

## SCHLICHT MAPPINGS AND INFINITELY DIVISIBLE KERNELS

ROGER A. HORN

The purpose of this note is to give a simple condition which is sufficient for a function on a real interval to be the boundary value of a schlicht (univalent) analytic mapping of the upper half plane into itself. This condition leads to a simple transformation which takes (possibly) non-schlicht mappings into schlicht ones. The methods used have applications to probability theory as well; they yield an interesting class of infinitely divisible characteristic functions.

We shall require some facts about infinitely divisible kernels; for a detailed exposition see [5]. If  $I$  is a real interval we denote by  $L_0(I)$  the set of all continuous complex valued functions which have compact support in  $I$  and whose integral over  $I$  vanishes. A continuous kernel  $K(x, y)$  on  $I \times I$  is said to be *conditionally positive definite on  $I$*  if

$$(1) \quad \iint_{I \times I} K(x, y) \phi(x) \bar{\phi}(y) dx dy \geq 0$$

for all functions  $\phi \in L_0(I)$ ; it is said to be *positive definite on  $I$*  if (1) is satisfied for all continuous functions  $\phi$  with compact support in  $I$ ; it is said to be *infinitely divisible on  $I$*  if (for some fixed continuous determination of the argument) the kernel  $K^\alpha(x, y)$  is positive definite for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

The connection among these concepts is that a continuous Hermitian kernel  $K(x, y)$  with no zeroes is infinitely divisible on  $I$  if and only if (for some continuous determination of the argument) the kernel  $\log K(x, y)$  is conditionally positive definite on  $I$ . If  $K(x, y) > 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$  there is, of course, no difficulty about determining the argument. Finally, the relevance of these notions to function theory is indicated by the following result [6], [4]. If  $f$  is a differentiable function we define  $K_f(x, y) \equiv [f(x) - f(y)]/(x - y)$  and agree that  $K_f(x, x) = f'(x)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f$  be a continuously differentiable real valued function with positive derivative on a real interval  $I$ . The function  $f$  possesses an analytic continuation onto the upper half plane which maps the upper half plane into itself if and only if the kernel  $K_f(x, y)$  is positive definite on  $I$ . This mapping is schlicht if and only if  $K_f(x, y)$  is infinitely divisible on  $I$ .*

Although this result completely characterizes the boundary values of schlicht mappings, it is in practice much harder to verify that the kernel  $K_f(x, y)$  is infinitely divisible than to test it for positive definiteness. By our remarks above, one must check whether  $\log K_f(x, y)$  is conditionally positive definite, but the non-linearity of this expression in  $f$  often leads to computational difficulties. In the following, we shall derive a more linear, and hopefully more useful, sufficient condition. Recall that a  $C^\infty$  function  $\phi$  defined on  $(0, \infty)$  is *completely monotonic* if  $(-1)^n \phi^{(n)}(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x > 0$  and all  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $H(x, y)$  be a continuous Hermitian kernel on a real interval  $I$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} \{H(x, y)\} > 0$  and such that  $-H(x, y)$  is conditionally positive definite. If  $\phi$  is any completely monotonic function then the kernel  $\phi(H(x, y))$  is positive definite on  $I$ .*

*Proof.* It is well known that a function  $\phi$  is completely monotonic if and only if there exists a nonnegative measure  $d\mu$  such that  $\phi(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} d\mu(s)$  for all  $x > 0$  ([8], p. 160); in this event  $\phi$  is analytic in the whole right half plane. But since  $\operatorname{Re} \{H(x, y)\} > 0$  and  $\exp(-sH(x, y))$  is positive definite (even infinitely divisible) for all  $s > 0$ , it follows that  $\phi(H(x, y)) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-sH(x, y)) d\mu(s)$  is convergent and is a positive definite kernel.

An *infinitely divisible completely monotonic function*  $\phi$  is a function such that  $\phi^\alpha$  is completely monotonic for all  $\alpha > 0$ ; if  $\phi \neq 0$ , a necessary and sufficient condition for this is that the derivative of  $-\ln \phi$  be completely monotonic ([3], p. 229). Using the lemma and the definition of an infinitely divisible kernel we obtain

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $\phi$  be a positive differentiable function on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $-\phi'/\phi$  is completely monotonic, and suppose  $H(x, y)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2. Then  $\phi(H(x, y))$  is an infinitely divisible kernel.*

Since the function  $\phi(x) = 1/x$  satisfies this condition, the following result is immediate.

**COROLLARY 4.** *If  $H(x, y)$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2, then the kernel  $1/H(x, y)$  is infinitely divisible.*

Now suppose that  $g$  is a continuously differentiable real valued function on a real interval  $I$ , so that  $K_g(x, y)$  is a continuous symmetric kernel. If  $g'(x) > 0$  on  $I$  then  $K_g(x, y)$  is a positive kernel and the

inverse function  $g^{-1}$  is defined on the interval  $g(I)$ . Thus, if we assume that  $-K_g(x, y)$  is conditionally positive definite on  $I$  then we conclude from Corollary 4 that the kernel

$$\frac{1}{K_g(x, y)} = \frac{x - y}{g(x) - g(y)} = \frac{g^{-1}(g(x)) - g^{-1}(g(y))}{g(x) - g(y)}$$

is infinitely divisible. But this is equivalent to the kernel  $K_{g^{-1}}(s, t)$  being infinitely divisible on  $g(I)$  and so we may apply Theorem 1 to obtain the conclusion of the following

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $g$  be a continuously differentiable real valued function with positive derivative on a real interval  $I$  and suppose that the kernel*

$$-K_g(x, y) = -\frac{g(x) - g(y)}{x - y}$$

*is conditionally positive definite on  $I$ . Then the inverse function  $g^{-1}$  has an analytic continuation from  $g(I)$  onto the upper half plane which is a schlicht mapping of the upper half plane into itself.*

Thus, to ensure that a real function  $f$  on a real interval  $I$  is the boundary value of a schlicht self-mapping of the upper half plane it is sufficient to check that  $f'(x) > 0$  and that  $-K_{f^{-1}}(x, y)$  is conditionally positive definite on  $f^{-1}(I)$ .

The crucial condition in Theorem 5 is that the kernel  $-K_g(x, y)$  be conditionally positive definite, and a great deal is known about functions which satisfy this condition. For example, they are real analytic and are analytically continuable onto the upper half plane, they have a simple integral representation, and they arise as the infinitesimal transformations of the pseudo-semigroup  $\mathfrak{M}_\infty$  of self-mappings of the upper half plane which have real boundary values on  $I$  ([6] and [2], pp. 53-54). Furthermore, it is easy to find many non-trivial functions which satisfy this condition. Denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_\infty(0)$  the class of functions  $f$  which are analytic in the upper half plane, map it into itself, are real valued on some open real interval containing zero, and are normalized by the condition  $f(0) = 0$ .

**LEMMA 6.** *Let  $a$  be a real number, let  $b \geq 0$  and let  $f \in \mathfrak{M}_\infty(0)$ . Then the functions  $g_0(x) = a$ ,  $g_1(x) = ax$ ,  $g_2(x) = ax^2$ , and  $g_3(x) = bx^2f(x)$  are such that  $K_{g_i}(x, y)$  is conditionally positive definite on some neighborhood of the origin,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from a direct computation for  $i = 0, 1, 2$

but for  $i = 3$  we need to know ([1], p. 63) that  $f \in \mathfrak{M}_\infty(0)$  if and only if

$$(2) \quad f(x) = \int_{-1}^{\varepsilon} \frac{x}{1-tx} d\mu(t)$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and some nonnegative bounded measure  $d\mu$  on  $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ . Thus, since the assertion for  $i = 3$  follows for the special case  $f(x) = x/(1-tx)$  by direct computation, it follows for all  $f \in \mathfrak{M}_\infty(0)$  by linearity.

Using the four types of functions introduced in this lemma we can now use Theorem 5 to construct a wide class of schlicht mappings.

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $f \in \mathfrak{M}_\infty(0)$ , let  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $a_3 \geq 0$ , and let  $a_0$  and  $a_2$  be real numbers. Then the function*

$$g(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 - a_3x^2f(x)$$

*is such that the inverse function  $g^{-1}$  has an analytic continuation from a real neighborhood of  $a_0$  onto the upper half plane which is a schlicht mapping of the upper half plane into itself.*

*Proof.* The kernel  $-K_g(x, y)$  is conditionally positive definite by Lemma 6 and  $g'(x) > 0$  in some real neighborhood of zero. The result follows from Theorem 5.

Although this construction provides a wealth of schlicht mappings, it is far from exhaustive: the functions  $f(z) = 3[\sqrt[3]{z+1} - 1]$  and  $f(z) = \log(z+1)$  are schlicht mappings which are not of this form.

**REMARK 1.** Linear combinations of the four functions in Lemma 6 are in fact the *only* smooth functions  $g$  such that  $K_g(x, y)$  is conditionally positive definite. In order to prove this we use the following criterion for a kernel to be conditionally positive definite.

**LEMMA 8.** *Let  $H(x, y)$  be a continuous kernel on a real interval  $I$  and let  $x_0 \in I$ . Then  $H(x, y)$  is conditionally positive definite on  $I$  if and only if the kernel*

$$H_{x_0}^*(x, y) \equiv H(x, y) - H(x, x_0) - H(x_0, y) + H(x_0, x_0)$$

*is positive definite on  $I$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\phi \in L_0(I)$ , then

$$\iint_{I \times I} H_{x_0}^*(x, y) \phi(x) \bar{\phi}(y) dx dy = \iint_{I \times I} H(x, y) \phi(x) \bar{\phi}(y) dx dy,$$

and hence  $H(x, y)$  is conditionally positive definite if  $H_{x_0}^*(x, y)$  is positive definite. Conversely, suppose  $H(x, y)$  is conditionally positive definite and let  $\{f_n(x)\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  be an approximate identity based at  $x_0$ , i.e., each  $f_n$  is a nonnegative continuous function with support in  $I \cap [x_0 - n^{-1}, x_0 + n^{-1}]$  and  $\int_I f_n(x) dx = 1$  for all  $n$ . If  $\phi$  is any continuous function with compact support in  $I$ , let  $\phi_n(x) \equiv \phi(x) - f_n(x) \int_I \phi(t) dt$  and observe that  $\phi_n \in L_0(I)$  for all large  $n$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \iint_{I \times I} H(x, y) \phi_n(x) \bar{\phi}_n(y) dx dy \\ &= \iint_{I \times I} \left\{ H(x, y) - \int_I H(x, t) f_n(t) dt - \int_I H(s, y) f_n(s) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \iint_{I \times I} H(s, t) f_n(s) f_n(t) ds dt \right\} \phi(x) \bar{\phi}(y) dx dy \\ &\rightarrow \iint_{I \times I} \{ H(x, y) - H(x, x_0) - H(x_0, y) + H(x_0, x_0) \} \phi(x) \bar{\phi}(y) dx dy \\ &= \iint_{I \times I} H_{x_0}^*(x, y) \phi(x) \bar{\phi}(y) dx dy \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\phi$  is arbitrary, we conclude that the kernel  $H_{x_0}^*(x, y)$  must be positive definite.

**LEMMA 9.** *Let  $K(x, y)$  be a continuous kernel on a real interval  $I$ . Then  $xyK(x, y)$  is positive definite kernel if and only if  $K(x, y)$  is a positive definite kernel.*

*Proof.* If zero is not a point of  $I$  this is trivial, so suppose  $0 \in I$ , let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and denote by  $f_\epsilon$  the unique even function such that

$$f_\epsilon(x) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, \epsilon] \\ \epsilon^{-1}(x - \epsilon) & \text{if } x \in [\epsilon, 2\epsilon] \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Let  $M \equiv \sup_{I \times I} |K(x, y)|$ , let  $\phi$  be a continuous function with compact support in  $I$ , and assume that  $xyK(x, y)$  is positive definite on  $I$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{I \times I} K(x, y) \phi(x) \bar{\phi}(y) dx dy \\ &= \iint_{I \times I} K(x, y) \phi(x) \bar{\phi}(y) (1 - f_\epsilon(y)) dx dy \\ &\quad + \iint_{I \times I} K(x, y) \phi(x) \bar{\phi}(y) f_\epsilon(y) (1 - f_\epsilon(x)) dx dy \\ &\quad + \iint_{I \times I} K(x, y) \phi(x) f_\epsilon(x) \bar{\phi}(y) f_\epsilon(y) dx dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq - \iint_{I \times I} |K(x, y)\phi(x)\bar{\phi}(y)(1 - f_\varepsilon(y))| dx dy \\ &\quad - \iint_{I \times I} |K(x, y)\bar{\phi}(y)f_\varepsilon(y)\phi(x)(1 - f_\varepsilon(x))| dx dy \\ &\quad + \iint_{I \times I} xyK(x, y)x^{-1}\phi(x)f_\varepsilon(x)y^{-1}\bar{\phi}(y)f_\varepsilon(y) dx dy \\ &\geq -6M\varepsilon \sup_I |\phi(x)| \int_I |\phi(x)| dx . \end{aligned}$$

For the last inequality we have used the hypothesis that  $xyK(x, y)$  is positive definite and the fact that the function  $x^{-1}\phi(x)f_\varepsilon(x)$  is a continuous function with compact support in  $I$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary we conclude that

$$\iint_{I \times I} K(x, y)\phi(x)\bar{\phi}(y) dx dy \geq 0 ,$$

i.e.,  $K(x, y)$  is positive definite. The converse is trivial.

Now assume that the kernel  $H(x, y)$  is of the special form  $H(x, y) = K_g(x, y)$ , where  $g$  is a real valued function which is three times continuously differentiable on an open real interval containing zero. Assume that  $g(0) = g'(0) = g''(0) = 0$ . Then  $g(x)/x^2$  is continuously differentiable and

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^*(x, y) &= K_g(x, y) - K_g(x, 0) - K_g(0, y) + K_g(0, 0) \\ &= xy \frac{\frac{g(x)}{x^2} - \frac{g(y)}{y^2}}{x - y} = xyK_h(x, y) , \end{aligned}$$

where we set  $h(x) \equiv g(x)/x^2$ . Thus, Lemma 8 says that  $K_g(x, y)$  is conditionally positive definite if and only if  $xyK_h(x, y)$  is positive definite, and Lemma 9 says this is equivalent to the kernel  $K_h(x, y)$  being positive definite. We conclude that  $K_g(x, y)$  is conditionally positive definite if and only if  $K_h(x, y)$  is positive definite. But this means that  $h(x) = g(x)/x^2 \in \mathfrak{M}_\infty(0)$  and hence  $h$  has the integral representation (2). The normalization we assumed for  $g$  can always be attained by subtracting a suitable quadratic polynomial, since Lemma 6 shows that every such polynomial has a conditionally positive definite difference quotient kernel. We summarize our results as

**THEOREM 10.** *Let  $g$  be real valued function on an open real interval  $I$  containing zero. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) *The function  $g$  is three times continuously differentiable and the kernel  $K_g(x, y) = [g(x) - g(y)]/(x - y)$  is conditionally positive*

definite on  $I$ .

(b) The function  $g$  has the form

$$g(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^2f(x),$$

where  $a_0, a_1, a_2$  are real numbers,  $a_3 \geq 0$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{M}_\infty(0)$ .

(c) The function  $g$  has the form

$$g(x) = a_0 + a_1 + a_2x^2 + \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{x^3 d\mu}{1 - xt}$$

where  $a_0, a_1, a_2$  are real numbers,  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  and  $d\mu$  is a nonnegative bounded measure.

It should be noted that it is sufficient in (a) to assume only that  $g$  is continuously differentiable; the condition on the kernel then implies that  $g$  is analytic [6]. This characterization of the functions  $g$  such that  $K_g(x, y)$  is conditionally positive definite was obtained first by C. FitzGerald [2] using less elementary results on analytic kernels.

REMARK 2. Lemma 2 and its corollaries are also useful in probability theory where one is interested in continuous Hermitian kernels of the form  $K(x, y) = f(x - y)$ ,  $f(0) = 1$ . Such a kernel is positive definite if and only if  $f(x)$  is the Fourier transform of a (unique) probability measure on the line, i.e.,  $f(x)$  is a *characteristic function*; this kernel is infinitely divisible if and only if the measure is infinitely divisible. If  $f(x)$  is the characteristic function of an infinitely divisible probability measure, then the kernel  $H(x, y) = \ln f(x - y)$  is conditionally positive definite and has nonpositive real part since  $|f(x)| \leq 1$  for all real  $x$ . Thus, the kernel  $H(x, y) = \lambda - \ln f(x - y)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2 if  $\lambda > 0$  and hence the kernel

$$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \ln f(x - y)}$$

is infinitely divisible by Corollary 4. But this means that the function  $\phi(x) = \lambda/(\lambda - \ln f(x))$  is an infinitely divisible characteristic function whenever  $f$  is an infinitely divisible characteristic function and  $\lambda > 0$ . This result was obtained by F. W. Steutel [7] from very different considerations.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Bendat and S. Sherman, *Monotone and convex matrix functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **79** (1965), 58-71.

2. C. FitzGerald, *Topics in Geometric Function Theory*, Stanford University PhD Thesis, 1967.
3. R. A. Horn, *On infinitely divisible matrices, kernels, and functions*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb., **8** (1967), 219-230.
4. ———, *On boundary values of a Schlicht mapping*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18** (1967), 782-787.
5. ———, *The theory of infinitely divisible matrices and kernels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **136** (1969), 269-286.
6. C. Loewner, *On Schlicht-monotonic functions of higher order*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **14** (1966), 320-325.
7. F. W. Steutel, *A class of infinitely divisible mixtures*, Ann. Math. Stat., **39** (1968), 1153-1157.
8. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1946.

Received August 20, 1970. This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation with Grant GP-13258 and by the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst with a grant at the Mathematisches Institut der Universität Marburg.

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY

Present address: UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND