

APPROXIMATION PROPERTIES FOR SOME NON-NOETHERIAN LOCAL RINGS

H. SCHOUTENS

In this paper we study Artin approximation in power series rings in several variables over complete rank-one valuation rings. In particular we prove that the completion of the algebraic elements has the approximation property over the ring of algebraic power series.

Moreover, for an important class of complete rank-one valuation rings, e.g. the ring of complex p -adic integers, we prove that the ring of algebraic power series is equal to the henselisation of the polynomial ring and that each algebraic power series has coefficients lying in a finitely generated R -algebra, where R is discrete valuation rings.

1.1. Let $R \subset \bar{R}$ be a pair of rings (always commutative, with unity). We'll consider topologies on \bar{R} which stem from a filtration of ideals $a_0 \supset a_1 \supset a_2 \supset \dots$ which tends to zero, i.e. $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = 0$. Two examples we will use are given by

- (1) an ideal a of \bar{R} with $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n = 0$ and where $a_n = a^n$; thus we get the a -adic topology,
- (2) a rank-one valuation on \bar{R} (i.e. a valuation with value group in the positive real numbers) and $a_n = \{x \in \bar{R} \mid v(x) \geq n\}$.

DEFINITION. \bar{R}/R has *A. P. ((Artin)-approximation property)* when the following holds: For every system of polynomial equations $f = 0$ over R , i.e. $f = (f_1, \dots, f_q)$ with $f_i \in R[Y_1, \dots, Y_N]$ which has a solution \bar{y} in \bar{R}^N , we can find for each n in \mathbb{N} a solution y in R^N such that $\bar{y} \equiv y \pmod{a_n}$.

REMARK. Often, one can express congruence conditions such as " $\bar{y} \equiv y \pmod{a_n}$ " appearing in the definition, by polynomial conditions. More explicitly, let I be a finitely generated ideal of R , such that R is dense in \bar{R} with respect to the $I\bar{R}$ -adic topology. Let $f \in R[Y]^q$, $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$ and $\bar{y} \in \bar{R}^N$ s. t. $f(\bar{y}) = 0$. We look for a solution $y \in R^N$ such that $y \equiv \bar{y} \pmod{I^m}$, for a chosen $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $I^m = (q_1, \dots, q_s)$ with $q_i \in R$, and since $R \subset \bar{R}$ is dense, we can find $\hat{y} \in R^N$, $\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_s \in \bar{R}^N$ s.t. $\bar{y} = \hat{y} + \bar{\alpha}_1 q_1 + \dots + \bar{\alpha}_s q_s$.

Consider the polynomial system over R given by

$$P(Y) = 0,$$

$$Y - (\dot{y} + q_1 Z_1 + \cdots + q_s Z_s) = 0$$

in the variables $Y, Z_1 = (Z_{11}, \dots, Z_{1N}), \dots, Z_s = (Z_{s1}, \dots, Z_{sN})$ which has a solution $(\bar{y}, \bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_s) \in \bar{R}^{N(s+1)}$. By A.P. we find a solution $(y, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s) \in R^{N(s+1)}$, hence $y = \dot{y} + \sum_{i=1}^s q_i \alpha_i$, so $y \equiv \dot{y} \pmod{I^m}$, so $y \equiv \bar{y} \pmod{I^m}$ and $f(y) = 0$.

1.2. A particularly interesting situation for A.P. is when we take for A a local ring, $\bar{R} = A[[X]]$ and $R = A[[X]]^h$, where $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ are several variables and “ h ” denotes the henselisation of $A[X]_{(X)}$ at the maximal ideal. So, one can ask whether the following holds

$$(1) \quad A[[X]]/A[[X]]^h \text{ has A.P.}$$

Artin has proven (1) for A a field or an excellent discrete valuation ring (D.V.R.) [Ar].

But for A a non-Noetherian excellent (or even complete) rank-one valuation ring the answer is still not known. A typical complete rank-one valuation ring we will be concerned with is \mathcal{O}_{C_p} the ring of *complex integers*, i.e. the integral elements of C_p , where C_p is the p -adic closure of the algebraic closure of the p -adic numbers \mathbf{Q}_p (p being a rational prime). The problem in proving (1) for the non-Noetherian situation is the absence of a good desingularisation theorem. A consequence of (1) for A a domain is

$$(2) \quad A[[X]]^h = A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$$

where $A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ is the ring of algebraic power series, i.e. the power series which are algebraic over $\text{Frac } A[X]$. Property (2) is well-known for A an excellent domain, but for non-Noetherian rings again this is not known in general.

We will be able to prove (2) for a special kind of complete rank-one valuation rings, including the case of \mathcal{O}_{C_p} , see 4.4.2. At the same time, we will prove for this kind of rings a generalisation in more variables of a result of Christol [Chr; Prop. 7.2.]. (See Theorem 4.2.2.) As a result we obtain for instance

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{every algebraic power series of } \mathcal{O}_{C_p}[[X]] \text{ has coefficients} \\ \text{lying in a finitely generated } \mathbf{Z}_p\text{-algebra,} \end{array}$$

where \mathbf{Z}_p is the ring of p -adic integers in \mathbf{Q}_p and $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ are several variables, see Thm. 4.4.2.

1.3. Another interesting case of A.P. arises when one takes a complete rank-one valuation ring A and for $R = A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$, the ring of algebraic power series in $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and for \bar{R} the completion of R in $A[[X]]$ with respect to the valuation. We will denote this by $\bar{R} = A[[\hat{X}]]^{\text{alg}}$. We will prove:

$$(4) \quad A[[\hat{X}]]^{\text{alg}}/A[[X]]^{\text{alg}} \text{ has A.P. in } (X)\text{-topology.}$$

Robba has proven the same statement in characteristic zero only, but with respect to the Gaussnorm [Ro]. (When v is the valuation on A , then we mean by the Gaussnorm on $A[[X]]$: $v(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_i X^i) = \inf\{v(a_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$, $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$.) But by the remark in 1.1 his statement is actually equivalent with ours, by taking for $I = (\pi)A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$, with $\pi \in A$ and $v(\pi) > 0$.

1.4. Because we need several A.P. theorems of the same type (\bar{R} is the completion of R with respect to a rank-one valuation, e.g. (4)), we prove A.P. in a more general situation for systems of subrings of $A[[X]]$ and their completions, called pseudo-power series; this is done in Theorem 2.4.1.

1.5. In the last paragraph we have brought together all Weierstrass Preparation Theorems (W.P.T.) we will need. For lack of good reference, we have estimated it opportune to write out these theorems in a rather detailed way.

1.6. We would like to thank Dr. J. Denef for presenting these problems and for his suggestion to use 4.3.4 in order to obtain result (2).

2. Approximation property.

2.1.1. DEFINITION. Let A be an arbitrary domain with fraction field F . We'll say that A is *completely integrally closed* (C.I.C.) if for $x \in F$ with $d \in A, d \neq 0$ so that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: dx^n \in A$ implies that $x \in A$ (also called completely normal). In [Ma; (17.B)] one proves that when A is C.I.C., so are $A[X]$ and $A[[X]]$.

2.1.2. THEOREM (*Fatou-property*) *When A is C.I.C., T one variable and $S = 1 + T \cdot A[[T]]$ then $A[[T]] \cap \text{Frac}(A[T]) = S^{-1}A[T]$.*

Proof. Cf. [Ch; §3]. □

2.2.1. Let A be an arbitrary ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal of A , with $\mathfrak{a} \subset \text{rad } A$ (Jacobson radical of A).

We call (A, \mathfrak{a}) a *Henselian pair*, if

$$\forall f = (f_1, \dots, f_N), \quad f_i \in A[X], \quad X = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$$

and $x \in A^N$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ such that

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$$

and $\det(\partial f_i / \partial X_j)|_x$ is invertible, then

$$\exists \hat{x} \in A^N,$$

such that

$$f(\hat{x}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \equiv \hat{x} \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}.$$

This is just a generalisation of Henselian local rings and analogous properties hold. See [Ra]. Especially we'll use:

2.2.2. LEMMA (*Tougéron*). Let (A, \mathfrak{a}) be a Henselian pair, $f = (f_1, \dots, f_h)$, $f_i \in A[Y]$, $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$. Let $J = (\partial f_i / \partial X_j)$ be the Jacobian and δ a $h \times h$ -minor of J . Suppose there is an $x \in A^N$ such that $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{\delta(x)^2 \mathfrak{a}}$. Then $\exists \hat{x} \in A^N$ such that

$$f(\hat{x}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \equiv \hat{x} \pmod{\delta(x) \mathfrak{a}}.$$

Proof. See [Ar; II §4.1]. □

2.3.1. Let A be a ring, then we shall mean by a *pseudo-power series-system* (PP-system) a system of rings \mathring{W}_n , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, such that

- (1) $\mathring{W}_0 = A$,
- (2) $\mathring{W}_{n-1}[X_n] \subset \mathring{W}_n \subset \mathring{W}_{n-1}[[X_n]]$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,
- (3) $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \subset \text{rad } \mathring{W}_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,
- (4) If $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in (X_1, \dots, X_n)A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $\lambda(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathring{W}_n$ then $\lambda(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) \in \mathring{W}_n$. Note that then $A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subset \mathring{W}_n \subset A[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$. Clearly the powers series form a PP-system.

2.3.2. In this paragraph we'll work from now on with a valuation ring \mathcal{O} which is complete with respect to its valuation ord. Let \mathcal{M} be the maximal ideal and $K = \text{Frac } \mathcal{O}$ the fraction field. We can extend ord to a

valuation on $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ also written ord by setting

$$\text{ord}\left(\sum a_i X^i\right) = \inf\{\text{ord}(a_i)\}$$

with $i = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$, $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $X^i = X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_i^{i_i} \cdots X_n^{i_n}$. We call $f = \sum a_i X^i \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]$ *separable* when $\exists i_0: \text{ord}(f) = \text{ord}(a_{i_0})$, i.e. the infimum actually is a minimum. E.g. polynomials are separable. Let \dot{W}_n be a PP-system over \mathcal{O} and denote by W_n the completion of \dot{W}_n in $\mathcal{O}[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$. Then W_n also forms a PP-system.

We will call an $f \in \mathcal{O}[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ *regular* of degree k in X_n when $f \equiv X_n^k u \pmod{(\mathcal{M}, X')}$ with u unit in $\mathcal{O}[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ and $X' = (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$ (cf. §5).

By saying that the PP-system \dot{W}_n has a Weierstrass preparation theorem (W.P.T.) we shall mean that for each $f \in \dot{W}_n$ which is regular in X_n of degree k and

$$\forall g \in \dot{W}_n: \exists \text{ unique } q \in \dot{W}_n, \quad r \in \dot{W}_{n-1}[[X_n]]$$

such that

$$g = q \cdot f + r \quad \text{with} \quad \deg_{X_n}(r) < k.$$

2.4.1. THEOREM (*Approximation property, A.P.*). Set $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Let \dot{W}_n be a PP-system over \mathcal{O} , W_n its completion. Suppose that the following conditions hold $\forall n$:

- (a) $\forall \pi \in \mathcal{M}: \pi \mathcal{O}[[X]] \cap \dot{W}_n = \pi \dot{W}_n$,
- (b) W_n has a W.P.T.,
- (c) $\forall f \in W_n: f$ is separable,
- (d) W_n is C.I.C.,
- (e) (\dot{W}_n, X) is a Henselian pair,
- (f) $\text{Frac } W_n$ is separable over $\text{Frac } \dot{W}_n$.

Then W_n/\dot{W}_n has the A.P. with respect to polynomial equations, i.e. with $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$

$$\forall f = (f_1, \dots, f_q) \quad f_i \in \mathcal{O}[[X]][[Y]]$$

$$\forall c \in \mathbf{N}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{y} = (\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_N), \quad \bar{y}_i \in W_n$$

so that $f(X, \bar{y}) = 0$.

Then $\exists \hat{y} = (\hat{y}_1, \dots, \hat{y}_N)$, $\hat{y}_i \in \dot{W}_n$ so that

$$f(X, \hat{y}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{y} \equiv \hat{y} \pmod{(X)^c}.$$

Proof. We'll write W for W_n and \dot{W} for \dot{W}_n . We will do induction on n , $n = 0$ being trivial.

Let $\psi: \dot{W}[Y] \rightarrow W: \alpha(Y) \mapsto \alpha(\bar{y})$ so $\not\# = \ker \psi$ is a prime ideal and we have

$$\dot{L} = \text{Frac} \dot{W} \subset E = \text{Frac} \left(\frac{\dot{W}[Y]}{\not\#} \right) \subset L = \text{Frac} W.$$

By (f) L/\dot{L} is separable, so E/\dot{L} is.

Call $m = \text{tr deg}_L E$ (transcendence degree), and after a transformation we may suppose that

$$E \text{ is algebraic separable over } \dot{L}(\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_m)$$

where “-” denotes residues mod $\not\#$.

So, there exist $\varphi_i(T) \in \dot{W}[Y_1, \dots, Y_m][T]$

T one variable, $i = m + 1, \dots, N$, minimal polynomials of the \bar{Y}_i

so that

$$h_i = \varphi_i(Y_i) \in \not\#$$

and

$$\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial Y_i} = \varphi'_i(Y_i) \notin \not\#.$$

Let $\delta = \prod_{i=m+1}^N (\partial h_i / \partial Y_i) \notin \not\#$, so δ is a $(N - m) \times (N - m)$ minor of the Jacobian $(\partial h_i / \partial Y_i)$.

Assertion 1. $\exists \theta \in \dot{W}[Y], \theta \notin \not\#$ so that

$$\forall i = 1, \dots, q: \theta f_i \in (h_{m+1}, \dots, h_N).$$

We claim that it is sufficient to prove the theorem for the system of equations h_{m+1}, \dots, h_N . For let $\dot{y} \in \dot{W}^N$ be a solution with $\bar{y} \equiv \dot{y} \pmod{(X)^c}$ and $h_i(\dot{y}) = 0$. Since $\theta \notin \not\#$, we have $\theta(\bar{y}) \neq 0$, choose c big enough so that $\theta(\bar{y}) \notin (X)^c$, therefore $\theta(\dot{y}) \notin (X)^c$, so $\theta(\dot{y}) \neq 0$, but $\theta f_i \in (h_{m+1}, \dots, h_N)$ by ass. 1 so $(\theta f_i)(\dot{y}) = 0 \Rightarrow f_i(\dot{y}) = 0$.

This proves the claim, and we therefore may suppose that we have a polynomial system of equations F_1, \dots, F_h with δ a (maximal) $h \times h$ minor out of the Jacobian

$$J = \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial Y_j} \right)_{i=1, \dots, h/j=1, \dots, N} \quad \text{with } \delta(\bar{y}) \neq 0, \quad \text{and } h = N - m.$$

Suppose

$$\delta = \det \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial Y_j} \right)_{i,j=1,\dots,h} \quad \text{and let } \varepsilon = \text{ord } \delta(\bar{y}).$$

$\exists h \times h$ matrix Q over $\dot{W}[Y]$ with $\det Q = \delta^{h-1}$ so that

$$(1) \quad Q \cdot J = (\delta E_h \ K)$$

where E_h is the identity-matrix and K an $h \times m$ matrix. Since W is the completion of \dot{W}

$$(2) \quad \exists \gamma, \pi \in \mathcal{O}, \quad \alpha \in \dot{W}^N, \quad \bar{z} \in W^N \quad \text{so that}$$

$$\bar{y} = \alpha + \pi^2 \gamma \bar{z} \quad \text{with } \text{ord } \pi = \varepsilon \text{ and } \text{ord } \gamma > 0.$$

Set $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_N)$ and define, in vector-notation,

$$\begin{aligned} G(Z) &= \frac{Q(\alpha)}{\pi^2} \\ &\quad \cdot F(\alpha_1 + \pi Z_1, \dots, \alpha_h + \pi Z_h, \alpha_{h+1} + \pi^2 Z_{h+1}, \dots, \alpha_N + \pi^2 Z_N) \\ &= \frac{Q(\alpha)}{\pi^2} \cdot F(\alpha) + \frac{Q(\alpha) \cdot J(\alpha)}{\pi^2} \\ &\quad \cdot (\pi Z_1, \dots, \pi Z_h, \pi^2 Z_{h+1}, \dots, \pi^2 Z_N) + \mu(Z) \end{aligned}$$

with $\mu(Z)$ in $(Z)^2 \dot{W}[Z]$.

Since $\text{ord}(\alpha - \bar{y}) > 2\varepsilon$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F(\bar{y}) \equiv F(\alpha) \pmod{\pi^2}, \quad \text{so } \text{ord } F(\alpha) \geq 2\varepsilon \\ &\quad \text{ord } \delta(\bar{y}) = \text{ord } \delta(\alpha) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So by Property (a), $F(\alpha)/\pi^2, \delta(\alpha)/\pi \in \dot{W}$ and

$$(3) \quad \text{ord} \left(\frac{\delta(\alpha)}{\pi} \right) = 0.$$

So by (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(Z) &= Q(\alpha) \cdot \frac{F(\alpha)}{\pi^2} + \frac{\delta(\alpha)}{\pi} (Z_1, \dots, Z_h) \\ &\quad + K(\alpha) \cdot (Z_{h+1}, \dots, Z_N) + \mu(Z) \end{aligned}$$

lies in $\dot{W}[Z]$.

Let $\bar{w} = (\pi \gamma \bar{z}_1, \dots, \pi \gamma \bar{z}_h, \gamma \bar{z}_{h+1}, \dots, \gamma \bar{z}_N)$, so $\text{ord } \bar{w} > 0$ and by (2)

$$G(\bar{w}) = \frac{Q(\alpha)}{\pi^2} F(\alpha + \pi^2 \gamma \bar{z}) = 0.$$

Suppose we can solve the theorem for the system $G = 0$ with solution \bar{w} in W , and a $h \times h$ minor of the Jacobian in \bar{w} of G which is equal to $(\delta(\alpha)/\pi)^h \bmod \mathcal{M}$ i.e. of valuation equal to zero by (3). Then we can also solve it for our original system F ; indeed, let \hat{w} be in \hat{W} so that $G(\hat{w}) = 0$ and $\bar{w} \equiv \hat{w} \bmod (X)^c$, then, if we put $\hat{y} = (\alpha_1 + \pi \hat{w}_1, \dots, \alpha_h + \pi \hat{w}_h, \alpha_{h+1} + \pi^2 \hat{w}_{h+1}, \dots, \alpha_N + \pi^2 \hat{w}_N)$,

$$0 = G(\hat{w}) = \frac{Q(\alpha)}{\pi^2} \cdot F(\hat{y}).$$

And since $\det Q(\alpha) = \delta(\alpha)^{h-1} \neq 0$, we get $F(\hat{y}) = 0$ and clearly is $\bar{y} \equiv \hat{y} \bmod (X)^c$ and \hat{y} lies in \hat{W} .

So we may suppose that $\varepsilon = \text{ord } \delta(\bar{y}) = 0$ from the start. Since $\delta(\bar{y}) \in W$, by property (c) we know then that $\delta(\bar{y})$ is separable and that it is regular in X_n after a transformation of the form $X_j \mapsto X_j + X_n^{u_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $X_n \mapsto X_n$ (see for instance [Z-S; VII §1]) which keeps W_n invariant by (4) of PP-systems. Applying the W.P.T. (property (b)) for $g(\bar{y})X_n^c = \delta^2(\bar{y})X_n^c$, which is regular in X_n of, say, degree s , we get:

$$\bar{y} = u \cdot g(\bar{y})X_n^c + \tilde{y}$$

with $u \in W_n$ and $\tilde{y} \in W_{n-1}[X_n] \subset W_n$ so

$$(4) \quad 0 = F(\bar{y}) \equiv F(\tilde{y}) \bmod g(\bar{y})X_n^c, \quad g(\bar{y}) \equiv g(\tilde{y}) \bmod g(\bar{y})X_n^c$$

so

$$\exists \lambda \in W_n : g(\bar{y}) = g(\tilde{y}) - \lambda g(\bar{y})X_n^c \Rightarrow (1 + \lambda X_n^c)g(\bar{y}) = g(\tilde{y})$$

and since $(X) \subset \text{rad } W_n$ we have that $g(\bar{y})$ and $g(\tilde{y})$ are associated in W_n . So by (4)

$$F(\tilde{y}) \equiv 0 \bmod g(\tilde{y})X_n^c$$

so

$$\exists \tilde{z} \in W_n : F(\tilde{y}) = \tilde{z}g(\tilde{y})X_n^c.$$

Call $\tilde{W}_{n-1} = W_{n-1}[X_n] \subset W_n$, and since $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{W}_{n-1}$ also $F(\tilde{y})$ and $g(\tilde{y})X_n^c \in \tilde{W}_{n-1}$.

Let $S = 1 + X_n \cdot \tilde{W}_{n-1}$, we have then by property (d) and Theorem 2.1.2 that, since $W_n \subset W_{n-1}[[X_n]]$

$$\tilde{z} = F(\tilde{y})/g(\tilde{y})X_n^c \in \text{Frac}(\tilde{W}_{n-1}) \cap W_{n-1}[[X_n]] = S^{-1}\tilde{W}_{n-1}.$$

Set $\alpha = g(Y)X_n^c Z - F(Y) \in \hat{W}_n[Y, Z]$ then

$$\alpha(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) = g(\tilde{y})X_n^c \tilde{z} - F(\tilde{y}) = 0.$$

Assertion 2. $\exists \dot{y}, \dot{z}$ in \dot{W}_n so that $\alpha(\dot{y}, \dot{z}) = 0$.

Therefore $F(\dot{y}) = g(\dot{y})\dot{z}X_n^c = \delta^2(\dot{y})\dot{z}X_n^c$, and, since by property (e) (\dot{W}_n, X) is a Henselian pair, we can apply Tougéron's Lemma (2.2.2) in order to get \hat{x} in \dot{W}_n with $F(\hat{x}) = 0$ and $\dot{y} \equiv \hat{x} \pmod{\delta(\dot{y})X_n^c}$ \square

2.4.2. *Proof of Assertion 1.* Write $E_{m+1} = \mathring{L}(\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_m)$; then \bar{Y}_{m+1} is algebraic over E_{m+1} with minimal polynomial $\varphi_{m+1}(T)$. Write

$$E_{m+2} = E_{m+1}(\bar{Y}_{m+1}) \cong \frac{E_{m+1}[Y_{m+1}]}{(\varphi_{m+1}(Y_{m+1}))};$$

call

$$\gamma_{m+1} = \varphi_{m+1}(Y_{m+1}) = h_{m+1},$$

so $\gamma_{m+1} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Then \bar{Y}_{m+2} is algebraic over E_{m+2} , with minimal polynomial $\varphi_{m+2}(Y_{m+2})$; write

$$E_{m+3} = E_{m+2}(\bar{Y}_{m+2}) \cong \frac{E_{m+2}[Y_{m+2}]}{(\gamma_{m+2})} \cong \frac{E_{m+1}[Y_{m+1}, Y_{m+2}]}{(\gamma_{m+1}, \gamma_{m+2})}$$

since, by construction $\gamma_{m+2}(\bar{Y}_{m+2}) = 0$ in E we have $\gamma_{m+2} \mid \varphi_{m+2}(Y_{m+2}) = h_{m+2}$ in $E_{m+2}[Y_{m+2}]$, so

$$\exists \delta_{m+2} \in \mathring{W}[Y] : \gamma_{m+2} \cdot \delta_{m+2} \equiv h_{m+2} \pmod{\gamma_{m+1}}.$$

Since E/\mathring{L} is separable, \bar{Y}_{m+2} is a simple root of φ_{m+2} ; we therefore have $\delta_{m+2}(\bar{Y}_{m+2}) \neq 0$, i.e. $\delta_{m+2} \notin \mathcal{I}$.

So by induction we find the following data for $j = 1, \dots, N - m$

$$\gamma_{m+j} \in E_{m+j}[Y_{m+j}] \quad \delta_{m+j} \in \mathring{W}[Y]$$

so that

$$(5) \quad E_{m+j+1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_{m+j}(\bar{Y}_{m+j}) \cong \frac{E_{m+j}[Y_{m+j}]}{(\gamma_{m+j})},$$

$$(6) \quad \gamma_{m+j} \cdot \delta_{m+j} \equiv h_{m+j} \pmod{(\gamma_{m+1}, \dots, \gamma_{m+j-1})},$$

$$(7) \quad \delta_{m+j} \notin \mathcal{I}.$$

Therefore, by (5),

$$E = E_{m+1}(\bar{Y}_{m+1}, \dots, \bar{Y}_N) \cong \mathring{L}(\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_m) \frac{[Y_{m+1}, \dots, Y_N]}{(\gamma_{m+1}, \dots, \gamma_N)}.$$

Now, for $j = 1, \dots, q$, $f_j \in \mathfrak{p}$, so $f_j = 0$ in E , so

$$f_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N-m} \frac{\alpha_{ij}}{\beta_{ij}} \gamma_{m+i}$$

with $\alpha_{ij} \in \dot{W}[Y]$ and $\beta_{ij} \in \dot{W}[Y_1, \dots, Y_m]$ but $\dot{W}[Y_1, \dots, Y_m] \subset \dot{L}(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) \subset E$, so $\beta_{ij} \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Call finally $\theta = \prod_{j=1}^q \prod_{i=1}^{N-m} \beta_{ij} \delta_{m+i} \notin \mathfrak{p}$ by (7).

But by (6)

$$\theta \cdot \left(\frac{\gamma_{m+i}}{\beta_{ij}} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{(h_{m+1}, \dots, h_{m+i-1})}$$

so

$$\theta f_j \equiv 0 \pmod{(h_{m+1}, \dots, h_N)}. \quad \square$$

2.4.3. *Proof of Assertion 2.* Let's rewrite the statement: We know by induction that W_{n-1} has A.P. over \dot{W}_{n-1}

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(T) &= (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_K), & T &= (T_1, \dots, T_\lambda), \\ \gamma_i(T) &\in \mathcal{O}[X][T], & X &= (X_1, \dots, X_n), \\ u &= (u_1, \dots, u_\lambda), & u_i &\in S^{-1}(W_{n-1}[X_n]), \end{aligned}$$

where $S = 1 + X_n \cdot W_{n-1}[X_n]$, such that $\gamma(u) = 0$. We have to find $\dot{u} = (\dot{u}_1, \dots, \dot{u}_\lambda)$, $\dot{u}_i \in \dot{W}_n$ so that $\gamma(\dot{u}) = 0$.

Since $u_k \in S^{-1}(W_{n-1}[X_n])$ we can write it in the form

$$u_k = \left(\sum_{i=0}^t \omega_{ik} X_n^i \right) / \left(1 + X_n \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^t \theta_{ik} X_n^i \right) \right)$$

$k = 1, \dots, \lambda$, with $\omega_{ik}, \theta_{ik} \in W_{n-1}$.

Set $\omega = (\omega_{ik}), \theta = (\theta_{ik}), \Omega = (\Omega_{ik})$ and $\Theta = (\Theta_{ik})$; write

$$U_k(\Omega, \Theta, X_n) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^t \Omega_{ik} X_n^i \right) / \left(1 + X_n \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^t \Theta_{ik} X_n^i \right) \right)$$

so $U_k(\Omega, \Theta, X_n) \in \mathbf{Q}(\Theta, X_n)[\Omega]$.

Let $U = (U_1, \dots, U_\lambda)$ and call

$$\rho = \text{maximum of } \deg_T \gamma_l \quad \text{for } l = 1, \dots, K.$$

Finally, for $l = 1, \dots, K$, set

$$\alpha_l(\Omega, \Theta, X) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\lambda} \left(1 + X_n \cdot \left(\sum \Theta_{ij} X_n^i \right) \right) \right)^{\rho} \cdot \gamma_l(U)$$

so that, by choice of $\rho, \alpha_l \in \mathcal{O}[\Omega, \Theta, X]$. Since $u_k = U_k(\omega, \theta, X_n)$ and $\gamma_l(u) = 0$ we have $\alpha_l(\omega, \theta, X) = 0$.

Write $\alpha_l = \sum_{k=0}^s \alpha_{lk} X_n^k$, with $\alpha_{lk} \in \mathcal{O}[\Omega, \Theta, X']$ where $X' = (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$, so $\alpha_{lk}(\omega, \theta, X') = 0$ because ω and θ do not depend on X_n . By the A.P. of W_{n-1}/\dot{W}_{n-1} we can find $\hat{\omega}, \hat{\theta}$ in \dot{W}_{n-1} , such that $\alpha_{lk}(\hat{\omega}, \hat{\theta}, X') = 0$. So $\alpha_l(\hat{\omega}, \hat{\theta}, X) = 0$, and putting

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}_k &= U_k(\hat{\omega}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &= \left(\sum \hat{\omega}_{ik} X_n^i \right) / \left(1 + X_n \left(\sum \hat{\theta}_{ik} X_n^i \right) \right) \\ &\in \left(1 + X_n \cdot \dot{W}_{n-1}[X_n] \right)^{-1} \dot{W}_{n-1}[X_n] \end{aligned}$$

and since \dot{W}_n forms a PP system, $\hat{u}_k \in \dot{W}_n$ and $\gamma(\hat{u}) = 0$. □

2.5. In this point, we will show that the C.I.C.-condition (condition (d) of 2.4.1.) automatically holds for complete rank-one valuation rings.

2.5.1. PROPOSITION. *If R is a valuation ring, then R is C.I.C. $\Leftrightarrow R$ is rank-one.*

Proof. If R is rank-one, i.e. value-group $\subset \mathbf{R}$, $x \in \text{Frac } R$, $d \in R$ so that $d \cdot x^q \in R$ for $q = 1, 2, \dots$ then is $\text{ord}(d) + q \text{ord}(x) \geq 0, \forall q \in \mathbf{N}$, proving that $\text{ord}(x) > 0$.

If R is not rank-one then is the value-group Γ non-archimedean (see [Ba]). So we can find $\alpha, \beta \in R$ with $a = \text{ord}(\alpha), b = \text{ord}(\beta), b > 0, a \geq 0$ and $\forall q \in \mathbf{N} : a > qb$. Set $x = 1/\beta \notin R$ but $\alpha x^q \in R, \forall q \in \mathbf{N}$. □

2.5.2. PROPOSITION. *Let \mathcal{O} be a complete rank-one valuation ring and \dot{W}_n a PP-system over \mathcal{O} . If \dot{W}_n has properties (a), (b) and (c) of 2.4.1 then W_n is C.I.C. (i.e. property (d) holds).*

Proof. Let $x = a/b \in \text{Frac } W (W = W_n, \dot{W} = \dot{W}_n)$ with $a, b \in W, b \neq 0$ and let $d \in W$ such that $\forall q \in \mathbf{N} : dx^q \in W$.

Since $W \subset \mathcal{O}[[X]]$ and this is C.I.C. by 2.5.1. and 2.1.1. we have

$$(1) \quad x \in \mathcal{O}[[X]].$$

Set $\text{ord}(b) = \epsilon$, by property (a) (which automatically holds also for W_n) we can find $\pi \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\tilde{b} \in W$ so that $\text{ord}(\pi) = \epsilon$ and $b = \pi \cdot \tilde{b}$.

Since $\text{ord } a - \text{ord } b = \text{ord } x \geq 0$ by (1), also $\text{ord } a \geq \epsilon$, and therefore $\exists \tilde{a} \in W : a = \pi \tilde{a}$ and thus $x = \tilde{a}/\tilde{b}$ with $\text{ord } \tilde{b} = 0$.

By (c) and early remark we may suppose \tilde{b} is regular. Therefore, by (b), we find unique $q \in W$ and $r \in W_{n-1}[X_n]$ so that

$$\tilde{a} = q \cdot \tilde{b} + r.$$

But $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b}x$ and by uniqueness of the W.P.T. in $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ (see §5), we find $r = 0$ and $q = x$, so $x \in W$. \square

3. Algebraic elements.

3.1.1. We will call a valuation ring A *separative* when every $f \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ is separable. For example D.V.R. are separative, and by considering Newton-polygons one also can prove rank-one valuation rings are, see for instance [Ro].

3.1.2. Take \mathcal{O} a complete rank-one valuation ring, with valuation ord, maximal ideal \mathcal{M} and fraction field K . Set $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Now take as a PP-system

$$\mathring{W}_n = \mathcal{O}[[X]]^{\text{alg}},$$

i.e. the ring of power series in $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ which are algebraic over $K(X) = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}[X])$. It's easy to see it's a PP-system. Call $W_n = \mathcal{O}[[\hat{X}]]^{\text{alg}}$ = the closure of $\mathcal{O}[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ in $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ with respect to the valuation, the ring of what is called *algebraic elements*.

Robba proves the A.P. for W_n/\mathring{W}_n under the condition that $\text{char } K = 0$. We will prove it for general characteristic.

Therefore, we have to verify the conditions of Theorem 2.4.1. Property (a) holds trivially. In §5 we will show that $\mathcal{O}[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ has a W.P.T. (5.3.6.) and that therefore also its completion W_n has a W.P.T. (5.5.) Property (c) holds, since it holds in \mathring{W}_n as stated in 3.1.1. By Proposition 2.5.2. condition (d) automatically holds.

We have that $(\mathcal{O}[[X]], (X))$ forms a Henselian pair (because it is complete), and by using [La; X.§7, Proposition 8] we find property (e).

So the only thing left to do is the case that $\text{char } K = p \neq 0$, and to prove that $\text{Frac } W_n/\text{Frac } \mathring{W}_n$ is separable. We will prove a stronger result, namely $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}[[X]]^{\text{alg}}) \subset \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}[[X]])$ is separable.

3.2.1. LEMMA. *Let K/k be algebraic, then $K^{1/p^\infty} = K \cdot k^{1/p^\infty}$.*

REMARK. By K^{1/p^∞} we mean $\{\alpha \in \bar{K} \mid \exists n: \alpha^{p^n} \in K\}$ where \bar{K} is an algebraic closure of K .

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & K \cdot k^{1/p^\infty} & \\
 K & \swarrow \quad \searrow & k^{1/p^\infty} \\
 & k &
 \end{array}$$

Since K/k is algebraic, we have $K \cdot k^{1/p^\infty}/k^{1/p^\infty}$ is algebraic, but k^{1/p^∞} is perfect, so $K \cdot k^{1/p^\infty}$ is perfect, see for instance [La; VII §7]. Therefore, since K is a subfield, $K^{1/p^\infty} \subset K \cdot k^{1/p^\infty}$, the other inclusion being trivial. \square

3.2.2. PROPOSITION. *Let $k \subset K \subset L$ be field extensions such that K/k is algebraic and L/k is separable. Then L/K is separable.*

Proof. Let $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a base of k^{1/p^∞} over k . Since L/k is separable, we know by Mac Lane’s criterion (cf. [La]) that L and k^{1/p^∞} are linearly disjoint over k , i.e. $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ are free over L . By 3.2.1. $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ generate K^{1/p^∞} over K , so $\exists J \subset I$ such that $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ form a base of $K^{1/p^\infty}/K$, still free over L , so by Mac Lane’s criterion again, we find L/K is separable. \square

3.2.3. COROLLARY. *Let A be a domain; then, with $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $\text{Frac}(A[[X]])/\text{Frac}(A[[X]]^{\text{alg}})$ is separable.*

Proof. Put $K = \text{Frac} A$. Then $K(X) \subset K((X)) = \text{Frac} K[[X]]$ is separable and since $\text{Frac} A[[X]] \subset K((X))$, we find $\text{Frac} A[[X]] \subset \text{Frac} A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ is separable. Applying 3.2.2. gives us the desired result. \square

We can prove easily full A.P., i.e. for arbitrary equations:

3.3. THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{O} be a complete rank-one valuation ring. And $f = (f_1, \dots, f_q), f_i \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]^{\text{alg}}[Y]$*

$$X = (X_1, \dots, X_n), \quad Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N), \quad c \in \mathbf{N}$$

and $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_N), \bar{y}_i \in \mathcal{O}[[\hat{X}]]^{\text{alg}}$ such that $f(\bar{y}) = 0$. Then there exist $\hat{y} = (\hat{y}_1, \dots, \hat{y}_N)$ in $\mathcal{O}[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ s.t. $f(X, \hat{y}) = 0$ and $\bar{y} \equiv \hat{y} \pmod{(X)^c}$.

Proof. Write $f_i = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{i,j} Y^j$ with $\alpha_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ and J a finite set of multi-indices $j = (j_1, \dots, j_N)$. So there exist $\gamma_{i,j}(X, T_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{O}[[X][[T_{i,j}]]$ for $i = 1, \dots, q, j \in J$ such that $\gamma_{i,j}(X, \alpha_{i,j}) = 0$. Take c big enough so that the distinct roots in $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ of each $\gamma_{i,j}$ (considered as equation in $T_{i,j}$) are

not congruent mod $(X)^c$. Call

$$F_i = \sum_{j \in J} T_{ij} Y^j, \quad F = (F_1, \dots, F_q)$$

and $\gamma = (\gamma_{ij} | i = 1, \dots, q, j \in J), T = (T_{ij} | i = 1, \dots, q, j \in J)$. Consider the system of polynomial equations (F, γ) in (Y, T) over $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ and solution (\bar{y}, α_{ij}) in $\mathcal{O}[[\hat{X}]]^{\text{alg}}$. By the A.P. already proved, there exists $\hat{y}, \hat{\alpha}_{ij}$ in $\mathcal{O}[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ so that they are roots of (F, γ) and $\alpha_{ij} \equiv \hat{\alpha}_{ij} \pmod{(X)^c}, \bar{y} \equiv \hat{y} \pmod{(X)^c}$. Since $\gamma_{ij}(\hat{\alpha}_{ij}) = 0$ we get by choice of c that actually $\hat{\alpha}_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}$. Therefore

$$0 = F(\hat{\alpha}_{ij}, \hat{y}) = F(\alpha_{ij}, \hat{y}) = f(\hat{y}). \quad \square$$

4. Henselian elements.

4.1.1. Let A be an arbitrary domain, $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and Y one variable. We will define a sort of diagonalisation operator \mathcal{D} by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}: A[[X, Y]] &\rightarrow A[[X]], \\ \sum a_{ij} X^i Y^j &\mapsto \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=j} a_{ij} X^i, \end{aligned}$$

where for $i = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ a multi-index we mean by $X^i = X_1^{i_1} \cdot X_2^{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot X_n^{i_n}$. Clearly \mathcal{D} is A -linear.

4.1.2. THEOREM [*Lipshitz, Denef*]. *Let A be an excellent local integral domain, $f \in A[[X]]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} f &\in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists R \in A[[X, Y]] \cap \text{Frac}(A[X, Y]) \text{ s.t. } f = \mathcal{D}(R). \end{aligned}$$

In words, f is algebraic if and only if it is the diagonal of a rational power series.

Proof. See [L.D.; Thm. 6.2].

4.2.1. In this paragraph we will restrict ourselves to a special type of rank-one valuation rings. Start with a complete D.V.R. $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$, with local parameter τ and residue field \tilde{k} , fraction field $\tilde{K} = \text{Frac} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$. Let \bar{K} be an algebraic extension of \tilde{K} ; then the discrete valuation extends in \bar{K} uniquely to a rank-one valuation, ord . Let K be the completion of \bar{K} with respect to this valuation and \mathcal{O} the ring of integers in K . So \mathcal{O} is a complete rank-one valuation ring, with valuation ord . Let k be the residue

field. By the theory of Witt-rings (see [Se]) we know that there is a complete D.V.R. $W(k)$ in \mathcal{O} , which contains $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$, so we could have started with this D.V.R. to obtain \mathcal{O} , and therefore we may suppose as well that the residue field of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is equal to k from the start but we only will need this in $\text{char} > 0$. We make one final restriction on \mathcal{O} : we demand that K be perfect. So, for $\text{char} K = p$, we need that $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}^{1/p}$. Remark that in this case k also is perfect (this is not necessary though when $\text{char} K = 0$). Note also that the value-group of K is a subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}, +$, so \mathcal{O} might be non-Noetherian. Example of a \mathcal{O} : $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{C_p}$, the ring of integers in the p -adic closure of the algebraic closure of \mathbf{Q}_p .

4.2.2. THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{O} be as above (the restriction on the perfectness is not needed) and $f \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$. Then $\exists f_n \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$, f_n integral coefficients in a finite extension of \tilde{K} such that*

$$f_n \rightarrow f.$$

Since by 4.1.2 $\exists t_n \in \mathcal{O}[[X, Y]] \cap \text{Frac } \mathcal{O}[X, Y]$ such that $f_n = \mathcal{D}(t_n)$, the above theorem is a generalisation of a result of Christol in one variable: “ f is a uniform limit of ‘fonctions algébrique régulières’” see [Chr].

Proof. Since f is algebraic over $\text{Frac } \mathcal{O}[X] = K(X)$ we know by Theorem 4.1.2

$$\exists \alpha, \beta \in K[X, Y], \quad \theta = \alpha/\beta \in K[[X, Y]]$$

such that $f = \mathcal{D}(\theta)$.

Since $K[[X]]$ is faithfully flat over $K[X]_{(X)}$, we even may suppose that $\beta(0) = 1$.

Let's write $Z = (X_1, \dots, X_n, Y)$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \sum_{i \in I} a_i Z^i, \\ \beta &= \sum_{i \in I} b_i Z^i, \end{aligned} \quad I \text{ finite, } a_i, b_i \in K.$$

Write $A = (A_i | i \in I)$ and $B = (B_i |_{i \neq 0} i \in I)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(A, Z) &= \sum_{i \in I} A_i Z^i, \\ \tilde{\beta}(B, Z) &= \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ i \neq 0}} B_i Z^i + 1, \end{aligned} \quad \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \in \mathbf{Z}[A, B, Z],$$

and $\tilde{\theta}(A, B, Z) = \tilde{\alpha}/\tilde{\beta} \in \mathbf{Z}[[A, B, Z]]$ since $\tilde{\beta}(0) = 1$.

Even more, we can write

$$(1) \quad \tilde{\theta} = \sum_j \theta_j(A, B) Z^j \in \mathbf{Z}[A, B][[Z]]$$

$$(2) \quad \text{where } \theta_j \in \mathbf{Z}[A, B] \text{ with total degree in } A \text{ and } B \text{ less than or equal to } 1 + \|j\|.$$

Now, write $a = (a_i | i \in I)$ and $b = (b_i | i \in I, i \neq 0)$ so

$$\tilde{\alpha}(a, Z) = \alpha, \quad \tilde{\beta}(b, Z) = \beta, \quad \tilde{\theta}(a, b, Z) = \theta.$$

By the construction of \mathcal{O} , we can find for each $n \in \mathbf{N}_0$ a field $K^{(n)}$, finite over \tilde{K} and $\forall i \in I: a_i^{(n)}, b_i^{(n)} \in K^{(n)}$ with $b_0^{(n)} = 1$, such that

$$\text{ord}(a_i - a_i^{(n)}), \quad \text{ord}(b_i - b_i^{(n)}) \geq n.$$

Call

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n(Z) &= \tilde{\alpha}(a_i^{(n)}, Z), & \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} &\in K^{(n)}[[Z]]. \\ \beta_n(Z) &= \tilde{\beta}(b_i^{(n)}, Z), \end{aligned}$$

So $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha, \beta_n \rightarrow \beta$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally, call

$$t_n(Z) = \theta(a_i^{(n)}, b_i^{(n)}, Z) \in K^{(n)}[[Z]] = \alpha_n / \beta_n$$

so t_n is a rational power series and one may check that

$$(3) \quad t_n \rightarrow \theta.$$

Let us call $f_n = \mathcal{D}(t_n)$, so $f_n \in K^{(n)}[[X]]$, and by 4.1.2 we know that it is algebraic.

Since $f = \mathcal{D}(\theta)$ and (3) we get

$$f_n \rightarrow f.$$

So, for n big enough, $f_n \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]$ since f is.

Call $\mathcal{O}^{(n)}$ the ring of integers in $K^{(n)}$. This is a D.V.R. and hence $K^{(n)} \cap \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}^{(n)}$, and so

$$f_n \in \mathcal{O}^{(n)}[[X]]^{\text{alg}}. \quad \square$$

4.2.3. COROLLARY. *When $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ denotes the ring of integers in \bar{K} we get:*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}[[\hat{X}]]^{\text{alg}} = \mathcal{O}[[\hat{X}]]^{\text{alg}}.$$

REMARK. We also have proved explicitly that \mathcal{O} is separative.

4.3.1. Let's write

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ A \text{ ring} \mid \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \subset A \subset \mathcal{O} \text{ and } A \text{ finitely generated } \tilde{\mathcal{O}}\text{-algebra} \}.$$

We define now

$$\mathring{R}_{[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]} = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A[[X_1, \dots, X_n]];$$

we will mostly abbreviate this as \mathring{R}_n or \mathring{R} . Denote by $R_{[[X]]}$ the completion of $\mathring{R}_{[[X]]}$ in $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ with respect to ord.

Again, \mathring{R}_n forms a PP-system. We now verify the conditions of Theorem 2.4.1 in order to get A.P. Since each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is noetherian, each element of \mathring{R} is separable, and therefore, also of R , which proves (c). Property (b) will be postponed to §5 (5.4.2.); (d) already is dealt with by 2.5.2. Also, each $(A[[X]], (X))$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is a Henselian pair, and therefore also the direct limit $(\mathring{R}, (X))$, proving (e).

4.3.2. LEMMA. $\forall \pi \in \mathcal{O}, \text{ord}(\pi) > 0: \pi \mathcal{O}[[X]] \cap \mathring{R} = \pi \mathring{R}$.

Proof. Choose $\gamma \in \pi \mathcal{O}[[X]] \cap \mathring{R}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ s.t. $\gamma \in A[[X]]$ and let

$$(1) \quad a_1, \dots, a_s \text{ be generators of } A \text{ over } \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \quad \text{so } A = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}[a_1, \dots, a_s].$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \exists c_1, \dots, c_s \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \text{ (integers of } \bar{K}) \\ \exists d_1, \dots, d_s \in \mathcal{O} \end{aligned}$$

s.t.

$$(2) \quad a_i = c_i + \pi d_i.$$

Let $F = \tilde{K}(c_1, \dots, c_s) \subset \bar{K}$, so F is a finite extension of \tilde{K} . Let \mathcal{O}_F be the ring of integers then we have, see [Ma; (31.C)]

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_F \text{ is a D.V.R.} \\ \mathcal{O}_F \text{ finite, and therefore free } \tilde{\mathcal{O}}\text{-module.} \end{aligned}$$

Let e_1, \dots, e_w be a basis of \mathcal{O}_F over $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ and set

$$\tilde{A} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}[e_1, \dots, e_w, d_1, \dots, d_s, \pi]$$

so $\mathcal{O}_F \subset \tilde{A}$ and by (2) also $A \subset \tilde{A}$.

We claim that $\gamma/\pi \in \tilde{A}[[X]]$, so is in \mathring{R} . Indeed, let c be a coefficient of γ , so $c \in A$, and $c \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ and by (1)

$$c = \mu(a_1, \dots, a_s)$$

with $\mu(Y_1, \dots, Y_s) \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}[Y]$.

By (2) we can write

$$c = \mu(c_1, \dots, c_s) + \pi \lambda(c_1, \dots, c_s, d_1, \dots, d_s, \pi)$$

where $\lambda(Y_1, \dots, Y_s, Z_1, \dots, Z_s, T) \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}[Y, Z, T]$ so $\lambda = \lambda(c_1, \dots, c_s, d_1, \dots, d_s, \pi) \in \tilde{A}$ and

$$(4) \quad \frac{c}{\pi} = \frac{\mu(c_1, \dots, c_s)}{\pi} + \lambda \in \mathcal{O},$$

so $\mu(c_1, \dots, c_s)/\pi \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\mu(c_1, \dots, c_s) \in \mathcal{O}_F$, which by (3) is a D.V.R., therefore $\mu(c_1, \dots, c_s)/\pi \in \mathcal{O}_F \subset \tilde{A}$ and therefore by (4) finally, $c/\pi \in \tilde{A}$. \square

4.3.3. LEMMA. Call $L = \text{Frac } \mathcal{O}[[X]]$ and $\dot{K} = \text{Frac } \dot{R}$ then L/\dot{K} is separable.

Proof. Suppose $\text{char } K = p \neq 0$.

We have to prove that L and $\dot{K}^{1/p}$ are linearly disjoint. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be free over \dot{K} , $e_i \in L$. We have to show that they remain free over $\dot{K}^{1/p}$. So, suppose $\exists \alpha_i \in \dot{K}^{1/p}$

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i e_i = 0.$$

We may assume that $e_i \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]$ and $\alpha_i \in \dot{R}^{1/p}$. Let $\rho_i = \alpha_i^p \in \dot{R}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ s.t. $\rho_i \in A[[X]]$. Write out

$$\rho_i = \sum \rho_{ij} X^j, \quad \rho_{ij} \in A.$$

Let $\nu_{ij} = \rho_{ij}^{1/p} \in A^{1/p}$ and call $\delta_i = \sum \nu_{ij} X^j$. So we find that

$$(\delta_i(X))^p = \alpha_i^p(X^p).$$

Therefore, $\delta_i(X) = \alpha_i(X^p) \in A^{1/p}[[X]]$.

Assertion. $A^{1/p} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Therefore $\delta_i = \alpha_i(X^p) \in \dot{R}$ and from (1) we get

$$(2) \quad \sum \delta_i(X) \cdot e_i(X^p) = 0.$$

Write out δ_i in p -basis, i.e.

$$\delta_i = \sum \delta_{ij}(X^p) X^j$$

where $j = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ varies within the range $0 \leq j_k \leq p-1$ and the $\delta_{ij} \in \dot{R}$ since the δ_i are.

Substituting this in (2) gives

$$\sum_{i,j} \delta_{ij}(X^p) e_i(X^p) X^j = 0,$$

so

$$\forall j: \sum_i \delta_{ij}(X^p) e_i(X^p) = 0,$$

so

$$\forall j: \sum_i \delta_{ij} e_i = 0$$

and $\{e_i\}$ free over \mathring{K} , so $\delta_{ij} = 0$, hence $\delta_i = 0$, hence $\alpha_i = 0$. □

Proof of the assertion. Since $k = \tilde{k}$ is perfect, and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is complete, we get by Cohen's structure theorem [Co] that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \tilde{k}[[T]]$, T one variable (corresponding to the local parameter τ); therefore, since $(\tilde{k}[[T]])^{1/p} = \tilde{k}[[T]][[T^{1/p}]]$ since \tilde{k} perfect we find that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{1/p} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}[\tau^{1/p}] \subset \mathcal{O}$, since \mathcal{O} perfect. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be generated over $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ by u_1, \dots, u_s . Then $A^{1/p} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}[\tau^{1/p}, u_1^{1/p}, \dots, u_s^{1/p}] \subset \mathcal{O}$ so $A^{1/p} \in \mathcal{A}$. □

Since Lemma 4.3.2 is nothing but property (a) of Theorem 2.4.1 and Lemma 4.3.3 implies property (f), we may conclude:

4.3.4. THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{O} , \mathring{R} and R be as described above, then R has A.P. over \mathring{R} with respect to polynomial equations.*

4.4.1. THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{O} be as before, denote with $\mathcal{O}[[X]]^h$ the henselisation of $\mathcal{O}[X]$ in $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ with respect to (X) . Then we have*

$$\mathcal{O}[[X]]^h = \mathcal{O}[[X]]^{\text{alg}}.$$

Proof. $\mathcal{O}[[X]]^h \subset \mathcal{O}[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ is clear by an already stated proposition of Lang ([La; X, §7, Prop. 8]). Let $y \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$; then by Theorem 4.2.2. y belongs to $R = R_{[[X]]}$.

Let $P(X, T) \in \mathcal{O}[X][T]$ be a polynomial in one variable such that $P(X, y) = 0$.

Choose c big enough so that the distinct roots of $P(X, T)$ are not congruent mod $(X)^c$.

Applying the A.P. for R/\mathring{R} we get a $\mathring{y} \in \mathring{R}$ s.t.

$$P(X, \mathring{y}) = 0 \wedge y \equiv \mathring{y} \pmod{(X)^c}.$$

By the choice of c we need to have $y = \mathring{y}$, so actually $y \in \mathring{R}$. Suppose therefore that $y \in A[[X]]$ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e. finitely generated $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ -algebra.

Take A big enough so that $P(X, T) \in A[X, T]$; then $y \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is excellent, so is A and by a well-known fact for excellent domains $[\mathbf{Ra}]: A[[X]]^{\text{alg}} = A[[X]]^h$ and since by the universal property $A[[X]]^h \subset \mathcal{O}[[X]]^h$, we find

$$y \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]^h \quad \square$$

4.4.2. COROLLARY. $\mathcal{O}[[X]]^{\text{alg}} \subset \mathring{R}$.

So, each algebraic element has coefficients which lie in a finitely generated $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ -algebra.

5. Weierstrass preparation theorems.

5.1.1. Let A be a ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal in A . Assume that A is Hausdorff in its \mathfrak{a} -topology, i.e. $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{a}^n = 0$. We shall in the sequel write $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $X' = (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$. When $f \in A[[X]]$ we call f \mathfrak{a} -regular in X_n of degree k if there is a term cX_n^k of f with c a unit mod \mathfrak{a} and k minimal with this property. Or equivalently if $f \equiv v(X_n) \cdot X_n^k \pmod{(\mathfrak{a}, X')}$ with $v(X_n) \in A[[X_n]]$ and a unit mod \mathfrak{a} , i.e. a unit in $(A/\mathfrak{a})[[X_n]]$.

We call $P \in A[[X']][[X_n]]$ a distinguished polynomial (DP) in X_n of degree k if P is of the form

$$P = X_n^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p_i X_n^i$$

with $p_i \in (\mathfrak{a}, X')A[[X']]$.

Recall that we shall mean by saying that a PP-system \mathring{W}_n has a W.P.T. with respect to \mathfrak{a} if the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall f \in \mathring{W}_n \text{ which is } \mathfrak{a}\text{-regular in } X_n \text{ of degree } k \text{ and} \\ \forall g \in \mathring{W}_n \text{ there are unique } q \in \mathring{W}_n, r \in \mathring{W}_{n-1}[[X_n]] \text{ s.t.} \\ g = q \cdot f + r \text{ and } \deg_{X_n} r < k. \end{aligned}$$

5.1.2. LEMMA. Suppose $f \in A[[X]]$ is \mathfrak{a} -regular in X_n of degree k and that there are $\beta \in A[[X]]$, $\beta_i \in A[[X']]$ such that $\beta f \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \beta_i X_n^i \pmod{(\mathfrak{a}, X')}$. Then $\beta \equiv \beta_i \equiv 0 \pmod{(\mathfrak{a}, X')}$.

Proof. We can write $f \equiv \varphi + X_n^k v \pmod{(\mathfrak{a}, X')}$ where $v \in A[[X_n]]$ is a unit mod \mathfrak{a} and $\varphi \in A[[X_n]]$ with $\varphi \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\deg \varphi < k$. So, putting

$$X' = 0,$$

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta(0, X_n) \cdot (\varphi + X_n^k v) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \beta_i(0) X_n^i, \quad \text{thus} \\ \beta(0, X_n) X_n^k v &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \beta_i(0) X_n^i \pmod{a}, \quad \text{so} \\ \beta_i(0) &\equiv 0 \equiv \beta(0, X_n) \cdot v \pmod{a}, \end{aligned}$$

but v unit mod a , so $\beta(0, X_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{a}$.

Substituting in (1) gives

$$(2) \quad \beta(0, X_n) X_n^k v \equiv \sum \beta_i(0) X_n^i \pmod{a^2}$$

which again implies $\beta(0, X_n) \equiv \beta_i(0) \pmod{a^2}$, and by induction $\beta(0, X_n), \beta_i(0) \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k = 0$. □

5.2.1. THEOREM. *Let A be a ring, a an ideal in A and suppose A is complete and Hausdorff in its a -topology. Then $A[[X]]$ has a W.P.T.*

Proof. Given $f \in A[[X]]$, a -regular in X_n of degree k , $g \in A[[X]]$. Write $f \equiv \varphi + X_n^k v \pmod{X'}$ where φ polynomial in X_n of degree less than k , coefficients in a and v a unit in $A[[X_n]]$. Set $E = A[[X]]/(f)$. We will show that E is finitely generated as an $A[[X']]$ -module by $1, X_n, \dots, X_n^{k-1}$.

Set $\mathcal{N} = (a, X')$, so $A[[X']]$ is complete and Hausdorff with respect to \mathcal{N} .

Assertion. E is Hausdorff in the \mathcal{N} -topology.

Since

$$\frac{E}{\mathcal{N}E} = \frac{A[[X]]}{(f, a, X')} = \frac{A[[X_n]]}{(a, \varphi + X_n^k v)} = \frac{(A/a)[[X_n]]}{(X_n^k v)}$$

with v unit mod a we find that $E/\mathcal{N}E$ is finitely generated as an A/a ($\cong A[[X']]/\mathcal{N}$)-module by $1, X_n, \dots, X_n^{k-1}$. By the generator-lemma (see [Z-S; VIII Thm. 7, Cor. 2]) E is finitely generated over $A[[X']]$ by $1, X_n, \dots, X_n^{k-1}$ as module. So, we know that $\forall g \in A[[X]]$ there exist $q \in A[[X]], r \in A[[X']][X_n], \deg_{X_n} r < k$ s.t. $g = f \cdot q + r$.

We have to show finally that q and r are unique. Suppose we have also q' and r' s.t. $g = f \cdot q' + r'$. Call $\alpha = q - q'$ and $\beta = r' - r$, so

$$(2) \quad f\alpha = \beta = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \beta_i X_n^i, \quad \beta_i \in A[[X']].$$

By Lemma 5.1.2. we get $\alpha, \beta \equiv 0 \pmod{X'}$.

We will prove by induction that $\alpha, \beta \equiv 0 \pmod{(X')^t}$, so are zero by the Hausdorffness.

Let $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^\lambda$ be all monomials in X' of degree t and suppose $\alpha, \beta \equiv 0 \pmod{(X')^t}$, so $\exists K_j \in A[[X]]$ and $\exists K_{ij} \in A[[X']]$ s.t. $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^\lambda K_j \mu_j$ and $\beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^\lambda K_{ij} \mu_j$. By (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum K_j \mu_j\right)f &= \sum_i \left(\sum_j K_{ij} \mu_j\right) X_n^i = \sum_j \left(\sum_i K_{ij} X_n^i\right) \mu_j, \text{ so} \\ \forall j: K_j f &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} K_{ij} X_n^i \pmod{X'} \end{aligned}$$

and by 5.1.2. again $K_j, K_{ij} \in (X')$, so $\alpha, \beta \equiv 0 \pmod{(X')^{t+1}}$. □

Proof of the assertion. Let $u \in \bigcap_{i=0}^\infty \mathcal{N}^i E$, $u \in A[[X]]$. So

$$\exists \alpha_i \in A[[X]], \quad \theta_i \in \mathcal{N}^i: u = \alpha_i f + \theta_i.$$

For $j \geq i$ we have $(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)f \in \mathcal{N}^i$, but one can easily show that f is a non-zerodivisor mod \mathcal{N}^i , since f is regular, so $\alpha_i - \alpha_j \in \mathcal{N}^i$. Therefore the $(\alpha_i)_i$ form a Cauchy-sequence in the \mathcal{N} -topology in $A[[X]]$. By completeness, $\exists \alpha \in A[[X]]: \alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha$, and since $\theta_i \rightarrow 0$, we find $u = \alpha f$ (remark that $A[[X]]$ is Hausdorff in the \mathcal{N} -topology, so ‘ \rightarrow ’ makes sense) and so $u = 0$ in E . □

5.2.2. COROLLARY. *With the assumptions and notations of 5.2.1. and moreover, $\mathfrak{a} \subset \text{rad } A$, then \exists unique P_f and u in $A[[X]]$ s.t.*

$$f = u \cdot P_f$$

with P_f a DP of degree k in X_n and u a unit in $A[[X]]$.

Proof. Apply W.P.T. to X_n^k . □

5.3.1. Let A be a domain, \mathfrak{a} an ideal in A , $\mathfrak{a} \subset \text{rad } A$ and suppose $A[[X]]$ has a W.P.T. with respect to \mathfrak{a} . We want to study W.P.T. for $A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$, extending methods of Coste-Roy [C-R] and Lafon [Laf]. Take P a DP of degree k in X_n and $y \in F = \text{algebraic closure of } \text{Frac } A[[X']]$, s.t. $P(X', y) = 0$. By the W.P.T. $\forall g \in A[[X]]: \exists$ unique $q \in A[[X]]$, $r \in A[[X']][[X_n]]$ ($\deg_{X_n} r < k$) s.t.

$$g = qP + r.$$

Define a A -algebra morphism from $A[[X]]$ to F by substitution defined as

$$g(X', y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} r(X', y).$$

If moreover, $g \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ and $g(X', y) = 0$ then one checks that y is algebraic over $\text{Frac}A[X']$. Write $P = X_n^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p_i X_n^i$ and suppose $\exists h \in A[[X]]$ s.t. $hP \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$. Then $\forall i: p_i \in A[[X']]^{\text{alg}}$, so $h, P \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$. This is because each root of P is a root of hP , so is algebraic over $\text{Frac}A[X']$, but the p_i are symmetric functions in the roots. Therefore, using this on 5.2.2 we get when $f \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ of that corollary, then also $u, P_f \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$.

5.3.2. Let A be a domain of char $A = p \neq 0$ and T be one variable. When we put $q = p^r$ ($r \in \mathbf{N}_0$) we can expand each $f \in A[[T]]$ in q -base uniquely as follows

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} f_i(T^q)T^i.$$

Define $\Delta(f) = \sum a_i^p T^i$ where $f = \sum a_i T^i$, so

$$f(T)^p = \Delta(f)(T^p).$$

One easily checks that Δ is an injective ring morphism and that $f \in A[[T]]^{\text{alg}}$ iff $\Delta(f) \in A[[T]]^{\text{alg}}$.

5.3.3. PROPOSITION. Let $f \in A[[T]]^{\text{alg}}$, and $f = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f_i(T^p)T^i$. Then $f_i(T) \in A[[T]]^{\text{alg}}$.

Proof. Call $E = \text{Frac}A[T]$. Then $E(f)/E$ is finite so there exist $\alpha_j(T) \in A[T]$:

$$\sum_{j=r}^s \alpha_j(T) f^{p^j} = 0, \quad \alpha_r \neq 0.$$

Set $\alpha = -\alpha_r$; then

$$(1) \quad \alpha f^{p^r} = \sum_{j=r+1}^s \alpha_j f^{p^j}.$$

Call $q = p^{r+1}$ and multiply (1) by α^{q-1}

$$(2) \quad \alpha^q \cdot f^{p^r} = \sum_{j=r+1}^s \alpha^{q-1} \alpha_j f^{p^j}.$$

Let $\tilde{f} = \Delta^{r+1}f$, so $f^q = \tilde{f}(T^q)$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = \Delta^{r+1}\alpha$, so $\alpha^q = \tilde{\alpha}(T^q)$ and also $f^{p^r} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f_i(T^p)^{p^r} T^{ip^r}$ set $\tilde{f}_i = \Delta^r f_i$, so $f_i(T^p)^{p^r} = \tilde{f}_i(T^q)$. Develop $\alpha^{q-1} \alpha_j = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \alpha_{ji}(T^q) T^i$ so substituting this all in (2) we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \tilde{\alpha}(T^q) \tilde{f}_i(T^q) T^{ip^r} = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=r+1}^s \alpha_{ji}(T^q) \tilde{f}_i(T^q)^{p^j/q} \cdot T^i.$$

By unicity of the expansion we find

$$\forall i = 0, \dots, p - 1 : \tilde{\alpha}(T^q) \cdot \tilde{f}_i(T^q) = \sum_{j=r+1}^s \alpha_{j,ip^r}(T^q) \cdot \tilde{f}(T^q)^{p'/q}$$

so,

$$\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{f}_i = \sum_{j=r+1}^s \alpha_{j,ip^r} \cdot \tilde{f}^{p'/q}.$$

Since $\tilde{f} = \Delta^{r+1}f \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ and $\tilde{\alpha} \neq 0$, $\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha_{j,i} \in A[T]$ we get that $\tilde{f}_i = \Delta^r f_i \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}} \Rightarrow f_i \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$. \square

5.3.4. Suppose from now on that we have a valuation ord on a field K with A as its valuation ring and \mathfrak{a} the maximal ideal. We need not have that $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{a}^n = 0$. But this problem can be solved by remarking that, when f is \mathfrak{a} -regular, so $f \equiv \varphi + X_n^k v \pmod{X'}$ with v unit and $\varphi \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$ we always can find an ideal $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ s.t. $\bigcap \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^n = 0$ and $\varphi \equiv 0 \pmod{\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}}$, and if A is complete with the valuation ord , then also in the $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ -topology. Therefore when A is complete, we have a W.P.T. We can extend ord to $A[[X]]$ by letting $\text{ord}(\sum a_i X^i) = \inf\{\text{ord}(a_i)\}$ (Gauss-norm). Recall that $\sum a_i X^i$ is called *separable* if the infimum actually is reached. Therefore, if f is separable, we can write it always, after a transformation as

$$(1) \quad f = \pi \cdot g \quad \text{with } \text{ord}(\pi) = \text{ord}(f).$$

$\pi \in A$ and g \mathfrak{a} -regular (or shortly, regular) in X_n . See for instance [Z-S].

We call A *separative* when every $f \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ is separable. E.g. rank-one valuation rings.

5.3.5. LEMMA. A as above, A separative, P a DP of degree k in X_n , $P \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$. Suppose P is irreducible in $A[[X]]$; then it is irreducible in $(\text{Frac}(A[[X']^{\text{alg}}))[[X_n]]$.

Proof. Suppose not, so $P = \alpha \cdot \beta$ where $\alpha, \beta \in (\text{Frac} A[[X']^{\text{alg}}])[[X_n]]$ and $\deg_{X_n} \alpha, \deg_{X_n} \beta < k$. (We will write deg for deg_{X_n} in the sequel.) So $\exists c \in A[[X']^{\text{alg}}], \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \in A[[X']^{\text{alg}}[[X_n]]$ s.t.

$$cP = \tilde{\alpha} \cdot \tilde{\beta}$$

with $\text{deg} \tilde{\alpha}, \text{deg} \tilde{\beta} < k$. But A is separative, so by (1) of 5.3.4 we may as well assume that $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ are regular in X_n . By the W.P.T. in $A[[X]]$ we get

$$P = q \cdot \tilde{\alpha} + \rho$$

with $\text{deg} \rho < \text{deg} \tilde{\alpha}$, $q \in A[[X]], \rho \in A[[X']][[X_n]]$; therefore

$$cP = cq\tilde{\alpha} + c\rho = \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta},$$

so $\tilde{\alpha} \mid c\rho$ but $\deg \rho < \deg \tilde{\alpha}$ so $\rho = 0$, so $P = q \cdot \tilde{\alpha}$ with $\deg \tilde{\alpha} < k$, which contradicts the irreducibility of P in $A[[X]]$. \square

5.3.6. THEOREM. *Let A be a valuation ring, A separative and suppose $A[[X]]$ has a W.P.T.; then $A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ also has a W.P.T.*

Proof. Take $f \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$, f regular (= α -regular) in X_n of degree k . Let $g \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$. By the W.P.T. of $A[[X]]$: \exists unique $q \in A[[X]]$, $\rho_i \in A[[X']]$, such that

$$(1) \quad g = q \cdot f + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \rho_i X_n^i.$$

Since f is regular, we know by the discussion of 5.3.1 that f can be written in the form $f = u \cdot P_f$ where u is a unit in $A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ and P_f is a DP of degree k in X_n , $P_f \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$. So we can find a “minimal” decomposition

$$(2) \quad f = \tilde{u} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s P_i$$

with \tilde{u} unit in $A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ and P_i algebraic DP’s in X_n such that their degrees are minimal.

Suppose one of the P_i is not irreducible in $A[[X]]$, so $P_i = \alpha\beta$ α, β non-units in $A[[X]]$.

One easily sees that α, β need to be regular in X_n , so can be written as, with u_α, u_β units and P_α, P_β DP’s

$$\alpha = u_\alpha P_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = u_\beta P_\beta$$

so $P_i = u_\alpha u_\beta P_\alpha P_\beta$ is algebraic. From 5.3.1 we get $u_\alpha u_\beta, P_\alpha$ and P_β are algebraic, contradicting the minimality of decomposition (2). One checks that, when we can prove (1) for two DP’s, then we also have (1) for their product.

So it is sufficient to prove (1) for the irreducible P_i ’s so we may suppose that f is a DP of degree k in X_n and f irreducible in $A[[X]]$, so in $(\text{Frac } A[[X']])^{\text{alg}}[X_n]$ by 5.3.5.

Let $y_1, \dots, y_k \in F$ be the roots of f . Suppose first of all that the y_i are all distinct. This is certainly the case if $\text{char } A = 0$ since f is irreducible over the field $\text{Frac } A[[X']]$. Substituting in (1) gives us for $j = 1, \dots, k$

$$g(X', y_j) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \rho_i y_j^i.$$

Consider this as linear system over F with roots ρ_i and determinant $\prod_{j < i} (y_j - y_i) \neq 0$ (Vandermonde-type).

So, by Cramer's rule, $\rho_i(X')$ are rational expressions in y_j and $g(X', y_j)$ and since the y_j are algebraic over $\text{Frac} A[X]$ (for f is) and also g is, one easily verifies that $g(X', y_j)$ are algebraic too, so the ρ_i are, and hence also $q \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$.

Suppose now that $\text{char} A = p \neq 0$ and that f has multiple roots. Then there exist an $h \in A[[X']][X_n]$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, so that, where $q = p^m$, $f = h(X_n^q)$ and h is irreducible and has no multiple roots. Since $f \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$, hence $f \in A[[X']]^{\text{alg}}[X_n]$ (see 5.3.1), we also get that $h \in A[[X']]^{\text{alg}}[X_n]$. Let $s = \deg_{X_n} h$, so $\deg_{X_n} f = q \cdot s$. Expand g in q -base

$$(3) \quad g = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} g_i(X_n^q) X_n^i;$$

then we know from 5.3.3 that $g_i(X) \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$. Apply now the W.P.T. for the DP h , which has no multiple roots, so \exists unique $q_i \in A[[X]]^{\text{alg}}$ and $\rho_i \in A[[X']]^{\text{alg}}[X_n]$, $\deg_{X_n} \rho_i \leq s - 1$ s.t. $g_i = q_i \cdot h + \rho_i$. Using expansion (3)

$$g = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} g_i(X_n^q) X_n^i = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} q_i(X_n^q) h(X_n^q) X_n^i + \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \rho_i(X_n^q) X_n^i.$$

Call

$$\rho = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \rho_i(X_n^q) X_n^i$$

$$\text{with } \deg_{X_n} \rho \leq q - 1 + q(s - 1) = qs - 1 = \deg f - 1$$

hence $g = (\sum q_i(X_n^q) X_n^i) \cdot f + \rho$. □

5.4.1. Let's now work in the special type of complete rank-one valuation-rings, defined in 4.2.1 and the PP-system \mathring{R}_n of 4.3.1. If T is a finite subset of \mathring{R} , then we denote by

$$\mathcal{A}_T = \{ A \in \mathcal{A} \mid T \subset A[[X]] \};$$

then $(\mathcal{A}_T, \subset \text{ (inclusion)})$ forms a direct system with $\lim_{\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T} A[[X]] = \mathring{R}_{[[X]]}$. One checks that, for T a finite subset of \mathring{R} ,

$$\frac{\mathring{R}}{(T)\mathring{R}} \cong \lim_{\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T} \frac{A[[X]]}{(T)A[[X]]}.$$

5.4.2. THEOREM. *The PP-system $R_{[[X]]} = R_n$ has a W.P.T.*

Proof. Suppose f is regular in X_n of degree k , so we can write f as

$$f \equiv \varphi(X_n) + v(X_n) \cdot X_n^k \pmod{(X')}$$

with $\varphi(X_n) \in \mathcal{O}[[X_n]]$, $\deg \varphi < k$ and $\text{ord}(\varphi) > 0$ and $v(X_n)$ unit in $\mathcal{O}[[X_n]]$; moreover $v \in R_{[[X]]}$.

Call $E = (R_n/(f)R_n)$ where $R_n = R_{[[X]]}$. Take $\pi \in \mathcal{O}$, s.t. $\text{ord}(\pi) = \text{ord}(\varphi) > 0$. Replacing $A[[X]]$ in 5.2.1 by R , which is complete in the π -topology, we can prove analogously that E is Hausdorff in the π -topology. By the remark in 5.3.4. we have a W.P.T. for $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$, which proves the uniqueness in division by f .

So we need only to prove that E is finitely-generated as a R_{n-1} -module by $1, X_n, \dots, X_n^{k-1}$. By the generator-lemma this amounts in proving the same for $E/\pi E$ over $R_{n-1}/\pi R_{n-1}$.

Since $R/\pi \cong \dot{R}/\pi$, we can find $\tilde{v}, \tilde{f} \in \dot{R}$ ($\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{O}[[X_n]]$), with $\tilde{v} \equiv v$, $\tilde{f} \equiv f \pmod{\pi}$ (so \tilde{v} still a unit) and $\tilde{f} \equiv \tilde{v}X_n^k \pmod{(\pi, X')}$.

So

$$\frac{E}{\pi E} = \frac{R_n}{(\pi, f)} \cong \frac{\dot{R}_n}{(\pi, \tilde{f})} = \lim_{\mathcal{A}_{\pi, \tilde{f}, \tilde{v}}} \left(\frac{A}{\pi A} \frac{[[X]]}{(\tilde{f})} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{R_{n-1}}{\pi R_{n-1}} \cong \lim_{\mathcal{A}_{\pi, \tilde{f}, \tilde{v}}} \left(\frac{A}{\pi A} \right) [[X']].$$

So, when we can prove that for $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\pi, \tilde{f}, \tilde{v}}$, $(A/\pi A)[[X]]/(\tilde{f})$ is finitely generated as a $A/\pi A$ $[[X']]$ -module by $1, X_n, \dots, X_n^k$ we are done. But $(A/\pi A) [[X']]$ is complete and Hausdorff with respect to the (X') -topology because A is noetherian and $(X') \subset \text{rad}(A/\pi A) [[X']]$, so $(A/\pi A) [[X']]$ is a Zariski-ring. By applying the generator-lemma once again we obtain

$$\left(\left(\frac{A}{\pi A} \right) \frac{[[X]]}{(\tilde{f})} \right) / (X') \cong \frac{A}{\pi A} \frac{[[X_n]]}{(\tilde{v}X_n^k)}$$

with \tilde{v} unit, and this is a finite $(A/\pi A)[[X']]/(X') = A/\pi A$ -module generated by $1, \dots, X_n^{k-1}$. □

5.5. PROPOSITION. *Let A be a ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal in A , A complete and Hausdorff in the \mathfrak{a} -topology.*

Let \mathring{W}_n be a PP-system over A and W_n the \mathfrak{a} -adic closure of \mathring{W}_n in $A[[X]]$.

If \mathring{W}_n has a W.P.T., then also W_n .

Proof. Let $f \in W_n$ be \mathfrak{a} -regular in X_n of degree k , and $g \in W_n$. So there exist $f_m, g_m \in \mathring{W}_n$ such that

$$f_m \rightarrow f \quad \text{and} \quad g_m \rightarrow g.$$

Therefore, for m big enough the f_m must be \mathfrak{a} -regular of degree k in X_n too. So, by the W.P.T. in $\mathring{W}_n \exists$ unique $q_m \in \mathring{W}_n, \rho_{im} \in \mathring{W}_{n-1}$

$$g_m = q_m \cdot f_m + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \rho_{im} X_n^i$$

$\forall t: \exists N: f - f_m, g_m - g_s \in \mathfrak{a}^t$ if $m, s > N$, hence in $A/\mathfrak{a}^t A[[X]]$ we get:

$$q_m f + \sum \rho_{im} X_n^i = q_s f + \sum \rho_{is} X_n^i.$$

But by the W.P.T. in $A/\mathfrak{a}^t A[[X]]$, such an expansion is unique, so

$$q_m \equiv q_s \pmod{\mathfrak{a}^t},$$

$$\rho_{im} \equiv \rho_{is} \pmod{\mathfrak{a}^t};$$

thus the $(q_m)_m$ and the $(\rho_{im})_m$ are Cauchy-sequences in $A[[X]]$. Therefore $\exists q \in W_n, \rho_i \in W_{n-1}: q_m \rightarrow q$ and $\rho_{im} \rightarrow \rho_i$ and so

$$g = qf + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \rho_i X_n^i.$$

The uniqueness of q and ρ_i follows from the W.P.T. in $A[[X]]$. □

5.5.2. The same proposition holds for A a complete valuation ring, since $A[[X]]$ has a W.P.T. by the remark of 5.3.4.

REFERENCES

- [Ar] M. Artin, *Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings*, Inst. Hautes Etudes, Sci. Publ. Math., **36** (1968), 23–58.
- [Ba] G. Bachman, *Introduction to p -adic Numbers and Valuation Theory*, Academic Press, New York (1964).
- [Ch] J. L. Chabert, *Anneaux de Fatou*, Enseign. Math., (1972), 141–144.
- [Chr] G. Christol, *Limites uniformes p -adiques de fonctions algébriques*, Thèse Sc. Math. Univ. Paris, **6** (1977).
- [Co] I. S. Cohen, *On the structure and ideal theory of complete local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **59** (1946), 54–106.
- [C-R] Coste-Roy, *Book on semi-algebraic geometry* (in preparation).

- [La] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley (1965).
- [Laf] J. P. Lafon, *Séries formelles algébriques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **20** (1965), 3238–3241.
- [L.D.] L. Lipshitz and J. Denef, *Algebraic power series and diagonals*, J. Number Theory, to appear.
- [Ma] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, Benjamin (1970).
- [Ra] M. Raynaud, *Anneaux Locaux Henséliens*, Lect. Notes in Mathematics 169, Springer Verlag (1970).
- [Ro] P. Robba, *Propriété d'approximation pour les éléments algébriques*, (Composition Math.)
- [Se] J. P. Serre, *Corps Locaux*, Hermann Paris (1968).
- [Z.-S.] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, Van Nostrand Princeton (1967).

Received September 9, 1986.

ASS. ALGEBRA-TOPOLOGIE
CELESTIJNENLAAN 200B
B-3030 LEUVEN, BELGIUM

