

DYNAMICS OF SYMMETRIC HOLOMORPHIC MAPS ON PROJECTIVE SPACES

KOHEI UENO

Abstract

We consider complex dynamics of a *critically finite* holomorphic map from \mathbf{P}^k to \mathbf{P}^k , which has symmetries associated with the symmetric group S_{k+2} acting on \mathbf{P}^k , for each $k \geq 1$. The Fatou set of each map of this family consists of attractive basins of superattracting points. Each map of this family satisfies Axiom A.

1. Introduction

For a finite group G acting on \mathbf{P}^k as projective transformations, we say that a rational map f on \mathbf{P}^k is G -equivariant if f commutes with each element of G . That is, $f \circ r = r \circ f$ for any $r \in G$, where \circ denotes the composition of maps. Doyle and McMullen [4] introduced the notion of equivariant functions on \mathbf{P}^1 to solve quintic equations. See also [11] for equivariant functions on \mathbf{P}^1 . Crass [2] extended Doyle and McMullen's algorithm to higher dimensions to solve sextic equations. Crass [3] found a good family of finite groups and equivariant maps for which one may say something about global dynamics. Crass [3] conjectured that the Fatou set of each map of this family consists of attractive basins of superattracting points. Although I do not know whether this family has relation to solving equations or not, our results will give affirmative answers for the conjectures in [3].

In Section 2 we shall explain an action of the symmetric group S_{k+2} on \mathbf{P}^k and properties of our S_{k+2} -equivariant map. In Sections 3 and 4 we shall show our results about the Fatou sets and hyperbolicity of our maps by using properties of our maps and Kobayashi metrics.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 37F45; Secondary: 37C80.

Key words. Complex dynamics, symmetry, equivariant map, hyperbolicity, Axiom A.

2. S_{k+2} -equivariant maps

Crass [3] selected the symmetric group S_{k+2} as a finite group acting on \mathbf{P}^k and found an S_{k+2} -equivariant map which is holomorphic and *critically finite* for each $k \geq 1$. We denote by $C = C(f)$ the critical set of f and say that f is *critically finite* if each irreducible component of $C(f)$ is periodic or preperiodic. More precisely, S_{k+2} -equivariant map g_{k+3} defined in Section 2.2 preserves each irreducible component of $C(g_{k+3})$, which is a projective hyperplane. The complement of $C(g_{k+3})$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic. Furthermore restrictions of g_{k+3} to invariant projective subspaces have the same properties as above. See Section 2.3 for details.

2.1. S_{k+2} acts on \mathbf{P}^k .

An action of the $(k+2)$ -th symmetric group S_{k+2} on \mathbf{P}^k is induced by the permutation action of S_{k+2} on \mathbf{C}^{k+2} for each $k \geq 1$. The transposition (i, j) in S_{k+2} corresponds with the transposition “ $u_i \leftrightarrow u_j$ ” on \mathbf{C}_u^{k+2} , which pointwise fixes the hyperplane $\{u_i = u_j\} = \{u \in \mathbf{C}_u^{k+2} \mid u_i = u_j\}$. Here $\mathbf{C}^{k+2} = \mathbf{C}_u^{k+2} = \{u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k+2}) \mid u_i \in \mathbf{C} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k+2\}$.

The action of S_{k+2} preserves a hyperplane H in \mathbf{C}_u^{k+2} , which is identified with \mathbf{C}_x^{k+1} by projection $A: \mathbf{C}_u^{k+2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_x^{k+1}$,

$$H = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k+2} u_i = 0 \right\} \stackrel{A}{\simeq} \mathbf{C}_x^{k+1} \text{ and } A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $\mathbf{C}^{k+1} = \mathbf{C}_x^{k+1} = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}) \mid x_i \in \mathbf{C} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k+1\}$.

Thus the permutation action of S_{k+2} on \mathbf{C}_u^{k+2} induces an action of “ S_{k+2} ” on \mathbf{C}_x^{k+1} . Here “ S_{k+2} ” is generated by the permutation action S_{k+1} on \mathbf{C}_x^{k+1} and a $(k+1, k+1)$ -matrix T which corresponds to the transposition $(1, k+2)$ in S_{k+2} ,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the hyperplane corresponding to $\{u_i = u_j\}$ is $\{x_i = x_j\}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k+1$. The hyperplane corresponding to $\{u_i = u_{k+2}\}$ is $\{x_i = 0\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k+1$. Each element in “ S_{k+2} ” which corresponds to some transposition in S_{k+2} pointwise fixes one of these hyperplanes in \mathbf{C}_x^{k+1} .

The action of “ S_{k+2} ” on \mathbf{C}^{k+1} projects naturally to the action of “ S_{k+2} ” on \mathbf{P}^k . These hyperplanes on \mathbf{C}^{k+1} projects naturally to projective hyperplanes on \mathbf{P}^k . Here $\mathbf{P}^k = \{x = [x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_{k+1}] \mid (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}) \in \mathbf{C}^{k+1} \setminus \{0\}\}$. Each element in the action of “ S_{k+2} ” on \mathbf{P}^k which corresponds to some transposition in S_{k+2} pointwise fixes one of these projective hyperplanes. We denote “ S_{k+2} ” also by S_{k+2} and call these projective hyperplanes transposition hyperplanes.

2.2. Existence of our maps.

One way to get S_{k+2} -equivariant maps on \mathbf{P}^k which are *critically finite* is to make S_{k+2} -equivariant maps whose critical sets coincide with the union of the transposition hyperplanes.

Theorem 1 ([3]). *For each $k \geq 1$, g_{k+3} defined below is the unique S_{k+2} -equivariant holomorphic map of degree $k+3$ which is doubly critical on each transposition hyperplane.*

$$g = g_{k+3} = [g_{k+3,1} : g_{k+3,2} : \dots : g_{k+3,k+1}] : \mathbf{P}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^k,$$

$$\text{where } g_{k+3,i}(x) = x_i^3 \sum_{s=0}^k (-1)^s \frac{s+1}{s+3} x_i^s A_{k-s}, \quad A_0 = 1,$$

and A_{k-s} is the elementary symmetric function

of degree $k - s$ in \mathbf{C}^{k+1} .

Then the critical set of g coincides with the union of the transposition hyperplanes. Since g is S_{k+2} -equivariant and each transposition hyperplane is pointwise fixed by some element in S_{k+2} , g preserves each transposition hyperplane. In particular g is *critically finite*. Although Crass [3] used this explicit formula to prove Theorem 1, we shall only use properties of the S_{k+2} -equivariant maps described below.

2.3. Properties of our maps.

Let us look at properties of the S_{k+2} -equivariant map g on \mathbf{P}^k for a fixed k , which is proved in [3] and shall be used to prove our results. Let L^{k-1} denote one of the transposition hyperplanes, which is isomorphic to \mathbf{P}^{k-1} . Let L^m denote one of the intersections of $(k - m)$ or more distinct transposition hyperplanes which is isomorphic to \mathbf{P}^m for $m = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$.

First, let us look at properties of g itself. The critical set of g consists of the union of the transposition hyperplanes. By S_{k+2} -equivariance,

g preserves each transposition hyperplane. Furthermore the complement of the critical set of g is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

Next, let us look at properties of g restricted to L^m for $m = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$. Let us fix any m . Since g preserves each L^m , we can also consider the dynamics of g restricted to any L^m . Each restricted map has the same properties as above. Let us fix any L^m and denote by $g|_{L^m}$ the restricted map of g to the L^m . The critical set of $g|_{L^m}$ consists of the union of intersections of the L^m and another L^{k-1} which does not include the L^m . We denote it by L^{m-1} , which is an irreducible component of the critical set of $g|_{L^m}$. By S_{k+2} -equivariance, $g|_{L^m}$ preserves each irreducible component of the critical set of $g|_{L^m}$. Furthermore the complement of the critical set of $g|_{L^m}$ in L^m is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

Finally, let us look at a property of superattracting fixed points of g . The set of superattracting points, where the derivative of g vanishes for all directions, coincides with the set of L^0 's.

Remark 1. For every $k \geq 1$ and every m , $1 \leq m \leq k$, a restricted map of g_{k+3} to any L^m is not conjugate to g_{m+3} .

2.4. Examples for $k = 1$ and 2 .

Let us see transposition hyperplanes of the S_3 -equivariant function g_4 and the S_4 -equivariant map g_5 to make clear what L^m is. In [3] one can find explicit formulas and figures of dynamics of S_{k+2} -equivariant maps in low-dimensions .

2.4.1. S_3 -equivariant function g_4 in \mathbf{P}^1 .

$$g_3([x_1 : x_2]) = [x_1^3(-x_1 + 2x_2) : x_2^3(2x_1 - x_2)] : \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1,$$

$$C(g_3) = \{x_1 = 0\} \cup \{x_2 = 0\} \cup \{x_1 = x_2\} = \{0, 1, \infty\} \text{ in } \mathbf{P}^1.$$

In this case “transposition hyperplanes” are points in \mathbf{P}^1 and L^0 denotes one of three superattracting fixed points of g_3 .

2.4.2. S_4 -equivariant map g_5 in \mathbf{P}^2 .

$$C(g_5) = \{x_1 = 0\} \cup \{x_2 = 0\} \cup \{x_3 = 0\} \cup \{x_1 = x_2\} \\ \cup \{x_2 = x_3\} \cup \{x_3 = x_1\} \text{ in } \mathbf{P}^2.$$

In this case L^1 denotes one of six transposition hyperplanes in \mathbf{P}^2 , which is an irreducible component of $C(g_5)$. For example, let us fix a transposition hyperplane $\{x_1 = 0\}$. Since g_5 preserves each transposition hyperplane, we can also consider the dynamics of g_5 restricted to $\{x_1 = 0\}$.

We denote by $g_5|_{\{x_1=0\}}$ the restricted map of g_5 to $\{x_1 = 0\}$. The critical set of $g_5|_{\{x_1=0\}}$ in $\{x_1 = 0\} \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$ is

$$C(g_5|_{\{x_1=0\}}) = \{[0 : 1 : 0], [0 : 0 : 1], [0 : 1 : 1]\}.$$

When we use L^0 after we fix $\{x_1 = 0\}$, L^0 denotes one of intersections of $\{x_1 = 0\}$ and another transposition hyperplane, which is a superattracting fixed point of $g_5|_{\{x_1=0\}}$ in \mathbf{P}^1 . The set of superattracting fixed points of g_5 in \mathbf{P}^2 is

$$\{[1 : 0 : 0], [0 : 1 : 0], [0 : 0 : 1], [1 : 1 : 1], [1 : 1 : 0], [1 : 0 : 1], [0 : 1 : 1]\}.$$

In general L^0 denotes one of intersections of two or more transposition hyperplanes, which is a superattracting fixed point of g_5 in \mathbf{P}^2 .

3. The Fatou sets of the S_{k+2} -equivariant maps

3.1. Definitions and preliminaries.

Let us recall theorems about *critically finite* holomorphic maps. Let f be a holomorphic map from \mathbf{P}^k to \mathbf{P}^k . The Fatou set of f is defined to be the maximal open subset where the iterates $\{f^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a normal family. The Julia set of f is defined to be the complement of the Fatou set of f . Each connected component of the Fatou set is called a Fatou component. Let U be a Fatou component of f . A holomorphic map h is said to be a limit map on U if there is a subsequence $\{f^{n_s}|_U\}_{s \geq 0}$ which locally converges to h on U . We say that a point q is a Fatou limit point if there is a limit map h on a Fatou component U such that $q \in h(U)$. The set of all Fatou limit points is called the Fatou limit set. We define the ω -limit set $E(f)$ of the critical points by

$$E(f) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{n=j}^{\infty} f^n(C)}.$$

Theorem 2 ([10, Proposition 5.1]). *If f is a critically finite holomorphic map from \mathbf{P}^k to \mathbf{P}^k , then the Fatou limit set is contained in the ω -limit set $E(f)$.*

Let us recall the notion of Kobayashi metrics. Let M be a complex manifold and $K_M(x, v)$ the Kobayashi quasimetric on M ,

$$\inf \left\{ |a| \mid \varphi: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow M: \text{holomorphic, } \varphi(0)=x, D\varphi \left(a \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)_0 \right) = v, a \in \mathbf{C} \right\}$$

for $x \in M, v \in T_x M, z \in \mathbf{D}$, where \mathbf{D} is the unit disk in \mathbf{C} . We say that M is Kobayashi hyperbolic if K_M becomes a metric. Theorem 5 is a corollary of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 for $k = 1$ and 2.

Theorem 3 (a basic result whose former statement can be found in [8, Corollary 14.5]). *If f is a critically finite holomorphic function from \mathbf{P}^1 to \mathbf{P}^1 , then the only Fatou components of f are attractive components of superattracting points. Moreover if the Fatou set is not empty, then the Fatou set has full measure in \mathbf{P}^1 .*

Theorem 4 ([5, theorem 7.7]). *If f is a critically finite holomorphic map from \mathbf{P}^2 to \mathbf{P}^2 and the complement of $C(f)$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic, then the only Fatou components of f are attractive components of superattracting points.*

3.2. Our first result.

Let us fix any k and $g = g_{k+3}$. For every m , $2 \leq m \leq k$, we can apply an argument in [5] to a restricted map of g to any L^m because every L^{m-1} is smooth and because every $L^m \setminus C(g|_{L^m})$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic. We shall use this argument in Lemma 1, which is used to prove Proposition 1.

Proposition 1. *For any Fatou component U which is disjoint from $C(g)$, there exists an integer n such that $g^n(U)$ intersects with $C(g)$.*

Proof: We suppose that $g^n(U)$ is disjoint from $C(g)$ for any n and derive a contradiction by using Lemma 1 and Remark 3 below. Take any point $x_0 \in U$. Since $E(g)$ coincides with $C(g)$, $g^n(x_0)$ accumulates to $C(g)$ as n tends to ∞ from Theorem 2. Since $C(g)$ is the union of the transposition hyperplanes, there exists a smallest integer m_1 such that $g^n(x_0)$ accumulates to some L^{m_1} . Let h_1 be a limit map on U such that $h_1(x_0)$ belongs to the L^{m_1} . From Lemma 1 below, the intersection of $h_1(U)$ and the L^{m_1} is an open set in the L^{m_1} and is contained in the Fatou set of $g|_{L^{m_1}}$.

We next consider the dynamics of $g|_{L^{m_1}}$. If there exists an integer n_2 such that $g^{n_2}(h_1(U) \cap L^{m_1})$ intersects with $C(g|_{L^{m_1}})$, then $g^{n_2}(h_1(U) \cap L^{m_1})$ intersects with some L^{m_1-1} . In this case we can consider the dynamics of $g|_{L^{m_1-1}}$. On the other hand, if there does not exist such n_2 , then there exists an integer m_2 and a limit map h_2 on $h_1(U) \cap L^{m_1}$ such that the intersection of $h_2(h_1(U) \cap L^{m_1})$ and some L^{m_2} is an open set in the L^{m_2} from Remark 3 below. Thus it is contained in the Fatou set of $g|_{L^{m_2}}$. Here m_2 is smaller than m_1 . In this case we can consider the dynamics of $g|_{L^{m_2}}$.

We continue the same argument above. These reductions finally come to some L^1 and we use Theorem 3. One can find a similar reduction argument in the proof of Theorem 5. Consequently $g^n(x_0)$ accumulates to some superattracting point L^0 . So there exists an integer s such

that g^s sends U to the attractive Fatou component which contains the superattracting point L^0 . Thus $g^s(U)$ intersects with $C(g)$, which is a contradiction. □

Remark 2. Even if a Fatou component U intersects with some L^m and is disjoint from any L^{m-1} , then the similar thing as above holds for the dynamics in the L^m . In this case $U \cap L^m$ is contained in the Fatou set of $g|_{L^m}$ and there exists an integer n such that $g^n(U \cap L^m)$ intersects with $C(g|_{L^m})$.

Lemma 1. *For any Fatou component U which is disjoint from $C(g)$ and any point $x_0 \in U$, let h be a limit map on U such that $h(x_0)$ belongs to some L^m and does not belong to any L^{m-1} . If $g^n(U)$ is disjoint from $C(g)$ for every $n \geq 1$, then the intersection of $h(U)$ and the L^m is an open set in the L^m .*

Proof: Let B be the complement of $C(g)$. Since B is Kobayashi hyperbolic and B includes $g^{-1}(B)$, $g^{-1}(B)$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic, too. So we can use Kobayashi metrics K_B and $K_{g^{-1}(B)}$. Since B includes $g^{-1}(B)$,

$$K_B(x, v) \leq K_{g^{-1}(B)}(x, v) \text{ for all } x \in g^{-1}(B), v \in T_x \mathbf{P}^k.$$

In addition, since g is an unbranched covering from $g^{-1}(B)$ to B ,

$$K_{g^{-1}(B)}(x, v) = K_B(g(x), Dg(v)) \text{ for all } x \in g^{-1}(B), v \in T_x \mathbf{P}^k.$$

From these two inequalities we have the following inequality

$$K_B(x, v) \leq K_B(g(x), Dg(v)) \text{ for all } x \in g^{-1}(B), v \in T_x \mathbf{P}^k.$$

Since the same argument holds for any g^n from $g^{-n}(B)$ to B ,

$$K_B(x, v) \leq K_B(g^n(x), Dg^n(v)) \text{ for all } x \in g^{-n}(B), v \in T_x \mathbf{P}^k.$$

Since g^n is an unbranched covering from U to $g^n(U)$ and B includes $g^n(U)$ for every n , a sequence $\{K_B(g^n(x), Dg^n(v))\}_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded for all $x \in U$, $v \in T_x \mathbf{P}^k$. Hence we have the following inequality for any unit vectors v_n in $T_{x_0}U$ with respect to the Fubini-Study metric in \mathbf{P}^k ,

$$(1) \quad 0 < \inf_{|v|=1} K_B(x_0, v) \leq K_B(x_0, v_n) \leq K_B(g^n(x_0), Dg^n(x_0)v_n) < \infty.$$

That is, the sequence $\{K_B(g^n(x_0), Dg^n(x_0)v_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded away from 0 and ∞ uniformly.

We shall choose v_n so that $Dg^n(x_0)v_n$ keeps parallel to the L^m and claim that $Dh(x_0)v \neq \mathbf{0}$ for any accumulation vector v of v_n . Let $h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^n$ for simplicity. Let V be a neighborhood of $h(x_0)$ and ψ a local coordinate on V so that $\psi(h(x_0)) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\psi(L^m \cap V) \subset \{y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \mid y_1 = \dots = y_{k-m} = 0\}$. In this chart there exists a

constant $r > 0$ such that a polydisk $P(\mathbf{0}, 2r)$ does not intersect with any images of transposition hyperplanes which do not include the L^m . Since $\psi(g^n(x_0))$ converges to $\mathbf{0}$ as n tends to ∞ , we may assume that $\psi(g^n(x_0))$ belongs to $P(\mathbf{0}, r)$ for large n . Let $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be unit vectors in $T_{x_0} \mathbf{P}^k$ and $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ vectors in $T_{\psi(g^n(x_0))} \mathbf{C}^k$ so that w_n keep parallel to $\psi(L^m)$ with a same direction and

$$Dg^n(x_0)v_n = |Dg^n(x_0)v_n| D\psi^{-1}(w_n).$$

So we may assume that the length of w_n is almost unit for large n . We define holomorphic maps φ_n from \mathbf{D} to $P(\mathbf{0}, 2r)$ as

$$\varphi_n(z) = \psi(g^n(x_0)) + rz w_n \text{ for } z \in \mathbf{D}$$

and consider holomorphic maps $\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi_n$ from \mathbf{D} to B for large n . Then

$$(\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi_n)(0) = g^n(x_0),$$

$$D(\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi_n) \left(\frac{|Dg^n(x_0)v_n|}{r} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)_0 \right) = Dg^n(x_0)v_n.$$

Suppose $Dh(x_0)v = \mathbf{0}$, then $Dg^n(x_0)v$ converges to $\mathbf{0}$ as n tends to ∞ and so does $Dg^n(x_0)v_n$. By the definition of Kobayashi metric we have that

$$K_B(g^n(x_0), Dg^n(x_0)v_n) \leq \frac{|Dg^n(x_0)v_n|}{r} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since this contradicts (1), we have $Dh(x_0)v \neq \mathbf{0}$. This holds for all directions which are parallel to $\psi(L^m)$. Consequently the intersection of $h(U)$ and the L^m is an open set in L^m . □

Remark 3. The similar thing as above holds for the dynamics of any restricted map. Thus even if a Fatou component $g^n(U)$ intersects with $C(g)$ for some n , the same result as above holds. Because one can consider the dynamics in the L^m when $g^n(U)$ intersects with some L^m .

Theorem 5. *For each $k \geq 1$, the Fatou set of the S_{k+2} -equivariant map g consists of attractive basins of superattracting fixed points which are intersections of k or more distinct transposition hyperplanes.*

Proof: This theorem follows from Proposition 1 and Remark 2 immediately. Let us describe details. Take any Fatou component U . From Proposition 1 there exists an integer n_k such that $g^{n_k}(U)$ intersects with $C(g)$. Since $C(g)$ is the union of the transposition hyperplanes, $g^{n_k}(U)$ intersects with some L^{k-1} . By doing the same thing as above for the dynamics of g restricted to the L^{k-1} , there exists an integer n_{k-1} such that $g^{n_k+n_{k-1}}(U)$ intersects with some L^{k-2} from Remark 2. We

again do the same thing as above for the dynamics of g restricted to the L^{k-2} .

These reductions finally come to some L^1 . That is, there exists integers n_{k-2}, \dots, n_2 such that $g^{n_k+n_{k-1}+\dots+n_2}(U)$ intersects with some L^1 . From Theorem 3 there exists an integer n_1 such that $g^{n_1}(g^{n_k+n_{k-1}+\dots+n_2}(U))$ contains some L^0 . Hence $g^{n_k+n_{k-1}+\dots+n_1}$ sends U to the attractive Fatou component which contains the superattracting fixed point L^0 in \mathbf{P}^k . \square

4. Axiom A and the S_{k+2} -equivariant maps

4.1. Definitions and preliminaries.

Let us define hyperbolicity of non-invertible maps and the notion of Axiom A. See [6] for details. Let f be a holomorphic map from \mathbf{P}^k to \mathbf{P}^k and K a compact subset such that $f(K) = K$. Let \widehat{K} be the set of histories in K and \widehat{f} the induced homeomorphism on \widehat{K} . We say that f is hyperbolic on K if there exists a continuous decomposition $T_{\widehat{K}} = E^u + E^s$ of the tangent bundle such that $D\widehat{f}(E_{\widehat{x}}^{u/s}) \subset E_{\widehat{f}(\widehat{x})}^{u/s}$ and if there exists constants $c > 0$ and $\lambda > 1$ such that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |D\widehat{f}^n(v)| &\geq c\lambda^n|v| && \text{for all } v \in E^u \quad \text{and} \\ |D\widehat{f}^n(v)| &\leq c^{-1}\lambda^{-n}|v| && \text{for all } v \in E^s. \end{aligned}$$

Here $|\cdot|$ denotes the Fubini-Study metric on \mathbf{P}^k . If a decomposition and inequalities above hold for f and K , then it also holds for \widehat{f} and \widehat{K} . In particular we say that f is expanding on K if f is hyperbolic on K with unstable dimension k . Let Ω be the non-wandering set of f , i.e., the set of points for any neighborhood U of which there exists an integer n such that $f^n(U)$ intersects with U . By definition, Ω is compact and $f(\Omega) = \Omega$. We say that f satisfies Axiom A if f is hyperbolic on Ω and periodic points are dense in Ω .

Let us introduce a theorem which deals with repelling part of dynamics. Let f be a holomorphic map from \mathbf{P}^k to \mathbf{P}^k . We define the k -th Julia set J_k of f to be the support of the measure with maximal entropy, in which repelling periodic points are dense. It is a fundamental fact that in dimension 1 the 1st Julia set J_1 coincides with the Julia set J . Let K be a compact subset such that $f(K) = K$. We say that K is a repeller if f is expanding on K .

Theorem 6 ([7]). *Let f be a holomorphic map on \mathbf{P}^k of degree at least 2 such that the ω -limit set $E(f)$ is pluripolar. Then any repeller for f intersects J_k . In particular,*

$$J_k = \overline{\{\text{repelling periodic points of } f\}}.$$

If f is critically finite, then $E(f)$ is pluripolar. We need the following corollary to prove our second result.

Corollary 1 ([7]). *Let f be the same as above. Suppose that J_k is a repeller. Then any repeller for f is a subset of J_k .*

4.2. Our second result.

Theorem 7. *For each $k \geq 1$, the S_{k+2} -equivariant map g satisfies Axiom A.*

Proof: We only need to consider the S_{k+2} -equivariant map g for a fixed k , because argument for any k is similar as the following one. Let us show the statement above for a fixed k by induction. A restricted map of g to any L^1 satisfies Axiom A by using the theorem of *critically finite* functions (see [8, Theorem 19.1]). We only need to show that a restricted map of g to a fixed L^2 satisfies Axiom A. Then a restricted map of g to any L^2 satisfies Axiom A by symmetry. Argument for a restricted map of g to any L^m , $3 \leq m \leq k$, is similar as for a restricted map of g to the L^2 . Let us denote $g|_{L^2}$, $\Omega(g|_{L^2})$, and L^2 by g , Ω , and \mathbf{P}^2 for simplicity.

We want to show that $g|_{L^2}$ is hyperbolic on $\Omega(g|_{L^2})$ by using Kobayashi metrics. If g is hyperbolic on Ω , then Ω has a decomposition to S_i ,

$$\Omega = S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_2,$$

where $i = 0, 1, 2$ indicate the unstable dimensions. Since $C(g)$ attracts all nearby points, S_0 includes all the L^0 's and S_1 includes all the Julia sets of $g|_{L^1}$. We denote by $J(g|_{L^1})$ the Julia set of $g|_{L^1}$. Then g is contracting in all directions at L^0 and is contracting in the normal direction and expanding in an L^1 -direction on $J(g|_{L^1})$. Let us consider a compact, completely invariant subset in $\mathbf{P}^2 \setminus C$,

$$S = \{x \in \mathbf{P}^2 \mid \text{dist}(g^n(x), C) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

By definition, we have $J_2 \subset S_2 \subset S$. If g is expanding on S , then it follows that $S_0 = \cup L^0$, $S_1 = \cup J(g|_{L^1})$. Moreover $J_2 = S_2 = S$ holds from Corollary 1 (see Remark 4 below). Since periodic points are dense in $J(g|_{L^1})$ and J_2 , expansion of g on S implies Axiom A of g .

Let us show that g is expanding on S . Because f is attracting on C and preserves C , there exists a neighborhood V of C such that V is relatively compact in $g^{-1}(V)$ and the complement of V is connected. We assume one of L^1 's to be the line at infinity of \mathbf{P}^2 . By letting B be $\mathbf{P}^2 \setminus V$ and U one of connected components of $g^{-1}(\mathbf{P}^2 \setminus V)$, we have the following inclusion relations,

$$U \subset g^{-1}(B) \Subset B \subset \mathbf{C}^2 = \mathbf{P}^2 \setminus L^1.$$

Because B and U are in a local chart, there exists a constant $\rho < 1$ such that

$$K_B(x, v) \leq \rho K_U(x, v) \text{ for all } x \in U, v \in T_x \mathbf{C}^2.$$

In addition, since the map g from U to B is an unbranched covering,

$$K_U(x, v) = K_B(g(x), Dg(v)) \text{ for all } x \in U, v \in T_x \mathbf{C}^2.$$

From these two inequalities we have the following inequality

$$K_B(x, v) \leq \rho K_B(g(x), Dg(v)) \text{ for all } x \in g^{-1}(B), v \in T_x \mathbf{C}^2.$$

Since g preserves S , which is contained in $g^{-n}(B)$ for every $n \geq 1$,

$$K_B(x, v) \leq \rho^n K_B(g^n(x), Dg^n(v)) \text{ for all } x \in S, v \in T_x \mathbf{C}^2.$$

Consequently we have the following inequality for $\lambda = \rho^{-1} > 1$,

$$K_B(g^n(x), Dg^n(v)) \geq \lambda^n K_B(x, v) \text{ for all } x \in S, v \in T_x \mathbf{C}^2.$$

Since $K_B(x, v)$ is upper semicontinuous and $|v|$ is continuous, $K_B(x, v)$ and $|v|$ may be different only by a constant factor. There exists $c > 0$ such that

$$|Dg^n(x)v| \geq c\lambda^n |v| \text{ for all } x \in S, v \in T_x \mathbf{C}^2.$$

Thus g is expanding on S and satisfies Axiom A. □

Remark 4. Unlike the case when $k = 1$, it does not seem obvious that S being a repeller implies $J_k = S$ when $k \geq 2$.

Remark 5. From [1, Theorem 4.11] and [9], it follows that the Fatou set of the S_{k+2} -equivariant map g has full measure in \mathbf{P}^k for each $k \geq 1$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Professor S. Ushiki and Doctor K. Maegawa for their useful advice. Particularly in order to obtain our second result, Maegawa's suggestion to use Theorem 6 and Corollary 1 was helpful.

References

- [1] R. BOWEN, “*Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*”, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **470**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [2] S. CRASS, Solving the sextic by iteration: a study in complex geometry and dynamics, *Experiment. Math.* **8(3)** (1999), 209–240.
- [3] S. CRASS, A family of critically finite maps with symmetry, *Publ. Mat.* **49(1)** (2005), 127–157.
- [4] P. DOYLE AND C. MCMULLEN, Solving the quintic by iteration, *Acta Math.* **163(3–4)** (1989), 151–180.
- [5] J. E. FORNÆSS AND N. SIBONY, Complex dynamics in higher dimension. I. Complex analytic methods in dynamical systems (Rio de Janeiro, 1992), *Astérisque* **222(5)** (1994), 201–231.
- [6] M. JONSSON, Hyperbolic dynamics of endomorphisms, preprint.
- [7] K. MAEGAWA, Hyperbolic sets and critical orbits of holomorphic maps on \mathbf{P}^k , in preparation.
- [8] J. MILNOR, “*Dynamics in one complex variable*”, Introductory lectures, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1999.
- [9] M. QIAN AND S. Z. ZHANG, Ergodic theory for Axiom A endomorphisms, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **15(1)** (1995), 161–174.
- [10] T. UEDA, Critical orbits of holomorphic maps on projective spaces, *J. Geom. Anal.* **8(2)** (1998), 319–334.
- [11] S. USHIKI, Julia sets with polyhedral symmetries, in: “*Dynamical systems and related topics*” (Nagoya, 1990), Adv. Ser. Dynam. Systems **9**, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991, pp. 515–538.

Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies
 Kyoto University
 Yoshida-Nihonmatsu-cho, Sakyo-ku
 Kyoto 606-8501
 Japan
E-mail address: ueno@math.h.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Primera versió rebuda el 19 de juliol de 2006,
 darrera versió rebuda el 8 de gener de 2007.