

## NILPOTENCY CLASS OF A MAP AND STASHEFF'S CRITERION

C. S. Hoo

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map and let  $e: \Sigma\Omega X \rightarrow X$  be the map whose adjoint is  $1_{\Omega X}$ . Then we prove the following results.

**THEOREM 1.**  $\text{nil } f \leq 1$  if and only if  $fe\mathcal{V}: \Sigma\Omega X \vee \Sigma\Omega X \rightarrow Y$  can be extended to  $\Sigma\Omega X \times \Sigma\Omega X$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $X$  be an  $H'$ -space. Then  $\text{nil } f \leq 1$  if and only if  $f\mathcal{V}: X \vee X \rightarrow Y$  can be extended to  $X \times X$ .

**THEOREM 3.**  $\text{nil } f = \text{nil } (fe)$ .

Theorem 1 may be regarded as an extension of Stasheff's criterion for a loop space to be homotopy-commutative. These theorems may all be regarded as extensions of Stasheff's criterion in various ways. We also discuss the duals of these results. Theorem 3 dualises, but the others do not. A sample result in the dual situation is

**THEOREM.**  $\text{conil } f \leq \Sigma w \text{ cat } (e'f)$  where  $e': Y \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma Y$  is the adjoint of  $1_{\Sigma Y}$ .

In this paper we shall work in the category  $\mathcal{S}$  of spaces with base point and having the homotopy type of countable  $CW$  complexes. All maps and homotopies shall respect base points. The maps of our category  $\mathcal{S}$  shall be homotopy classes of maps, but for simplicity we shall use the same symbol for a map and its homotopy class. Given spaces  $X, Y$ , we denote the set of homotopy classes of maps from  $X$  to  $Y$  by  $[X, Y]$ . We have an isomorphism  $\tau: [\Sigma X, Y] \rightarrow [X, \Omega Y]$  where  $\Sigma, \Omega$  are the suspension and loop functors respectively. We denote  $\tau(1_{\Sigma X})$  by  $e'$  and  $\tau^{-1}(1_{\Omega X})$  by  $e$ .

1. For convenience let us recall some notions of Peterson's theory of structures [7]. We shall follow the definitions and notations of [4]. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. By a left structure system  $\mathcal{L}$  over  $\mathcal{C}$  we mean  $\mathcal{L} = (L, W, S; d, j)$  where  $L, W, S: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  are covariant functors and  $d: W \rightarrow L, j: W \rightarrow S$  are natural transformations. Given an object  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  we say that  $X$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -structured if there exists a map  $\varphi: SX \rightarrow LX$  such that  $\varphi j(X) \simeq d(X)$ . Given a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have a category  $\mathcal{C}^2$  of pairs. An object of  $\mathcal{C}^2$  is a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and given objects  $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2, g: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$  of  $\mathcal{C}^2$ , a map  $(u, v): f \rightarrow g$  is a pair of maps  $u: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1, v: X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$  such that  $gu = vf$ . We have covariant functors  $D_0, D_1: \mathcal{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  given by  $D_0(f) = Y, D_1(f) =$

$X$  where  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ . Also given  $(u, v): f \rightarrow g$ , we have  $D_0(u, v) = v$ ,  $D_1(u, v) = u$ . We have a natural transformation  $G: D_1 \rightarrow D_0$  given by  $G(f) = f$  for  $f \in \mathcal{C}^2$ . Given a left structure  $\mathcal{L} = (L, W, S; d, j)$  over  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have a left structure  $\mathcal{L}^2 = (LD_0, WD_1, SD_1; (dD_0)(WG), jD_1)$  over  $\mathcal{C}^2$ . Given an object  $f$  of  $\mathcal{C}^2$ , we shall say that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -structured if it is  $\mathcal{L}^2$ -structured. It is easily seen that if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}^2$ , and  $X$  or  $Y$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -structured, then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -structured.

We have the left structure  $H = (1, \mathbf{V}_{i=1}^2, \mathbb{I}_{i=1}^2, \mathcal{V}, j)$  over  $\mathcal{S}$ , where  $1$  is the identity functor of  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathbf{V}_{i=1}^2$  is the wedge product,  $\mathbb{I}_{i=1}^2$  is the cartesian product and  $\mathcal{V}, j$  are the folding and inclusion natural transformations respectively. We observe that a space  $X$  is  $H$ -structured precisely if it is an  $H$ -space. Also a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $H$ -structured if and only if  $f\mathcal{V}: X \vee X \rightarrow Y$  extends to  $X \times X$ .

2. Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L, W, S; d, j)$  be a left structure system over a category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y, g: Y \rightarrow Z$  be maps. Then it is easily seen that if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -structured or  $g$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -structured, then  $gf$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -structured.

We recall that in [1], there is defined a generalized Whitehead product  $[\cdot, \cdot]: [\Sigma A, X] \times [\Sigma B, X] \rightarrow [\Sigma(A \wedge B), X]$  where  $A, B, X$  are spaces and  $A \wedge B$  is the smashed product. Now suppose  $X$  is an  $H$ -space. Then we have a generalized Samelson product (see [2])  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: [A, X] \times [B, X] \rightarrow [A \wedge B, X]$ . These homotopy operations are related in the following way. Suppose  $\alpha$  is an element of  $[\Sigma A, X], \beta$  is an element of  $[\Sigma B, X]$  where  $A, B, X$  are spaces. Then

$$\tau[\alpha, \beta] = \langle \tau(\alpha), \tau(\beta) \rangle.$$

We shall also make the following convention. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map. Then we have an  $H$ -map  $\Omega f: \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega Y$ . We shall write  $\text{nil } f$  for  $\text{nil } \Omega f$  (see [3] for definitions). Similarly, we have an  $H'$ -map  $\Sigma f: \Sigma X \rightarrow \Sigma Y$ . We shall write  $\text{conil } f$  for  $\text{conil } \Sigma f$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map. Then  $\text{nil } f \leq 1$  if and only if  $f\mathcal{V}: \Sigma \Omega X \vee \Sigma \Omega X \rightarrow Y$  can be extended to  $\Sigma \Omega X \times \Sigma \Omega X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $c: \Omega X \times \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega X$  be the basic commutator of  $\Omega X$ . Then  $\text{nil } f \leq 1$  if and only if  $(\Omega f) c \simeq *$ . Let  $i_1, i_2: \Sigma \Omega X \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega X \vee \Sigma \Omega X$  be the inclusions in the first and second coordinates respectively. Then we have a generalized Whitehead product

$$[i_1, i_2] \in [\Sigma(\Omega X \wedge \Omega X), \Sigma \Omega X \vee \Sigma \Omega X].$$

Now  $\Sigma \Omega X \times \Sigma \Omega X$  is homotopically equivalent to

$$(\Sigma\Omega X \vee \Sigma\Omega X) \bigcup_{[i_1, i_2]} C\Sigma(\Omega X \times \Omega X)$$

(see [1]), so that  $fe\mathcal{V}$  extends to  $\Sigma\Omega X \times \Sigma\Omega X$  if and only if  $fe\mathcal{V}[i_1, i_2]=0$ , that is,  $[fe, fe] = 0$ . Now  $\tau[fe, fe] = \langle \Omega f, \Omega f \rangle$  and

$$q^*\langle \Omega f, \Omega f \rangle = c(\Omega f \times \Omega f) \simeq (\Omega f)c$$

where the first  $c$  denotes the commutator  $\Omega Y \times \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega Y$  and the second  $c$  denotes the commutator  $\Omega X \times \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega X$  and  $q: \Omega Y \times \Omega Y \rightarrow \Omega Y$  is the projection. Since  $\tau$  is an isomorphism and  $q^*$  is a monomorphism, it follows that  $fe\mathcal{V}$  extends to  $\Sigma\Omega X \times \Sigma\Omega X$  if and only if  $\text{nil } f \leq 1$ .

REMARK. If we take  $f$  to be the identity map of  $X$ , then the theorem says that  $\text{nil } X \leq 1$  if and only if  $e\mathcal{V}: \Sigma\Omega X \vee \Sigma\Omega X \rightarrow X$  extends to  $\Sigma\Omega X \times \Sigma\Omega X$ , which is just Stasheff's criterion for the homotopy-commutativity of a loop space (see [8]). We also observe that the statement that  $fe\mathcal{V}$  extends to  $\Sigma\Omega X \times \Sigma\Omega X$  is just the statement that  $fe$  can be  $H$ -structured.

THEOREM 2. *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map where  $X$  is an  $H'$ -space. Then  $\text{nil } f \leq 1$  if and only if  $f\mathcal{V}: X \vee X \rightarrow Y$  can be extended to  $X \times X$ .*

In view of the fact that  $f\mathcal{V}$  can be extended if and only if  $f$  can be  $H$  structured, Theorem 2 will follow from Theorem 1 and the following lemma.

LEMMA. *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map where  $X$  is an  $H'$ -space. Then  $f$  is  $H$ -structured if and only if  $fe: \Sigma\Omega X \rightarrow Y$  is  $H$ -structured.*

Proof. We need only show that if  $fe$  is  $H$ -structured then  $f$  is  $H$ -structured. Suppose  $fe$  can be  $H$ -structured. Then we can find a map  $\varphi: \Sigma\Omega X \times \Sigma\Omega X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\varphi j \simeq \mathcal{V}(fe \vee fe) = fe\mathcal{V}$ . Since  $X$  is an  $H'$ -space we have a map  $s: X \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega X$  such that  $es \simeq 1_X$ . Then  $\varphi(s \times s): X \times X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $H$ -structure for  $f$ . In fact  $\varphi(s \times s)j = \varphi j(s \vee s) \simeq fe\mathcal{V}(s \vee s) = fes\mathcal{V} \simeq f\mathcal{V}$ .

REMARK. Theorems 1 and 2 imply that  $\text{nil } e \leq 1$  if and only if  $\Omega X$  is homotopy-commutative, that is, if and only if  $\text{nil } X \leq 1$ . In fact, we always have  $\text{nil } X = \text{nil } e$ . This fact follows from the next result.

THEOREM 3. *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map. Then  $\text{nil } f = \text{nil } (fe)$ .*

Proof. Since we always have  $\text{nil } (fe) \leq \text{nil } f$ , it suffices to show that  $\text{nil } f \leq \text{nil } (fe)$ . Suppose  $\text{nil } (fe) \leq n$ . Then  $(\Omega f)(\Omega e)c_{n+1} \simeq *$

where  $c_{n+1}: (\Omega\Sigma\Omega X)^{n+1} \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma\Omega X$  is the commutator map of weight  $(n + 1)$ . Then we have

$$(\Omega f)c_{n+1}(\Omega e \times \dots \times \Omega e) \simeq *$$

where  $c_{n+1}: (\Omega X)^{n+1} \rightarrow \Omega X$  is also the commutator map of weight  $(n + 1)$ . Consider the map  $e': \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma\Omega X$  such that  $e' = \tau(1_{\Omega\Sigma X})$ . Clearly  $(\Omega e)e' = 1_{\Omega\Sigma}$ . Hence we have  $(\Omega f)c_{n+1} \simeq *$ , that is,  $\text{nil } f \leq n$ . This proves the theorem.

3. We now consider the dual situation. It is clear that Theorem 3 dualises immediately to give the following result.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map and let  $e': Y \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma Y$  be the adjoint of  $1_{\mathcal{E}X}$ . Then  $\text{conil } f = \text{conil } (e'f)$ .*

Let us first define a right structure system over a category  $\mathcal{C}$ . By this we shall mean  $\mathcal{R} = (R, P, T; d, j)$  where  $R, P, T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  are covariant functors and  $d: R \rightarrow P, j: T \rightarrow P$  are natural transformations. Given an object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , we say that  $X$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -structured if there exists a map  $\varphi: RX \rightarrow TX$  such that  $j(X)\varphi \simeq d(X)$ . Given a right structure  $\mathcal{R} = (R, P, T; d, j)$  over  $\mathcal{C}$ , we can form a right structure  $\mathcal{R}^2 = (RD_1, PD_0, TD_0; (dD_0)(RG), jD_0)$  over  $\mathcal{C}^2$ . We shall say that an element  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of  $\mathcal{C}^2$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -structured if it is  $\mathcal{R}^2$ -structured. It is easily checked that if  $X$  or  $Y$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -structured, then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -structured.

The dual of the  $H$ -structure is the  $H'$ -structure  $(1, \prod_{i=1}^2, \mathbf{V}_{i=1}^2; \Delta, j)$ , a right structure over  $\mathcal{T}$ . Clearly a space  $X$  is  $H'$ -structured if and only if it is an  $H'$ -space. Also a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $H'$ -structured if and only if  $\Delta f: X \rightarrow Y^2$  can be compressed into  $Y \vee Y$ . The dual of Theorem 1 would read:  $\text{conil } f \leq 1$  if and only if  $\Delta e'f: X \rightarrow (\Omega\Sigma Y)^2$  can be compressed into  $\Omega\Sigma Y \vee \Omega\Sigma Y$ . This, however, is false (see [5]). But in this case, we can generalize the  $H'$ -structure to another familiar right structure, namely the  $n$ -cat structure  $(1, \prod_{i=1}^{n+1}, T_1, \Delta, j)$  over  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $T_1$  is the fat wedge functor. Thus the 1-cat structure is precisely the  $H'$ -structure. Given a space  $X$ , we have  $\text{cat } X \leq n$  if there exists a map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow T_1(X, \dots, X)$  such that  $j\varphi \simeq \Delta: X \rightarrow X^{n+1}$ . Given a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , we have  $\text{cat } f \leq n$  if  $\Delta f: X \rightarrow Y^{n+1}$  can be compressed into  $T_1(Y, \dots, Y)$ .

Given a right structure system  $\mathcal{R} = (R, P, T; d, j)$  over  $\mathcal{C}$ , let us consider the cofibre of  $j: T \rightarrow P$ . Suppose the cofibre of  $j$  is  $q: P \rightarrow Q$ . Let  $j_w \rightarrow P$  be the fibre of  $q$ . Then we obtain a right structure system  $\mathcal{R}_w = (R, P, T_w; d, j_w)$  over  $\mathcal{C}$ , called the associated weak structure. We shall say that an object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is weakly  $\mathcal{R}$ -

structured if it can be  $\mathcal{R}_w$ -structured. Clearly, given a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  we have  $w \text{ cat } f \leq n$  if  $q_{\Delta}f \simeq *$  where  $q: Y^{n+1} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n+1} Y$  is the projection onto the smashed product. Given a right structure  $\mathcal{R} = (R, P, T; d, j)$  over  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have a right structure  $\Sigma\mathcal{R} = (\Sigma R, \Sigma P, \Sigma T; \Sigma d, \Sigma j)$  over  $\mathcal{C}$ , where  $\Sigma$  is the suspension functor. Clearly, if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -structured, it is  $\Sigma\mathcal{R}$ -structured and it is weakly  $\mathcal{R}$ -structured. Thus  $\Sigma w \text{ cat } f \leq w \text{ cat } f \leq \text{cat } f$  for any map  $f$ .

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y, g: Y \rightarrow Z$  be maps. Then it is easily seen that  $\text{cat}(gf) \leq \min\{\text{cat } f, \text{cat } g\}$  and  $w \text{ cat}(gf) \leq \min\{w \text{ cat } f, w \text{ cat } g\}$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map and let  $e': Y \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma Y$  be the adjoint of  $1_{\Sigma Y}$ . Then  $\text{conil } f \leq \Sigma w \text{ cat}(e'f)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Sigma w \text{ cat}(e'f) \leq n$ . Then  $\Sigma(q_{\Delta}e'f) \simeq *$  where  $q: (\Omega\Sigma Y)^{n+1} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n+1} \Omega\Sigma Y$  is the projection. Let  $c: \Sigma Y \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^{n+1} \Sigma Y$  be the commutator map of weight  $(n + 1)$  for  $\Sigma Y$ . Then we can form a map  $\bar{c}: Y^{n+1} \rightarrow \Omega(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n+1} \Sigma Y)$  such that  $\bar{c}_{\Delta} = \tau(c)$  (see [5]). Since  $\Sigma(q_{\Delta}e'f) \simeq *$ , applying  $\tau$  we have  $\Omega\Sigma(q_{\Delta}e'f) \simeq *$ . Consider the following diagram where each square is homotopy-commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & & & & \\
 \downarrow f & & & & \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & Y^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{q} & \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n+1} Y \\
 \downarrow e' & & \downarrow e' & & \downarrow e' \\
 \Omega\Sigma Y & \xrightarrow{\Omega\Sigma\Delta} & \Omega\Sigma(Y^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\Omega\Sigma q} & \Omega\Sigma\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n+1} Y\right)
 \end{array}$$

We have then that  $e'q_{\Delta}f \simeq *$ . Using Lemmas 4.1<sub>k</sub> and 4.2<sub>k</sub> of [5], it follows that  $\bar{c}_{\Delta}f \simeq *$ , that is,  $\tau(c)f \simeq *$ . Hence  $c(\Sigma f) \simeq *$ , and hence  $\text{conil } f \leq n$ . This proves that  $\text{conil } f \leq \Sigma w \text{ cat}(e'f)$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map where  $Y$  is an  $H$ -space. Then  $\text{cat } f = \text{cat}(e'f), w \text{ cat } f = w \text{ cat}(e'f)$  where  $e': Y \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma Y$  is the adjoint of  $1_{\Sigma Y}$ .*

*Proof.* We need only show that  $\text{cat } f \leq \text{cat}(e'f)$ , and

$$w \text{ cat } f \leq w \text{ cat}(e'f) .$$

Since  $Y$  is an  $H$ -space, we have a map  $r: \Omega\Sigma Y \rightarrow Y$  such that  $re' \simeq 1_Y$ . Then  $\text{cat } f = \text{cat}(re'f) \leq \text{cat}(e'f)$  and  $w \text{ cat } f = w \text{ cat}(re'f) \leq w \text{ cat}(e'f)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. M. Arkowitz, *The generalized Whitehead product*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 7-23.
2. ———, *Homotopy products for H-spaces*, Michigan Math. J. **10** (1963), 1-9.
3. I. Berstein and T. Ganea, *Homotopical nilpotency*, Illinois J. Math. **5** (1961), 99-130.
4. I. Berstein and P. J. Hilton, *Homomorphisms of homotopy structures*, Topologie et géométric différentielle, Séminaire Ehresmann, April, 1963.
5. T. Ganea, P. J. Hilton and F. P. Peterson, *On the homotopy-commutativity of loop spaces and suspensions*, Topology **1** (1962), 133-141.
6. C. S. Hoo, *A note on a theorem of Ganea, Hilton and Peterson*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 909-911.
7. F. P. Peterson, *Numerical invariants of homotopy type*, Colloquium on algebraic topology, Aarhus Universitet, 1962, 79-83.
8. J. Stasheff, *On homotopy abelian H-spaces*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **57** (1961), 734-745.

Received January 23, 1968. This research was supported by NRC Grant A-3026.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA  
EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA