

## $\varepsilon$ -COVERING DIMENSION

A. CALDER, W. JULIAN, R. MINES, AND F. RICHMAN

A compact metric space  $T$  has Lebesgue covering dimension at most  $n$  if for each positive  $\varepsilon$  the space  $T$  has an  $\varepsilon$ -cover of order at most  $n$ . We show that if  $T$  is a compact subset of Euclidean  $n$ -space and  $T$  has an  $\varepsilon$ -cover of order at most  $n-2$ , then any two points whose distance from  $T$  is greater than  $\varepsilon$  can be joined by a path bounded away from  $T$ . This refines, and provides a constructive proof for, the theorem that the complement of an  $(n-2)$ -dimensional compact subset of Euclidean  $n$ -space is connected.

O. Introduction. In this paper we deal with arbitrary totally bounded metric spaces, rather than just compact ones, as completeness plays no role. Let  $T$  be a totally bounded metric space and  $F$  a finite family of subsets of  $T$ . If there is  $s > 0$  so that each point in  $T$  is bounded away by  $s$  from all but at most  $n + 1$  sets of  $F$ , then we say that  $F$  has order at most  $n$  with separation  $s$  and write  $o(F) \leq n$ . (This was written  $o(F) \leq n + 1$  in [7] and [1].) If the union of  $F$  is dense in  $T$ , we say that  $F$  is a cover of  $T$ . A cover  $F$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -cover provided there is  $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$  such that if  $x$  and  $y$  are points in a set in  $F$ , then  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon'$ . Classically this means that  $\text{diam } U = \sup \{d(u, v) : u, v \in U\} < \varepsilon$  for all  $U$  in  $F$ , but  $\text{diam } U$  may fail to be computable. Note for any  $\varepsilon'' > \varepsilon'$  that  $F$  is an  $\varepsilon''$ -cover. We can now make precise the notion of approximate  $n$ -dimensionality.

DEFINITION 0.1. Let  $T$  be a totally bounded metric space and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We say that  $T$  has  $\varepsilon$ -covering dimension at most  $n$  with separation  $s$ , and write  $\varepsilon\text{-cov } T \leq n$ , if there is an  $\varepsilon$ -cover of  $T$  of order at most  $n$  with separation  $s$ .

A totally bounded metric space  $T$  has dimension at most  $n$  in the sense of Lebesgue if  $\varepsilon\text{-cov } T \leq n$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus if  $\varepsilon\text{-cov } T \leq n$ , then  $T$  is approximately  $n$ -dimensional. For example the red yellow and black stripes of a coral snake form an  $\varepsilon$ -cover of its skin, showing the skin to have  $\varepsilon$ -dimension at most 1. However, when a coral snake swallows a mouse of cross-sectional diameter  $2\varepsilon$  its  $\varepsilon$ -dimension increases. More precisely, the Jordan Brouwer theorem says that a homeomorph  $T$  of the 2-sphere divides 3-space into two connected components, but if  $\varepsilon\text{-cov } T \leq 1$ , then there is no  $\varepsilon$ -ball inside. Thus the Little Prince was correct when he observed

that a boa constrictor loses its one dimensionality when it swallows an elephant [8]. More generally we will prove

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $T$  be a totally bounded subset of  $R^n$  such that  $\varepsilon\text{-cov } T \leq n - 2$  with separation  $s$ . If  $d(\{p, q\}, T)$  is more than  $\varepsilon/\sqrt{2}$  and if  $0 < \theta < \phi = \inf\{s/2, (\sqrt{2} - 1)(d(\{p, q\}, T) - \varepsilon/\sqrt{2})\}$ , then there is a path joining  $p$  and  $q$ , bounded away from  $T$  by  $\theta$ .*

These investigations were motivated by attempts to give a constructive proof that the complement of an  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional subset of  $R^n$  is connected. Such a proof is given via Alexander duality and Cech cohomology in [5]. However, Theorem A is stronger than this result even from the classical standpoint. Our treatment uses simplicial homology and, like [5], is constructive in the sense of Bishop [2], [3]. Menger's proof that the complement of an  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional subset of  $R^n$  is connected uses inductive dimension and is not constructive [6].

**1. Dimension theory.** The basic references for constructive dimension theory are [7] and [1]. In these works the elements of an  $\varepsilon$ -cover were required to be totally bounded (located). This is occasionally inconvenient and, as we will show in this section, unnecessary.

Let  $K$  be an arbitrary subset of a metric space  $T$ . For  $\theta > 0$  the  $\theta$ -neighborhood of  $K$  is the open set

$$N_\theta(K) = \{y \in T: \text{there is } x \text{ in } K, \text{ with } d(x, y) < \theta\}.$$

A family  $F$  of subsets of a metric space  $T$  has a *Lebesgue number*  $s > 0$  if for each  $x$  in  $T$  there is  $U$  in  $F$  with  $N_s(x) \subset U$ .

**LEMMA 1.1.** *Let  $F$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -cover of a totally bounded metric space  $T$ , such that  $o(F) \leq n$  with separation  $s$ . If  $\theta$  is small enough, then  $F' = \{N_\theta(U): U \text{ in } F\}$  is an open  $\varepsilon$ -cover having Lebesgue number  $\theta/2$ , and order at most  $n$  with separation  $s - \theta$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$  so that  $F$  is an  $\varepsilon'$ -cover and let  $2\theta = \inf\{\varepsilon - \varepsilon', s\}$ . To establish the order of  $F'$  we let  $x \in T$ , and let  $U \in F$ . Suppose  $d(x, u) \geq s$  for all  $u$  in  $U$ . Let  $v \in N_\theta(U)$  and choose  $u$  in  $U$  with  $d(v, u) \leq \theta$ . Then  $d(x, v) \geq d(x, u) - d(v, u) \geq s - \theta$ . Thus  $o(F') \leq n$  with separation  $s - \theta$ .

To obtain the Lebesgue number we let  $x \in T$ . Then there is  $U$  in  $F$  and  $u$  in  $U$  so that  $d(x, u) < \theta/2$ . If  $d(x, y) < \theta/2$ , then  $d(y, u) < \theta$ . Hence  $N_{\theta/2}(x) \subset N_\theta(U)$  and  $F'$  has Lebesgue number  $\theta/2$ .  $\square$

Next we show that a finite family with Lebesgue number admits a partition of unity.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let  $F$  be a finite family of subsets of a totally bounded space  $T$ . Then  $F$  has a Lebesgue number if and only if there is a partition of unity subordinate to  $F$ . Moreover, the functions in the partition can be chosen with totally bounded support.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{\phi_U\}$  be a partition of unity subordinate to  $F$ . Choose  $s > 0$ , so that if  $d(x, y) < s$  then  $d(\phi_U(x), \phi_U(y)) < 1/(1 + \text{card } F)$  for all  $U$  in  $F$ . We shall show for fixed  $x$  that there is a  $U$  in  $F$  with  $N_s(x)$  contained in  $U$ . As the sum of  $\phi_U(x)$  over all  $U$  in  $F$  is 1, it follows that there is  $U$  in  $F$  with  $\phi_U(x) > 1/(1 + \text{card } F)$ . Hence  $\phi_U(y) > 0$  and so  $y \in U$ . Therefore  $N_s(x)$  is contained in  $U$ .

Conversely let  $s$  be a Lebesgue number of  $F$ . Choose  $X$  a finite  $(s/2)$ -approximation to  $T$ . Let  $x \in X$  and define

$$f_x(t) = \sup \{0, 1 - (1/s)d(t, x)\} .$$

Partition  $X$  into finite subsets  $X_U$  so that  $x \in X_U$  implies  $N_s(x) \subset U$ .

Choose a positive number  $\varepsilon < 1/(4 \text{ card } F)$ , so that

$$\{t \in T: \sum_{x \in X_U} f_x(t) > \varepsilon\}$$

is totally bounded for each  $U$  in  $F$  [7, Theorem 0]. Define  $\lambda_U(t) = \sup \{0, \sum_{x \in X_U} f_x(t) - \varepsilon\}$  for  $U$  in  $F$ . Then the support of  $\lambda_U$  is totally bounded and  $\sum_{U \in F} \lambda_U \geq \sum_{x \in X} f_x - \varepsilon \text{ card } F > 1/4$ , as  $\sum_{x \in X} f_x > 1/2$ . Finally let  $\phi_U(t) = \lambda_U(t) / \sum_{V \in F} \lambda_V(t)$ . □

THEOREM 1.3. *Let  $T$  be a totally bounded metric space and  $F$  an  $\varepsilon$ -cover. Let  $o(F) \leq n$  with separation  $s > 0$ . Then there is an open  $\varepsilon$ -cover  $F'$  satisfying:*

- (i)  $o(F') \leq n$  with separation  $s/2$ .
- (ii) Each  $U'$  in  $F'$  is totally bounded.
- (iii)  $F'$  has a Lebesgue number.
- (iv) Each set in  $F'$  is nonempty.

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.1, we may assume that  $F$  is an open  $\varepsilon$ -cover such that  $o(F) \leq n$  with separation  $s/2$  and has a Lebesgue number. By Lemma 1.2, there is a partition of unity  $\{\phi_U\}$  so that the support  $U'$  of  $\phi_U$  is totally bounded and is contained in  $U$  and so  $F' = \{U': U \in F\}$  is an open  $\varepsilon$ -cover satisfying (i) and (ii). Note that  $\{\phi_U\}$  is subordinate to  $F'$  so (iii) holds by Lemma 1.2. As each set in  $F'$  is totally bounded we may omit the empty ones. □

**2. Simplicial homology.** We employ the standard simplicial homology of triangulable spaces (the treatment in [4] is essentially constructive).

A point sufficiently far from a set is bounded away from its convex hull; more precisely we have:

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $p$  be a point and  $X$  a subset of a real inner product space. Let  $t \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If for some  $\alpha > 0$  and each  $x$  in  $X$  we have  $|t - x| \leq \varepsilon$  and  $|p - x| \geq \alpha + \varepsilon/\sqrt{2}$  then  $|p - q| \geq \alpha$  for each  $q$  in the convex hull of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let the inner product be denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We may assume  $p = 0$ . We will first show that if  $x \in X$  then  $\langle t, x \rangle \geq |t|\alpha$ . As radial projection onto the sphere of radius  $\alpha + \varepsilon/\sqrt{2}$  around 0 decreases  $|t - x|$  and  $\langle t, x \rangle/|t|$ , we may assume that  $|t| = |x| = \alpha + \varepsilon/\sqrt{2}$ . Then  $\varepsilon^2 \geq |t - x|^2 = \varepsilon^2 + 2\sqrt{2}\alpha\varepsilon + 2\alpha^2 - 2\langle t, x \rangle$ . Thus  $\langle t, x \rangle \geq \alpha(\alpha + \sqrt{2}\varepsilon)$ . Then  $\langle t, x \rangle/|t| \geq \alpha(\alpha + \sqrt{2}\varepsilon)/(\alpha + \varepsilon/\sqrt{2}) > \alpha$ . So if  $q$  is a finite convex combination of points in  $X$ , then  $|q| \geq \langle t, q \rangle/|t| > \alpha$ .  $\square$

We now relate  $\varepsilon$ -dimension to homology.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $T$  be a polyhedron in  $R^n$  such that  $\varepsilon\text{-cov } T \leq n - 2$ . Let  $p \in R^n$  and  $d(p, T) \geq \varepsilon/\sqrt{2}$ . Then radial projection onto any sphere  $S$  with center  $p$  and radius at most  $\alpha = d(p, T) - \varepsilon/\sqrt{2}$  induces the zero map from  $H_{n-1}(T)$  to  $H_{n-1}(S)$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.3 there is an  $\varepsilon$ -cover  $F$  of  $T$  such that  $F$  has a Lebesgue number,  $o(F) \leq n - 2$ , and each set in  $F$  is nonempty. Let  $\{\phi_U\}$  be a partition of unity subordinate to  $F$  (Lemma 1.2). For each  $U$  in  $F$  choose  $x_U$  in  $U$ . Define a map  $f: T \rightarrow R^n$  by  $f(t) = \sum_{U \in F} \phi_U(t)x_U$ . Define a homotopy  $h: T \times I \rightarrow R^n$  by  $h(t, \lambda) = \lambda t + (1 - \lambda)f(t)$ . This is a homotopy between  $f$  and the injection,  $i$ , of  $T$  into  $R^n$ . If  $t \in T$  and  $\lambda \in I$ , then  $h(t, \lambda)$  is a convex combination of  $t$  and the  $x_U$ . Let  $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$  be such that  $F$  is an  $\varepsilon'$ -cover of  $T$ . Either  $d(t, x_U) > \varepsilon'$  in which case  $\phi_U(t) = 0$  so  $x_U$  does not enter into  $h(t, \lambda)$ , or  $d(t, x_U) < \varepsilon$ . Hence Lemma 2.1 applies, so  $d(h(t, \lambda), p) \geq \alpha$ .

Let  $S$  be a sphere with center  $p$  and radius at most  $\alpha$  and let  $r$  be the radial projection of the exterior of  $S$  onto  $S$ . As the domain of  $r$  contains the range of  $h$  the map  $r \circ h$  is a homotopy between  $r \circ i$  and  $r \circ f$ . But, since  $o(F) \leq n - 2$ , the map  $f$  factors through a simplicial complex of dimension at most  $n - 2$ . Thus  $r \circ f$ , and therefore  $r \circ i$ , induces the trivial map from  $H_{n-1}(T)$  to  $H_{n-1}(S)$ .  $\square$

**3. Proof of the main theorem** Choose  $\theta', \theta''$ , and  $m$  satisfying  $\theta < \theta' < \theta' + m < \theta'' < \phi$ . Let  $F$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -cover of  $T$  such that  $o(F) \leq n - 2$  with separation  $s$ . We first replace the totally bounded set  $T$  by a finite complex. Let  $\Delta$  be an  $n$ -simplex containing a neighborhood of  $T$  and  $p$ . We will show that there is a path joining  $p$  to the boundary of  $\Delta$ , bounded away from  $T$  by  $\theta$ . Form  $\Delta^{(k)}$ , the  $k$ th derived complex of  $\Delta$ , with  $k$  so large that the diameter of each simplex  $\lambda$  in  $\Delta^{(k)}$  is less than  $m$ . By translating  $\Delta$  slightly we may assume that  $p$  is in the interior of an  $n$ -simplex  $\lambda_0$ . Let  $T'$  be a set of  $n$ -simplices in  $\Delta^{(k)}$  so that for each  $n$ -simplex  $\lambda$  in  $\Delta^{(k)}$

$$\text{if } \lambda \in T', \text{ then } d(\lambda, T) < \theta',$$

and

$$\text{if } \lambda \notin T', \text{ then } d(\lambda, T) > \theta.$$

Let  $F' = \{U' : U' = T' \cap N_{\theta''}(U) \text{ with } U \text{ in } F\}$ .

We first show that  $F'$  has a Lebesgue number. If  $x \in T'$ , then there is  $t$  in  $T$  with  $d(x, t) < \theta' + m$ . There is  $U$  in  $F$  and  $u$  in  $U$  with  $d(t, u) < (1/2)(\theta'' - \theta' - m) = \psi$ . Then  $N_{\theta''}(u)$  contains  $N_\psi(x)$  so  $U'$  contains  $T' \cap N_\psi(x)$ . Hence  $\psi$  is a Lebesgue number of  $F'$ .

Next we show that  $F'$  is an  $(\varepsilon + 2\theta'')$ -cover. For  $x, y \in U'$ , there are  $u$  and  $v$  in  $U$  so that  $d(x, u) < \theta''$  and  $d(v, y) < \theta''$ . Thus  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, u) + d(u, v) + d(v, y) < 2\theta'' + \varepsilon$ .

Finally we show that the order of  $F'$  is at most  $n - 2$ . For  $x$  in  $T'$ , there is  $t$  in  $T$ , so that  $d(x, t) < \theta' + m$ . If  $d(t, u) \geq s$  for all  $u$  in  $U$ , then for  $u'$  in  $U'$ , we have  $d(x, u') \geq d(t, u') - d(x, t) \geq (s - \theta'') - (\theta' + m)$ . So  $F' = \{U' : U' \in F\}$  has order at most  $n - 2$  with separation  $(s - \theta'' - \theta' - m) > s - 2\theta'' > 0$ .

As  $d(p, T') \geq d(p, T) - \theta'' \geq (\varepsilon + 2\theta'')/\sqrt{2}$  we have  $\alpha = d(p, T') - (\varepsilon + 2\theta'')/\sqrt{2} > 0$ . By Lemma 2.2, with  $\varepsilon$  replaced by  $\varepsilon + 2\theta''$ , radial projection onto a small sphere  $S \subset \lambda_0$  centered at  $p$  induces the zero map from  $H_{n-1}(T')$  to  $H_{n-1}(S)$ .

Let  $G$  be the connected component of  $\Delta^{(k)} \setminus T'$  containing  $p$ . Now  $H_{n-1}$  of the  $(n - 1)$ -skeleton of  $G$  is the direct sum of the groups  $H_{n-1}(\hat{\lambda})$  where  $\lambda$  ranges over the  $n$ -simplices of  $G$ . Radial projection onto  $\hat{\lambda}_0$  induces the trivial map from  $H_{n-1}(\hat{\lambda})$  to  $H_{n-1}(\hat{\lambda}_0)$  for  $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$ , and the identity on  $H_{n-1}(\hat{\lambda}_0)$ . But the combinatorial boundary of the sum of the  $n$ -simplices of  $G$  is a cycle in  $H_{n-1}(\dot{G})$  and has a nonzero coordinate in each  $H_{n-1}(\hat{\lambda})$ . Thus radial projection induces a nonzero map from  $H_{n-1}(\dot{G})$  to  $H_{n-1}(\hat{\lambda}_0)$ .

If the boundary of  $G$  were contained in  $T'$ , then radial projection would induce a nonzero map from  $H_{n-1}(T')$  to  $H_{n-1}(S)$ , which

is precluded. Thus there must be a point of  $G$  on the boundary of  $A^{(k)}$  and we are done, as  $\lambda \notin T'$  implies  $d(\lambda, T) > \theta$ .  $\square$

4. Applications and questions. Theorem A gives a new proof of the Pfastersatz:

**THEOREM B.** *If  $F$  is a 0.5-cover of  $S^n$ , then  $o(F) \geq n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $s > 0$  and assume that  $o(F) \leq n - 1$  with separation  $s$ , then the origin can be joined to infinity by a path which is bounded away from  $S^n$ , an impossibility. Thus it follows easily that  $o(F) \geq n$  [7, Theorem 1].  $\square$

Theorem B indicates the scale at which the  $n$ -dimensionality of  $S^n$  manifests itself. This suggests that, for any totally bounded metric space, we define

$$\varepsilon_n(T) = \inf \{ \varepsilon : \varepsilon\text{-cov } T \leq n \}$$

if the infimum exists. Note that  $\varepsilon_0(T)$  is the diameter of  $T$  for a connected set  $T$ , that  $\varepsilon_n(T) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{cov } T \leq n$ , and that  $\varepsilon_n(T) > 0$  implies  $\text{cov } T > n$ .

It seems likely that  $\varepsilon_{n-1}(S^n) = 2$ , and  $\varepsilon_{n-1}([0, 1]^n) = 1$ . This holds for  $n=1$  and 2. If  $B^n$  is the  $n$ -ball, then  $\varepsilon_1(B^2) = \sqrt{3}$ . What is  $\varepsilon_{n-1}(B^n)$ ?

Can the requirement that  $d(p, T) > \varepsilon/\sqrt{2}$  in Theorem A be replaced by  $d(p, T) > \varepsilon/2$ ? It can if  $n = 2$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Berg, W. Julian, R. Mines, and F. Richman, *The constructive equivalence of covering and inductive dimensions*, *General Topology and its Applications*, **7** (1977), 99-108.
2. E. Bishop, *Foundations of Constructive Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1967.
3. D. S. Bridges, *Constructive Functional Analysis*, Pitman, 1979.
4. S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of Algebraic Topology*, Princeton, 1952.
5. W. Julian, R. Mines, and F. Richman, *Alexander duality*, (to appear).
6. K. Menger, *Über die Dimension von Punktmengen II*, *Monatsh. für Math. und Phys.*, **33** (1926), 137-161.
7. F. Richman, G. Berg, H. Cheng, and R. Mines, *Constructive dimension theory*, *Compositio Mathematica*, **33** (1976), 161-177.
8. A. de Saint-Exupery, *Le Petit Prince*, Gallimard, 1946.

Received June 20, 1980.

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
LAS CRUCES, NM 88003