

THE FULL C^* -ALGEBRA OF THE FREE GROUP ON TWO GENERATORS

MAN-DUEN CHOI

$C^*(F_2)$ is a primitive C^* -algebra with no nontrivial projection. $C^*(F_2)$ has a separating family of finite-dimensional representations.

1. Introduction. We present a "generic" C^* -algebra in illustration of several peculiar phenomena that may occur in the theory of representations.

Let F_2 denote the free group on two generators. If π is the universal unitary representation of F_2 on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , then the full group C^* -algebra $C^*(F_2)$ is the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ generated by the set $\{\pi(g): g \in F_2\}$ (see [4, §13.9]). Alternatively, we can re-define $C^*(F_2)$, in an operator-theoretical setting, as follows:

DEFINITION. Let U, V be two unitary operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . We say that (U, V) is a *universal pair of unitaries* iff for each pair of unitary operators (U_1, V_1) on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_1 , the assignment

$$\begin{cases} U \longmapsto U_1 \\ V \longmapsto V_1 \end{cases}$$

extends to a $*$ -homomorphism from $C^*(U, V)$ onto $C^*(U_1, V_1)$.

DEFINITION. We let $C^*(F_2)$ denote the abstract C^* -algebra which is $*$ -isomorphic with the C^* -algebra generated by a universal pair of unitaries.

Obviously, the universal pairs of unitaries are *unique* up to algebraic $*$ -isomorphic equivalence. To see the existence of a universal pair of unitaries, we may simply let

$$(*) \quad U = \bigoplus U_\nu, \quad V = \bigoplus V_\nu$$

where (U_ν, V_ν) runs through all possible pairs of unitary operators on a fixed separable Hilbert space. By some judicious selection, it suffices to let ν run through only a countable index set. [In fact, for a general separable C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. There is always a projection P of countable dimension, such that $A \mapsto PAP$ is a $*$ -isomorphism from \mathfrak{A} onto $P\mathfrak{A}P \subseteq \mathcal{B}(P\mathcal{H})$.]

The main result of this paper is concerned with various expres-

sions for the universal pairs of unitaries. On one hand, we can just take the expression (*) above, such that each (U_ν, V_ν) is a pair of finite-dimensional unitary matrices (Theorem 7). On the other hand, there exist a universal pair of unitary operators that do not have a common nontrivial reducing subspace (Theorem 6). These two apparently opposite constructions induce two important representations of $C^*(F_2)$.

Now, we examine $C^*(F_2)$ in regard to its operator-algebraic structure. First and foremost, $C^*(F_2)$ is a *primitive* C^* -algebra; i.e., $C^*(F_2)$ has a faithful irreducible representation (Theorem 6). This yields the key information that is indispensable to the study of $\text{Prim}(F_2)$ (cf. [7, Proposition 6.1]). Furthermore, by the universal property of $C^*(F_2)$, all C^* -algebras generated by two unitaries (including all C^* -algebras generated by single operators) are $*$ -homomorphic images of $C^*(F_2)$. It may be surprising to see that such a “tremendous” C^* -algebra has no nontrivial projection (Theorem 1), and no nonnormal hyponormal element (Corollary 8); indeed, $C^*(F_2)$ even has a faithful tracial state (Corollary 9).

In short, $C^*(F_2)$, being faithfully irreducibly represented, serves as an example for each of the following unusual conditions:

- (i) an irreducible C^* -algebra with (the most) abundant ideals.
- (ii) an irreducible C^* -algebra with no nontrivial projection (cf. another example given by Philip Green [5]).
- (iii) an irreducible C^* -algebra that admits a separating family of finite-dimensional representations.

Finally, we remark that all results of this paper can be extended to $C^*(F_n)$ (for $n > 1$) and $C^*(F_\infty)$. Readers are also referred to [2, Lemma 4.4-Theorem 4.5, pp. 1108-1109; 9, Proposition 2.7, p. 250; 10, § 3; 11, Theorem 12] for some other unusual aspects of $C^*(F_2)$.

2. The author is indebted to Robert Powers for helpful communication leading to the following theorem. (The idea of the proof is actually originated by Joel Cohen [3].)

THEOREM 1. $C^*(F_2)$ has no nontrivial projection.

Proof. By a faithful representation, we may write $C^*(F_2) = C^*(U, V)$, where U, V are a universal pair of unitary operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let

$$\mathfrak{A} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{all norm-continuous functions } \Phi: [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \\ \text{such that } \Phi(0) \text{ are scalar operators} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then \mathfrak{A} is a C^* -algebra with no nontrivial projections. In fact, if $\Phi \in \mathfrak{A}$ is a projection, then $\Phi(0)$ is 0 or I and by continuity, the

projections $(\Phi(t))_{t \in [0,1]}$ must be all 0 or all I . Now we claim that $C^*(F_2)$ can be imbedded into \mathfrak{A} as a C^* -subalgebra and consequently, $C^*(F_2)$ has no nontrivial projection either.

To see the claim, we first choose, by the spectral theorem, two hermitian operators $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $U = e^{iA}$, $V = e^{iB}$. Next, define two unitary elements $\Phi_U, \Phi_V \in \mathfrak{A}$ by

$$\Phi_U(t) = e^{itA}, \quad \Phi_V(t) = e^{itB}.$$

Then obviously, the evaluation map $\Phi \mapsto \Phi(1)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism from $C^*(\Phi_U, \Phi_V)$ onto $C^*(F_2)$. On the other hand, by the universal property of $C^*(F_2)$, the assignment $U \mapsto \Phi_U$, $V \mapsto \Phi_V$ determines a $*$ -homomorphism from $C^*(F_2)$ onto $C^*(\Phi_U, \Phi_V)$. Hence, the two $*$ -homomorphisms above, being inverse to each other, must be $*$ -isomorphisms. Therefore, $C^*(F_2)$ can be imbedded into \mathfrak{A} as claimed.

COROLLARY 2. *If π is a faithful representation of $C^*(F_2)$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , then $\pi(C^*(F_2))$ contains no nonzero compact operator.*

Proof. Any C^* -algebra, containing a nonzero compact operator K , must also contain K^*K and, thus, the finite-rank spectral projections of K^*K . Since $\pi(C^*(F_2)) \simeq C^*(F_2)$ contains no nontrivial projection, we have that $\pi(C^*(F_2))$ contains no nonzero compact operator, either.

We proceed to construct a universal pair of unitary operators that do not have a common nontrivial reducing subspace. The main technique below is a variant of Radjavi-Rosenthal's treatment on nonexistence of common invariant subspace [8, Theorem 7.10, p. 121; Theorem 8.30, p. 162].

LEMMA 3. *Let A, B be two infinite matrices standing for operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} endowed with a fixed orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. If A is a diagonal operator with all distinct diagonal entries, and if all first-column entries of B are nonzero, then A, B do not have a common nontrivial reducing subspace.*

Proof. By simple evaluation on infinite matrices, we deduce that the commutant of A consists of diagonal operators only, and, diagonal operators commuting with B must be scalar operators. Hence, the projections commuting with both A and B are trivial projections. Therefore, A, B do not have a common nontrivial reducing subspace.

In the following two lemmas, we deal with the compact perturbations of unitary operators.

LEMMA 4. *Let U be a unitary operator on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then there exists a compact operator K , and a unitary diagonal operator D with respect to an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, such that $U = D + K$ and all diagonal entries of D are distinct.*

Proof. From [6], every normal operator U can be written as $D_0 + K_0$, where K_0 is a compact operator and D_0 is a diagonal operator with respect to an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be the diagonal entries of D_0 . Since $U = D_0 + K_0$ is unitary, we derive that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_n| = 1$. It is easy to choose a complex sequence $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\begin{cases} |\beta_n| = 1 \text{ for all } n \\ \beta_i \neq \beta_j \text{ whenever } i \neq j \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_n - \beta_n) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Denoting by D for the diagonal operator with the diagonal entries $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, we have that $D_0 - D$ is a diagonal compact operator; thus $K = K_0 + D_0 - D$ is a compact operator and $U = D + K$ as desired.

LEMMA 5. *Let U be a unitary operator on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} endowed with a fixed orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Then there exists a compact operator $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, such that $U - K$ has the infinite matrix expression with all first-column entries being nonzero and with $U - K$ unitary.*

Proof. Let v be a unit vector with all nonzero co-ordinates with respect to the orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, and let \mathcal{S} denote the linear span of v and Ue_1 (= the first-column vector of U). Choose any unitary operator $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} V(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S} \text{ with } V(Ue_1) = v, \\ V|_{\mathcal{S}^\perp} = I|_{\mathcal{S}^\perp}. \end{cases}$$

Then $V - I$ is an operator of rank ≤ 2 and the first column vector of VU is v ; thus

$$VU = U + (V - I)U$$

is a compact perturbation of U as desired.

THEOREM 6. *$C^*(F_2)$ is a primitive C^* -algebra; i.e., $C^*(F_2)$ has a faithful irreducible representation.*

Proof. Since $C^*(F_2)$ is separable, we may write $C^*(F_2) = C^*(U, V)$, where U, V are a universal pair of unitary operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Applying Lemmas 4–5 to U, V , we have that

$$U = U_0 + \text{compact}, \quad V = V_0 + \text{compact},$$

and with respect to a suitable orthonormal basis, U_0 is a unitary diagonal operator with distinct diagonal entries, and V_0 is a unitary operator with all first-column entries nonzero. From the universal property, the assignment

$$U \longmapsto U_0, \quad V \longmapsto V_0$$

defines a representation

$$\pi: C^*(F_2) \longrightarrow C^*(U_0, V_0) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

By Lemma 3, U_0, V_0 do not have a common nontrivial reducing subspace; thus $C^*(U_0, V_0)$ is an irreducible C^* -algebra, and π is an irreducible representation.

It remains to show that π is faithful. Letting $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ be the ideal of compact operators and $\eta: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ be the natural quotient map, we have then

$$\eta(C^*(U_0, V_0)) = C^*(\eta(U_0), \eta(V_0)) = C^*(\eta(U), \eta(V)).$$

But from Corollary 2, η restricted to $C^*(U, V)$ is an $*$ -isomorphism. The composition of the canonical $*$ -homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(F_2) &\xrightarrow{\pi} C^*(U_0, V_0) \xrightarrow{\eta} C^*(\eta(U_0), \eta(V_0)) = C^*(\eta(U), \eta(V)) \\ &\simeq C^*(U, V) = C^*(F_2) \end{aligned}$$

leads to the identity map on $C^*(F_2)$; therefore π is a $*$ -isomorphism as desired.

For a general C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with separable \mathcal{H} , we can construct a “completely order injection” φ from \mathfrak{A} into $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$, the direct sum of full matrix algebras, by letting

$$\varphi(A) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n A P_n$$

where $\{P_n\}$ is a sequence of finite-rank projections approaching strongly to I . In case $\mathfrak{A} = C^*(F_2)$, we will modify φ to get actually an “algebraic $*$ -isomorphism”.

THEOREM 7. *$C^*(F_2)$ has a separating family of finite-dimensional representations.*

Proof. Since $C^*(F_2)$ is separable, we may assume that $C^*(F_2) = C^*(U, V)$ where U, V are a universal pair of unitary operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $\{P_n\}_n$ be a sequence of increasing projections in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ approaching strongly to the identity operator I with rank $P_n = n$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= P_n U P_n, & B_n &= P_n V P_n, \\ U_n &= \begin{bmatrix} A_n & (P_n - A_n A_n^*)^{1/2} \\ (P_n - A_n^* A_n)^{1/2} & -A_n^* \end{bmatrix}, \\ V_n &= \begin{bmatrix} B_n & (P_n - B_n B_n^*)^{1/2} \\ (P_n - B_n^* B_n)^{1/2} & -B_n^* \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By identifying $P_n \mathcal{H} P_n$ with M_n , we may regard P_n as the identity $n \times n$ matrix, and U_n, V_n as $2n \times 2n$ unitary matrices. From the universal property of $C^*(F_2)$, the assignment

$$U \longmapsto U_n, \quad V \longmapsto V_n$$

defines a representation $\pi_n: C^*(F_2) \rightarrow M_{2n}$. Now, we *claim* that $\{\pi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a separating family of representations; in other words, the $*$ -homomorphism

$$\pi: C^*(F_2) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty M_{2n},$$

defined by

$$\pi(A) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \pi_n(A),$$

is actually a $*$ -isomorphism.

Note that in the strong topology, U_n, U_n^*, V_n , and V_n^* converge to

$$\begin{bmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & -U^* \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} U^* & 0 \\ 0 & -U \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & -V^* \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} V^* & 0 \\ 0 & -V \end{bmatrix}$$

respectively. Hence, if $F(,)$ is a finite linear combination of words in two free variables, then $F(U_n, V_n)$ also converges to

$$\begin{bmatrix} F(U, V) & 0 \\ 0 & F(-U^*, -V^*) \end{bmatrix}$$

in the strong topology. Therefore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and given $\|F(U, V)\| = 1$, we have that

$$\|F(U_n, V_n)\| \geq 1 - \varepsilon$$

for all sufficiently large n ; thus

$$\|\pi(F(U, V))\| \geq \|\pi_n(F(U, V))\| = \|F(U_n, V_n)\| \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we conclude that π , restricted to the pre- C^* -algebra generated by U, V , is an isometry. By continuity, π is an isometry and, thus, a $*$ -isomorphism as desired.

We say that an operator A is *hyponormal* iff $A^*A \geq AA^*$.

COROLLARY 8. *Every hyponormal operator in $C^*(F_2)$ is normal.*

Proof. From the theorem above, we may imbed $C^*(F_2)$ into $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{2n}$ as a C^* -subalgebra. Since every hyponormal matrix is normal, we have then for each $A = \bigoplus A_n \in \bigoplus M_{2n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} A \text{ is hyponormal} &\implies A_n \text{ is hyponormal for each } n \\ &\implies A_n \text{ is normal for each } n \\ &\implies A \text{ is normal} \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

COROLLARY 9. *$C^*(F_2)$ has a faithful tracial state.*

Proof. By Theorem 7, we can imbed $C^*(F_2)$ into $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{2n}$ as a C^* -subalgebra. Let τ_n be the faithful tracial state of M_{2n} . Then $\tau: \bigoplus M_{2n} \rightarrow C$, defined by

$$\tau(\bigoplus A_n) = \sum (\tau_n(A_n)/2^n),$$

is a faithful tracial state as desired.

REFERENCES

1. W. Arveson, *Notes on extensions of C^* -algebras*, Duke Math. J., **44** (1977), 329-355.
2. M. D. Choi and E. G. Effros, *Lifting problems and the cohomology of C^* -algebras*, Canad. J. Math., **29** (1977), 1092-1111.
3. J. Cohen, *C^* -algebras without idempotents*, J. Functional Analysis, **33** (1979), 211-216.
4. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres et leurs représentations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
5. P. Green, *A primitive C^* -algebra with no nontrivial projections*, preprint.
6. P. R. Halmos, *Continuous functions of Hermitian operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **31** (1972), 130-132.
7. C. C. Moore and J. Rosenberg, *Groups with T_1 primitive ideal space*, J. Functional Analysis, **22** (1976), 204-224.
8. H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, *Invariant Subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
9. S. Wassermann, *On tensor products of certain group C^* -algebras*, J. Functional Analysis, **23** (1976), 238-254.
10. ———, *Liftings in C^* -algebras: a counter-example*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **9** (1977), 201-202.

11. S. Wasserman, *A pathology in the ideal space of $L(H) \otimes L(H)$* , Indiana Univ. Math. J., **27** (1978), 1011–1020.

Received August 22, 1979. This research was supported in part by NSERC of Canada.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
TORONTO, CANADA M5S 1A1.