

SOME HAUSDORFF MEANS WHICH EXHIBIT THE GIBBS' PHENOMENON

ARTHUR E. LIVINGSTON

1. Introduction. The regular Hausdorff mean of order n with kernel $g(x)$ for the sequence (s_k) is defined by

$$h_n = h_{n, g} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} s_k \int_0^1 t^k (1-t)^{n-k} dg(t),$$

where $g(x)$ is of bounded variation on the interval $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $g(1) - g(0) = 1$, and $g(0+) = g(0)$. The integral in the definition being a Stieltjes integral, it is clear that $g(0)$ may be taken to be zero.

For the sequence

$$s_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\sin kx}{k},$$

Otto Szaász [3] has proved the following result: If, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $x_n \rightarrow 0+$ and $nx_n \rightarrow A \leq \infty$, then

$$h_{n, g}(x_n) \rightarrow \int_0^1 \text{Si}(Ax) dg(x),$$

where

$$\text{Si}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\sin t}{t} dt.$$

He defines the Gibbs' ratio for the kernel $g(x)$ to be

$$F(g) = \max_{A > 0} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \text{Si}(Ax) dg(x).$$

Received March 14, 1952. The work in this paper was done during the period when the author held Atomic Energy Commission Predoctoral Fellowship OR-21478.

Pacific J. Math. 3 (1953), 407-415

If $F(g) > 1$, then the sequence $\{h_{n,g}(x)\}$ exhibits the Gibbs' phenomenon on the right at $x = 0$.

It is here proved that (1) if $\alpha(x)$ is a regular Hausdorff step-function kernel whose points of jump are linearly independent over the rationals, then $F(\alpha) > 1$; (2) if $\alpha(x)$ is regular and has precisely two jumps, then $F(\alpha) > 1$. It seems reasonable that the first result is true without the hypothesis of linear independence, but the author has been unable to show this.

The Euler method of summability (ϵ, p) , $0 < p \leq 1$, is a regular Hausdorff method having for its kernel the one-step function $\epsilon_p(x)$ which vanishes for $0 \leq x < p$, and has the value one for $p \leq x \leq 1$; the method (ϵ, p) is ordinarily denoted by $(E, (1-p)/p)$. Clearly,

$$F(\epsilon_p) = \frac{2}{\pi} \text{Si}(\pi) > 1 \quad (0 < p \leq 1),$$

so that the one-step case of (1) above follows trivially (this was shown by Szász [2, 3]).

2. Notation. It is convenient to collect here some notations which will be used throughout this paper.

(a) $\alpha(x)$ is a step-function defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) &= a_1 = 0 && \text{for } 0 \leq x < \beta_1, \\ &= a_k && \text{for } \beta_{k-1} \leq x < \beta_k \text{ and } k = 2, \dots, N, \\ &= a_{N+1} = 1 && \text{for } \beta_N \leq x \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_k \neq a_{k+1}$ for $k = 1, \dots, N$;

$$(b) \text{Si}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\sin t}{t} dt;$$

$$(c) \text{si}(x) = \text{Si}(x) - \frac{1}{2} \pi = \int_\infty^x \frac{\sin t}{t} dt;$$

$$(d) f(x) = f_\alpha(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \text{Si}(xy) d\alpha(y) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^N A_k \text{Si}(x \beta_k),$$

where $A_k = a_{k+1} - a_k$;

$$(e) F(\alpha) = \max_{x > 0} f_\alpha(x).$$

It is clear that it is no restriction to assume that all regular step-function kernels are of the form (a).

3. The zeros of $\text{si}(x)$. It is well known that $\text{si}[(2n + 1)\pi] > 0$ and $\text{si}(2n\pi) < 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$, and that $\text{si}(x)$ has precisely one zero, call it z_n , in each interval $n\pi < x < (n + 1)\pi$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$). It is intuitively clear and easy to prove rigorously that

$$z_n - \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi > 0.$$

It will be shown in this section that even more is true, namely, that

$$z_n - \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi \downarrow 0.$$

The tables [4] for the sine integral show that

$$1.9264 < z_0 < 1.9265 \quad \text{and} \quad 4.893 < z_1 < 4.894.$$

It therefore follows that the following statement is true:

THEOREM 3.1. *The function $\text{si}(x)$ is positive whenever*

$$-1.2150 < x - (2n + 1)\pi < \frac{1}{2}\pi,$$

and is negative whenever

$$x \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -1.389 < x - 2n\pi < \frac{1}{2}\pi, \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

This result is needed in § 5.

It will now be shown that the zeros modulo π of $\text{si}(x)$ form a strictly decreasing sequence with limit $\pi/2$. The formal statement is:

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $(n + 1/2 + x_n)\pi$ be the zero of $\int_x^\infty u^{-1} \sin u \, du$ in the interval*

$$n\pi < x < (n + 1)\pi \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Then the sequence (x_n) is strictly decreasing with limit zero.

(The first two paragraphs of the following proof are due to Harry Pollard, the fourth to the referee. Both Pollard and the referee point out that the relation

$$\frac{d}{dx} [F'(x)/F(x)] > 0$$

of the fourth paragraph can be deduced from general theorems on completely monotonic functions [5, pp. 144, 145, 167]. I. I. Hirschman, Jr., has observed that the zeros modulo π in the interval $0 < x < \infty$ of $\int_x^\infty g(u) \sin u \, du$ are monotone decreasing for any $g(u)$ which is completely monotonic on $0 < u < \infty$).

Proof. Let

$$F(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xu} (1+u^2)^{-1} \, du \text{ for } x > 0.$$

Then

$$(1) \quad \int_x^a u^{-1} \sin u \, du = [F(u) \cos u - F'(u) \sin u]_x^a$$

for $a > 0$. To prove this, let $L(x)$ and $R(x)$ denote, respectively, the left and right sides of (1). Since $L(a) = R(a)$, it is sufficient to show that $L'(x) = R'(x)$ for $x > 0$. But this is immediate, for

$$L'(x) = -x^{-1} \sin x,$$

$$R'(x) = -\sin x [F(x) + F''(x)] = -\sin x \int_0^\infty e^{-xu} \, du.$$

Now taking the limit in (1) as $a \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$(2) \quad -\int_x^\infty u^{-1} \sin u \, du = F(x) \cos x - F'(x) \sin x,$$

for $F(\infty) = F'(\infty) = 0$.

Since $F(x) > 0$ and $F'(x) < 0$, it follows from (2) that the finite zeros of $\int_x^\infty u^{-1} \sin u \, du$ occur at the points where

$$\frac{F'(x)}{F(x)} = \cot x.$$

Therefore, to complete the proof of the theorem, it is sufficient to show that

$F'(x)/F(x)$ is strictly increasing to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Employing the usual derivative notation, one has

$$-(-x)^{n+1} F^{(n)}(x) = x^{n+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^n e^{-xu}}{1+u^2} du = \int_0^\infty \frac{u^n e^{-u}}{1+(u/x)^2} du,$$

so that

$$-(-x)^{n+1} F^{(n)}(x) \rightarrow n! \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{F'(x)}{F(x)} = x^{-1} \left[\frac{x^2 F'(x)}{x F(x)} \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

All that remains to be shown, then, is that $F'(x)/F(x)$ is strictly increasing, and this will follow if

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{F'(x)}{F(x)} \right] > 0$$

or, equivalently, if

$$[F'(x)]^2 - F(x)F''(x) < 0.$$

Now

$$F(x) - 2F'(x)y + F''(x)y^2 = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-xu}}{1+u^2} (1+yu)^2 du > 0,$$

so that the discriminant of the quadratic expression in y on the left must be negative. Since this discriminant is $[F'(x)]^2 - F(x)F''(x)$, the proof is complete.

4. The main theorem. Two lemmas are needed.

LEMMA 4.1. *If $0 < a_k < 1$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$, and $a_1, \dots, a_n, 1$ are linearly independent over the rationals, then, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exist odd positive integers $x, I_1, \dots, I_m, m \leq n$, and there exist even positive integers I_{m+1}, \dots, I_n , such that $0 < xa_k - I_k < \epsilon$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. If $\text{Red } u$ denotes the fractional part of u , then it is known that the

vectors $(\text{Red } ja_1, \dots, \text{Red } ja_n)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$, are dense in the n -dimensional unit-cube [1, p. 83]. Hence there is a positive integer j such that

$$\frac{1}{2} (1 - a_k) < \text{Red } ja_k < \min \left(\frac{1 - a_k + \epsilon}{2}, 1 \right) \quad (k = 1, \dots, m),$$

$$\frac{1}{2} (2 - a_k) < \text{Red } ja_k < \min \left(\frac{2 - a_k + \epsilon}{2}, 1 \right) \quad (k = m + 1, \dots, n).$$

The conclusion of the lemma is satisfied by taking

$$x = 2j + 1, l_k = 2(ja_k - \text{Red } ja_k) + 1 \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, m,$$

and

$$l_k = 2(ja_k - \text{Red } ja_k + 1) \quad \text{for } k = m + 1, \dots, n.$$

LEMMA 4.2. Let $\alpha(x)$ be defined as in 2(a). If $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N, 1$ are linearly independent over the rationals, then $F(\alpha) > 1$.

Proof. Let P, Q be the sets of positive integers $k \leq N$ for which $A_k > 0$, $A_k < 0$, respectively. Then

$$f(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\sum_{k \in P} + \sum_{k \in Q} \right) A_k \text{Si}(x\beta_k).$$

By hypothesis, $0 < \beta_k < 1$ for $k = 1, \dots, N$. Therefore, Lemma 4.1, with $\epsilon = 1/2$, asserts the existence of a positive x_0 and nonnegative integers n_k such that

$$0 < \pi x_0 \beta_k - (2n_k + 1)\pi < \frac{1}{2} \pi \quad \text{for } k \in P$$

and

$$0 < \pi x_0 \beta_k - 2(n_k + 1)\pi < \frac{1}{2} \pi \quad \text{for } k \in Q.$$

By Theorem 3.1, $\text{si}(\pi x_0 \beta_k) > 0$ for $k \in P$ and $\text{si}(\pi x_0 \beta_k) < 0$ for $k \in Q$. Recalling that $\sum A_k = 1$, one obtains that $f(\pi x_0) > 1$, which is sufficient.

Since

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \text{Si}(Ax) = \frac{1}{2} \pi \text{ sign } x$$

boundedly, it follows that $F(g) \geq 1$ for every regular Hausdorff kernel.

Let now $\alpha(x)$ be a regular N -jump Hausdorff kernel. It will be shown that if $F(\alpha) = 1$, then β_1, \dots, β_N are linearly dependent over the rationals, and this will prove:

THEOREM 4.1. *If $\alpha(x)$ is defined as in 2(a) with β_1, \dots, β_N linearly independent over the rationals, then $F(\alpha) > 1$.*

Proof. Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)$ and $r = (r_1, \dots, r_N)$, r_k rational. Set

$$|\beta| = \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} \beta_k,$$

and let x be a scalar such that $0 < x < |\beta|^{-1}$. Let Λ be the zero N -tuple. The inner product of N -tuples A and B is defined in the usual way and is denoted by $(A|B)$. Let

$$\alpha^x(t) = 1 \text{ for } x\beta_N \leq t \leq 1$$

and $\alpha^x(t) = \alpha(xt)$ otherwise. Then α^x is also a regular N -jump Hausdorff kernel, and $F(\alpha^x) = F(\alpha)$.

Suppose now that $F(\alpha) = 1$. According to Lemma 4.2, there corresponds to each x in the interval $0 < x < |\beta|^{-1}$ an $r_x \neq \Lambda$ and a rational number R_x such that

$$(x\beta|r_x) = R_x.$$

But the available r_x, R_x are countable while the permissible x are uncountable. Hence, there is an uncountable set X of x associated with an $r \neq \Lambda$ and a rational R . If $x, x' \in X$, then

$$(x - x')(\beta|r) = 0.$$

Taking $x \neq x'$ gives $(\beta|r) = 0$; that is, β_1, \dots, β_N are linearly dependent over the rationals.

5. The two-step case. The theorem to be proved is:

THEOREM 5.1. *If $\alpha(x)$ is a regular two-jump Hausdorff kernel, then $F(\alpha) > 1$.*

Proof. If β_1 and β_2 are linearly independent over the rationals, then Theorem 4.1 gives the result.

If $\alpha(x)$ is not an increasing function, then either $A_1 > 1$ and $A_2 < 0$ or $A_1 < 0$ and $A_2 > 1$. Suppose that it is the first. Recalling that $A_1 + A_2 = 1$, one obtains

$$f(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{Si}(x\beta_1) - \frac{2}{\pi} A_2 [\operatorname{Si}(x\beta_1) - \operatorname{Si}(x\beta_2)].$$

Since $A_2 < 0$, and $\operatorname{Si}(\pi)$ is the absolute maximum of $\operatorname{Si}(x)$, it follows that

$$f(\pi/\beta_1) \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{Si}(\pi) > 1.$$

The remaining two-jump kernels are those which are increasing and for which

$$\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} = \frac{p}{q},$$

with p and q integral and $(p, q) = 1$. If p and q are odd, there is no problem, for then $f(\pi q/\beta_1) > 1$. Otherwise, one of p, q is odd and the other even. To treat this situation, the following lemma, whose proof offers no difficulty, is useful:

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $0 < b_1 < b_2 \leq 1$. If I_1 and I_2 are odd positive integers such that*

$$|I_1 b_2 - I_2 b_1| < \frac{\epsilon}{\pi} (b_1 + b_2),$$

then there exists a positive number x such that

$$|xb_k - \pi I_k| < \epsilon \text{ for } k = 1, 2.$$

By Theorem 3.1, the proof of Theorem 5.1 will be complete if a positive x and odd positive integers I_1 and I_2 exist such that

$$|x\beta_k - \pi I_k| < 1.215 \text{ for } k = 1, 2.$$

By the above lemma, then, one wishes to find odd positive integers $I_1 = 2i + 1$ and $I_2 = 2j + 1$ such that

$$|pI_1 - qI_2| = |2pi - 2qj + p - q| < \frac{1.215}{\pi} (p + q).$$

Since p and q have unlike parity, $p + q \geq 3$. It will therefore be sufficient to find nonnegative integers i and j such that $2pi - 2qj + p - q = 1$.

If $p - q = 1$, simply take $i = q$ and $j = p$.

If $p - q \geq 3$, then the Diophantine equation

$$pi - qj = \frac{1}{2} (1 - p + q)$$

makes sense and, furthermore, has positive solutions i and j .

6. Remark. According to Theorem 3.2, the zeros modulo π of $\text{si}(x)$ tend to $\pi/2$. Therefore, the method of proof used in this paper can not be expected to handle all step-function kernels omitted by Theorem 4.1.

REFERENCES

1. J. F. Koksma, *Diophantische Approximationen*, Chelsea, New York.
2. Otto Szász, *On the Gibbs' phenomenon for Euler means*, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged 12 Part B (1950), 107-111.
3. ———, *Gibbs' phenomenon for Hausdorff means*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1950), 440-456.
4. *Tables of Sine, Cosine, and Exponential Integrals*, vol. II, Federal Works Agency, Works Progress Administration for the City of New York, 1940.
5. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton, 1941.

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

