

ITERATIONS OF GENERALIZED EULER FUNCTIONS

G. K. WHITE

1. Introduction. In this paper p and q will denote primes. We recall that a function $f(n)$ of an integral variable $n \geq 1$ is said to be multiplicative, if

$$(1) \quad f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$$

whenever $(m, n) = 1$, and additive, if

$$(2) \quad f(mn) = f(m) + f(n)$$

whenever $(m, n) = 1$. If however $f(n)$ satisfies (2) for all integers $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$ we shall say that $f(n)$ is *completely additive*. Consider a multiplicative integral-valued function $\psi(n) > 0$ and put

$$(3) \quad \psi_0(n) = n, \psi_1(n) = \psi(n), \dots, \psi_r(n) = \psi[\psi_{r-1}(n)], \dots$$

We shall say that $\psi(n)$ is of finite index if, to each $n > 1$, there is an integer $C = C(n)$ such that

$$(4) \quad \psi_r(n) \begin{cases} > 1 & \text{for } r \leq C \\ = 1 & \text{for } r > C, \end{cases}$$

in which case we put $C(1) = 0$.

The familiar Euler function

$$(5) \quad \varphi(n) = \sum_{\substack{m \leq n \\ (m, n) = 1}} 1 = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

is an example of such a function, since $\varphi(n) < n$. For this case ($\psi = \varphi$), properties of the corresponding function $C(n)$ were investigated by Pillai [1], who attributes the problem to Vaidyanathaswami. Later, Shapiro [2, 3, 4] observed that this particular $C(n)$ satisfied the condition

$$(6) \quad C(mn) = C(m) + C(n) + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } m, n \text{ both even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and went on to obtain, inter alia, a certain class (S) of multiplicative functions $\psi(n)$ of finite index satisfying (6). In a restricted sense, (S) consists of functions similar in form to $\varphi(n)$; for example they satisfy

$$\psi(x^n)[\psi(x)]^{n-2} = [\psi(x^2)]^{n-1}$$

for all positive integers x, n .

Received June 15, 1961.

Our first purpose is to impose mild conditions on $\psi(n)$ to ensure that it has a finite index, the characterization of all such functions being an unsolved problem.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\psi(n)$ be any multiplicative integral-valued function satisfying*

$$(7) \quad (i) \quad q/\psi(p^t) \Rightarrow q \leq p \quad \text{for all } p, q \\ \text{and all } t \geq 1,$$

$$(8) \quad (ii) \quad p^t \nmid \psi(p^t) \quad \text{for any } p \text{ or any } t \geq 1.$$

Then $\psi(n)$ is of finite index.

We shall refer to the class of functions $\psi(n)$ admitted by (7) and (8) by the letter (*W*) if, by analogy with the Euler function, they also satisfy¹

$$(9) \quad \psi(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \quad \text{for } n > 2.$$

It is evident that not all members of (*W*) satisfy (6); for example

$$(10) \quad \psi(n) = 2^{\delta(n)}$$

where $\delta(n)$ is the number of different odd prime factors of n , and $C(3) = C(5) = C(15) = 1$. Our main purpose is to isolate the members of (*W*) which do satisfy (6), thereby enlarging the class (*S*) obtained by Shapiro (*loc. cit.* 3). Theorem 2 does, in fact, prescribe necessary and sufficient conditions, but before stating it we need some further notation. Our calculations are a little simpler if we introduce the function $c(n)$, where

$$(11) \quad c(n) = \begin{cases} C(n) + 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ C(n) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

for then, by (6), $c(n)$ is completely additive.² By (7) and the multiplicative property of ψ , we have

$$(12) \quad \psi(n) = \prod_{p \leq n} p^{\lambda(p, n)}$$

for some $\lambda(p, n) \geq 0$ defined for all $n \geq 2$ and all $p \leq n$. Then, (7), (8) and (9) may be expressed alternatively as

$$(13) \quad \lambda(q, p^t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } q > p,$$

$$(14) \quad \lambda(p, p^t) < t,$$

$$(15) \quad \lambda(2, n) > 0 \quad \text{for } n > 2.$$

Assigning arbitrary values to $\psi(p)$, subject only to conditions (7), (8)

¹ We remark that condition (9) may be generalized, if (6) and (11) are reformulated.

² Note that $C(n)$ is additive, but not completely. Note also that $c(1) = C(1) = 0$, while (9) and (11) imply that $c(n) > 0$ for $n > 1$.

and (9), the $\lambda(q, p)$ are then determined uniquely by (12), for all $q < p$ and p . We define inductively a new function $\Gamma(p)$ over the primes, by

$$(16) \quad \Gamma(p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = 2, \\ \sum_{q < p} \lambda(q, p) \Gamma(q) & \text{if } p > 2. \end{cases}$$

For $n \geq 1$ and odd p , we introduce the linear relations

$$(17) \quad \lambda(2, p^n) + \sum_{3 \leq q \leq p} \Gamma(q) \lambda(q, p^n) = n \Gamma(p)$$

which represents, for each $n > 1$, a restriction on the values of $\lambda(2, p^n)$, $\lambda(3, p^n), \dots, \lambda(p, p^n)$. Note that (17) is an identity for $n = 1$, while for $n > 1$ it possesses at least one solution, namely

$$(18) \quad \lambda(q, p^n) = \begin{cases} n \Gamma(p) & \text{if } q = 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } q > 2. \end{cases}$$

For $p = 2$, we set

$$(19) \quad \psi(2^n) = 2^{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

We are now in a position to state our main theorem:

THEOREM 2. *Then let $\psi(n)$ be any multiplicative function satisfying (7), (8) and (9).*

(i) *If $c(n)$ is completely additive, $c(p) = \Gamma(p)$.*

(ii) *$c(n)$ is completely additive if, and only if, $\psi(n)$ satisfies (17) and (19).*

I should like to thank Dr. J. H. H. Chalk for his help in the preparation of this paper, and to thank also Dr. A. H. Stone for valuable comments on an earlier draft.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose $n > 1$. If we express $n = \prod_i p_i^{i}$ then $\psi(n) = \prod_i [\psi(p_i^i)]$, by the multiplicative property. Let p_{n_0} denote the greatest prime factor of n . Then no prime $p > p_{n_0}$ can divide $\psi(n)$ and $p_{n_0}^{i_{n_0}} \nmid \psi(n)$. Hence no prime $p > p_{n_0}$ can divide any $\psi_r(n) [r = 0, 1, \dots]$ and the greatest power of p_{n_0} dividing $\psi_r(n)$, if not zero, exceeds by at least one the greatest power of p_{n_0} dividing $\psi_{r+1}(n)$. Hence there is an integer r_0 such that $p_{n_0} \nmid \psi_{r_0}(n)$. Then either $\psi_{r_0}(n) = 1$ or the greatest prime factor of $\psi_{r_0}(n)$ is $p_{n_1} < p_{n_0}$. If $\psi_{r_0}(n) \neq 1$, we can repeat the process and determine an integer r_1 , such that $p_{n_1} \nmid \psi_{r_1}(n)$. Hence either $\psi_{r_1}(n) = 1$ or the greatest prime factor of $\psi_{r_1}(n)$ is $p_{n_2} < p_{n_1}$. In this way, we obtain a decreasing sequence of primes $p_{n_0} > p_{n_1} > p_{n_2} > \dots$ which clearly terminates at, say p_{n_s} , when $\psi_{r_s}(n) = 1$. Since $\psi(1) = 1$, $C = r_s - 1$ has the desired property.

3. **The main lemma.** We use the following property of the function $c(n)$:

$$(20) \quad c[\psi(n)] = \begin{cases} c(n) - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ c(n) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

which follows immediately from (4), (9) and (11). For any p , let

$$(21) \quad S(p) = \{n : q/n \Rightarrow q < p\}, \quad (n > 0).$$

Then $S(p)$, being the set of all positive integers whose prime factors are $< p$, is closed under multiplication. Moreover, if $c(mn) = c(m) + c(n)$ for all m, n in $S(p)$, then

$$(22) \quad c(1) = 0$$

and

$$(23) \quad c[\prod_{q < p} q^{\nu}] = \sum_{q < p} \nu c(q).$$

The lemma which follows will provide an important step in the induction proof of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that $\psi(n)$ satisfies (17) for all odd p and all $n \geq 1$. Let $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$ denote the odd primes. Suppose also that, for some $k \geq 1$,*

$$(24) \quad c(p) = \Gamma(p) \text{ for all } p \in S(p_k).$$

and

$$(25) \quad c(mn) = c(m) + c(n) \text{ for all } m, n \text{ in } S(p_k)$$

Then

$$(26) \quad \text{(i) } c(p) = \Gamma(p) \text{ for all } p \in S(p_{k+1})$$

$$(27) \quad \text{(ii) } c(p^t n) = c(p^t) + c(n) \text{ if } p = p_k, t \geq 0, n \in S(p)$$

$$(28) \quad \text{(iii) } c(p^t) = tc(p) \text{ if } p = p_k, t \geq 0$$

$$(29) \quad \text{(iv) } c(mn) = c(m) + c(n) \text{ for all } m, n \text{ in } S(p_{k+1})$$

Proof. (i) By (24), it suffices to prove that $c(p_k) = \Gamma(p_k)$. But, with $p = p_k$, we have

$$c(p) = c[\psi(p)] = c[\prod_{q \leq p} q^{\lambda(q,p)}] = \sum_{q < p} \lambda(q,p)c(q)$$

by (20), (12), (14), (23) and noting that $\psi(p) \in S(p)$. By (24), $c(q) = \Gamma(q)$ for all $q < p$ and so $c(p) = \Gamma(p)$, by (16).

(ii) The case $t = 0$ is obvious. Proceeding by induction on t , assume that

$$c(p^s n) = c(p^s) + c(n) \quad \text{for all } s < t \\ \text{and all } n \in S(p).$$

Since $\psi(p^t) = mp^r$ for some $m \in S(p)$ and some $r < t$, by (13) and (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c[\psi(p^t n)] &= c[\psi(p^t)\psi(n)] \\ &= c[mp^r\psi(n)] \\ &= c(p^r) + c[m\psi(n)], && \text{by our induction} \\ & && \text{hypothesis} \\ &= c(p^r) + c(m) + c[\psi(n)], && \text{by (25)} \\ &= c(p^r m) + c[\psi(n)], && \text{(on using the} \\ & && \text{hypothesis again!)} \\ &= c[\psi(p^t)] + c[\psi(n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (20), $c(p^t n) = c(p^t) + c(n)$, and (ii) follows directly.

(iii) The cases $t = 0, 1$ are obvious. By induction on t , we assume that

$$c(p^s) = sc(p) \quad \text{for all } s < t.$$

Then, by (20) and (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} c(p^t) &= c[\psi(p^t)] \\ &= c[p^{\lambda(p, p^t)} \prod_{q < p} q^{\lambda(q, p^t)}] \\ &= c[p^{\lambda(p, p^t)}] + c[\prod_{q < p} q^{\lambda(q, p^t)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda(p, p^t) < t$ by (14), we can apply our inductive hypothesis to the first term. Hence

$$c(p^t) = \lambda(p, p^t)c(p) + \sum_{q < p} \lambda(q, p^t)c(q),$$

on using (25) on the second term. By (i), $c(q) = \Gamma(q)$ for $q \leq p$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} c(p^t) &= \sum_{q \leq p} \lambda(q, p^t)\Gamma(q), \\ &= t\Gamma(p) \\ &= tc(p) \end{aligned}$$

by (17), and (iii) is immediate.

(iv) Let $m = p^\mu m_1$, $n = p^\nu n_1$, where $p = p_k$ and m_1, n_1 are in $S(p)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 c(mn) &= c[p^{\mu+\nu}m_1n_1] = c(p^{\mu+\nu}) + c(m_1n_1), && \text{by (ii)} \\
 &= (\mu + \nu)c(p) + c(m_1) + c(n_1), && \text{by (iii)} \\
 & && \text{and (25)} \\
 &= \{\mu c(p) + c(m_1)\} + \{\nu c(p) + c(n_1)\}, \\
 &= \{c(p^\mu) + c(m_1)\} + \{c(p^\nu) + c(n_1)\}, && \text{by (iii)} \\
 &= c(m) + c(n), && \text{by (ii)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (iv), and so of Lemma 1.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that $\psi(n)$ satisfies (7), (8), (9), (17) and (19); we will deduce that $c(n)$ is completely additive (and incidentally that $c(p) = \Gamma(p)$). Consider the hypotheses of Lemma 1 in the case $k = 1$, when $S(3)$ consists of all powers of 2. Since $\psi(2^t) = 2^{t-1}$ for $t \geq 1$, we have

$$(30) \quad c(2^t) = 1 + C(2^t) = t,$$

whence

$$(31) \quad c(2) = 1 = \Gamma(2),$$

by (16). By definition $c(1) = 0$, so that for any integers $s \geq 0, t \geq 0$, we have

$$(32) \quad c(2^s \cdot 2^t) = c(2^{s+t}) = s + t = c(2^s) + c(2^t).$$

Thus the hypotheses (24) and (25) of Lemma 1 are valid for the particular case $k = 1$ and we conclude that

$$(33) \quad c(p) = \Gamma(p), c(mn) = c(m) + c(n)$$

hold for all p, m, n in $S(5)$; which permits up to repeat the argument. Proceeding by induction on k we deduce, finally, that (33) holds for all primes p and all positive integers m, n .

Conversely, we suppose now that $c(n)$ is completely additive, and $\psi(n)$ satisfies (7), (8) and (9). We prove now that $\psi(n)$ satisfies (17) and (19) and that $c(p) = \Gamma(p)$. By (20) and the completely additive property of $c(n)$ we have

$$(34) \quad c(p) = c[\psi(p)] = \sum_{q < p} \lambda(q, p)c(q) = \Gamma(p),$$

$$(35) \quad c[\psi(p^t)] = c(p^t) = tc(p) = t\Gamma(p),$$

$$(36) \quad c[\psi(p^t)] = \sum_{q \leq p} \lambda(q, p^t)c(q)$$

for all odd p and all $t \geq 1$. By (7) and (8), $\psi(2) = 1$, and so from (11) and (16),

$$c(2) = 1 = \Gamma(2) .$$

We may combine this result with (34) to replace $c(q)$ by $\Gamma(q)$ in (36). Then (35) and (36) together imply (17). By (7), with $p = 2$,

$$\psi(2^t) = 2^u , \text{ for some integer } u \geq 0 .$$

Hence, using $c(2) = 1$ and (20), we have

$$u = c(2^u) = c[\psi(2^t)] = c(2^t) - 1 = t - 1 ,$$

which implies (19). Thus, Theorem 2 is established.

5. Remarks. (1) We remark that our subclass of W (whose $c(n)$ is completely additive) admits functions $\psi(n)$ of the type

$$\psi(p^t) = \begin{cases} 2^{t-1} & \text{if } p = 2 , \\ p^{t-l}[\psi(p)]^l & \text{if } p > 2 , \end{cases}$$

where $t \geq 1$ and $l = l(p^t)$ is any integer between 1 and t . Note, in particular, that the special case $l(p^t) = 1$ includes the Euler function.

(2) In passing, it is worthy of notice that a converse problem, (where given any completely additive $c(n)$ with $c(n) > 0$ for $n > 1$ we seek the set of all multiplicative functions $\psi(n)$ satisfying (7), (8) and (9) and having this $c(n)$ as their counting function), is a direct consequence of Theorem 2. The solution may be expressed in the form

$$\psi(p^t) = \begin{cases} 2^{t-1} & \text{if } p = 2 \\ 2^{tc(p)} \prod_{3 \leq q \leq p} [q2^{-c(q)}]^{\lambda(q, p^t)} & \text{if } p > 2 , \end{cases}$$

provided that $\psi(p^t) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for $p > 2$. Inspection of relations (17) and (18) shows that our set is never empty.

(3) Given any multiplicative $\psi(n)$ satisfying (7), (8) and (9) and having a completely additive $c(n)$, it is evident that the relation $c(p) = \Gamma(p)$ provides an alternative method for evaluating $c(n)$, for each n .

REFERENCES

1. S. S. Pillai, *On a function connected with $\varphi(n)$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **35** (1929), 837-841.
2. H. N. Shapiro, *On an arithmetic function arising from the φ -function*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **50** (1943), 18-30.
3. ———, *On the iterates of a certain class of arithmetic functions*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, **3** (1950), 259-272.
4. ———, *Iterates of arithmetic functions and a property of the sequence of primes*, Pacific J. Math., **3** (1953), 647-655.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO,
CANADA

