

CROSSED PRODUCT AND HEREDITARY ORDERS

GERALD H. CLIFF AND ALFRED R. WEISS

Let Λ be the crossed product order $(O_L/O_K, G, \rho)$ where L/K is a finite Galois extension of local fields with Galois group G , and ρ is a factor set with values in O_L^* . Let $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda$, and let Λ_{i+1} be the left order $O_i(\text{rad } \Lambda_i)$ of $\text{rad } \Lambda_i$. The chain of orders $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_s$ ends with a hereditary order Λ_s . We prove that Λ_s is the unique minimal hereditary order in $A = K\Lambda$ containing Λ , that Λ_s has e/m simple modules, each of dimension f over the residue class field \bar{K} of O_K , and that $s = d - (e - 1)$. Here d, e, f are the different exponent, ramification index, and inertial degree of L/K , and m is the Schur index of A .

1. Introduction. Let O_K be a complete discrete valuation ring having field of fractions K and finite residue class field \bar{K} . Let L be a finite Galois extension of K , with Galois group G , and let O_L be the valuation ring in L . Let ρ be a factor set on $G \times G$ with values in the units of O_L . We are interested in the crossed product order $\Lambda = (O_L/O_K, G, \rho)$ contained in the simple algebra $A = (L/K, G, \rho)$. If ρ is trivial, Auslander-Goldman [1] showed that Λ is a maximal order in A if and only if L/K is unramified, and Auslander-Rim [2] showed that Λ is hereditary if and only if L/K is tamely ramified. Williamson [8] extended the Auslander-Rim result to the case that ρ is any factor set. We are interested in the wild case. Benz-Zassenhaus [3] showed that Λ is contained in a unique minimal hereditary order in A .

We set $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda$, and define inductively

$$\Lambda_{j+1} = \{x \in A : x \text{ rad } \Lambda_j \subseteq \text{rad } \Lambda_j\} = O_j(\text{rad } \Lambda_j).$$

Then we have the sequence of orders

$$\Lambda_0 \subsetneq \Lambda_1 \subsetneq \Lambda_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \Lambda_s = \Lambda_{s+1}$$

for some integer s . Since $\Lambda_s = O_j(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)$, it follows that Λ_s is hereditary ([6, 39.11, 39.14]). From the theory of hereditary orders (see [6, 39.14]) Λ_s may be described as follows: if $A \cong M_n(D)$, the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over a division ring D , and if Δ is the unique maximal order in D , then Λ_s is the set of block matrices, with entries in Δ , where there are r diagonal blocks of size $n_i \times n_i$, and blocks above the diagonal have

entries in $\text{rad } \Delta$. The positive integer r is called the type number of Λ_s , and is also equal to the number of simple Λ_s -modules. Our main result is the following.

THEOREM. (1) Λ_s is the unique minimal hereditary O_K -order in A containing Λ .

(2) $\text{rad } \Lambda_s = P_L \Lambda_s$, where P_L denotes the maximal ideal of O_L .

(3) $r = e/m$, where e is the ramification index of L/K and m is the Schur index of A .

(4) $n_1 = n_2 = \cdots = n_r = f$, the inertial degree of L/K .

(5) $s = d - (e - 1)$, where d is the exponent $P_L^d = \mathcal{D}$ of the different of L/K .

We prove this by first considering the split case (when $\rho = 1$), and then taking an unramified extension K' of K which splits A , and considering $A \otimes_K K'$ which is a crossed product $(L'/K', G, 1)$, where $L' = L \otimes_K K'$. Then L' is not in general a field, but a Galois algebra over K' , and we find it convenient to prove the Theorem when L is a Galois algebra over K to begin with; we take O_L to be the integral closure of O_K in L , we replace P_L by $\text{rad } O_L$, and we give suitable definitions of d, e , and f in §2. We deal with the split case in §3, and the general case in §4. We find generators for the hereditary order Λ_s in §5, in the totally ramified split case. In §6 we show how our results yield those of Auslander-Goldman-Rim-Williamson, as well as some others.

We cite Reiner [6] as a general reference.

2. Galois algebras. Let L be a commutative Galois algebra over K , with finite Galois group G , by which we mean that L is a commutative separable K -algebra with G a group of automorphisms of L fixing K such that the fixed subalgebra $L^G = K$ and $|G| = \dim_K L$. Let O_L be the integral closure of O_K in L . Let E denote the set of primitive idempotents of L . Then for $\varepsilon \in E$, the integral closure $O_{L\varepsilon}$ of O_K in the field $L\varepsilon$ is a complete discrete valuation ring, and $O_{L\varepsilon} = O_L\varepsilon$. Since $L^G = K$, G acts transitively on E .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let I be a non-zero O_L -submodule of L which is G -invariant. Then $I = (\text{rad } O_L)^i$ for some integer i .*

Proof. For any primitive idempotent ε of L , $I\varepsilon$ is a non-zero $O_{L\varepsilon}$ -submodule of $L\varepsilon$, and therefore $I\varepsilon = (\text{rad } O_{L\varepsilon})^{i_\varepsilon}$ for some $i_\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Z}$, since $O_{L\varepsilon}$ is a discrete valuation ring. Because G acts transitively on E

and I is G -invariant, it follows that $i_\varepsilon = i$ is independent of ε . Then

$$I = \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} I\varepsilon = \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} (\text{rad } O_{L\varepsilon})^i = \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} (\text{rad } O_L)^i \varepsilon = (\text{rad } O_L)^i$$

as desired.

First, let $I = P_K O_L$. Then $P_K O_L = (\text{rad } O_L)^e$ for some integer e , and we call e the *ramification index* of L/K .

Next, let $\text{tr}_{L/K}: L \rightarrow K$ be the trace map, and let

$$\tilde{O}_L = \{x \in L: \text{tr}_{L/K}(xO_L) \subseteq O_K\}$$

be the complementary module to O_L under the trace. Since

$$\text{tr}_{L/K}(x) = \sum_{g \in G} g(x), \quad x \in L,$$

it follows that \tilde{O}_L is a G -invariant O_L -submodule of L , so $\tilde{O}_L = (\text{rad } O_L)^{-d}$ for some integer d . We call d the *different exponent* of L/K (and $(\text{rad } O_L)^d$ the different $\mathcal{D}_{L/K}$ of L/K).

Define the *inertial degree* f of L/K to be $\dim_{\bar{K}}(O_L/\text{rad } O_L)$.

Let $\rho: G \times G \rightarrow O_L^*$ be a factor set on G with values in the units of O_L . The crossed product algebra $A = (L/K, G, \rho)$ is the free left L -module with basis $u_g, g \in G$, with multiplication given by

$$xu_g \cdot yu_h = xg(y)\rho(g, h)u_{gh}, \quad x, y \in L, g, h \in G.$$

The order $\Lambda = (O_L/O_K, G, \rho)$ is the O_L -submodule of A spanned by $u_g, g \in G$. We assume that $\rho(g, 1) = \rho(1, g) = 1$, so that O_L may be identified inside Λ as $\{xu_1: x \in O_L\}$.

LEMMA 2.2. (1) L has a normal K -basis with respect to G .

(2) A is a central simple K -algebra, and A is isomorphic to a full matrix ring over K if and only if the class of ρ in $H^2(G, L^*)$ is 1.

(3) The reduced trace $\text{trd}: A \rightarrow K$ is given by

$$\text{trd}\left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g\right) = \text{tr}_{L/K}(a_1).$$

Proof. These results are well known if L is a field, and the proofs are essentially the same if L is a Galois algebra. We omit the details.

3. The split case. In this section we assume that L/K is a Galois algebra, and we prove the theorem in the case that $\rho = 1$, with P_L replaced by $\text{rad } O_L$, and with d, e, f defined as in §2. Since $\rho = 1$, then

$A \cong M_n(K)$, $n = |G|$. Let V be a simple A -module. The structure theory for hereditary orders ([6, 39.18]) provides a Λ_s -submodule M contained in V with the following properties:

- (a) r is the unique positive integer such that $(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^r M = P_K M$, (since $\text{End}_A(V) = K$).
- (b) $\Lambda_s = \{x \in A: x(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^i M \subseteq (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^i M, 0 \leq i < r\}$.
- (c) $\text{rad } \Lambda_s = \{x \in A: x(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^i M \subseteq (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^{i+1} M, 0 \leq i < r\}$.
- (d) $(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^{i-1} M / (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^i M, 1 \leq i \leq r$, are a full set of simple Λ_s -modules.
- (e) $n_i = \dim_{\bar{K}}(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^{i-1} M / (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^i M, 1 \leq i \leq r$.

The algebra A acts on L , via

$$\left(\sum x_g u_g\right) \cdot y = \sum x_g g(y), \quad \sum x_g u_g \in A, y \in L,$$

and acts irreducibly on L , so we may take L to be V . The non-zero Λ -submodules of L are O_L -submodules of L which are G -stable, so they are precisely $(\text{rad } O_L)^i, i \in \mathbf{Z}$, by Lemma 2.1. We denote the Λ -module $(\text{rad } O_L)^i$ by M_i .

LEMMA 3.1. For each integer $j \geq 0$,

- (1) M_i is a Λ_j -module, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, and every non-zero Λ_j -submodule of V is M_i for some i .
- (2) $(\text{rad } \Lambda_j)M_i = M_{i+1}$.

Proof. If (1) holds for some j , then $(\text{rad } \Lambda_j)M_i \subsetneq M_i$, by Nakayama's Lemma, so $(\text{rad } \Lambda_j)M_i \subseteq M_{i+1}$, since M_{i+1} is the unique maximal Λ_j -submodule of M_i . But $\text{rad } O_L \subseteq \text{rad } \Lambda_j$, since $(\text{rad } O_L)M_i \subsetneq M_i$ for each i , and $(\text{rad } O_L)M_i = M_{i+1}$, so $(\text{rad } \Lambda_j)M_i = M_{i+1}$, proving (2). For (1), we use induction on j , having noted that it holds for Λ_0 . Then for $j + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{j+1}M_i &= \Lambda_{j+1}(\text{rad } \Lambda_j)M_{i-1} \quad (\text{by (2) for } j) \\ &\subseteq (\text{rad } \Lambda_j)M_{i-1} \quad (\text{by definition of } \Lambda_{j+1}) \\ &= M_i \end{aligned}$$

so M_i is a Λ_{j+1} -module, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since any Λ_{j+1} -module is also a Λ -module, the proof is complete.

- LEMMA 3.2. (1) $\Lambda_s = \{x \in A: xM_i \subseteq M_i, i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$.
 (2) $\text{rad } \Lambda_s = \{x \in A: xM_i \subseteq M_{i+1}, i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$.
 (3) $\text{rad } \Lambda_s = (\text{rad } O_L)\Lambda_s = \Lambda_s(\text{rad } O_L)$.

Proof. The structure of Λ_s is given in terms of a Λ_s -submodule M contained in V . From Lemma 3.1, any Λ_s -submodule of V must be M_k for some integer k . We have, from (b) and Lemma 3.1,

$$\Lambda_s = \{x \in A: xM_{k+i} \subseteq M_{k+i}, 0 \leq i < r\}.$$

From (a), $M_{k+r} = (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^r M_k = P_K M_k$, and since P_K is a principal ideal of O_K , then $M_{k+r} \cong M_k$ as Λ_s -modules. Then for $i \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^i M_{k+r} = M_{i+k+r} \cong (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^i M_k = M_{i+k}$$

so $M_{i+r} \cong M_i$ as Λ_s -modules, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Thus

$$\Lambda_s = \{x \in A: xM_i \subseteq M_i, i \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

proving (1), and (2) follows from (1). Since $\text{rad } O_L \subseteq \text{rad } \Lambda_s$ and $(\text{rad } O_L)M_i = M_{i+1} = (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)M_i$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, (3) follows from (2).

Parts (1)–(4) of the Theorem are now straightforward in this case. If Γ is a hereditary order in A containing Λ , then applying the structure theory to Γ , there is a Γ -submodule M of V such that

$$\Gamma = \{x \in A: x(\text{rad } \Gamma)^i M \subseteq (\text{rad } \Gamma)^i M, 1 \leq i \leq \text{type number of } \Gamma\}.$$

Since $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$, M is a Λ -module, so $M = M_j$ for some integer j . Also, since $(\text{rad } O_L)M_i \subsetneq M_i$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, then $\text{rad } O_L \subseteq \text{rad } \Gamma$, and then $(\text{rad } \Gamma)^i M_j = M_{j+i}$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $\Lambda_s \subseteq \Gamma$, proving (1) of the theorem. Part (2) is contained in Lemma 3.2. For (3), we know from (a) that r is the integer such that $(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^r M_k = P_K M_k$. But

$$P_K M_k = P_K O_L M_k = (\text{rad } O_L)^e M_k = M_{k+e}$$

so $r = e$. (Note that $m = 1$ here.) For (4),

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^{i-1} M_k / (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^i M_k \\ &= M_{k+i-1} / M_{k+i} = (\text{rad } O_L)^{k+i-1} / (\text{rad } O_L)^{k+i} \end{aligned}$$

and as \bar{K} -modules $(\text{rad } O_L)^{k+i-1} / (\text{rad } O_L)^{k+i} \cong O_L / \text{rad } O_L$ so

$$n_i = \dim_{\bar{K}} O_L / \text{rad } O_L = f, 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

In order to prove (5), we use the following result.

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose that a is an integer ≥ 0 such that $(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^a$ is the largest left Λ_s -ideal contained in Λ . Then $s = a$.*

Proof. If $a = 0$, then $\Lambda_s \subseteq \Lambda$, so $\Lambda_s = \Lambda$, and $s = 0$. Assuming that $a > 0$, we show that $(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^{a-1}$ is the largest left Λ_s -ideal contained in Λ_1 . First,

$$(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^{a-1} \text{rad } \Lambda \subseteq (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^{a-1} \text{rad } \Lambda_s = (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^a.$$

Now $(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^a \subseteq \Lambda$ by hypothesis, and $\text{rad } \Lambda_s \cap \Lambda \subseteq \text{rad } \Lambda$, by Lemma 3.2. Thus $(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^a \subseteq \text{rad } \Lambda$. Then $(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^{a-1}(\text{rad } \Lambda) \subseteq \text{rad } \Lambda$, so $(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^{a-1} \subseteq \Lambda_1$.

Next, if L is a left Λ_s -ideal contained in Λ_1 , then $L \text{ rad } \Lambda \subseteq \text{rad } \Lambda$, so $L \text{ rad } \Lambda \subseteq (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^a$. Then

$$L \text{ rad } \Lambda_s = L(\text{rad } \Lambda) \Lambda_s \subseteq (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^a.$$

Since $\text{rad } \Lambda_s$ is invertible, $L \subseteq (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^{a-1}$ as desired.

Now by induction, the length of the chain $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \Lambda_s$ is $a - 1$, so $s = a$, and the proof is complete.

Let $\text{trd} : A \rightarrow K$ be the reduced trace, and for an O_K -submodule L of A with $KL = A$, let

$$\tilde{L} = \{x \in A : \text{trd}(xL) \subseteq O_K\}$$

be the complementary module.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let Γ be any hereditary O_K -order contained in the split simple algebra $A = M_n(K)$. Then*

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = P_K^{-1} \text{rad } \Gamma.$$

Proof. Suppose that Γ has type number r , invariants n_1, \dots, n_r , and Γ consists of block matrices as mentioned in section 1. Let π_K be a prime element of O_K . For integers $i, j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, let Y_{ij} denote the matrix whose i, j -entry is π_K if the i, j -position is above the diagonal of blocks of Γ , or 1 otherwise, and all of whose other entries are 0 (so $Y_{ij} \in \Gamma$.) Let y_{ij} denote the non-zero entry of Y_{ij} . Let $X = (x_{ij})$ be any element of A . Then XY_{ij} has at most one non-zero entry on the main diagonal, namely $x_{ij}y_{ji}$. We have $\text{trd}(XY_{ij}) = \text{trace of matrix } XY_{ij} = x_{ij}y_{ji}$. Then $X \in \tilde{\Gamma} \Leftrightarrow x_{ij}y_{ji} \in O_K, \text{ all } i, j \Leftrightarrow$ when X is partitioned according to the block partition induced by Γ , the entries below the diagonal of blocks are in P_K^{-1} , and the other entries are in O_K . But such matrices are precisely those in $P_K^{-1} \text{rad } \Gamma$. Since the Y_{ij} give a free basis for Γ over O_K , the result follows.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let $w = d - (e - 1)$. Then $(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^w$ is the largest left Λ_s -ideal contained in Λ .*

Proof. From Lemma 3.2, we have $\text{rad } \Lambda_s = (\text{rad } O_L) \Lambda_s$, so $(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^w = (\text{rad } O_L)^{d-(e-1)} \Lambda_s$. From Lemma 3.4

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_s = P_K^{-1} \text{rad } \Lambda_s = (\text{rad } O_L)^{-e} (\text{rad } O_L) \Lambda_s = (\text{rad } O_L)^{-e+1} \Lambda_s,$$

so

$$(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^w = (\text{rad } O_L)^d \tilde{\Lambda}_s = \left((\text{rad } O_L)^{-d} \Lambda_s \right)^{\sim}.$$

From Lemma 2.2, $\text{trd}(\sum x_g u_g) = \text{tr}_{L/K}(x_1)$, so

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \mathcal{D}^{-1}\Lambda = (\text{rad } O_L)^{-d} \Lambda \subseteq (\text{rad } O_L)^{-d} \Lambda_s,$$

$$(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^w = \left((\text{rad } O_L)^{-d} \Lambda_s \right)^{\sim} \subseteq \tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda,$$

so $(\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^w$ is contained in Λ . If L is any other left Λ_s -ideal contained in Λ , then \tilde{L} is a right Λ_s -module containing $\tilde{\Lambda}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L} \supseteq \tilde{\Lambda} \Lambda_s &= \mathcal{D}^{-1} \Lambda_s = (\text{rad } O_L)^{-d} \Lambda_s, \\ L = \tilde{\tilde{L}} &\subseteq \left((\text{rad } O_L)^{-d} \Lambda_s \right)^{\sim} = (\text{rad } \Lambda_s)^w, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof.

Now (5) of the Theorem follows from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5.

4. The general case. In this section we continue with the assumption that L/K is a Galois algebra, and we prove the Theorem in the case that ρ is any factor set with values in O_L^* . Since \bar{K} is finite, there is an unramified field extension K' of K such that the algebra $A' = A \otimes_K K'$ splits ([7, Prop. 2, p. 191].) Let O' be the integral closure of O_K in K' , and let $\Lambda' = \Lambda \otimes_{O_K} O'$.

LEMMA 4.1. *If Γ is an O_K -order, then*

$$\text{rad}(\Gamma \otimes_{O_K} O') = (\text{rad } \Gamma) \otimes_{O_K} O'.$$

Proof. Denote O_K by O , and P_K by P . Clearly

$$(\text{rad } \Gamma) \otimes_O O' \subseteq \text{rad}(\Gamma \otimes_O O').$$

For the reverse inclusion, we have

$$(\Gamma \otimes_O O') / (\text{rad } \Gamma) \otimes_O O' \cong (\Gamma / \text{rad } \Gamma) \otimes_O O'.$$

Since $P \subseteq \text{rad } \Gamma$, then $\Gamma / \text{rad } \Gamma$ is an O/P -module, and

$$(\Gamma / \text{rad } \Gamma) \otimes_O O' \cong (\Gamma / \text{rad } \Gamma) \otimes_{O/P} (O' / PO').$$

Since K'/K is unramified, then O'/PO' is field, which is separable over \bar{K} since \bar{K} is finite. Then the semi-simple O/P -algebra $\Gamma / \text{rad } \Gamma$ remains semi-simple after tensoring with O'/PO' , so $\Gamma \otimes_O O' / (\text{rad } \Gamma) \otimes_O O'$ is semi-simple, and the result follows.

We let G act on $L' = L \otimes_K K'$ by

$$g(x \otimes y) = g(x) \otimes y, \quad x \in L, y \in K', g \in G.$$

Then L' is a Galois algebra over K' with Galois group G . We have $O_{L'} = O_L \otimes_{O_K} O'$, and

$$\Lambda' = \Lambda \otimes_{O_K} O' = (O_{L'}/O', G, \rho).$$

Let us show that in going from L/K to L'/K' , the numbers d, e, f are unchanged.

Applying Lemma 4.1 to the O_K -order O_L , we have $\text{rad } O_{L'} = (\text{rad } O_L) \otimes_{O_K} O'$. Since the maximal ideal P' of O' is $P_K O'$, then

$$P' O_{L'} = (P_K O_L) \otimes_{O_K} O' = (\text{rad } O_L)^e \otimes_{O_K} O' = (\text{rad } O_{L'})^e$$

so the ramification index of L'/K' is still e . Similarly,

$$\dim_{\bar{K}'}(O_{L'}/\text{rad } O_{L'}) = \dim_{\bar{K}}(O_L/\text{rad } O_L) = f.$$

For the different exponent of L'/K' , since

$$\text{tr}_{L'/K'}(x \otimes y) = \text{tr}_{L/K}(x) \otimes y, \quad x \in L, y \in K',$$

then clearly $\tilde{O}_L \otimes_{O_K} O' \subseteq \tilde{O}_{L'}$; since $\tilde{O}_L = (\text{rad } O_L)^{-d}$, and $\text{rad } O_{L'} = (\text{rad } O_L) \otimes_{O_K} O'$, then $(\text{rad } O_{L'})^{-d} \subseteq \tilde{O}_{L'}$. If $(\text{rad } O_{L'})^{-d-1} \subseteq \tilde{O}_{L'}$, then $(\text{rad } O_L)^{-d-1} \subseteq \tilde{O}_L$, which is not so. Therefore $\tilde{O}_{L'} = (\text{rad } O_{L'})^{-d}$.

LEMMA 4.2. *If Γ is an O_K -order contained in a semi-simple algebra A , then*

$$O_l(\text{rad } \Gamma) \otimes_{O_K} O' = O_l(\text{rad}(\Gamma \otimes_{O_K} O')).$$

Proof. It is clear that the left side is contained in the right. There is an isomorphism

$$\phi: O_l(\text{rad } \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\text{rad } \Gamma, \text{rad } \Gamma),$$

where $\text{rad } \Gamma$ is considered as a right Γ -module. Similarly, there is an isomorphism

$$\psi: O_l(\text{rad } \Gamma') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Gamma'}(\text{rad } \Gamma', \text{rad } \Gamma'),$$

where $\Gamma' = \Gamma \otimes_{O_K} O'$. Since Γ is noetherian, then $\text{rad } \Gamma$ is finitely presented over Γ , so from [6, 2.37] we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma: \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\text{rad } \Gamma, \text{rad } \Gamma) \otimes_{O_K} O' &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Gamma \otimes_{O_K} O'}(\text{rad } \Gamma \otimes_{O_K} O', \text{rad } \Gamma \otimes_{O_K} O') \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\Gamma'}(\text{rad } \Gamma', \text{rad } \Gamma') \end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 4.1. The map

$$\psi^{-1}\sigma(\phi \otimes 1): O_l(\text{rad } \Gamma) \otimes_{O_K} O' \rightarrow O_l(\text{rad } \Gamma')$$

is the identity, and the result is proved.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $\Lambda = (O_L/O_K, G, \rho)$ be a crossed product order in $A = (L/K, G, \rho)$ and suppose that A splits over K . Then $\Lambda \cong (O_L/O_K, G, 1)$.*

Proof. Since the algebra A is split over K , the class of ρ in $H^2(G, L^*)$ is 1. We shall show that the map $H^2(G, O_L^*) \rightarrow H^2(G, L^*)$ is one-to-one, and then the class of ρ in $H^2(G, O_L^*)$ will be 1, and the result will follow.

Let E be the set of primitive idempotents of L and let $M = \bigoplus_{\varepsilon \in E} Z\varepsilon$ be the free Z -module with basis E ; G acts on M via its action on E . For ε in E , let v_ε be the normalized valuation on the field $L\varepsilon$, and define $v: L^* \rightarrow M$ by

$$v(x) = \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} v_\varepsilon(x\varepsilon)\varepsilon, \quad x \in L^*.$$

Then we get an exact sequence of G -modules

$$0 \rightarrow O_L^* \rightarrow L^* \xrightarrow{v} M \rightarrow 0,$$

giving rise to the exact sequence

$$H^1(G, M) \rightarrow H^2(G, O_L^*) \rightarrow H^2(G, L^*).$$

Since M is a permutation module, M is isomorphic to the induced module $\text{Ind}_H^G(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}G \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}H} \mathbf{Z}$, where H is the stabilizer of an idempotent in E , and $H^1(G, M) = H^1(H, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$, since H is finite. Then $H^2(G, O_L^*) \rightarrow H^2(G, L^*)$ is one-to-one, as desired.

From Lemma 4.2, the chains

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_0 \subseteq \Lambda_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \Lambda_s \\ \Lambda'_0 \subseteq \Lambda'_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \Lambda'_s \end{aligned}$$

have the same length, and Λ'_s is hereditary. Since the Theorem has been proved in the split case, and since $\Lambda' \cong (O_{L'}/O', G, 1)$, which follows from Lemma 4.3, we find that $s = d - (e - 1)$. If Γ is a hereditary order in A containing Λ , then $\Gamma' = \Gamma \otimes_{O_K} O'$ is a hereditary order in A' containing Λ' , and since Λ'_s is the unique minimal hereditary order in A' containing Λ' , then $\Lambda'_s \subseteq \Gamma'$. We may embed Γ in Γ' as $\Gamma \otimes_{O_K} 1$, and A in A' as $A \otimes_K 1$, and then $\Gamma = \Gamma' \cap A \supseteq \Lambda'_s \cap A = \Lambda_s$, so Λ_s is the unique minimal hereditary order in A containing Λ .

From [6, 39.14] we have

$$\Lambda_s/\text{rad } \Lambda_s \cong \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(\bar{\Delta})$$

where $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta/\text{rad } \Delta$, and Δ is the unique maximal order in $\text{End}_A(V)$, with V a simple A -module. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda'_s/\text{rad } \Lambda'_s &\cong (\Lambda_s/\text{rad } \Lambda_s) \otimes_{O_K} O' \cong (\Lambda_s/\text{rad } \Lambda_s) \otimes_{\bar{K}} \bar{K}' \\ &\cong \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(\bar{\Delta} \otimes_{\bar{K}} \bar{K}'). \end{aligned}$$

Now $\bar{\Delta} \otimes_{\bar{K}} \bar{K}' \cong (\bar{K}')^m$, where m is the Schur index of A , since \bar{K} is finite ([6, 14.3]). Thus

$$\Lambda'_s/\text{rad } \Lambda'_s \cong \left(\prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(\bar{K}') \right)^m.$$

Therefore the type number of $\Lambda'_s/\text{rad } \Lambda'_s$, known to be e from §3, is equal to mr , yielding

$$r = \frac{e}{m}.$$

Each invariant $n_i = f$, since the invariants n_i of Λ'_s are f . Therefore the proof of the theorem is complete.

5. Generators for Λ_s in the split case. In this section we find generators for Λ_s in the case that $\rho = 1$. To simplify the exposition, we assume that L is a field, which is totally ramified over K . We let P_L be the maximal ideal of O_L , and let v_L be the normalized valuation on L . Let M_i denote the Λ -module P_L^i , $i \in \mathbf{Z}$.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $w = d - (e - 1)$, and let x be an element of L such that $v_L(x) = -w$. Let $\alpha = x \sum_{g \in G} u_g \in A$. Then $\alpha M_i \subseteq M_i$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ (so $\alpha \in \Lambda_s$, from Lemma 3.2), and unless $i \equiv -w \pmod{e}$, $\alpha M_i \subseteq M_{i+1}$, whereas if $i \equiv -w \pmod{e}$, $\alpha M_i \not\subseteq M_{i+1}$.*

Proof. Let tr denote the trace from L to K . We first compute $\text{tr}(P_L^i)$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. We have, for $j \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(P_L^i) \subseteq P_K^j &\Leftrightarrow \text{tr}(P_L^i P_K^{-j}) \subseteq O_K \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{tr}(P_L^{i-ej}) \subseteq O_K \Leftrightarrow P_L^{i-ej} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^{-1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow P_L^{i-ej+d} \subseteq O_L \Leftrightarrow i - ej + d \geq 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow j \leq \frac{i+d}{e} \end{aligned}$$

(we have used $\mathcal{D} = P_L^d$). Thus

$$\text{tr}(P_L^i) = P_K^{\lfloor (i+d)/e \rfloor},$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes greatest integer. Since $\sum u_g \cdot y = \sum g(y) = \text{tr } y$, $y \in L$, we have

$$O_L \alpha M_i = O_L x \text{tr}(P_L^i) = x O_L P_K^{\lfloor (i+d)/e \rfloor} = x P_L^{e \lfloor (i+d)/e \rfloor}.$$

Write

$$\left\lfloor \frac{i+d}{e} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{i+w}{e} + \frac{d-w}{e} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{i+w}{e} + \frac{e-1}{e} \right\rfloor.$$

If $(i+w)/e \notin \mathbf{Z}$, then $\lfloor (i+d)/e \rfloor > (i+w)/e$, so $e \lfloor (i+d)/e \rfloor \geq i+w$, and

$$O_L \alpha M_i \subseteq x P_L^{i+w+1} = P_L^{i+1} = M_{i+1}.$$

If $(i+w)/e \in \mathbf{Z}$, then $\lfloor (i+d)/e \rfloor = (i+w)/e$, so $e \lfloor (i+d)/e \rfloor = i+w$, and

$$O_L \alpha M_i = x P_L^{i+w} = M_i.$$

This completes the proof.

Let π_L be a prime element of O_L . Then from Lemma 3.2, we have $\pi_L^{-1} \Lambda_s \pi_L = \Lambda_s$. Let $\alpha = x \sum u_g$ be the element of Lemma 5.1, and define

$$\alpha_i = \pi_L^{-i} \alpha \pi_L^i, \quad 0 \leq i < e.$$

From Lemma 5.2, it follows that α_i acts non-trivially on M_{-w+i}/M_{-w+i+1} , whereas α_i annihilates M_j/M_{j+1} if $j \not\equiv -w+i \pmod{e}$. Thus the simple Λ_s -modules $M_0/M_1, M_1/M_2, \dots, M_{e-1}/M_e$ are non-isomorphic, and hence form a complete set of simple Λ_s -modules. Recall that $\Lambda_s/\text{rad } \Lambda_s \cong \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(\bar{K})$, and each $n_i = f = 1$, since we are assuming that L/K is totally ramified. Hence $\Lambda_s/\text{rad } \Lambda_s$ is commutative. Further, $r = e$, so $\dim_{\bar{K}}(\Lambda_s/\text{rad } \Lambda_s) = e$. Then the elements $\alpha_i + \text{rad } \Lambda_s$ generate $\Lambda_s/\text{rad } \Lambda_s$ as a \bar{K} -module, $0 \leq i < e$. Since $\text{rad } \Lambda_s = P_L \Lambda_s$, we see that $O_L \alpha_i, 0 \leq i < e$, generate Λ_s as an O_K -module. So $\pi_L^j \alpha_i, 0 \leq j < e, 0 \leq i < e$, generate Λ_s as an O_K -module.

Finally, from the formula $\text{tr}(P_L^i) = P_K^{\lfloor (i+d)/e \rfloor}$ from Lemma 5.1, if we set $i = -w$, then $i+d = e-1$, so $\text{tr}(P_L^{-w}) = O_K$. Thus we may find y in L with $v_L(y) = -w$ such that $\text{tr}(y) = u$ is a unit of O_K . Then $x = u^{-1}y$ has $v_L(x) = -w$ and $\text{tr}(x) = 1$. Now $(\sum u_g)x(\sum u_g) = \text{tr}(x)\sum u_g = \sum u_g$, so $\alpha = x \sum u_g$ is idempotent. From the action of α on the simple modules M_i/M_{i+1} , we find that α is a primitive idempotent of Λ_s , and that the elements $\alpha_i + \text{rad } \Lambda_s$ are all the primitive idempotents of $\Lambda_s/\text{rad } \Lambda_s$.

6. Complements. The results of Auslander-Goldman-Rim-Williamson mentioned in the Introduction follow easily from our Theorem. If $\rho = 1$, Λ is a maximal order in $A \Leftrightarrow s = 0$, $r = 1 \Leftrightarrow e/m = 1 \Leftrightarrow e = 1$, since $m = 1$. For any ρ , Λ is hereditary $\Leftrightarrow s = 0 \Leftrightarrow d = e - 1 \Leftrightarrow L/K$ is tamely ramified, from [7, Prop. 13, p. 67].

We also recover a result of Janusz [4], who showed that, in the tamely ramified case, Λ has type e/m and invariants f . (See also Merklen [5].)

From the fact that $r = e/m$, we find a way to compute the Schur index m of A as follows: the centre of $\Lambda_s/\text{rad } \Lambda_s$ has e/m component fields (each of dimension m over \bar{K}).

It may be shown that the index

$$(\Lambda_s : \Lambda) = \pi_K^{n^2(d-(e-1))/2e}$$

where $n = [L : K]$. This follows from

$$(\tilde{\Lambda} : \Lambda) = (\tilde{\Lambda}_s : \Lambda_s)(\Lambda_s : \Lambda)^2.$$

Note that Lemma 3.4 (that $\tilde{\Lambda} = P_K^{-1} \text{rad } \Lambda$ if Λ is hereditary) also holds in the non-split case, as may be shown by tensoring with an unramified extension.

In the split case (§3), the Λ -lattices contained in a irreducible A -module V are linearly ordered, but this fails to be true if A is not split. However, it may be shown, in general, that the Λ -lattices M in V such that $\text{End}_\Lambda(M)$ is the maximal order in $\text{End}_A(V)$ are linearly ordered, and this can be used to prove the Theorem, just as in §3.

Note that we could have used right-orders $\Lambda'_{j+1} = O_r(\text{rad } \Lambda'_j)$ throughout, instead of left orders, and still obtain the same answer $s = d - (e - 1)$ for the length of the chain $\Lambda'_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \Lambda'_s$. By uniqueness of Λ_s , we would get $\Lambda_s = \Lambda'_s$, but we do not know whether $\Lambda_j = \Lambda'_j$ for all j , $1 < j < s$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *Maximal orders*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **97** (1960), 1–24.
- [2] M. Auslander and D. S. Rim, *Ramification index and multiplicity*, Illinois J. Math., **7** (1963), 566–581.
- [3] H. Benz and H. Zassenhaus, *Über verschränkte Produktordnungen*, J. Number Theory, **20** (1985), 282–298.
- [4] G. Janusz, *Crossed product orders and the Schur index*, Comm. Algebra, **8** (1980), 697–706.

- [5] H. Merklen, *Hereditary crossed product orders*, Pacific J. Math., **74** (1978), 391–406.
- [6] I. Reiner, *Maximal Orders*, Academic Press, London, 1975.
- [7] J.-P. Serre, *Corps Locaux*, Act. Sci. et Ind. 1296, Hermann, Paris, 1962.
- [8] S. Williamson, *Crossed products and hereditary orders*, Nagoya Math. J., **23** (1963), 103–120.

Received September 21, 1984 and in revised form March 26, 1985. Supported by NSERC.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
EDMONTON, ALBERTA
CANADA T6G 2G1

