

## INNER FUNCTIONS INVARIANT CONNECTED COMPONENTS

V. NESTORIDIS

**The inner functions  $d = \exp \{(z + 1)/(z - 1)\}$  and  $zd$  belong to the same connected component in the space of inner functions under uniform topology. Therefore, simplification is not possible in general but it is always possible to simplify by a finite Blaschke product.**

**O. Introduction.** This work deals with the inner functions of one variable. A complex, holomorphic function  $f$ , bounded on the open unit disk  $D$  of the complex plane is called inner if  $|f(e^{i\theta})| = 1$  a.e.; where  $f(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} f(\rho e^{i\theta})$ .

In the set  $F$  of the inner functions we consider the topology induced by the Banach space  $H^\infty$ ; that is, we consider  $F$  with the topology of uniform convergence.

In this work, related to a publication of D. Herrero [2], we are interested in the connected components of the space  $F$ , mainly with respect to multiplication of inner functions.

Let us denote by  $f \sim g$  the fact that the inner functions  $f$  and  $g$  belong to the same connected component. The questions that motivate this work are the following:

(a) For the identity function  $z$ , is there an inner function  $f$  such that  $f \sim zf$ ?

(b) Is simplification permitted? That is, does relation  $f\omega \sim g\omega$  imply  $f \sim g$  for any three inner functions  $f, g, \omega$ ?

The results of this work can be summarized as follow:

(1) "Simplification" by a finite Blaschke product is always possible.

(2) "Simplification" is not possible in general.

(3) If the singular measure  $\mu$  associated with a singular function  $S$  contains at least one atom, then relation  $S \sim zS$  holds.

(4) For any nonconstant inner function  $g$ , the inner functions  $\exp \{(g + 1)/(g - 1)\}$  and  $g \exp \{(g + 1)/(g - 1)\}$  belong to the same connected component.

(5) For any nonconstant singular function  $S$ , there exists a nonconstant inner function  $g$  such that:  $S \sim gS$ .

In order to prove that simplification by a finite Blaschke product is possible, we first show that the set  $zF = \{zh: h \in F\} = \{x \in F: x(0) = 0\}$  is a retract of  $F$ .

In order to give an example of an inner function  $f$  such that  $f \sim zf$ , we shift the zeros of an infinite Blaschke product in such

a way that the Blaschke product moves continuously with respect to the uniform topology.

The following problems seem to be open:

- (1) Does relation  $S \sim zS$  hold for any singular function?
- (2) Find all inner functions such that  $f \sim zf$ .
- (3) Characterize the inner functions  $\omega$  such that  $\omega f \sim \omega g \Rightarrow f \sim g$  for all  $f, g \in F$ .
- (4) Find a necessary and sufficient condition for two inner functions  $f$  and  $g$  to belong to the same connected component.

1. Preliminaries. A complex, holomorphic function  $f$ , bounded on the open unit disk  $D$  of the complex plane is called inner if its boundary values have almost everywhere absolute value one; that is, relation  $|f(e^{i\theta})|=1$  holds almost everywhere (with  $f(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} f(\rho e^{i\theta})$ ).

It is well-known that a function  $f$  is inner if and only if  $f$  is of the form:

$$f(z) = cz^k \prod_{i \in I} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_i}{|\alpha_i|} \frac{\alpha_i - z}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_i z} \exp \left\{ - \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu(\theta) \right\}$$

where  $c$  is a complex constant of modulus one ( $|c|=1$ ),  $k$  is a non-negative integer,  $\mu$  is a positive singular measure on the unit circle and the points  $\alpha_i \in D$  are such that  $\sum_{i \in I} 1 - |\alpha_i| < \infty$ .

If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $f$  is a Blaschke product, finite if the set  $I$  is finite or infinite if the set  $I$  is infinite (countable).

In the case  $I = \emptyset$  and  $k = 0$ , the function  $f$  is called singular.

The topology of the uniform convergence on the set  $F$  of the inner functions is induced by the following metric:

$$d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_\infty = \sup_D |f(z) - g(z)| = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \text{ess} |f(e^{i\theta}) - g(e^{i\theta})|.$$

Let us denote by  $f \sim g$  the fact that the inner functions  $f$  and  $g$  belong to the same connected component in the space  $F$ .

In what follows we make use of the well-known facts below:

(1) For any three inner functions  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $\omega$  the relation  $f \sim g$  implies  $\omega f \sim \omega g$ . This is due to the continuity of the multiplication of inner functions.

(2) For any inner function  $f$  and any complex number  $\alpha$ , with  $|\alpha| < 1$ , we have the relation:

$$f \sim f_\alpha = \frac{f - \alpha}{1 - \bar{\alpha}f};$$

for the mapping  $D \ni \alpha \rightarrow f_\alpha \in F$  is continuous.

(3) For every nonnegative integer  $n$ , the set of all finite Blaschke products with exactly  $n$  zeros forms a connected component

and an open and closed subset of  $F$ . In particular the set of the constant inner functions is connected and open and closed in  $F$ .

This fact is an easy application of Rouché's theorem.

2. **Simplification by  $z$ .** Let us begin with the question, does the relation  $\omega f \sim \omega g$  implies  $f \sim g$ . This is the problem of "Simplification". In the case of a finite Blaschke product  $\omega$ , the answer to this question is affirmative.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $\omega$  be a finite Blaschke product. Then for any two inner functions  $f$  and  $g$ , the relation  $\omega f \sim \omega g$  implies  $f \sim g$ .*

*Proof.* The general case easily follows from the case  $\omega = z$ , to which we will limit ourselves from now on.

Let us consider the set:

$$zF = \{zh: h \in F\} = \{x \in F: x(0) = 0\} .$$

The maps  $z^*: zF \rightarrow F$  and  $\Phi: F \rightarrow zF$ , where  $z^*(x) = x/z$ ,  $\Phi(f) = (f - f(0))/(1 - \overline{f(0)}f)$  for  $f \in F$  nonconstant and  $\Phi(f) = z$  for  $f \in F$  constant, are both continuous. (The set of the constant inner functions is, both, open and closed!).

Therefore the mapping  $z^* \circ \Phi: F \rightarrow F$  is continuous and the relation  $zf \sim zg$  implies:  $f = z^* \circ \Phi(zf) \sim z^* \circ \Phi(zg) = g$ , as  $\Phi(x) = x$  for any  $x \in zF$ ; that is,  $\Phi$  is a retraction map and  $zF$  is a retract of  $F$ . The proof is complete now.

3. **The main result.** The following theorem implies in particular that we cannot "simplify" by any inner function.

**THEOREM 1.** *For any nonconstant inner function  $g$ , the inner functions  $\exp \{(g + 1)/(g - 1)\}$  and  $g \exp \{(g + 1)/(g - 1)\}$  belong to the same connected component.*

This theorem applied for the identity function  $g = z(z(a) = a$  for all  $a \in D$ ) implies the following:

**PROPOSITION 2.** *The inner functions  $d = \exp \{(z + 1)/(z - 1)\}$  and  $zd$  belong to the same connected component (that is:  $d \sim zd$ ).*

Proposition 2 is equivalent to Theorem 1; for Proposition 2 implies also Theorem 1. The point is that the range of the continuous map  $T_g: F \rightarrow H^\infty$ ,  $T_g(f) = f \circ g$  is contained in  $F$ ; that is, the

composition of two inner functions is an inner function ([6] or [8]). Therefore relation  $d \sim zd$  implies:

$$\exp \frac{g + 1}{g - 1} = T_g(d) \sim T_g(zd) = g \exp \frac{g + 1}{g - 1}.$$

Hence, it remains to prove Proposition 2, which will be a consequence of the following lemma, which is of a concrete geometric nature on the half-plane:

LEMMA 1. *Let*

$$K_1 = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_n}{|\alpha_n|} \frac{\alpha_n - z}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_n z} \quad \text{and} \quad K_2 = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\bar{\beta}_n|}{\bar{\beta}_n} \frac{\beta_n - z}{1 - \bar{\beta}_n z}.$$

Be two infinite Blaschke products such that  $K_1(0) > 0$  and  $K_2(0) > 0$ . If we denote  $\varphi(z) = (1 + z)/(1 - z)$  then we have the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_1 - K_2\|_{\infty} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \alpha \operatorname{rg} \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} \right| + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \alpha \operatorname{rg} \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \right| \\ &\quad + 2 \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{ess} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \alpha \operatorname{rg} \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n) - iy}{\varphi(\beta_n) - iy} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Lemma 1.* The pointwise convergence  $f_n \rightarrow f$  implies trivially the inequality:

$$\|f\|_{\infty} \leq \liminf_n \|f_n\|_{\infty}$$

We have therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_1 - K_2\|_{\infty} &\leq \liminf_N \left\| \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\bar{\alpha}_n}{|\alpha_n|} \frac{\alpha_n - z}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_n z} - \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{|\bar{\beta}_n|}{\bar{\beta}_n} \frac{\beta_n - z}{1 - \bar{\beta}_n z} \right\|_{\infty} \\ &= \liminf_N \left\| \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\bar{\alpha}_n}{|\alpha_n|} \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_n} \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n) - \varphi(z)}{\bar{\varphi}(\alpha_n) + \varphi(z)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{|\bar{\beta}_n|}{\bar{\beta}_n} \frac{1 - \beta_n}{1 - \bar{\beta}_n} \frac{\varphi(\beta_n) - \varphi(z)}{\bar{\varphi}(\beta_n) + \varphi(z)} \right\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

We notice that  $|\alpha| = |\beta| = |\alpha'| = |\beta'| = 1 \Rightarrow |\alpha\beta - \alpha'\beta'| \leq |\alpha - \alpha'| + |\beta - \beta'|$ . Consequently, for almost every  $z$ , with  $|z| = 1$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\bar{\alpha}_n}{|\alpha_n|} \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_n} \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n) - \varphi(z)}{\bar{\varphi}(\alpha_n) + \varphi(z)} - \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{|\bar{\beta}_n|}{\bar{\beta}_n} \frac{1 - \beta_n}{1 - \bar{\beta}_n} \frac{\varphi(\beta_n) - \varphi(z)}{\bar{\varphi}(\beta_n) + \varphi(z)} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N \left| \frac{\bar{\alpha}_n}{|\alpha_n|} - \frac{|\bar{\beta}_n|}{\bar{\beta}_n} \right| + \sum_{n=1}^N \left| \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_n} - \frac{1 - \beta_n}{1 - \bar{\beta}_n} \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^N \left| \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n) - \varphi(z)}{\bar{\varphi}(\alpha_n) + \varphi(z)} - \frac{\varphi(\beta_n) - \varphi(z)}{\bar{\varphi}(\beta_n) + \varphi(z)} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N \left| \alpha \operatorname{rg} \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} \right| + 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \left| \alpha \operatorname{rg} \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \right| + 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \left| \alpha \operatorname{rg} \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n) - \varphi(z)}{\varphi(\beta_n) - \varphi(z)} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \alpha \operatorname{rg} \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} \right| + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \alpha \operatorname{rg} \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \right| + 2 \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{ess} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \operatorname{arg} \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n) - iy}{\varphi(\beta_n) - iy} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The required inequality is now implied.

*Proof of Proposition 2.* Let  $\alpha_n(t)$  be the unique point of  $D$  such that  $\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) = 1 + i(n + t)\pi$ , where  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $n \in N^* = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\varphi(z) = (1 + z)/(1 - z)$ .

One, then, verifies easily that:

$$d_{1/e} = \frac{d - \frac{1}{e}}{1 - \frac{1}{e}d} = f \cdot \prod_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\alpha_n(0)}}{|\alpha_n(0)|} \frac{\alpha_n(0) - z}{1 - \overline{\alpha_n(0)}z}, \quad \text{with } f \in F.$$

It is enough to prove that

$$B_1 = \prod_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\alpha_n(0)}}{|\alpha_n(0)|} \frac{\alpha_n(0) - z}{1 - \overline{\alpha_n(0)}z} \sim B_0 = \prod_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\alpha_n(0)}}{|\alpha_n(0)|} \frac{\alpha_n(0) - z}{1 - \overline{\alpha_n(0)}z};$$

for, then we have

$$d \sim d_{1/e} = fB_1 \sim fB_0 = fB_1 \frac{\overline{\alpha_3(0)}}{|\alpha_3(0)|} \frac{\alpha_3(0) - 1}{1 - \overline{\alpha_3(0)}z} \sim fB_1 z = d_{1/e} \cdot z \sim dz,$$

and we obtain the result.

In order to prove  $B_1 \sim B_0$ , it is sufficient to prove the continuity of the following map:

$$[0, 1] \ni t \xrightarrow{B} B_t = \prod_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\alpha_n(t)}}{|\alpha_n(t)|} \frac{\alpha_n(t) - z}{1 - \overline{\alpha_n(t)}z} \in F;$$

that is,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \|B_t - B_{t_0}\|_{\infty} = 0$  for all  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ . Using Lemma 1 we essentially have to prove the following fact:

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow t_0} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left| \operatorname{arg} \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - iy}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t_0)) - iy} \right| = 0.$$

This relation follows immediately from the observation that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left| \operatorname{arg} \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - iy}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t_0)) - iy} \right| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left| \operatorname{arg} \frac{1 + 2i\pi(n + t_0 + |t - t_0|) - 2i\pi(t_0 + 3)}{1 + 2i\pi(n + t_0 - |t - t_0|) - 2i\pi(t_0 + 3)} \right| \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow t_0} 0. \end{aligned}$$

4. Consequences. Theorem 1 yields trivially the following:

**COROLLARY 1.** *For any inner function  $g$ , there exists an inner function  $f$  such that  $f \sim gf$ .*

Proposition 2 implies the following more general result:

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $f$  be an inner function whose singular measure  $\mu$  contains at least one atom. Then  $f \sim zf$ .*

*Proof of Corollary 2.* We have  $f = f_1 \exp K(z + \alpha)/(z - \alpha)$ , with  $f_1 \in F$ ,  $|\alpha| = 1$  and  $K > 0$ . Thus, it is enough to establish the relation  $\exp K(z + \alpha)/(z - \alpha) \sim z \exp K(z + \alpha)/(z - \alpha)$ . By a rotation this becomes:

$$\exp K \frac{z + 1}{z - 1} \sim z \exp K \frac{z + 1}{z - 1}.$$

If  $K \geq 1$ , using the known relation  $d \sim zd$  (Proposition 2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp K \frac{z + 1}{z - 1} &= d \cdot \exp (K - 1) \frac{z + 1}{z - 1} \sim zd \exp (K - 1) \frac{z + 1}{z - 1} \\ &= z \exp \frac{z + 1}{z - 1} K. \end{aligned}$$

If  $0 < K < 1$ , let us consider the transformation<sup>1</sup>:

$$-w(z) = \frac{\frac{1 - K}{1 + K} - z}{1 - \frac{1 - K}{1 + K} z}.$$

Evidently  $w \in F$  and  $w \sim z$ . From the known relation  $d \sim zd$  we obtain:

$$\exp K \frac{z + 1}{z - 1} = d \circ w \sim (zd) \circ w = w \cdot (d \circ w) \sim z \cdot (d \circ w) = z \exp K \frac{z + 1}{z - 1}.$$

**REMARK.** Corollary 2 implies that if the singular measure  $\mu$  associated with a singular function  $S$  contains some atoms, then the relation  $S \sim zS$  holds. If the measure  $\mu \neq 0$  does not contain any atoms, then we do not know if the relation  $S \sim zS$  is true. It seems that this problem (probably not difficult) is still open and we offer the following conjecture:

*“Every nonconstant singular inner function  $S$  belongs to the same connected component as  $zS$ ”.*

<sup>1</sup> This trick is found in [2].

In this direction we have the following proposition, which follows from Theorem 1 combined with a remark suggested to the author by K. Stephenson.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *For any nonconstant singular inner function  $S$ , there exists a nonconstant inner function  $g$  such that  $S \sim gS$ .*

*Proof.* The point is that any singular inner function  $S$  is of the form  $S = \exp(g + 1)/(g - 1)$ , with  $g \in F$ . Theorem 1 gives, then, the result.

In an obvious manner Proposition 3 implies the following:

**COROLLARY 3.** (i) *For every nonconstant singular inner function  $S$ , there exist inner functions  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $fS \sim gS$  but  $f \not\sim g$ .*

(ii) *Let  $\omega$  be an inner function such that the relation  $f_1\omega \sim f_2\omega$  implies  $f_1 \sim f_2$  for every couple  $(f_1, f_2)$  of inner functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . Then the connected component of  $\omega$  contains only Blaschke products. In particular  $\omega$  is a Blaschke product.*

(iii) *If the connected component of an inner function  $f$  does not contain any proper multiple of  $f$ , then this component contains only Blaschke products. In particular  $f$  is a Blaschke product.*

The existence of infinite Blaschke products satisfying the hypothesis of Corollary 3 (iii) follows from the proof of a theorem of D. Herrero ([3], Theorem 1.1). Later, the present author proved in [6] that if the zeros  $\alpha_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  of a Blaschke product  $B$  satisfy the condition

$$\lim_n \prod_{m \neq n} \left| \frac{\alpha_n - \alpha_m}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_n \alpha_m} \right| = 1$$

then, the connected component for  $B$  does not contain any proper multiple of  $B$ .

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT.** I wish to express my gratitude to A. Bernard for the direction and help he gave me.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Helson, *Lectures on the invariant spaces*.
2. D. Herrero, *Inner functions under uniform topology*, Pacific J. Math., **51** (1974), 167-175.
3. ———, *Inner functions under uniform topology II*, Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina, Volumen 28, 1976.

4. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*.
5. D. Marshall, *Blaschke product generate  $H^\infty$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **82** (1976).
6. V. Nestoridis, *Fonctions intérieures: composantes connexes et multiplication par un produit de Blaschke*, Thèse de troisième cycle, Grenoble, (1977).
7. W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*.
8. K. Stephenson, *Isometries of the Nevanlinna class*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **26** (1977), 307-324.
9. ———, *Omitted values of singular inner functions*, to appear, Michigan Math. J.

Received April 7, 1978 and in revised form June 8, 1978.

KALAVRYTON 37  
DASSOS HAIDARIOU  
ATHENS, GREECE