every $y \in Q^{i}(c, r)$ and moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q^{i}(c, r)} V\left(\tilde{u}_{i, y},\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+r\right)\right) d y<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall also need that the composition of $B V$ function and a homeomorphism with Lipschitz inverse is in $B V$ (see [1, Theorem 3.16 and Corollary 3.19]).
Theorem 2.2. Let $\Omega, \Omega^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be open and let $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$. Suppose that $F: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^{\prime}$ is Lipschitz and homeomorphism.
(i) If $u \in B V_{\text {loc }}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, then $u \circ F^{-1} \in B V\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
(ii) If $u \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and $F^{-1}$ is Lipschitz, then $u \circ F^{-1} \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1,1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and
$D u \circ F^{-1}(y)=D u\left(F^{-1}(y)\right) D F^{-1}(y)$ for almost every $y \in \Omega^{\prime}$.

## 3. Regularity of the inverse

Proof of Theorem 1.2: We want to show that $D f^{-1}$ has bounded variation on almost all lines parallel to coordinate axes and therefore $D f^{-1} \in$ $B V_{\text {loc }}$ (see Theorem 2.1 (ii)). Fix $Q(c, r) \Subset f(\Omega)$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

From Theorem 2.2 we know that $D f \circ f^{-1} \in B V_{\text {loc }}$. Denote by $h$ a good representative of $D f \circ f^{-1}$ from Theorem 2.1 (ii) and set $h_{i, y}(t):=$ $h\left(y+t \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)$ for $y \in Q^{i}(c, r)$. From (2.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q^{i}(c, r)} V\left(h_{i, y},\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+r\right)\right) d y<\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A=\left\{x \in Q(c, r): h(x)=D f \circ f^{-1}(x), f^{-1} \text { is differentiable at } x\right. \\
\text { and } \left.f \text { is differentiable at } f^{-1}(x)\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Lipschitz functions are differentiable almost everywhere and map Lebesgue null sets to Lebesgue null sets and therefore $\mathcal{L}_{n}(A)=\mathcal{L}_{n}(Q(c, r))$. From the definition of $A$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D f^{-1}(x) D f\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)=I \text { for every } x \in A \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $y \in Q^{i}(c, r)$ and let $\left\{\left(a_{j}, b_{j}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{k}$ be a system of pairwise disjoint subintervals of $\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+r\right)$ such that $A_{j}:=y+a_{j} \mathbf{e}_{i} \in A$ and $B_{j}:=$ $y+b_{j} \mathbf{e}_{i} \in A$ for every $j$. Plainly $\left\|D f^{-1}(x)\right\| \leq K$ where $K$ denotes the Lipschitz constant of $f^{-1}$. Together with (3.2) this imply

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} & \left\|D f^{-1}\left(A_{j}\right)-D f^{-1}\left(B_{j}\right)\right\| \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\|D f^{-1}\left(A_{j}\right)\left(D f\left(f^{-1}\left(B_{j}\right)\right)-D f\left(f^{-1}\left(A_{j}\right)\right)\right) D f^{-1}\left(B_{j}\right)\right\|  \tag{3.3}\\
& \leq K^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\|D f\left(f^{-1}\left(B_{j}\right)\right)-D f\left(f^{-1}\left(A_{j}\right)\right)\right\| \\
& \leq C V\left(h_{i, y},\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+r\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.1) and $\mathcal{L}_{n}(A)=\mathcal{L}_{n}(Q(c, r))$ we know that $V\left(h_{i, y},\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+\right.\right.$ $r))<\infty$ and $\mathcal{L}_{1}\left(\pi_{i}^{-1}(y) \cap A\right)=2 r$ for $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ almost every $y$. Fix such a $y \in Q^{i}(c, r)$. From (3.3) and elementary properties of functions of bounded variation we obtain that there is a function $\tilde{u}_{i, y}:\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+r\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}$ such that $D f^{-1}\left(y+t \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)=\tilde{u}_{i, y}(t)$ for every $t \in\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+r\right) \cap A$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\tilde{u}_{i, y},\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+r\right)\right) \leq C V\left(h_{i, y},\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+r\right)\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that there is a function $\tilde{u}$ such that $\tilde{u}(x)=D f^{-1}(x)$ almost everywhere and this new representative has bounded variation on $Q(c, r) \cap \pi_{i}^{-1}(y)$ for $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ almost every $y \in Q^{i}(c, r)$. Now (3.4) and (3.1) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q^{i}(c, r)} V\left(\tilde{u}_{i, y},\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+r\right)\right) d y<\infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which verifies (2.2) for $D f^{-1}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.3: First let us prove the theorem in the case $p=1$. The proof of this case is analogous to the previous proof and therefore we only sketch it and point out the differences. From Theorem 2.2 (ii) we know that $D f \circ f^{-1} \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1,1}$. Fix $y \in Q^{i}(c, r)$ such that $h_{i, y}$ is absolutely continuous on $\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+r\right)$. Given $\varepsilon>0$ find $\delta>0$ from the absolute continuity of $h_{i, y}$. Choose $A_{j}$ and $B_{j}$ as before and moreover assume
that $\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|A_{j}-B_{j}\right|<\delta$. Analogously to (3.3) we obtain

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\|D f^{-1}\left(A_{j}\right)-D f^{-1}\left(B_{j}\right)\right\|<C \varepsilon
$$

Reasoning analogously to the previous proof we conclude that $D f^{-1}$ has a representative which is absolutely continuous on almost all lines parallel to coordinate axes. On those lines we have

$$
V\left(\tilde{u}_{i, y},\left(c_{i}-r, c_{i}+r\right)\right)=\int_{c_{i}-r}^{c_{i}+r}\left|\nabla \tilde{u}_{i, y}(t)\right| d t
$$

From Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 2.1 (ii) we already know (2.2) and thus we obtain (2.1).

Now let us return to the case $p>1$. We already know that $D f^{-1} \in$ $W_{\text {loc }}^{1,1}$. Therefore we can use Theorem 2.2 (ii) and differentiate twice the identity $f \circ f^{-1}(y)=y$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{2} f\left(f^{-1}(y)\right) D f^{-1}(y) D f^{-1}(y)+D f\left(f^{-1}(y)\right) D^{2} f^{-1}(y)=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and in the sequel we identify the second derivative with an linear operator from $\mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}$. Clearly

$$
\left\|\left(D f\left(f^{-1}(y)\right)\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq C,\left\|D f^{-1}(y)\right\| \leq C \text { and }\left|J_{f^{-1}}(y)\right| \geq C
$$

at almost every point since $f$ is bilipschitz. From (3.6) and substitution formula we now obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{A}\left\|D^{2} f^{-1}(y)\right\|^{p} d y & \leq C \int_{A}\left\|D^{2} f\left(f^{-1}(y)\right)\right\|^{p}\left|J_{f^{-1}}(y)\right| d y \\
& =C \int_{f^{-1}(A)}\left\|D^{2} f(x)\right\|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for every open set $A \Subset f(\Omega)$ and the claim follows.

## 4. Necessity of the Lipschitz condition for $\boldsymbol{f}$ for $\boldsymbol{n} \geq \mathbf{4}$

Example 4.1. Let $n \geq 4$. There is a homeomorphism $f:(-1,1)^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $D f \in W^{1,1}\left((-1,1)^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}\right)$ and $f^{-1}$ is Lipschitz, but $D f^{-1} \notin$ $B V_{\text {loc }}\left(f\left((-1,1)^{n}\right), \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}\right)$.

Proof: Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ we denote $\tilde{x}=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $\|\tilde{x}\|=$ $\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n-1}^{2}}$.

Let $\alpha=\frac{1}{2 n}, \beta=\frac{3}{4}$ and set

$$
f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{e}_{i} x_{i}\|\tilde{x}\|^{\alpha-1}+\mathbf{e}_{n}\left(x_{n}+\|\tilde{x}\| \sin \left(\|\tilde{x}\|^{-\beta}\right)\right)
$$

if $\|\tilde{x}\|>0$ and $f(x)=\mathbf{e}_{n} x_{n}$ if $\|\tilde{x}\|=0$. Our mapping $f$ is clearly continuous and it is easy to check that $f$ is a one-to-one map since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}\|\tilde{x}\|^{\alpha-1}=z_{i}\|\tilde{z}\|^{\alpha-1} \text { for every } i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\} \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \Rightarrow x_{i}=z_{i} \text { for every } i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $f$ is a homeomorphism.
A direct computation shows that the second partial derivatives of $f_{i}$, $i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, are smaller than $C\|\tilde{x}\|^{\alpha-2}$ and therefore integrable.
Moreover,

$$
\frac{\partial f_{n}(x)}{\partial x_{1}}=x_{1}\|\tilde{x}\|^{-1} \sin \left(\|\tilde{x}\|^{-\beta}\right)-\|\tilde{x}\| \beta \frac{x_{1}}{\|\tilde{x}\|^{\beta+2}} \cos \left(\|\tilde{x}\|^{-\beta}\right)
$$

It is not difficult to compute that we can bound each partial derivative of this expression by $C\|\tilde{x}\|^{1-2(\beta+1)}$. Clearly $2(\beta+1)-1<n-1$ and therefore these second partial derivatives are integrable. Analogously we can estimate other second partial derivatives of $f_{n}$. Since the second derivatives of $f$ are smooth outside the segment $\{[0, \ldots, 0, t]: t \in(-1,1)\}$ and $\left|D^{2} f\right| \in L^{1}\left((-1,1)^{n}\right)$ it is easy to see that $D f \in W^{1,1}\left((-1,1)^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}\right)$.

The inverse of $f$ is given by

$$
f^{-1}(y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{e}_{i} y_{i}\|\tilde{y}\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}+\mathbf{e}_{n}\left(y_{n}-\|\tilde{y}\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sin \left(\|\tilde{y}\|^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\right)\right)
$$

if $\|\tilde{y}\|>0$ and $f^{-1}(y)=\mathbf{e}_{n} y_{n}$ if $\|\tilde{y}\|=0$. The derivative of the function $\phi(t)=t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sin \left(t^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\right)$ is bounded on $(-1,1)$ and therefore $\phi$ is Lipschitz. Thus it is not difficult to see that $f^{-1}$ is Lipschitz.

The second derivative of $f^{-1}$ is clearly continuous outside the segment $\{[0, \ldots, 0, t]: t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Elementary computation gives us

$$
\frac{\partial\left(f^{-1}\right)_{n}(y)}{\partial y_{1}}=-\frac{y_{1}}{\alpha}\|\tilde{y}\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \sin \left(\|\tilde{y}\|^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\right)+\|\tilde{y}\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \frac{y_{1}}{\|\tilde{y}\|^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}+2}} \cos \left(\|\tilde{y}\|^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\right)
$$

and therefore the second derivative $\frac{\partial^{2}\left(f^{-1}\right)_{n}(y)}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}$ contains some integrable terms and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\|\tilde{y}\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} \frac{y_{1}^{2}}{\|\tilde{y}\|^{2 \frac{\beta}{\alpha}+4}} \sin \left(\|\tilde{y}\|^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
2\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}+1\right)-\frac{1}{\alpha}>n-1
$$

we obtain that the integral of the absolute value of (4.1) over the set

$$
S=\left\{y \in f\left((-1,1)^{n}\right): y_{1}>\frac{1}{2}\|\tilde{y}\|, \sin \left(\|\tilde{y}\|^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\right)>\frac{1}{2}\right\}
$$

is infinite. Hence $\left|D^{2} f^{-1}\right| \notin L_{\text {loc }}^{1}$ and it is not difficult to deduce that $D f^{-1} \notin B V_{\text {loc }}$.
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