MAPPING SOLENOIDS ONTO STRONGLY SELF-ENTWINED, CIRCLE-LIKE CONTINUA

J. T. Rogers, Jr.

A circle-like continuum C is self-entwined if there exists a sequence $\{C_i\}$ of circular chains which define C, a point p in C, and a sequence $\{D_i\}$ such that, for each i, (1) either D_i is a subchain of C_i , or $D_i = C_i$, (2) D_{i+1} circles at least twice in C_i , (3) C_{i+1} circles at least once in C_i , and (4) the point p is in the first link of D_i . If, in addition, each D_{i+1} circles more times in C_i than C_{i+1} circles in C_i , then C is said to be strongly self-entwined.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following.

THEOREM 1. No solenoid can be mapped onto a strongly self-entwined, circle-like continuum.

We show that each self-entwined, circle-like, plane continuum is strongly self-entwined; hence Theorem 1 implies that no solenoid can be mapped onto a self-entwined, circle-like, plane continuum.

Theorem 1 has another interesting corollary. Let n be a natural number greater than one. Let V_n denote the circle-like plane continuum which is the common part of a descending sequence $\{C_i\}$ of circular chains such that C_{i+1} circles n times in C_i in the positive direction and then n-1 times in the negative direction (see [1] for the definition of circling) and such that the first link of C_i contains the closure of the first link of C_{i+1} . The continuum V_n is obviously self-entwined, so no solenoid can be mapped onto V_n . This contrasts with a result [6] of J. W. Rogers, Jr., who has shown that each member of an analogous class of arc-like continua is a continuous image of each solenoid.

We assume the terminology and definitions of [3]. We use the equivalent definition of self-entwined, circle-like continuum given in [3]. We assume that each factor space of an inverse sequence is a triangulation of the unit circle C and that each bonding map is a surjective, piecewise-linear map of nonnegative degree. We also assume that, under these maps, the image of each vertex is either a vertex or a midpoint of a one-simplex, and that adjacent vertices are mapped into a simplex. Such inverse sequences are called barycentric inverse sequences. Each circle-like continuum has such an inverse limit representation [4, Lemma 8].

We redefine strongly self-entwined, circle-like continua in the terminology of [3]. If $X = \lim \{X_i, f_i^{i+1}\}$ is a self-entwined, circle-like continuum (hence we may assume for each i that $\deg (f_i^{i+1}) > 0$ and

 $R(f_i^{i+1}) > 1$), then we say that X is strongly self-entwined if

$$R(f_i^{i+1}) > \deg(f_i^{i+1})$$
 for each i.

A solenoid is a circle-like continuum which is the inverse limit of an inverse sequence such that each bonding map is one of the complex functions $\{w=z^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. A pseudo-circle is a non-arc-like, hereditarily indecomposable, circle-like plane continuum [4].

1. Mapping solenoids onto circle-like continua. We proceed immediately to the main theorem.

THEOREM 1. No solenoid can be mapped onto a strongly self-entwined, circle-like continuum.

Proof. Let $X = \lim \{X_i, f_i^{i+1}\}$ be a strongly self-entwined, circle-like continuum. We may assume that $\deg (f_i^{i+1}) \ge 1$ and

$$R(f_i^{i+1}) > \deg(f_i^{i+1}), i = 1, 2, \cdots$$

Let $S = \lim \{S_i, g_i^{i+1}\}$ be the 2-solenoid; we may assume that each bonding map g_i^{i+1} is the complex function $w = z^2$. We prove the theorem for S; the proof of the general case is similar.

Suppose that there exists a map f of S onto X. Let $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers converging to zero and bounded above by 1/2. The existence of f implies the existence of an infinite diagram

where $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ are increasing sequences of positive integers and where every subdiagram

is ε_k -commutative for all $r \geq k$. See [2, Theorem 1] for details.

Since each $\varepsilon_{\it k} < 1/2$, Diagram (2) and Lemma 4 of [4] assure us that

(3)
$$\deg(h_k \circ g_{n(k)}^{n(r)}) = \deg(f_{m(k)}^{m(r)}) \circ h_r) (r > k).$$

We show (as in Theorem 5 of [3]) that the revolving number of

 $h_k \circ g_{n(k)}^{n(r)}$ is less than that of $f_{m(k)}^{m(r)} \circ h_r$. Now it is not necessary that the two revolving numbers be equal, since the two composite maps may differ by ε_k ; since $\varepsilon_k < 1/2$, however, the revolving numbers can differ by no more than two (one at each end of a defining interval). For this reason, we add two to $R(h_k \circ g_{n(k)}^{n(r)})$ in the last inequality.

Because the bonding maps of the solenoid are so smooth, the inequality of Theorem 1 of [3] is actually an equality. Therefore,

$$egin{aligned} R(h_1 \circ g_{n(1)}^{n(r)}) &= R(g_{n(1)}^{n(r)}) \cdot \deg\left(h_1
ight) - \deg\left(h_1
ight) + R(h_1) \ &= \deg\left(g_{n(1)}^{n(r)}\right) \cdot \deg\left(h_1
ight) - \deg(h_1) + R(h_1) \ &= \deg\left(h_1 \circ g_{n(1)}^{n(r)}\right) - \deg\left(h_1
ight) + R(h_1) \ . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, repeated applications of Theorem 1 of [3] imply that

$$R(f_{m(1)}^{m(r)}) \geq \sum_{i=3}^{r} \left[R(f_{m(i-1)}^{m(i)}) \cdot \deg \left(f_{m(1)}^{m(i-1)}
ight) - \deg \left(f_{m(1)}^{m(i-1)}
ight] + R(f_{m(1)}^{m(2)}) \,.$$

Since

$$R(f_{m(i-1)}^{m(i)}) \ge 1 + \deg(f_{m(i-1)}^{m(i)})$$
 and $\deg(f_{m(i-1)}^{m(i)}) \ge 1$,

we have

$$\begin{split} R(f_{m(1)}^{m(r)}) & \geqq \sum_{i=2}^{r} \deg \left(f_{m(1)}^{m(i)} \right) \\ & \geqq \deg \left(f_{m(1)}^{m(r)} \right) + \sum_{i=2}^{r-1} (\deg f_{m(1)}^{m(i)}) \\ & \geqq \deg \left(f_{m(1)}^{m(r)} + (r-2) \right). \end{split}$$

Again applying Theorem 1 of [3], we find that

$$\begin{split} R(f_{m(1)}^{m(r)} \circ h_r) & \geqq R(h_r) \cdot \deg \left(f_{m(1)}^{m(r)} \right) - \deg \left(f_{m(1)}^{m(r)} \right) + R(f_{m(1)}^{m(r)}) \\ & \geqq \deg \left(h_r \right) \cdot \deg \left(f_{m(1)}^{m(r)} \right) - \deg \left(f_{m(1)}^{m(r)} \right) \\ & + \deg \left(f_{m(1)}^{m(r)} \right) + (r-2) \\ & \geqq \deg \left(f_{m(1)}^{m(r)} \circ h_r \right) + r - 2 \\ & \geqq \deg \left(h_1 \circ g_{n(1)}^{n(r)} \right) + r - 2 \\ & \geqq R(h_1 \circ g_{n(1)}^{n(r)}) + \deg \left(h_1 \right) - R(h_1) + r - 2 \;. \end{split}$$

If we choose r to exceed $R(h_1) - \deg(h_1) + 5$, then we obtain

$$R(f_{_{m(1)}}^{^{m(r)}} \circ h_r) > R(h_{_1} \circ g_{_{n(1)}}^{^{n(r)}}) \, + \, 2$$
 .

This contradiction establishes the theorem.

COROLLARY 1. No solenoid can be mapped onto a self-entwined, circle-like, plane continuum.

Proof. It suffices to show that each self-entwined, circle-like, plane continuum C is strongly self-entwined. Since C is self-entwined, C is the inverse limit of an inverse sequence $\{C_i, f_i^{i+1}\}$, where $R(f_i^{i+1}) > 1$ and $\deg(f_i^{i+1}) > 0$, for each i. By Theorem 3 of [1], we may assume, by choosing a subsequence if necessary, that $\deg(f_i^{i+1}) = 1$, for all i. Therefore, $R(f_i^{i+1}) > \deg(f_i^{i+1})$, and C is strongly self-entwined.

Corollary 2. No solenoid can be mapped onto a V_n .

COROLLARY 3. No solenoid can be mapped onto the pseudo-circle. [4].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. R. H. Bing, Embedding circle-like continua in the plane, Canad. J. Math., 14 (1962), 113-128.
- 2. J. Mioduszewski, Mappings of inverse limits, Colloq. Math., 10 (1963), 39-44.
- 3. J. T. Rogers, Jr., Mapping the pseudo-arc onto circle-like, self-entwined continua, Mich. J. of Math., 17 (1970), 91-96.
- 4. ——, The pseudo-circle is not homogeneous, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 148 (1970), 417-428.
- 5. ——, Pseudo-circles and universal circularly chainable continua, Illinois J. Math., 14 (1970), 222-237.
- 6. J. W. Rogers, Jr., On mapping indecomposable continua onto certain chainable indecomposable continua, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 25 (1970), 449-456.

Received October 10, 1969 and in revised form September 21, 1970.

TULANE UNIVERSITY