

GENERAL GROUP EXTENSIONS

JOHN D. DIXON

Introduction. The aim of this paper is to show how some of the methods useful in studying normal extensions of groups can be used in a problem of more general extensions. The present approach (which might be compared with that of Szep [5]) is made possible because we consider classes of extensions which are still relatively restricted.

If G is an arbitrary subgroup of a group H then the set of all right cosets of G in H forms a mixed group under a naturally defined operation (Loewy [3]). In particular, when G is normal in H then the corresponding mixed group is the ordinary quotient group H/G . This paper is concerned with examining properties of the class of those extensions H of a given group G for which the corresponding mixed group is isomorphic to a given mixed group Γ . As an example of the results, Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 represent analogues of the corresponding theorems of Schreier on factor sets for normal extensions.

The author wishes to record his appreciation to Professor H. Schwerdtfeger for suggesting this problem and encouraging the work.

Mixed groups.

1.1 DEFINITION. A *mixed group* is a set Γ on which a product $\alpha\beta \in \Gamma$ is defined for certain pairs $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that

(i) a nonempty subset Δ of Γ forms a group under the given product and is called the *nucleus* of Γ ;

(ii) for all $\beta \in \Gamma$, $\alpha\beta$ is defined if and only if $\alpha \in \Delta$; furthermore, $\alpha\beta = \beta$ if and only if $\alpha = 1$, the identity of Δ ;

(iii) if $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ then $\alpha(\beta\gamma) = (\alpha\beta)\gamma$. (See Loewy [3] and Bruck [2; page 35]. The general properties of mixed groups are derived in Baer [1].)

In particular, if H is a group with a subgroup G then the set of all right cosets of G in H forms a mixed group when the product of two elements is defined by $(Gx)(Gy) = Gxy$ whenever $x \in N(G; H)$, the normaliser of G in H . In this case we denote the mixed group by H/G and note that its nucleus is the quotient group $N(G; H)/G$ (See Baer [1]).

1.2 DEFINITION. Two mixed groups Γ and Γ' with nuclei Δ and Δ' respectively are *isomorphic* under a mapping τ if τ is a one-to-one

mapping of Γ onto Γ' such that $(\alpha\tau)(\beta\tau)$ is defined in Γ' if and only if $\alpha\beta$ is defined in Γ and that in that case $(\alpha\beta)\tau = (\alpha\tau)(\beta\tau)$.

Because of part (ii) of Definition 1.1, and isomorphism τ of Γ onto Γ' induces on the nucleus \mathcal{A} of Γ a (group) isomorphism onto the nucleus \mathcal{A}' of Γ' .

As an example, suppose that H is a group with a subgroup G and let ϕ be a homomorphism of H onto a group H^* . If G^* is the image of G under ϕ and $\ker \phi \subseteq G$ then it is easy to show that the mixed group H/G is isomorphic to H^*/G^* . The notation $H/G \cong H^*/G^*$ will be used to imply the existence of a homomorphism of H onto H^* with this property.

1.3 DEFINITION. If H is a group with subgroup G and H/G is isomorphic to a mixed group Γ then H/G is a *representation* of Γ and H is an *extension* of G by Γ .

Baer [1; Theorem 3] proves that, except in the case that the mixed group Γ is of order 2 and has unit nucleus, every mixed group Γ has a representation H/G for some groups H and G . (The exceptional case arises because no subgroup G of index 2 in a group H can be its own normaliser.)

1.4. Contrary to the case of normal extensions, not every group G has an extension H by a given mixed group Γ . From the example of 1.2, when H^*/G^* is chosen to be minimal under the quasi-ordering defined there, G^* contains no nontrivial normal subgroup of H^* . In such a case we call H^*/G^* a *cardinal representation* of Γ . (If $|\Gamma| = n$ is finite, and H^*/G^* is a cardinal representation of Γ , then H^* is isomorphic to a permutation group of degree n and G^* corresponds to a subgroup fixing one letter.) Thus a necessary condition that G should have an extension H by Γ is that, for some cardinal representation H^*/G^* of Γ , G^* should be a homomorphic image of G . Examples show, however, that this condition is not sufficient.

2. Extension functions. As a generalisation of the Schreier factor set used in the theory of normal extensions we consider the extension of a group by a mixed group through the medium of a skew product (cf. Redei [4], Szep [5]).

2.1. Let G be a group and Γ be a mixed group with nucleus \mathcal{A} . We define the skew product $\langle G, \Gamma \rangle$ to be the set $\{\langle a, \alpha \rangle \mid a \in G, \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ on which a binary operation is defined by

$$\langle a, \alpha \rangle \langle b, \beta \rangle = \langle af(\alpha, b, \beta), \phi(\alpha, b, \beta) \rangle$$

with $a, b, f(\alpha, b, \beta) \in G$ and $\alpha, \beta, \phi(\alpha, b, \beta) \in \Gamma$ for some functions f

and ϕ respectively.

We shall denote the identities of G , Γ and $\langle G, \Gamma \rangle$ by $1, 1$ and $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ respectively. Furthermore we write $\langle G, \alpha \rangle = \{ \langle x, \alpha \rangle \mid x \in G \}$, $\langle a, \Gamma \rangle = \{ \langle a, \xi \rangle \mid \xi \in \Gamma \}$ and identify G with $\langle G, 1 \rangle$ and Γ with $\langle 1, \Gamma \rangle$ under the natural mappings.

2.2 THEOREM. *The skew product $H = \langle G, \Gamma \rangle$ is a group with identity $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ if and only if the following conditions on f and ϕ hold for all $b, c \in G$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$:*

- (1) $f(1, b, \beta) = b$ and $\phi(1, b, \beta) = \beta$;
- (2) $f(\alpha, b, \beta)f(\phi(\alpha, b, \beta), c, \gamma) = f(\alpha, bf(\beta, c, \gamma), \phi(\beta, c, \gamma))$;
- (3) $\phi(\phi(\alpha, b, \beta), c, \gamma) = \phi(\alpha, bf(\beta, c, \gamma), \phi(\beta, c, \gamma))$;
- (4) for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ there exists $\xi \in \Gamma$ such that $\phi(\xi, 1, \alpha) = 1$.

Proof. (1) is equivalent to $\langle 1, 1 \rangle \langle b, \beta \rangle = \langle b, \beta \rangle$, that is that $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ is a left identity.

(2) and (3) are together equivalent to the associative law

$$\{ \langle a, \alpha \rangle \langle b, \beta \rangle \} \langle c, \gamma \rangle = \langle a, \alpha \rangle \{ \langle b, \beta \rangle \langle c, \gamma \rangle \} .$$

(4) is equivalent to $\langle 1, \alpha \rangle$ having a left inverse $\langle x, \xi \rangle$ (with $x = f(\xi, 1, \alpha)^{-1}$). However, in that case $\langle a, \alpha \rangle = \langle a, 1 \rangle \langle 1, \alpha \rangle$ has a left inverse $\langle x, \xi \rangle \langle a^{-1}, 1 \rangle$ for all $\langle a, \alpha \rangle \in H$.

Thus the stated conditions are necessary and sufficient.

2.3 THEOREM. *If the skew product $H = \langle G, \Gamma \rangle$ is a group with identity $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ then a necessary and sufficient condition that $\langle G, \Gamma \rangle / \langle G, 1 \rangle = H/G$ should be isomorphic to Γ under the natural mapping*

$$\tau: \langle G, 1 \rangle \langle 1, \alpha \rangle = \langle G, \alpha \rangle \rightarrow \alpha \quad (\alpha \in \Gamma)$$

is that

- (5) $\phi(\alpha, b, 1) = \alpha$ for all $b \in G$ if and only if $\alpha \in \Delta$;
- (6) $\phi(\alpha, 1, \beta) = \alpha\beta$ when $\alpha \in \Delta$.

Proof. Since it is clear that τ is a one-to-one mapping onto Γ the theorem follows from Definition 1.2 when we note:

- (5) is equivalent to $\langle G, \alpha \rangle \tau \in \Delta$ if and only if $\alpha \in \Delta$;
- (6) is equivalent to $\langle G, \alpha \rangle \langle G, \beta \rangle = \langle G, \alpha\beta \rangle$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$.

2.4. A skew product $H = \langle G, \Gamma \rangle$ which satisfies the conditions (1)–(6) will be called an extension of G by Γ with functions f and ϕ . For such an extension it is easily shown that f and ϕ have the

following properties:

- (7) $f(\alpha, 1, 1) = 1$ and $\phi(\alpha, 1, 1) = \alpha$;
 (8) for all $\langle a, \alpha \rangle \in H$ there exists a unique $\xi \in \Gamma$ such that $\phi(\xi, a, \alpha) = 1$;
 (9) the mapping $\gamma \rightarrow \phi(\gamma, a, \alpha)$ permutes the elements of Γ ;
 (10) $\phi(\alpha, b, \beta) = \alpha\beta$ when $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

2.5 DEFINITION. Two extensions $H_1 = \langle G, \Gamma \rangle_1$ and $H_2 = \langle G, \Gamma \rangle_2$ with functions f_1, ϕ_1 and f_2, ϕ_2 respectively are called *equivalent* if there is an isomorphism of H_1 onto H_2 which leaves each element of G fixed. If, moreover, the coset $\langle G, \alpha \rangle_1$ is mapped onto the coset $\langle G, \alpha \rangle_2$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$ then H_1 is said to be *biequivalent* to H_2 .

Thus if θ is a one-to-one mapping of H_1 onto H_2 then in order for this mapping to be a biequivalence we must have

- (i) $\langle a, 1 \rangle_1 \theta = \langle a, 1 \rangle_2$;
 (ii) $\{\langle a, \alpha \rangle_1 \langle b, \beta \rangle_1\} \theta = \langle a, \alpha \rangle_1 \theta \langle b, \beta \rangle_1 \theta$
 (iii) $\langle 1, \alpha \rangle_1 \theta = \langle x_\alpha, \alpha \rangle_2$ for some $x_\alpha \in G$.

2.6 THEOREM. If $H_1 = \langle G, \Gamma \rangle_1$ and $H_2 = \langle G, \Gamma \rangle_2$ are two extensions of G by Γ with functions f_1, ϕ_1 and f_2, ϕ_2 respectively then H_1 is biequivalent to H_2 if and only if there is a function $\alpha \rightarrow x_\alpha$ of Γ into G such that

$$(11) \quad x_\alpha f_2(\alpha, bx_\beta, \beta) = f_1(\alpha, b, \beta) x_{\phi_1(\alpha, b, \beta)} ;$$

$$(12) \quad \phi_2(\alpha, bx_\beta, \beta) = \phi_1(\alpha, b, \beta) .$$

Proof. If θ is a biequivalence of H_1 onto H_2 then define x_α by 2.5 (iii). Then $\langle a, \alpha \rangle_1 \theta = \langle ax_\alpha, \alpha \rangle_2$. Therefore

$$\{\langle a, \alpha \rangle_1 \langle b, \beta \rangle_1\} \theta = \langle af_1(\alpha, b, \beta) x_{\phi_1(\alpha, b, \beta)}, \phi_1(\alpha, b, \beta) \rangle_2$$

and $\langle a, \alpha \rangle_1 \theta \langle b, \beta \rangle_1 \theta = \langle ax_\alpha f_2(\alpha, bx_\beta, \beta), \phi_2(\alpha, bx_\beta, \beta) \rangle_2$ and so (11) and (12) are together implied by 2.5(ii).

Conversely, if we are given (11) and (12) and define θ as the one-to-one mapping of H_1 onto H_2 given by $\langle a, \alpha \rangle_1 \theta = \langle ax_\alpha, \alpha \rangle_2$ then 2.5 (i), (ii) and (iii) follow, so θ is the required biequivalence.

2.7. Let $H = \langle G, \Gamma \rangle$ be an extension of G by a mixed group Γ with functions f and ϕ . The kernel \mathcal{A} of Γ is isomorphic to $N(G; H)/G$ (by 1.1). Therefore $G = \langle G, 1 \rangle$ is a normal subgroup (and H is a normal extension of G) if and only if $\mathcal{A} = \Gamma$, and Γ is a group. Alternatively, using (5) and (6) we have that $\phi(\alpha, b, 1) = \alpha$ (for all $\alpha \in \Gamma, b \in G$) as a necessary and sufficient condition that H be a normal extension of G .

A second important case is when H is a splitting extension (i.e.

$\Gamma = \langle 1, \Gamma \rangle$ is a subgroup of H) so that $H = G\Gamma$ and $G \cap \Gamma = 1$. In terms of the extension functions, H is a splitting extension if and only if $f(\alpha, 1, \beta) = 1$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. To prove this we note that, since $\langle 1, \alpha \rangle \langle 1, \beta \rangle = \langle f(\alpha, 1, \beta), \phi(\alpha, 1, \beta) \rangle$, the condition is certainly necessary. It is also sufficient because when it holds we also have

$$\langle 1, \alpha \rangle^{-1} = \langle f(\xi, 1, \alpha)^{-1}, \xi \rangle = \langle 1, \xi \rangle \in \Gamma$$

where ξ is defined as in Theorem 2.2.

2.8 THEOREM. *An extension $H = \langle G, \Gamma \rangle$ of G by Γ with functions f and ϕ is a splitting extension if and only if for some function $\alpha \rightarrow x_\alpha$ of Γ into G we have*

$$(13) \quad f(\alpha, x_\beta, \beta) = x_\alpha^{-1} x_{\phi(\alpha, x_\beta, \beta)}.$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 2.6 with $f_1(\alpha, 1, \beta) = 1$.

COROLLARY. *If the conditions of the theorem are satisfied then $\Gamma^* = \{ \langle x_\alpha, \alpha \rangle \mid \alpha \in \Gamma \}$ is a subgroup of H such that $H = G\Gamma^*$ and $G \cap \Gamma^* = 1$.*

REFERENCES

1. R. Baer, *Zur Einordnung der Theorie der Mischgruppen in die Gruppentheorie*, Sitz. Heidelberg (1928) Nr. 4.
2. R. Bruck, *Survey of Binary Systems*, Springer 1958.
3. A. Loewy, *Über abstrakt definierte Transmutationssysteme oder Mischgruppen*, J. reine angew. Math., **157** (1927), 239-254.
4. L. Redei, *Die Anwendung des schiefen Produktes in der Gruppentheorie*, J. reine angew. Math., **188** (1950), 201-227.
5. J. Szep, *Über eine allgemeine Erweiterung von Gruppen I, II*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **6** (1959), 60-71, 254-261.

MCGILL UNIVERSITY AND
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

