# A GENERALIZATION OF THE PRIME RADICAL IN NONASSOCIATIVE RINGS 

Hyo Chul Myung

In [5] Tsai defined the Brown-McCoy prime radical for Jordan rings in terms of the quadratic operation and proved basic results for the radical. In this paper we give a definition of the prime radical for arbitrary nonassociative rings in terms of a *-operation defined on the family of ideals and of a function $f$ of the ring into the family of ideals in the ring. The prime radical for Jordan or standard rings is obtained by a particular choice of the *-operation and the function $f$. We also extend the results for the Jordan case to weakly $W$ admissible rings which include the generalized standard rings and therefore alternative and standard rings as well as Jordan rings.

1. Let $K$ be any nonassociative ring and let $\mathscr{F}(K)$ denote the family of ideals of $K$.

Definition 1. We define a *-operation as a mapping of $\mathscr{F}(K) \times$ $\mathscr{J}(K)$ into the family of additive subgroups of $K$ such that
(*1) for $A, B, C$, and $D$ in $\mathscr{J}(K)$ if $A \subseteq C$ and $B \subseteq D$, then $A * B \cong C * D$,
$(* 2) \quad(0) * A=B *(0)=(0)$ for all $A, B$ in $\mathscr{J}(K)$,
(*3) $\overline{A * B}=\bar{A} * \bar{B}$ for any homomorphic images $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{B}$ of $A$ and $B$ in $\mathscr{J}(K)$.

If $K$ is a Jordan ring, let $U_{x} \equiv 2 R_{x}^{2}-R_{x^{2}}$ be the quadratic operation and $A U_{B}$ be the additive subgroup of $K$ generated by $x U_{y}$, $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. Then the $U$-operation satisfies the conditions above. If the characteristic is not 2 , it is shown in [5] that $A U_{A}=A A^{2}$ and is an ideal of $K$ for $A$ in $\mathscr{J}(K)$.

For any ring $K$ and $A, B$ in $\mathscr{I}(K)$, if we define $A * B$ as the additive subgroup $A B^{2}+B^{2} A+(A B) B+(B A) B$, then $A * B$ also satisfies the conditions in Definition 1. In case $K$ is a standard ring, it is shown in [6] that $A * B$ is an ideal of $K$ for $A, B$ in $\mathscr{J}(K)$. If $K$ is commutative or anticommutative, then $A * B=A B^{2}+(A B) B$. In particular, if $K$ is a Lie ring, $A * B$ is an ideal of $K$. Since $A^{2}$ is not in general an ideal of $K$ for $A$ in $\mathscr{J}(K)$, but there are considerably broad classes of nonassociative rings in which $A^{3} \equiv A A^{2}+A^{2} A$ is an ideal of $K$ for every ideal $A$, this example will be particularly interesting.

We recall that a noncommutative Jordan ring $K$ is one satisfying
the flexible law $(x, y, x)=0$ and the Jordan identity $\left(x, y, x^{2}\right)=0$ for all $x, y$ in $K$, where $(x, y, z)=(x y) z-x(y z)$. Most of the well known nonassociative rings are included in the class of noncommutative Jordan rings. Recently Thedy [4] defined a considerably broad class of algebras that generalizes many of the well known algebras.

Definition 2. A noncommutative Jordan ring $K$ is called weakly $W$-admissible if it satisfies

$$
[(a, b, c), c]-([a, c], c, b)=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ([a, b], d, c]+([b, c], d, a)+([c, a], d, b] \\
= & p[(a, b, c), d]+q[S(a, b, c), d]+r[d,[b,[a, c]]]
\end{aligned}
$$

for some integers $p, q, r$ such that either $m(p, q, r) \equiv 3+2 p+6 q-$ $4 r \neq 0$, or $n(p, r) \equiv p+4 r \neq 0$, where $[a, b]=a b-b a$ and $S(a, b, c)=$ $(a, b, c)+(b, c, a)+(c, a, b)$.

Thedy called a noncommutative Jordan algebra over a field $W$-admissible if it satisfies the identity $[a,(a, a, b)]=0$ and the two identities above for $p, q, r$ in the field such that either $m(p, q, r) \neq 0$ or $n(p, r) \neq$ 0 . He proved that if the characteristic is not 2 , then any generalized standard ring of Schafer [2] is $W$-admissible with $p=-2$ and $q=r=$ 0 . Therefore, weakly $W$-admissible rings include generalized standard rings and hence alternative and standard rings as well as Jordan rings. In case the characteristic is not 2, it is also shown in [4, p. 192] that in any weakly $W$-admissible ring $K, A^{3}$ is an ideal of $K$ for $A$ in $\mathscr{J}(K)$.

Lemma 1.1. Let $K$ be any ring. Then the conditions (*2) and (*3) imply
(i) $(A+C) *(B+C) \subseteq A * B+C$, and
(ii) $A * B \cong A \cap B$
for ideals $A, B, C$ of $K$.
Proof. Consider the quotient ring $\bar{K}=K / C$, then by $(* 3)(\overline{A+}$ $\overline{C) *(B+C)}=\bar{A} * \bar{B}=\overline{A * B}$, and hence (i). Let $\bar{K}=K / A$, then $\overline{A * B}=$ $\bar{A} * \bar{B}=(\overline{0}) * \bar{B}=(\overline{0})$ by ( $* 2$ ) and so $A * B \subseteq A$. Similarly $A * B \subseteq B$ and $A * B \subseteq A \cap B$.

Definition 3. Let $K$ be any ring. Then $f$ is defined as a function of $K$ into $\mathscr{J}(K)$ such that for every $a$ in $K$
(f 1) $a \in f(a)$,
(f 2) if $x \in f(a)$, then $f(x) \subseteq f(a)$,
(f 3) $\overline{f(a)}=f(\bar{\alpha})$, where $\bar{\alpha}$ is a homomorphic image of $\alpha$.
The principal ideal (a) generated by $a$ in $K$ is an example of $f(a)$. Now let $S$ be a subset of $K$ and define $f(a)$ to be the ideal ( $a, S$ ) generated by $a$ and $S$. Then $f$ satisfies the conditions above. A similar function to $f$ has been defined in [1] for the associative case and in [3].

Henceforth we assume that $f$ denotes a function of $K$ into $\mathscr{F}(K)$ satisfying (f 1 ), (f 2), and (f 3). Then clearly $(a) \subseteq f(\alpha)$. For an ideal $A$ of $K$, we denote the ideal $\sum_{a \in A} f(\alpha)$ by $f(A)$. Then $A \subseteq f(A)$ and $f(A) \cong f(B)$ if $A \subseteq B$, and also $f((\alpha))=f(a)$. But in general $f(A) \neq A$ as shown by the example $f(a)=(a, S)$ for a subset $S$ of $K$. Let $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}(K)$ denote the family of ideals $f(A)$ for $A$ in $\mathscr{F}(K)$. Then $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}(K) \sqsubseteq$ $\mathscr{I}(K)$ and in particular, if $f$ is such that $f(\alpha)=(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha$ in $K$, then $f(A)=A$ and $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}(K)=\mathscr{I}(K)$.
2. In this section we give a definition of the prime radical for any ring in terms of the *-operation and the function $f$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $K$ be any ring where the *-operation and the function $f$ are defined. For an ideal $P$ of $K$, the following are equivalent:
(i) If $f(A) * f(B) \subseteq P$ for $A, B$ in $\mathscr{I}(K)$, then either $f(A) \subseteq P$ or $f(B) \subseteq P$.
(ii) If we have $f(A) \cap c(P) \neq \varnothing$ and $f(B) \cap c(P) \neq \varnothing$, then $f(A) * f(B) \cap c(P) \neq \varnothing$.
(iii) If $a$ and $b$ are in $c(P)$, then $f(a) * f(b) \cap c(P) \neq \varnothing$.

Proof. We need only to show that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. Let $a$ and $b$ be in $c(P)$, then $f(a) \cap c(P) \neq \varnothing$ and $f(b) \cap$ $c(P) \neq \varnothing$. Hence (ii) implies (iii). Now let $A$ and $B$ be ideals of $K$ with $f(A) \cap c(P) \neq \varnothing$ and $f(B) \cap c(P) \neq \varnothing$. Let $a \in f(A) \cap c(P)$ and $b \in f(B) \cap c(P)$. Assuming (iii), we get $f(a) * f(b) \cap c(P) \neq \varnothing$ and by $(* 1) f(A) * f(B) \cap(P) \neq \varnothing$, thus (ii) holds.

Definition 4. (i) An ideal $P$ of $K$ is called $f^{*}$-prime if it satisfies any one of Lemma 2.1. A nonempty subset $M$ of $K$ is called an $f^{*}$ system if, for $A, B$ in $\mathscr{I}(K), f(A) \cap M \neq \varnothing$ and $f(B) \cap M \neq \varnothing$ imply $f(A) * f(B) \cap M \neq \varnothing$.
(ii) An ideal $P$ of $K$ is called $f^{*}$-semiprime if, for any ideal $A$ of $K, f(A) * f(A) \cong P$ implies $f(A) \cong P$. A nonempty subset $M$ of $K$ is called an $s f^{*}$-system if, for $A$ in $\mathscr{S}(K), f(A) \cap M \neq \varnothing$ implies $f(A) * f(A) \cap M \neq \varnothing$.

An ideal $P$ is $f^{*}$-prime if and only if $c(P)$ is an $f^{*}$-system. Similarly, an ideal $P$ is $f^{*}$-semiprime if and only if $\mathrm{c}(P)$ is an $s f^{*}$ -
system. Let $K$ be a Jordan or standard ring. If we define $A * B$ as $A U_{B}$ or as $A B^{2}+B^{2} A+(A B) B+(B A) B$ and define $f(a)$ as (a) for every $a$ in $K$, then the defininition of $f^{*}$-prime and $f^{*}$-semiprime ideals coincide with those in [5] or in [6].

Definition 5. For $A$ in $\mathscr{J}(K), A^{*}=\left\{x \in K \mid\right.$ any $f^{*}$-system containing $x$ meets $A\}$ is called the $f^{*}$-radical of $A$. Similarly, $A_{*}=$ $\left\{y \in K \mid\right.$ any $s f^{*}$-system containing $y$ meets $\left.A\right\}$ is called the $s f^{*}$-radical of $A$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $A$ be an ideal of $K$. Then
(i) $A^{*}$ is the intersection of all the $f^{*}$-prime ideals $P_{i}$ containing A.
(ii) $A_{*}$ is the intersection of all $f^{*}$-semiprime ideals containing $A$.
(iii) $A_{*}$ is an $f^{*}$-semiprime ideal of $K$.
(iv) $A$ is $f^{*}$-semiprime if and only if $A=A_{*}$.

Proof. The proofs are essentially the same as in [5]. But to emphasize use of the $*$-operation and the function $f$ we prove only (i). Let $\bigcap_{i} P_{i}$ be the intersection of all the $f^{*}$-prime ideals $P_{i}$ of $K$ containing $A$. If $a \notin P_{i}$ for some $i$, then $a \in c\left(P_{i}\right)$, being an $f^{*}$-system, and $c\left(P_{i}\right) \cap A=\varnothing$. Hence $a \notin A^{*}$ and $A^{*} \subseteq \bigcap_{i} P_{i}$. Conversely, if $\alpha \notin A^{*}$, then there exists an $f^{*}$-system $M$ with $a \in M$ but $A \cap M=\varnothing$. By Zorn's lemma we find a maximal ideal $P$ such that $P \supseteqq A$ but $P \cap M=\varnothing$. Let $B, C$ be ideals of $K$ such that $f(B) \cap c(P) \neq \varnothing$ and $f(C) \cap c(P) \neq \varnothing$. By the maximality of $P,(f(B)+P) \cap M \neq \varnothing$ and $(f(C)+P) \cap M \neq$ $\varnothing$. Since $M$ is an $f^{*}$-system, $\varnothing \neq(f(B)+P) *(f(C)+P) \cap M \subseteq$ $(f(B) * f(C)+P) \cap M$ by Lemma 1.1 (i), thus $f(B) * f(C) \cap c(P) \neq \varnothing$. Hence $P$ is $f^{*}$-prime and $\alpha \notin P$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $a$ be an element of $K$ and $S$ be an $s f^{*}$-system containing $a$. Then there exists an $f^{*}$-system $M$ such that $a \in M$ and $M \subseteq S$.

Proof. Let $a_{1}=a$, then $a_{1} \in f\left(a_{1}\right) \cap S$ and so $f\left(a_{1}\right) * f\left(a_{1}\right) \cap S \neq \varnothing$. Hence we obtain a set $M=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}, \cdots\right\}$ such that $a_{k+1} \in$ $f\left(a_{k}\right) \cap S$ and $M \subseteq S$. By Lemma 1.1 (ii) we note that $a_{k+1} \in f\left(a_{k}\right) * f\left(a_{k}\right) \subseteq$ $f\left(a_{k}\right)$ and so $f\left(a_{k+1}\right) \subseteq f\left(a_{k}\right)$. Let $p=\max (i, j)$, then $a_{p+1} \in f\left(a_{p}\right)^{*} f\left(a_{p}\right) \cap$ $S \subseteq f\left(a_{i}\right) * f\left(a_{j}\right) \cap S$. Hence $f\left(a_{i}\right) * f\left(a_{j}\right) \cap M \neq \varnothing$ and $M$ is an $f^{*}$ system.

Therefore, as in [5], we have
Theorem 2.4. For any ideal $A$ of $K, A^{*}=A_{*}$. $A^{*}$ is called the $f^{*}$-prime radical of $A$.

Definition 6. The $f^{*}$-prime radical, $R^{*}(K)$, of $K$ is the $f^{*}$ prime radical of the ideal (0). A ring $K$ is said to be $f^{*}$-semisimple if $R^{*}(K)=(0)$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\bar{K}$ be a homomorphic image of $K$. If $M$ is an $f^{*}$-system of $K$, then so is $\bar{M}$ in $\bar{K}$.

Proof. Let $\bar{A}, \bar{B}$ be ideals of $\bar{K}$ such that $f(\bar{A}) \cap \bar{M} \neq \varnothing$ and $f(\bar{B}) \cap \bar{M} \neq \varnothing$, where $A$ and $B$ are ideals in $K$ containing the kernel. Recalling (f 3 ) and $A \subseteq f(A)$, these imply $f(A) \cap M \neq \varnothing$ and $f(B) \cap$ $M \neq \varnothing$. Since $M$ is an $f^{*}$-system, by ( $* 3$ ) and (f 3 ) we see that $f(\bar{A}) * f(\bar{B}) \cap \bar{M} \neq \varnothing$.

Therefore, by Lemma 2.3 we easily see that any homomorphic image of an $f^{*}$-prime ideal containing the kernel is also $f^{*}$-prime. Hence we obtain

Theorem 2.6. Let $K$ be a ring and $R^{*}(K)$ be the $f^{*}$-prime radical of $K$, then $R^{*}\left(K / R^{*}(K)\right)=(0)$, that is, $K / R^{*}(K)$ is $f^{*}$-semisimple.

Definition 7. A ring $K$ is called an $f^{*}$-prime ring if ( 0 ) is an $f^{*}$-prime ideal in $K$.

Clearly, an $f^{*}$-prime ring is $f^{*}$-semisimple. Since any homomorphic image of an $f^{*}$-prime ideal is $f^{*}$-prime, if $P$ is an $f^{*}$-prime ideal in $K$ then $K / P$ is an $f^{*}$-prime ring. Let $\bar{K}=K / P$ be an $f^{*} A$ prime ring and let $f(A) * f(B) \subseteq P$, then $f(\bar{A}) * f(\bar{B}) \subseteq(\overline{0})$ and so $f(A) \subseteq P$ or $f(B) \subseteq$ $P$, thus $P$ is $f^{*}$-prime in $K$. Hence $P$ is an $f^{*}$-prime ideal of $K$ if and only if $K / P$ is an $f^{*}$-prime ring. Therefore, as for Jordan rings, we obtain

Theorem 2.7. $A$ ring $K$ is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of $f^{*}$ prime rings if and only if $K$ is $f^{*}$-semisimple.
3. Throughout this section we assume that the *-operation satisfies the following additional condition:
(*4) $A * A=A^{3}$ and $A * A$ is an ideal of $K$ for $A$ in $\mathscr{F}(K)$.
We recall that if $K$ is a weakly $W$-admissible or Lie ring then $A * B=A B^{2}+B^{2} A+(A B) B+(B A) B$ satisfies ( $* 4$ ).

Theorem 3.1. Let $A$ be an ideal of a ring $K$ and $r \in A_{*}$. Then a power of $r$ belongs to $A$. Furthermore if $K$ is power-associative, then the $f^{*}$-radical $R^{*}(K)$ is a nil ideal in $K$.

Proof. Let $M$ be the multiplicatively closed system generated
by $r$ in $K$. Then it follows from (*4) that $M$ is an $s f^{*}$-system containing $r$. Hence $M \cap A \neq \varnothing$. If $K$ is power-associative and $r \in$ $R^{*}(K)$, then $r^{k} \in(0)$ for some $k$ and so $R^{*}(K)$ is nil.

Therefore, the $f^{*}$-radical $R^{*}(K)$ is contained in the nil radical $N(K)$ (the maximal nil ideal in $K$ ).

Let $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}(K)$ denote the set of ideals $f(A)$ for $A$ in $\mathscr{I}(K)$. Then $\mathscr{J}^{\prime}(K) \subseteq \mathscr{I}(K)$.

Theorem 3.2. A ring $K$ is $f^{*}$-semisimple if and only if $\mathscr{J}^{\prime}(K)$ contains no nonzero nilpotent ideal.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.2 (iv) that $K$ is $f^{*}$-semisimple if and only if the ideal (0) is $f^{*}$-semiprime. If $f(A)$ is a nonzero nilpotent ideal for $A$ in $\mathscr{J}(K)$, there exist positive integers $u=3^{t}$ and $v=3^{t-1}$ such that $f(A)^{u}=(0)$ but $f(A)^{v} \neq(0)$. But then since $f(A)^{v} * f(A)^{v} \subseteq f(A)^{3 v}=f(A)^{u}=(0),(0)$ is not $f^{*}$-semiprime. Conversely, if (0) is not $f^{*}$-semiprime, then there exists an ideal $f(A) \neq(0)$ such that $f(A) * f(A)=f(A)^{3}=(0)$, thus $f(A)$ is nilpotent.

Corollary 3.3. The $f^{*}$-radical $R^{*}(K)$ contains all the nilpotent ideals in $\mathscr{J}^{\prime}(K)$.

Proof. Let $f(A)$ be a nilpotent ideal in $\mathscr{J}^{\prime}(K)$ and $\bar{K}=K / R^{*}(K)$, then $\overline{f(A)}=f(\bar{A}) \in \mathscr{J}^{\prime}(\bar{K})$, and $f(\bar{A})$ is nilpotent in $\bar{K}$. Since $\bar{K}$ is $f^{*}$-semisimple, by Theorem $3.2 f(\bar{A})=(\overline{0})$, thus $f(A) \subseteq R^{*}(K)$.

Theorem 3.4. If $K$ is a ring and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(K)$ contains a maximal nilpotent ideal $S^{\prime}(K)$, then $R^{*}(K)=S^{\prime}(K)$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.3, $S^{\prime}(K) \subseteq R^{*}(K)$. Let $\bar{K}=K / S^{\prime}(K)$, then $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}(\bar{K})$ contains no nonzero nilpotent ideal and by Theorem $3.2 R^{*}(\bar{K})=$ (0). If $r \notin S^{\prime}(K)$, then $\bar{r} \neq \overline{0}$ and so there exists an $f^{*}$-prime ideal $\bar{P}$ in $\bar{K}$ with $\bar{r} \notin \bar{P}$. From (*3) and (f 3) it follows that the inverse image $P$ of $\bar{P}$ is an $f^{*}$-prime ideal in $K$. But since $\bar{r} \notin \bar{P}, r \notin P$ and so $r \notin R^{*}(K)$, thus $R^{*}(K) \subseteq S^{\prime}(K)$.

Now suppose that $f(a)=(a)$ for every element $a$ in $K$. Then $\mathscr{F}(K)=\mathscr{F}^{\prime}(K)$. Hence by Theorem $3.2 K$ is $f^{*}$-semisimple if and only if $K$ has no nonzero nilpotent ideal, and $R^{*}(K)$ contains all nilpotent ideals of $K$. In this case the ideal $S^{\prime}(K)$ is a maximal nilpotent ideal $S(K)$ in $K$ and by Theorem $3.4 R^{*}(K)=S(K)$.

Let $K$ now be a finite dimensional $W$-admissible or Lie algebra over a field. Let $f(\alpha)=(\alpha)$ for all $a$ in $K$. If $K$ is $W$-admissible, then it is shown in [4] that the nil radical $N(K)$ is nilpotent and so the
unique maximal nilpotent ideal $S(K)$. Hence by Theorem 3.4 $R^{*}(K)=$ $N(K)=S(K)$. If $K$ is a Lie algebra, it is well known that $K$ has a maximal nilpotent ideal $S(K)$ and hence $R^{*}(K)=S(K)$.
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