

ON THE TWO-ADIC DENSITY OF REPRESENTATIONS BY QUADRATIC FORMS

IRMA REINER

1. Introduction. The problem of determining $A_q(S, T)$, the number of solutions of $X'SX \equiv T \pmod{q}$, where $S^{(m)}$ and $T^{(n)}$ are symmetric integral matrices, has been considered by C. L. Siegel [2, pp. 539-547]. He obtained explicit formulas for $A_q(S, T)$ when $q=p^a$, where p is a prime not dividing $2|S||T|$. We wish to determine both $A_2(S, T)$ and $A_8(S, T)$ when $|S||T|$ is odd. Siegel has shown that the calculation of $A_8(S, T)$, for $|S||T|$ odd, is sufficient to give results when the modulus is replaced by a higher power of 2. Moreover, his work for composite moduli does not exclude a power of 2 as a factor.

We shall follow the pattern of Siegel's work, modifying it by the use of canonical forms established by B. W. Jones [1, pp. 715-727] and Gordon Pall for symmetric matrices in G_2 , the ring of 2-adic integers. (Clearly, $A_q(S, T)$ depends only on the classes of S and T in G_q , the ring of q -adic integers). We shall calculate $A_2(S, T)$ combinatorially and $A_8(S, T)$ by the use of exponential sums.

2. Recursion formula. For convenience, we state here the following theorem of Jones:

Every quadratic form with matrix in G_2 and with unit determinant, D , is equivalent to one of the following:

$$(a) \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + ax_{r-2}^2 + bx_{r-1}^2 + cx_r^2,$$

where a, b, c take one of the following sets of values:

$$\begin{aligned} &(1, 1, 1) \text{ or } (1, 3, 3) \text{ for } D \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ &(1, 1, 5) \text{ or } (1, 3, 7) \text{ for } D \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ &(1, 1, 3) \text{ or } (3, 3, 3) \text{ for } D \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ &(1, 1, 7) \text{ or } (3, 3, 7) \text{ for } D \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \end{aligned}$$

while if $r=2$, b and c take one of the following sets of values:

$$\begin{aligned} &(1, 1) \text{ or } (3, 3) \text{ for } D \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ &(1, 5) \text{ or } (3, 7) \text{ for } D \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ &(1, 3) \quad \quad \quad \text{for } D \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ &(1, 7) \quad \quad \quad \text{for } D \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) A sum of binary forms of the two types: $f = 2x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2$,

Received October 3, 1955. The author wishes to thank Professor Irving Reiner for helpful suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

$g=2x_1x_2$. Here, we may at will choose one of types f and g and require that all but at most one of the binary forms be of that type.

When (a) applies, we will call the matrix of the form *even*; when (b) applies, we will call the matrix *odd*.

We assume hereafter that $|S||T|$ is odd. Then we remark immediately, as in Siegel's paper, that all representations of T by S modulo 2^a , where $a=1$ or 3 , are primitive. Following the line of Siegel's proof, we now obtain the recursion formula.

Taking $T=T_0^{(r)}+T_1^{(n-r)}$, from the canonical forms above, we let χ designate the first r columns of X , where $X'SX \equiv T \pmod{2^a}$. Then

$$(1) \quad \chi'S\chi \equiv T_0 \pmod{2^a}.$$

As remarked above, any solution α of (1) is primitive, and so can be completed to a unimodular matrix $U_1=(\alpha A)$ in G_2 . We wish to alter U_1 so that

$$(2) \quad U_1'SU_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} T_0 & N' \\ N & S_1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2^a},$$

with N designating an $m-r$ by r null matrix. To do this, we call E the matrix obtained from $U_1'SU_1$ by deleting the first r columns and the last $m-r$ rows. Then, noting that the determinant of T_0 is a 2-adic unit, we multiply U_1 by

$$\begin{pmatrix} I^{(r)} & -T_0^{-1}E \\ N & I^{(m-r)} \end{pmatrix}$$

to achieve the desired form (2).

Now if there exists a C , with its first r columns congruent to $\alpha \pmod{2^a}$, such that $C'SC \equiv T \pmod{2^a}$, we complete C to a unimodular matrix in G_2 , say $U_2=(CA_1)$. Since U_1 and U_2 are both completions of α , consideration of $U_1^{-1}U_2$ shows us that

$$(3) \quad C \equiv U_1 \begin{pmatrix} I^{(r)} & B \\ N & C_1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2^a},$$

where C_1 and the r -rowed B are in G_2 . Using (2) and (3) in $C'SC \equiv T \pmod{2^a}$, we find that B is null and that $C_1'S_1C_1 \equiv T_1 \pmod{2^a}$. Thus, we obtain each different solution $X \pmod{2^a}$ exactly once by first determining all different solutions $\chi \pmod{2^a}$ of (1), then finding a U_1 as above for each such χ , and finally determining for the corresponding S_1 all different solutions of $X'S_1X \equiv T_1 \pmod{2^a}$. Thus

$$A_{2^a}(S, T) = \sum_{\alpha} A_{2^a}(S_1, T_1).$$

3. Combinatorial calculation of $A_2(S, T)$. We use canonical forms,

taken modulo 2, in the following cases:

Case 1. We assume T even and S odd. Here we clearly have no solution.

Case 2. We assume both S and T even.

2.1. For $n=1$, $A_2(S, T)=2^{m-1}$.

Proof. We seek solutions $\{x_i\}$ such that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Since a parity change in one x_i changes the parity of the sum, we see that $A_2(S, T)$ is half of 2^m .

2.2. For $n=2$, $A_2(S, T)=2^{m-1} \cdot 2^{m-2}$, for even m .
 $A_2(S, T)=(2^{m-1}-1) \cdot 2^{m-2}$, for odd m .

Proof. We use Case 2.1 with the recursion formula. We wish to show that for every solution α of (4), except one where m is odd and each component of α is 1, $A_2(S, T) > 0$; that is, S_1 is even. Here we have the additional conditions:

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2},$$

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

But there is an obvious $\{y_i\}$ satisfying (5) and (6) with any solution $\{x_i\}$ of (4) which has a zero element; and clearly there is no such $\{y_i\}$ if all the elements of $\{x_i\}$ are 1. Hence, we have our result.

2.3. For general m and n , ($n > 1$),

$$A_2(S, T) = F(m) \cdot F(m-1) \cdot \dots \cdot F(m-n+2) \cdot 2^{m-n},$$

where $F(m)=2^{m-1}$ for even m and $F(m)=2^{m-1}-1$ for odd m .

Proof. Now S_1 depends only on α and not on n , so that Case 2.2 tells us that S_1 is even except when m is odd and each element of α is 1. Then the above result follows easily from the recursion formula.

Case 3. We assume both S and T odd.

3.1. For $n=2$, $A_2(S, T)=(2^m-1) \cdot 2^{m-1}$.

Proof. We want solutions, $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$, of

$$(7) \quad x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 + \dots + x_{m-1} y_m + x_m y_{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Now $\{x_i\}$ cannot be null if (7) is to hold; also there is an obvious $\{y_i\}$ satisfying (7) for each non-null $\{x_i\}$. Let us fix a non-null $\{x_i\}$ and call any $\{y_i\}$ satisfying (7) with our fixed $\{x_i\}$ a “solution”, otherwise a “non-solution”. Then, since, modulo 2, the sum of two “solutions” is a “non-solution” and the sum of a “solution” with a “non-solution” is a “solution”, we have our result.

3.2. For general m and n ,

$$A_2(S, T) = (2^m - 1) \cdot 2^{m-1} (2^{m-2} - 1) \cdot 2^{m-3} \dots (2^{m-n+2} - 1) \cdot 2^{m-n+1} .$$

Proof. Equivalent matrices in G_2 have the same parity, which is clearly unchanged when the matrices are taken modulo 2. Thus, from (2), since S is odd, so is

$$S_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Hence S_1 is odd, and our result follows.

Case 4 We assume that S is even and T odd.

4.1. For $n=2$, $A_2(S, T) = (2^{m-1} - 1)2^{m-2}$, if m is odd.

$$A_2(S, T) = (2^{m-1} - 2)2^{m-2}, \text{ if } m \text{ is even.}$$

Proof. We want solutions $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$, of

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 \equiv 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^2 \equiv 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i \equiv 1,$$

all taken modulo 2. Let us fix $\{x_i\}$ satisfying the first of these and consider the 2^{m-1} incongruent $\{y_i\}$ which satisfy the second. Of these $\{y_i\}$, we call those satisfying the final congruence with our fixed $\{x_i\}$ “solutions” and those not doing so “non-solutions”. By an argument similar to that used in Case 3.1, we see that exactly half the 2^{m-1} choices of $\{y_i\}$ are “solutions”, except when $\{x_i\}$ is the null vector or, with m even, $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. There is no “solution” $\{y_i\}$ corresponding to either of these exceptional $\{x_i\}$.

4.2. For general m and n ,

$$A_2(S, T) = (2^{m-1} - p)2^{m-2} (2^{m-3} - p)2^{m-4} \dots (2^{m-n+1} - p)2^{m-n},$$

where $p=1$ for odd m and $p=2$ for even m .

Proof. Using (2) again, we observe that S_1 is even. (See Case 3.2). Then the recursion formula implies our result.

4. Determination of $A_8(S, T)$. We will assume throughout the fol-

lowing cases that S and T are in appropriate canonical forms as given in § 2.

Case 1. We assume T is even.

Clearly, $A_8(S, T)=0$ for S odd and T even; so we will also assume S is even.

1.1. Let $n=1$. Here $T=(t)$. For ω a primitive 8th root of unity, we have

$$(8) \quad 8A_8(S, T) = \sum_{h, \alpha \pmod{8}} \omega^{\alpha^2} Y = h(a_1 s_1^2 + \dots + a_m s_m^2 - t),$$

where h and the elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m of the vector a run through a complete residue system modulo 8, and where the diagonal elements of S are the odd s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m . Calling

$$\sum_{\alpha \pmod{8}} \omega^{h\alpha^2} = [hs],$$

we get

$$(9) \quad 8A_8(S, T) = \sum_{h=1}^7 [hs_1][hs_2] \dots [hs_m] \omega^{-ht} + 8^m.$$

We observe that $[hs_i]=4\omega^{hs_i}$, for odd h ; $[hs_i]=0$, for $h \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$; $[hs_i]=4\sqrt{2}\omega$, for $hs_i \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$; and $[hs_i]=4\sqrt{2}\omega^7$, for $hs_i \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$.

Then, let us call $u \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m s_i - t \pmod{8}$, and define $f(u)=1$ for $u \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, $f(u)=-1$ for $u \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, and $f(u)=0$ for $u \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Also define

$$K \equiv (-1)^{(s_1-1)/2} + (-1)^{(s_2-1)/2} + \dots + (-1)^{(s_m-1)/2} - 2t \pmod{8}.$$

Then direct calculation gives from (9),

$$8A_8(S, T) = 8^m + 4^{m+1} f(u) + 2(4\sqrt{2})^m \cos \frac{K\pi}{4}.$$

1.2. Let $n=2$. We will (a) ascertain when S is even and (b) show that two even S_1 's corresponding to different solutions α are equivalent in G_2 . Then the result follows from the recursion formula.

(a) Let $T=t_1+t_2$. Since parity is the same modulo 2 or modulo 8, we see from § 3, Case 2.2, that of all solutions, α , of $\alpha' S \alpha \equiv t_1 \pmod{8}$, those and only those which reduce, modulo 2, to the vector $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ will yield odd S_1 's. For such an α , $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^2 s_i \equiv t_1 \pmod{8}$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^m s_i \equiv t_1 \pmod{8}$. But, equally well, if S and t_1 are such that $\sum_{i=1}^m s_i \equiv t_1 \pmod{8}$,

then $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 s_i \equiv t_1 \pmod{8}$ holds for arbitrary odd a_i . Thus, if $\sum_{i=1}^m s_i \equiv t_1 \pmod{8}$, we get 4^m number of α 's, solutions of $\alpha' S \alpha \equiv t_1 \pmod{8}$, which yield odd S_1 's; otherwise, none.

(b) Now let α be such that S_1 is even. From [1], we see that two even matrices of odd determinant, which are congruent modulo 8, are in the same class in G_2 . Thus, using (2), we obtain:

$$t_1 |S_1| \equiv |S| \pmod{8} \text{ and } \lambda(t_1 + S_1) = \lambda(S) ,$$

where $\lambda(S)$ is the class invariant defined as 1 if $4j$ or $4j + 1$ of the diagonal elements of a diagonalized form of S are congruent to 3 modulo 4 and -1 if $4j + 2$ or $4j + 3$ are congruent to 3 modulo 4. These two conditions determine uniquely, independently of α , the class of S_1 in G_2 .

EXAMPLE. Let S be of type (1, 3, 3) as given in § 2, $m > 3$, and $t_1 = 5$. Then the determinantal relation gives an even S_1 of type (1, 1, 5) or (1, 3, 7). But the λ -condition admits only the second of the two, so any even S_1 is of type (1, 3, 7).

Thus we have

$$8^2 \cdot A_8(S, T) = (8^m + 4^{m+1} f(u_0) + 2(4\sqrt{2})^m \cos(K_0\pi/4) - 8 \cdot 4^m h(u_0)) \times (8^{m-1} + 4^m f(u_1) + 2(4\sqrt{2})^{m-1} \cos(K_1\pi/4)) ,$$

where u_0 and K_0 are arguments obtained from S and t_1 as above; u_1 and K_1 are arguments similarly obtained from S_1 and t_2 ; and $h(u_0)$ is defined as 1 if $u_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ and as 0 otherwise.

1.3. Let $n \geq 2$. Since the process of obtaining an S_1 from a given pair, S and t_1 , is the same for $n=2$ and for $n > 2$, we may use 1.2 above to obtain

$$8^n A_8(S, T) = (8^{m-n+1} + 4^{m-n+2} f(u_{n-1}) + 2(4\sqrt{2})^{m-n+1} \cos(\pi K_{n-1}/4)) \times \prod_{j=m-n+2}^m (8^j + 4^{j+1} f(u_{m-j}) + 2(4\sqrt{2})^j \cos(\pi K_{m-j}/4) - 8 \cdot 4^j h(u_{m-j})) ,$$

where, for each i , u_i and K_i come from S_i and t_{i+1} , as above.

(The process of finding successive S_i and t_i , and hence of successive K_i , $f(u_i)$, and $h(u_i)$, is easy in practice, as evidenced by the example above. Explicit but complicated formulas could be given.)

Case 2. We assume S and T are both odd. We will first take $n=2$.

2.1. We suppose that

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} b & 1 \\ 1 & b \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where $b=0$ or 2 . Then we seek solutions of:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= 2(x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + \dots + x_{m-1}x_m) \equiv b \pmod{8} \\ G(y) &= 2(y_1y_2 + y_3y_4 + \dots + y_{m-1}y_m) \equiv b \pmod{8} \\ H(x, y) &= x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 + x_3y_4 + x_4y_3 + \dots + x_{m-1}y_m + x_my_{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$8^3 A_8(S, T) = \sum_{\substack{h, k, l \\ \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}}} \omega^{(F-b)h + (G-b)k + (H-1)l},$$

where $\omega = e^{\pi i/4}$; and h, k, l , and the components of the vectors \mathfrak{x} and \mathfrak{y} all run through complete residue systems modulo 8. Then, letting

$$(10) \quad R = \sum_{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \pmod{8}} \omega^{EXP}, \quad EXP = 2x_1x_2h + 2y_1y_2k + (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1),$$

we get

$$(11) \quad 8^3 A_8(S, T) = \sum_{h, k, l \pmod{8}} R^{m/2} \omega^{-l - bh - bk}.$$

We note that, for l odd, replacement of h by lh , of k by lk , of x_1 by lx_1 , and of y_1 by ly_1 in EXP , the displayed exponent of (10), shows that $\sum_{h, k} R^{m/2}$ is independent of l . A similar argument works for $l \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

For $l \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, we have

$$R = 2^{4+r(h)} \cdot 2^{4+r(k)},$$

where $r(t) = 0$ if $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $r(t) = 1$ if $t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and $r(t) = 2$ if $t \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

For $l = 4 \pmod{8}$ and h odd, we let $z \equiv x_2h + 2y_2 \pmod{8}$, and replace y_2 by z as a variable in EXP . Then, summing first on x_1 , we get

$$R = 2^{8+r(k)}.$$

For $l \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ and $h = 2h_1$, we let $z \equiv x_2h_1 + y_2 \pmod{8}$ and again replace y_2 by z as a variable in EXP . Summing first on x_1 and z , we readily get

$$\begin{aligned} R &= 2^9, \text{ for } h_1k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ R &= 2^{10}, \text{ for } h_1k \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ or for } h_1k \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ R &= 2^{11}, \text{ for } h_1k \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing first on l in (11), we get by straightforward calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} A_8(S, T) &= 2^{5m-7}(2^m + 2^{m/2} - 2), & \text{for } b=0. \\ A_8(S, T) &= 2^{5m-7}(2^m - 3 \cdot 2^{m/2} + 2), & \text{for } b=2. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. We suppose that

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} b & 1 \\ 1 & b \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \dot{+} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \dot{+} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Then, using the same R as before and letting

$$V = \sum_{x,y,u,v \pmod{8}} \omega^P ,$$

where $P = 2(xy + x^2 + y^2)h + 2(uv + u^2 + v^2)k + (uy + vx + 2ux + 2vy)l$, we get

$$(12) \quad 8^3 A_8(S, T) = \sum_{h,k,l \pmod{8}} R^{(m-2)/2} V \omega^{-l-bh-bk} .$$

To evaluate V , we use repeatedly:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \pmod{8}} \omega^{2au^2+au} &= 0, \text{ if } d \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ or if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ &= -4\omega^{2a} + 4, \text{ if } d \equiv 4 \pmod{8} \\ &= 4\omega^{2a} + 4, \text{ if } d \equiv 0 \pmod{8} . \end{aligned}$$

We obtain:

- (i) For l odd, $V = 64$.
- (ii) V is the same for $l \equiv 2$ and $l \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$.
- (iii) For $l \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, $V = g(h)g(k)$, where we define $g(t) = 64$ for $t \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $g(t) = 16$ for $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, and $g(t) = -32$ for $t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.
- (iv) For $l \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, we have:
 - (a) When h is odd, $V = 16g(k)$.
 - (b) When h or $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $V = 2^{10}$.
 - (c) When $h \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $V = -2^9$, when k is odd, and $V = -2^{11}$, when $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

We sum first on l in (12), using our results for R and considering only $l \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} A_8(S, T) &= 2^4 (2 \cdot 2^{6(m-2)} - 2^{11(m-2)/2} - 2^{5(m-2)}) , & \text{for } b=0 . \\ A_8(S, T) &= 2^4 (2 \cdot 2^{6(m-2)} + 3 \cdot 2^{11(m-2)/2} + 2^{5(m-2)}) , & \text{for } b=2 . \end{aligned}$$

For $n > 2$, when S and T are odd, we will use our results for $n = 2$, along with the recursion formula. The successive canonical forms of T, T_1, \dots are clear; that is, T_1 is obtained from T by removing the initial binary block, etc. T_1 is thus odd and known. From

$$S_i \dot{+} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv U_i S U_i \pmod{8} ,$$

we deduce $-|S_i| \equiv |S| \pmod{8}$ and the oddness of S_i . Thus S_i is easily determined classwise uniquely. The same holds true, of course, for successive S_i .

Case 3. We assume S is even and T is odd. Considering first

$n=2$, we let s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m be the diagonal elements in the canonical form of S , and let T be

$$\begin{pmatrix} b & 1 \\ 1 & b \end{pmatrix},$$

where $b=0$ or 2 . Then we seek solutions of:

$$u = x_1^2 s_1 + x_2^2 s_2 + \dots + x_m^2 s_m \equiv b \pmod{8}$$

$$v = y_1^2 s_1 + y_2^2 s_2 + \dots + y_m^2 s_m \equiv b_m \pmod{8}$$

$$r = x_1 y_1 s_1 + x_2 y_2 s_2 + \dots + x_m y_m s_m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}.$$

Here

$$8^3 A_8(S, T) = \sum_{h, k, l, \xi \pmod{8}} \omega^{h(u-b) + k(v-b) + l(r-1)}.$$

Let $\omega^{s_i} = \omega_i$ and call

$$f_i(h, k, l) = \sum_{x, y \pmod{8}} \omega_i^{hx^2 + lxy + ky^2}.$$

Then

$$(13) \quad 8^3 A_8(S, T) = \sum_{h, k, l \pmod{8}} f_1 f_2 \dots f_n \omega^{-hb - kb - l}.$$

We calculate f_i , considering the value of $l \pmod{8}$, and note that as before we need consider only $l \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We get:

| h | k | $l \pmod{8}$ | f_i |
|------|------|--------------|--|
| odd | odd | 0 | $c = 16\omega_i^{h+k}$ |
| | | 4 | $-c = -16\omega_i^{h+k}$ |
| odd | even | 0 | $d = 16\omega_i^{h+k} + 16\omega_i^h$ |
| | | 4 | $e = -16\omega_i^{h+k} + 16\omega_i^h$ |
| even | even | 0 | $p = 16(\omega_i^{h+k} + \omega_i^h + \omega_i^k + 1)$ |
| | | 4 | $q = 16(-\omega_i^{h+k} + \omega_i^h + \omega_i^k + 1).$ |

Then from (13), we get

$$8^3 A_8(S, T) = 2 \sum_{\substack{h \text{ odd} \\ k \text{ even}}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m d - \prod_{i=1}^m e \right) \omega^{-hb - kb} + (1 - (-1)^m) \left(\sum_{h, k \text{ odd}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m c \right) \omega^{-hb - kb} \right) \\ + \sum_{h, k \text{ even}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m p - \prod_{i=1}^m q \right) \omega^{-hb - kb},$$

where all the sum indices are taken modulo 8. Replacement of k by $k+4$ in the first summand merely changes the sign of the expression, so the first sum is zero. The second sum is easily seen to be $16^{m+1} \cdot \alpha(1 - (-1)^m)$, where $\alpha=1$ if $\sum s_i \equiv b \pmod{4}$ and $\alpha=0$ otherwise.

We consider particular contributions to the third sum, using $\omega_j^{2k} = i^{sj^k}$ and adjusting so that h and k run through a complete residue system modulo 4.

(a) For $h \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and all $k \pmod{4}$, we have contributed $-4\alpha(32)^m$.

(b) For $h \equiv k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we get $-(-32)^m$.

(c) For $h \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $k \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$, we obtain

$$16^m \cdot 2^{m+1} \cdot i^{-b}(2^{m/2} \cos(\pi B/4) - 1), \quad \text{where } B = \sum_{j=1}^m (i)^{sj^{-1}}.$$

(d) For h and k odd, with $h \equiv k \pmod{4}$, we get

$$16^m(-2^{m+1}2^{m/2} \cos(\pi B/4) + 2^{m+1} \cos(\pi B/2)).$$

(e) For h and k odd, with $h \equiv -k \pmod{4}$, we get $2(32)^m$.

(f) For $h \equiv k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, we have $16^m(2^{2m} - 2^m)$.

Thus, here

$$8^3 A_8(S, T) = 16^{m+1} \alpha(1 - (-1)^m) + 32^m(-8\alpha + (-1)^m + 4i^{-b}(2^{m/2} \cos(\pi B/4) - 1)) + 32^m(2 \cos(\pi B/2) - 2^{1+(m/2)} \cos(\pi B/4) + 2 + 2^m - 1).$$

For $n > 2$, where S is even and T odd, we use the recursion formula with the results for $n=2$. The successive diagonal forms of T are clear. From

$$(14) \quad S_1 \dot{+} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv U_1 S U_1 \pmod{8},$$

we see firstly that S_1 is even and secondly, that its determinant is determined modulo 8. Again, using (14) and the remarks of § 4, 1.2 b, we see from the following transformations that the number of 3's, modulo 4, in a diagonal form of S_1 is one less than the number of 3's modulo 4, in a diagonal form of S ; hence, $\lambda(S_1)$ is known:

$$ax^2 + 2yz \rightarrow a(x+y)^2 + 2yz = ax^2 + ay^2 + 2y(ax+z) \rightarrow ax^2 + ay^2 + 2yz \equiv ax^2 + a(y+az)^2 - az^2 \rightarrow ax^2 + ay^2 - az^2,$$

where a is odd, the congruence is taken modulo 8, and \rightarrow indicates 2-adic equivalence. Thus S_1 is classwise unique and easily determined.

REFERENCES

1. B. W. Jones, Duke Math. J. **11** (1944), 715-727.
2. C. L. Siegel, Ann. of Math. **36** (1935), 527-606.