

PERMANENT OF THE DIRECT PRODUCT OF MATRICES

RICHARD A. BRUALDI

Let A and B be nonnegative matrices of orders m and n respectively. In this paper we derive some properties of the permanent of the direct product $A \times B$ of A with B . Specifically we prove that

$$\text{per}(A \times B) \geq (\text{per}(A))^n (\text{per}(B))^m$$

with equality if and only if A or B has at most one nonzero term in its permanent expansion. We also show that every term in the permanent expansion of $A \times B$ is expressible as the product of n terms in the permanent expansion of A and m terms in the permanent expansion of B , and conversely. This implies that a minimal positive number $K_{m,n}$ exists such that

$$\text{per}(A \times B) \leq K_{m,n} (\text{per}(A))^n (\text{per}(B))^m$$

for all nonnegative matrices A and B of orders m and n respectively. A conjecture is given for the value of $K_{m,n}$.

Definitions. Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be a matrix of order m with entries from a field F . The *permanent* of A is defined by

$$\text{per}(A) = \sum a_{1i_1} a_{2i_2} \cdots a_{mi_m},$$

where the summation extends over all permutations (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) of the integers $1, 2, \dots, m$. The set of elements

$$a_{1i_1}, a_{2i_2}, \dots, a_{mi_m}$$

where (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) is a permutation of $1, 2, \dots, m$ is called a *permutation array* of A , while their product

$$a_{1i_1} a_{2i_2} \cdots a_{mi_m}$$

is a *permutation product* of A . The permanent of A is then the sum of all the permutation products of A . The *term rank* $\rho(A)$ of the matrix A is defined to be the maximal order of a minor of A with a nonzero term in its determinant expansion. By a theorem of König [3] it is also equal to the minimal number of lines (rows and columns) which collectively contain all the nonzero entries of A . Obviously $\rho(A) = m$ if and only if A has a nonzero permutation product. A good discussion of these concepts is given by H.J. Ryser in [3].

If B is another matrix of order n with entries from the field F ,

Received October 23, 1964.

then the *direct product* (or *Kronecker product*) of A with B is defined by

$$A \times B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \cdots & a_{1m}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & \cdots & a_{2m}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & a_{m2}B & \cdots & a_{mm}B \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is a matrix of order mn . The submatrix of $A \times B$ given by

$$[a_{ij}B] \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq m)$$

is called the (i, j) -*block* of $A \times B$ or sometimes simply a *block* of $A \times B$. Direct products are discussed by C.C. MacDuffee in [2]. We mention those properties which will be of use to us. First it is readily verified that an associative law is satisfied, so that $A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_k$ can be defined unambiguously. If C and D are matrices of orders m and n respectively, then

$$(1.1) \quad (A \times B)(C \times D) = AC \times BD.$$

Thus if $PAP_1 = A_1$ where P and P_1 are permutation matrices of order m and if $QBQ_1 = B_1$ where Q and Q_1 are permutation matrices of order n , then

$$(1.2) \quad (P \times Q)(A \times B)(P_1 \times Q_1) = A_1 \times B_1.$$

This says that *permutations of the rows and columns of A and B induce permutations of the rows and columns of $A \times B$.*

It follows by inspection that a permutation matrix P of order mn exists such

$$(1.3) \quad P^T(A \times B)P = B \times A,$$

where P^T denotes the transpose of P . That is, *the rows and columns of $A \times B$ can be simultaneously permuted to give $B \times A$.* From this we immediately obtain

$$(1.4) \quad \text{per}(A \times B) = \text{per}(B \times A).$$

A formula for the determinant of $A \times B$ is given by

$$(1.5) \quad \det(A \times B) = (\det(A))^n (\det(B))^m.$$

The definition of the determinant is very similar to that of the permanent, the only difference being that in the determinant we assign a certain sign to the permutation products. It is therefore natural to ask whether (1.5) has a counterpart for the permanent. It is this

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cccc} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \hline * & & & & \\ * & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ * & & & & \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ A^1 \\ \\ \end{array}$$

where $a_{11} \neq 0$ and $*$ denotes arbitrary elements. Now A^1 can have only one nonzero permutation product otherwise A would have more than one. Applying induction to A^1 , we obtain desired result.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let A be a $(0, 1)$ -matrix of order m . Then $\text{per}(A) = 1$ if and only if the row and columns of A can be permuted to yield a triangular matrix with 1's on the main diagonal and 0's above the main diagonal.*

THEOREM 2.3. *Let A be a matrix of order m with entries from an arbitrary field F . Then A has more than one nonzero permutation product if and only if the rows and columns of A can be permuted to give a configuration of the form:*

(2.2)
$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \\ a_{r1} & a_{r,r} & \\ \hline & & a_{r+1,r+1} \\ & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & \\ & & a_{mm} \end{array} \right]$$

where $2 \leq r \leq m$ and each a_{ij} designated above is not zero. All entries not designated are arbitrary.

*Proof.*¹ Suppose A has more than one nonzero permutation product. By permuting rows and columns we may assume to begin with that the elements on the main diagonal of A are nonzero. The conclusion now follows by using the well-known fact that if Q is a permutation matrix then there exists another permutation matrix P such that $P^T Q P$ is the direct sum of full cycle permutation matrices.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let A and B be nonnegative matrices of order n . Then*

(2.3)
$$\text{per}(AB) \geq \text{per}(A) \text{per}(B) .$$

¹ The author is indebted to the referee for improving the exposition here.

Strict inequality occurs in (2.3) if and only if there exists an integer i with $1 \leq i \leq n$ having the property that if A_i denotes the matrix A with column i deleted and B_i denotes the matrix B with row i deleted, then

$$(2.4) \quad \text{per}(A_i B_i) > 0 .$$

Proof. Every permutation product of AB is the sum of n^n terms, each of which consists of the product of n elements of A and n elements of B . Consider a term

$$(2.5) \quad a_{i_1 i_1} b_{i_1 j_1} \cdots a_{i_n i_n} b_{i_n j_n}$$

of $\text{per}(A) \text{per}(B)$. Here (i_1, \dots, i_n) and (j_1, \dots, j_n) are permutations of the integers $1, \dots, n$. The expression (2.5) is a term in the permutation product of AB arising from the elements of AB in positions $(1, j_1), \dots, (1, j_n)$. From this and the fact that A and B are nonnegative matrices, (2.3) follows.

Strict inequality occurs in (2.3) if and only if some permutation product of AB contains a nonzero term of the form

$$(2.6) \quad a_{i_1 i_1} b_{i_1 j_1} \cdots a_{i_n i_n} b_{i_n j_n}$$

where (j_1, \dots, j_n) is a permutation of the integers $1, \dots, n$ and where $1 \leq i_s \leq n$ for $s = 1, \dots, n$, but (i_1, \dots, i_n) is not a permutation of $1, \dots, n$. Thus there exists at least one integer k between 1 and n such that i_j is different from k for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let A_k be the matrix obtained by crossing out column k of A and B_k the matrix obtained by crossing out row k of B . Then a nonzero term of the form (2.6) occurs if and only if $\text{per}(A_k B_k) > 0$. This establishes the theorem.

3. Main theorems. We now prove the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let A and B be nonnegative matrices of orders m and n respectively. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \text{per}(A \times B) \geq (\text{per}(A))^n (\text{per}(B))^m .$$

Equality occurs in (3.1) if and only if A or B has at most one nonzero permutation product.

Proof. We have

$$A \times B = (A \times I_n)(I_m \times B) ,$$

where I_m and I_n are identity matrices of orders m and n respectively. Hence by Theorem 2.4,

$$(3.2) \quad \text{per}(A \times B) \geq \text{per}(A \times I_n) \text{per}(I_m \times B).$$

But

$$\text{per}(I_m \times B) = (\text{per}(B))^m,$$

since $I_m \times B$ is the direct sum of B taken m times. Also

$$\text{per}(A \times I_n) = \text{per}(I_n \times A) = (\text{per}(A))^n$$

by (1.4). This establishes (3.1).

We now investigate the circumstance of equality in (3.1). We remark that equality occurs in (3.1) is and only if equality occurs in (3.2). Necessary and sufficient conditions that equality occur in (3.2) are given in Theorem 2.4. In proving that equality occurs under the conditions stated in the theorem we may assume by (1.4) that A has at most one nonzero permutation product. If all permutation products of A are zero, then $\text{per}(A) = 0$ and the term rank $\rho(A)$ of A satisfies $\rho(A) < m$. It then follows by an easy application of König's Theorem that

$$\rho(A \times B) \leq \rho(A)n < mn.$$

Therefore $\text{per}(A \times B) = 0$, and equality occurs in (3.1). If A has precisely one nonzero permutation product, then according to Theorem 2.1 the rows and columns of A can be permuted to give the triangular matrix

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & & & \\ \cdot & 0 & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ * & \cdot & & \\ & & & a_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\text{per}(A) = a_{11} \cdots a_{mm} \neq 0$. Since permutations of the rows and columns of A induce in a natural way permutations of the rows and columns of $A \times B$, we may assume A has the form (3.3). From this it follows equality occurs in (3.1).

Conversely, suppose both A and B have at least two nonzero permutations products. Since permutations of the rows and columns of A and B give rise to permutations of the rows and columns of $A \times B$, we may assume by Theorem 2.3 that

$$(3.4) \quad A = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} a_{11} & a_{12} & & & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & & & \\ & & \cdot & & & \\ & & & a_{r-1,r+1} & & \\ a_{r1} & & & a_{rr} & & \\ \hline & & & & a_{r+1,r+1} & \\ & & & & \cdot & \\ & & & & & \cdot \\ & & & & & a_{mm} \end{array} \right]$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} b_{11} & b_{12} & & & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & & & \\ & & \cdot & & & \\ & & & b_{s-1,s} & & \\ b_{s1} & & & b_{ss} & & \\ \hline & & & & b_{s+1,s+1} & \\ & & & & \cdot & \\ & & & & & \cdot \\ & & & & & b_{nn} \end{array} \right] .$$

Here $2 \leq r \leq m$ and $2 \leq s \leq n$. Each a_{ij} and b_{kl} designated is not zero while all other entries not designated are arbitrary. Consider the matrices $A \times I_n$ and $I_m \times B$. Cross out column one of $A \times I_n$ to obtain the matrix $(A \times I_n)_1$ and cross out row one of $I_m \times B$ to obtain the matrix $(I_m \times B)_1$. The matrix $(A \times I_n)_1(I_m \times B)_1$ is of order mn and we consider it to be partitioned into m^2 blocks (submatrices) of size n by n in the natural way. Just as in the direct product we shall speak of the (i, j) -block of $(A \times I_n)_1(I_m \times B)_1$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. In each of the (k, k) -blocks, $k = 1, \dots, r - 1$ select the last $n - 1$ main diagonal elements; in the (r, r) -block select the elements in positions $(1, 2), \dots, (s - 1, s), (s + 1, s + 1), \dots, (n, n)$; in each of the $(j, j + 1)$ -blocks, $j = 1, \dots, r - 1$ select the first main diagonal element; in the $(r, 1)$ -block select the element in position $(s, 1)$; and finally in each the (i, i) -blocks, $i = r + 1, \dots, n$ select all of the main diagonal elements. It can be verified that each of the elements selected is different from zero and that the collection form a permutation array of $(A \times I_n)_1(I_m \times B)_1$. Hence their product is a nonzero permutation product of $(A \times I_n)_1(I_m \times B)_1$ and

$$\text{per}((A \times I_n)_1(I_m \times B)_1) > 0 .$$

By Theorem 2.4 strict inequality occurs in (3.2) and thus in (3.1). This concludes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Suppose both A and B have nonzero permanents.*

Then equality occurs in (3.1) if and only if by permuting rows and columns A or B can be brought to triangular form with nonzero elements on the main diagonal and zeros above the main diagonal.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Theorems 2.1 and 3.1.

COROLLARY 3.3. *If A and B are matrices of 0's and 1's, then equality occurs in (3.1) if and only if $\text{per}(A) = 0$ or 1 or $\text{per}(B) = 0$ or 1.*

Theorem 3.1 may be generalized to include the direct product of any finite number matrices in the following way.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be nonnegative matrices of orders m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n respectively, and let*

$$e_i = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n m_j \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Then

$$(3.6) \quad \text{per}(A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n) \geq (\text{per}(A_1))^{e_1} (\text{per}(A_2))^{e_2} \dots (\text{per}(A_n))^{e_n}.$$

Equality occurs in (3.6) if and only if $\text{per}(A_i) = 0$ for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ or $(n-1)$ of the matrices A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n have exactly one nonzero permutation product.

Proof. Inequality (3.6) follows from Theorem 3.1 and an obvious induction on n . Suppose $\text{per}(A_i) = 0$ for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Using the fact that the direct product operation is associative and (1.4), we may assume that $\text{per}(A_n) = 0$. Then by Theorem 3.1 we obtain $\text{per}(A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n) = 0$ and equality occurs in (3.6). Suppose $n-1$ of the matrices A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n have precisely one nonzero permutation product. By associativity and (1.4) we may assume A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1} do. Then $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_{n-1}$ also has exactly one nonzero permutation product. Applying Theorem 3.1 we obtain equality in (3.6).

Conversely suppose A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n all have nonzero permanents and at least two have more than one nonzero permutation product. By associativity, (1.3), and (1.4) we may assume A_1 and A_2 do. Then by theorem 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per}(A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n) &\geq (\text{per}(A_1 \times A_2))^{m_3 \dots m_n} (\text{per}(A_3 \times \dots \times A_n))^{m_1 m_2} \\ &> (\text{per}(A_1))^{e_1} \text{per}(A_2)^{e_2} (\text{per}(A_3 \times \dots \times A_n))^{m_1 m_2} \\ &\geq (\text{per}(A_1))^{e_1} (\text{per}(A_2))^{e_2} \dots (\text{per}(A_n))^{e_n}. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the theorem.

Inequality (3.1) and the more general (3.6) containing many inequalities obtained by specializing the matrices concerned. For instance if in (3.1) we let $A = J_m$, the matrix of 1's of order m , and $B = J_n$, the matrix of 1's of order n , we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad (mn)! \geq (m!)^n(n!)^m .$$

Equality occurs in (3.7) if and only if $m = 1$ or $n = 1$.

The following theorem is basic.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let A and B be matrices of orders m and n respectively with entries from a field F . Then every permutation product of $A \times B$ is expressible (in general, not uniquely) as the product of n permutation products of A and m permutation products of B . Conversely, the product of n permutation products of A and m permutation products of B is a permutation product of $A \times B$.*

Proof. Consider an arbitrary permutation product of $A \times B$ and a permutation array which gives rise to this product. Suppose this permutation array contains c_{ij} entries from the (i, j) -block of $A \times B$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Form the matrix

$$C = [c_{ij}] \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m) .$$

C is a matrix of order m whose entries are nonnegative integers. Since A and B are square matrices, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^m c_{ij} = n \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m) ,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_{ij} = n \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m) .$$

Then by [3, Th. 5.2, p. 56] we have

$$C = c_1P_1 + c_2P_2 + \dots + c_tP_t$$

where c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t are positive integers with $c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_t = n$ and where P_1, P_2, \dots, P_t are distinct permutation matrices of order m . Each permutation matrix P_k corresponds in a natural way to a permutation array of A . Let this array be denoted by

$$a_{1\sigma_k(1)}, a_{2\sigma_k(2)}, \dots, a_{m\sigma_k(m)}$$

where $\sigma_k(1), \sigma_k(2), \dots, \sigma_k(m)$ is a permutation of $1, 2, \dots, m$. Then

the mn a 's that appear in the given permutation product of $A \times B$ can be arranged as:

$$(a_{1\sigma_1(1)} a_{2\sigma_1(2)} \cdots a_{m\sigma_1(m)})^{c_1} \cdots (a_{1\sigma_t(1)} a_{2\sigma_t(2)} \cdots a_{m\sigma_t(m)})^{c_t}.$$

Since there exists a permutation matrix P such that $P^T(A \times B)P = B \times A$, it follows that the specified permutation array of $A \times B$ is also a permutation array of $B \times A$. Therefore in a similar manner the b 's in the given permutation product of $A \times B$ can be expressed as the product of m permutation products of B .

Conversely, it is easy to verify that the product of n permutation products of A is a permutation product of $A \times I_n$ and the product of m permutation products of B is a permutation product of $I_m \times B$. The matrix product

$$(A \times I_n)(I_m \times B) = A \times B$$

yields the desired permutation product of $A \times B$.

COROLLARY 3.6. *There exists a minimal positive real number $K_{m,n}$, depending only on m and n , such that*

$$\text{per}(A \times B) \leq K_{m,n} (\text{per}(A))^n (\text{per}(B))^m$$

for any two nonnegative matrices A and B of orders m and n respectively.

Proof. By the theorem all of the distinct terms in $\text{per}(A \times B)$ appear at least once in the product $(\text{per}(A))^n (\text{per}(B))^m$. Since there are in total $(mn)!$ terms in $\text{per}(A \times B)$, we have

$$\text{per}(A \times B) \leq (mn)! (\text{per}(A))^n (\text{per}(B))^m$$

for all nonnegative matrices A and B of orders m and n respectively. This shows the existence of the constant $K_{m,n}$.

The constant $K_{m,n}$ is given by the equation

$$(3.8) \quad K_{m,n} = \text{l.u.b.} \frac{\text{per}(A \times B)}{(\text{per}(A))^n (\text{per}(B))^m}$$

where the least upper bound is taken over all nonnegative matrices A and B of orders m and n respectively with nonzero permanents. The ratio

$$\frac{\text{per}(A \times B)}{(\text{per}(A))^n (\text{per}(B))^m}$$

is homogeneous in the sense that if a row or column of A or B is multiplied by a positive real number then the ratio is unchanged. This allows one to assume A and B are *row stochastic* (the sum of the elements of each row is one) in determining $K_{m,n}$. Also by continuity considerations only positive matrices A and B need be considered. Hence we may replace the l.u.b. in (3.8) by the l.u.b. over all positive row stochastic matrices A and B of orders m and n respectively. If in (3.8) we let $A = J_m$, the matrix of 1's of order m , and $B = J_n$, the matrix of 1's of order n , we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad K_{m,n} \geq \frac{(mn)!}{(m!)^n(n!)^m} .$$

We conjecture here that (3.9) is actually an equality and therefore that

$$\text{per}(A \times B) \leq \frac{(mn)!}{(m!)^n(n!)^m} (\text{per}(A))^n (\text{per}(B))^m$$

for all nonnegative matrices A and B of orders m and n respectively. There is limited evidence to suggest that this is true. For instance it can be verified for $m = n = 2$, i.e. $K_{2,2} = 3/2$.

To conclude this section we give the following interpretation of Theorem 3.5. Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m be m subsets of the elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m and T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n be n subsets of the elements b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n . Form the incidence matrices $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ki}]$ of orders m and n respectively. Here $a_{ij} = 1$ if a_j is a member of S_i and 0 otherwise. Similarly for B . For $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ define $S_i \times T_j$ to be a subset of all the ordered pairs

$$(a_r, b_s) \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots, m; s = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

We have (a_r, b_s) is a member of $S_i \times T_j$ if and only if a_r is a member of S_i and b_s is a member of T_j . The incidence matrix of this collection of subsets is $A \times B$. Theorem 3.5 applied to this situation says that if we have a system of distinct representatives (SDR) of the collection of subsets

$$(3.10) \quad S_i \times T_j \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

then the first components of the members of this SDR can be arranged into n SDR's of the collection S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m and the second components can be arranged into m SDR's of the collection T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n . Conversely, n SDR's of S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m and m SDR's of T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n can be paired up in at least one way to form an SDR of the collection of subsets in (3.10).

REFERENCES

1. F.R. Gantmacher, *The Theory of Matrices*, vol. 2, New York, Chelsea, 1959.
2. C.C. MacDuffee, *The Theory of Matrices*, rev. ed., New York, Chelsea, 1946.
3. H.J. Ryser, *Combinatorial Mathematics*, Carus Math. Monograph, no. 14, New York, Wiley, 1963.

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY