

## ISOMORPHIC CONE-COMPLEXES

JACK SEGAL AND E. S. THOMAS, JR.

**In this paper we show that the 1-section of a finite simplicial complex  $M$  is characterized by the topological type of the 1-section of the cone over  $M$ . This enables us to prove that a finite simplicial complex is characterized by the topological type of the 1-section of the first derived complex of its cone.**

R. L. Finney [1] proved that two locally-finite simplicial complexes are isomorphic if their first derived complexes are isomorphic. J. Segal [3] making use of this showed that two locally-finite simplicial complexes are isomorphic if the 1-sections of their first derived complexes are isomorphic. He then showed in [4] that a restricted class of finite complexes are characterized by the topological type of the 1-section of their first derived complexes. In contrast to [4] the results of this paper apply without restricting the class of finite complexes.

Throughout,  $s_p$  will denote a (rectilinear)  $p$ -simplex;  $M$  will denote a finite geometric simplicial complex with  $r$ -section  $M^r$  and first derived complex  $M'$ . The cone at  $m$  over  $M$ ,  $m \notin M$ , is denoted by  $mM$ . For more details see [2, 1.2]. We only consider complexes with at least two vertices.

**LEMMA.** (a) *If  $mM$  and  $nN$  are isomorphic then so are  $M$  and  $N$ .* (b) *If  $(mM)^1$  and  $(nN)^1$  are isomorphic then so are  $M^1$  and  $N^1$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Let  $\varphi$  be an isomorphism of  $mM$  onto  $nN$ . If  $\varphi(m) = n$  we are done so we assume  $\varphi(m) \neq n$ , hence we also have  $\varphi^{-1}(n) \neq m$ .

Given a complex  $K$ , with vertex  $v$ , the subcomplex consisting of those simplexes not having  $v$  as a vertex is denoted  $K\langle v \rangle$ .

We now define subcomplexes of  $M$  and  $N$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= (mM)\langle \varphi^{-1}(n) \rangle, & M_2 &= M\langle \varphi^{-1}(n) \rangle \\ N_1 &= (nN)\langle \varphi(m) \rangle, & N_2 &= N\langle \varphi(m) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The following relationships are easily verified:

- (1)  $M_1 = mM_2, N_1 = nN_2$ ;
- (2)  $\varphi|_{M_2}$  is an isomorphism of  $M_2$  onto  $N_2$ ;
- (3)  $\varphi|M$  is an isomorphism of  $M$  onto  $N_1$ , and  $\varphi^{-1}|_{N_1}$  is an isomorphism of  $N_1$  onto  $M_1$ .

Using  $\approx$  to denote isomorphism we then have:

$$M \approx N_1 = nN_2 \approx mM_2 = M_1 \approx N .$$

Here the first and last isomorphisms follow from (3), the equalities from (1) and the middle isomorphism follows from (2) and the fact that taking cones preserves isomorphism.

A proof of part (b) is obtained by taking 1-sections at appropriate places in the above argument.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $|(mM)^1|$  and  $|(nN)^1|$  are homeomorphic then  $M^1$  and  $N^1$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* For nontriviality we assume each of  $M$  and  $N$  has at least 3 vertices. Let  $T_M$  denote the set of vertices of  $mM$  whose order in  $|(mM)^1|$  is not 2 and let  $T_N$  be the corresponding set in  $nN$ ; then  $m$  is in  $T_M$  and  $n$  is in  $T_N$ .

Now let  $h$  be a homeomorphism of  $|(mM)^1|$  onto  $|(nN)^1|$ . We shall modify  $h$  where necessary to get a new homeomorphism  $\tilde{h}$  which maps the vertices of  $(mM)^1$  onto those of  $(nN)^1$ .

Clearly any homeomorphism of  $|(mM)^1|$  onto  $|(nN)^1|$  takes  $T_M$  onto  $T_N$ . Let  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  be the vertices of  $(mM)^1$  having order 2; we show how to construct homeomorphisms  $h_1, \dots, h_r$  such that

$$h_i: |(mM)^1| \rightarrow |(nN)^1|$$

and for  $i \leq j$ ,  $h_j(v_i)$  is a vertex of  $(nN)^1$ . Starting with  $h$  we shall construct  $h_i$ ; the construction of  $h_i$  from  $h_{i-1}$  follows the same pattern and will be omitted.

For simplicity we write  $v$  rather than  $v_1$ . If  $h(v)$  is a vertex of  $(nN)^1$  we let  $h_1 = h$ . Suppose then that  $h(v)$  is not a vertex. Let  $C$  be the closure in  $|(mM)^1|$  of the component  $Q$  of  $|(mM)^1| - T_M$  containing  $v$ ; then  $C$  is either an arc with endpoints in  $T_M$  or a simple closed curve which one easily shows must be of the form  $Q \cup \{m\}$ .

Suppose first it is an arc with endpoints  $x$  and  $y$ . Using the fact that  $v$  has order 2 in  $|(mM)^1|$  we conclude that one of  $x, y$ , say  $x$ , is  $m$  and that  $Q$  contains no vertex of  $mM$  other than  $v$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be the 1-simplex of  $mM$  spanned by  $m$  and  $y$ . Applying  $h$ , we get a pair of arcs  $h(|\sigma|)$  and  $h(C)$  in  $|(nN)^1|$  whose union is a simple closed curve containing exactly two points of  $T_N$ -namely  $h(m)$  and  $h(y)$ . It follows that there is a vertex  $w$  of  $nN$  which lies either on  $h(|\sigma| - \{m, y\})$  or  $h(C - \{m, y\})$ . In the first case we choose a self-homeomorphism  $k$  of  $|(nN)^1|$  which is the identity off  $h(|\sigma| \cup C)$ , interchanges  $h(|\sigma|)$  and  $h(C)$  leaving  $h(m)$  and  $h(y)$  fixed, and takes  $h(v)$  onto  $w$ ; we define  $h_1 = k \circ h$ . The second case is similar-except that  $k$  is taken as the identity off  $h(Q)$ .

If  $C$  is a simple closed curve,  $C = Q \cup \{m\}$ , then  $Q$  must contain

exactly two vertices of order 2, say  $v$  and  $w$ . Since  $h(C) \cap T_N = \{h(m)\}$  it follows that  $h(m) = n$  and  $h(Q)$  contains exactly two vertices of order 2, say  $v'$  and  $w'$ . In this case we choose a self-homeomorphism  $k$  of  $|(nN)^1|$  which is the identity off  $h(Q)$  and takes  $h(v)$  to  $v'$  and  $h(w)$  to  $w'$ . The composition  $h_1 = k \circ h$  has the desired properties. This completes the construction of  $h_1$ .

We let  $\tilde{h} = h_1$ ; then  $\tilde{h}$  takes each vertex of  $(mM)^1$  to a vertex of  $(nN)^1$ . In particular  $(mM)^1$  has at least as many vertices as  $(nN)^1$ . Since a similar construction can be made starting with  $h^{-1}$ , the number of vertices in each complex is the same. Hence the homeomorphism  $\tilde{h}$  takes the vertices of  $(mM)^1$  onto those of  $(nN)^1$ . It follows (see, for example, the argument of Theorem 3 of [4]) that  $\tilde{h}$  induces an isomorphism of  $(mM)^1$  onto  $(nN)^1$ .

Applying part (b) of the lemma, we have that  $M^1$  and  $N^1$  are isomorphic.

DEFINITION. An  $n$ -complex  $M$  is *full* provided, for any subcomplex  $K$  of  $M$  which is isomorphic to  $s_p^1$ ,  $2 \leq p \leq n$ ,  $K^0$  spans a  $p$ -simplex of  $M$ .

THEOREM 2. *If  $M$  and  $N$  are full complexes, then they are isomorphic if  $|(mM)^1|$  and  $|(nN)^1|$  are homeomorphic.*

This follows from Theorem 1 and Theorem 1 of [3] which says that if  $M$  and  $N$  are full and  $M^1$  and  $N^1$  are isomorphic, then  $M$  and  $N$  are isomorphic.

DEFINITION. Given the cone at  $m$  over  $M$  and a subcomplex  $K$  of  $M$  we define the *tower-complex* over  $K$  (relative to  $mM$ ) to be  $((mK)')^1$  and we denote it by  $t_m(K)$ . Furthermore, we call the underlying polyhedron of  $t_m(K)$  the *tower* over  $K$  (relative to  $mM$ ) and denote it by  $t(K)$ , i.e.,  $t(K) = |t_m(K)|$ .

THEOREM 3. *If  $M$  and  $N$  are complexes, then  $M$  and  $N$  are isomorphic if and only if  $t(M)$  and  $t(N)$  are homeomorphic.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $t(M)$  and  $t(N)$  are homeomorphic. We first assume that  $M$  and  $N$  have no vertices of order 0. Then the order of each vertex of  $(mM)'$  in  $t_m(M)$  and of  $(nN)'$  in  $t_m(N)$  is at least three. So we may apply Theorem 5 of [4] to obtain an isomorphism between  $mM$  and  $nN$ . This by part (a) of the Lemma yields the desired isomorphism between  $M$  and  $N$ .

Now consider the case in which  $M$  or  $N$  has vertices of order 0. Let  $K$  denote the set of vertices of  $M$  which are of order 0 and let

$L$  be the corresponding set for  $N$ . Let  $\tilde{M} = M - K$  and  $\tilde{N} = N - L$ . Then

$$t(M) = t(\tilde{M}) \cup t(K)$$

and

$$t(N) = t(\tilde{N}) \cup t(L).$$

Let  $h$  be a homeomorphism of  $t(M)$  onto  $t(N)$ . Since  $t(K)$  is the smallest connected subset of  $t(M)$  that contains  $K$ , the set  $h(t(K))$  is the smallest connected subset of  $t(N)$  that contains  $h(K)$ . But  $h(K) = L$ , because the points of  $K$  and  $L$  are the only ones with order 1 in  $t(M)$  and  $t(N)$ . Therefore,  $h(t(K)) = t(L)$ , and by taking complements we see that  $h(t(\tilde{M})) = t(\tilde{N})$ . Therefore, by the preceding argument, there exists an isomorphism  $f$  of  $\tilde{M}$  onto  $\tilde{N}$ . Since  $h$  yields an isomorphism of  $K$  and  $L$ ,  $f$  can be extended to an isomorphism of  $M$  and  $N$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. R. L. Finney, *The insufficiency of barycentric subdivision*, Michigan Math. J. **12** (1965), 263-272.
2. P. J. Hilton and S. Wylie, *Homology Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1960.
3. J. Segal, *Isomorphic complexes*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1965), 571-572.
4. ———, *Isomorphic complexes, II*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 300-302.

Received August 4, 1966. The authors were supported by National Science Foundation grants NSFG-GP3902 and GP5935, respectively.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON AND  
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN