

## ON THE FINEST LEBESGUE TOPOLOGY ON THE SPACE OF ESSENTIALLY BOUNDED MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS

MARIAN NOWAK

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and let  $\mathcal{T}_0$  and  $\mathcal{T}_\infty$  denote the usual metrizable topologies on  $L^0$  and  $L^\infty$ , respectively. In this paper the space  $L^\infty$  with the mixed topology  $\gamma(\mathcal{T}_\infty, \mathcal{T}_0|_{L^\infty})$  is examined. It is proved that  $\gamma(\mathcal{T}_\infty, \mathcal{T}_0|_{L^\infty})$  is the finest Lebesgue topology on  $L^\infty$ , and that it coincides with the Mackey topology  $\tau(L^\infty, L^1)$ .

**1. Introduction.** For notation and terminology concerning Riesz spaces and locally solid topologies we refer to [1].

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, and let  $L^0$  denote the set of equivalence classes of all real valued  $\mu$ -measurable functions defined and finite a.e. on  $\Omega$ . Then  $L^0$  is a super Dedekind complete Riesz space under the ordering  $x \leq y$ , whenever  $x(t) \leq y(t)$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ . The Riesz  $F$ -norm

$$\|x\|_0 = \int_{\Omega} |x(t)|(1 + |x(t)|)^{-1} f(t) d\mu \quad \text{for } x \in L^0,$$

where a function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is  $\mu$ -measurable with  $\int_{\Omega} f(t) d\mu = 1$ , determines a Lebesgue topology on  $L^0$ , which we will denote by  $\mathcal{T}_0$  (see [7, I, §6], [1, Theorem 24.67]). This topology generates convergence in measure on the measurable subsets of  $\Omega$  whose measure is finite. We will denote by  $\mathcal{T}_\infty$  the topology on  $L^\infty$  generated by the usual  $B$ -norm

$$\|x\|_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in \Omega} |x(t)|.$$

Moreover, we denote by  $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$ ,  $\tau(L^\infty, L^1)$  and  $\beta(L^\infty, L^1)$  the weak, Mackey and strong topologies on  $L^\infty$  respectively, with respect to the dual pair  $(L^\infty, L^1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , where

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \int_{\Omega} x(t)y(t) d\mu \quad \text{for } x \in L^\infty, y \in L^1.$$

In this paper we shall examine the space  $L^\infty$  with the mixed topology  $\gamma(\mathcal{T}_\infty, \mathcal{T}_0|_{L^\infty})$ . This topology is defined as follows. Take a sequence

$(\varepsilon_n)$  of positive numbers, a number  $r > 0$  and let

$$W((\varepsilon_n), r) = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N V(\varepsilon_n) \cap nB(r) \right),$$

where  $B(r) = \{x \in L^\infty : \|x\|_\infty \leq r\}$  and  $V(\varepsilon_n) = \{x \in L^\infty : \|x\|_0 \leq \varepsilon_n\}$ . Then the family of all such  $W((\varepsilon_n), r)$  forms a base of neighbourhoods of zero for  $\gamma(\mathcal{T}_\infty, \mathcal{T}_0|_{L^\infty})$  (see [11, p. 49]). In view of [11, Theorem 2.2.2]  $\gamma(\mathcal{T}_\infty, \mathcal{T}_0|_{L^\infty})$  is the finest linear topology on  $L^\infty$  which agrees with  $\mathcal{T}_0|_{L^\infty}$  on  $\|\cdot\|$ -bounded sets. Henceforth, we will write briefly  $\gamma$  instead of  $\gamma(\mathcal{T}_\infty, \mathcal{T}_0|_{L^\infty})$ .

The space of bounded sequences  $l^\infty$  with the mixed topology  $\gamma$  has been investigated in [4], where among other things, the results from Theorems 5, 6 and 8 below are obtained. The mixed topology  $\gamma$  on  $l^\infty$  is the same as the strict topology  $\beta$  [3] on  $C(S)$ , where  $S = \mathbb{N} =$  the set of all natural numbers.

**2. The mixed topology  $\gamma$  on  $L^\infty$ .** It is well known that the norm topology  $\mathcal{T}_\infty$  on  $L^\infty$  satisfies both the Fatou property and the Levi property (see [7, IV, §3] and [7, X, §4]), and that  $\mathcal{T}_\infty$  does not satisfy the Lebesgue property if  $\Omega$  does not consist of only finite number of atoms (see [7, IV, §3]). We shall show that the mixed topology  $\gamma$  is the finest Hausdorff Lebesgue topology on  $L^\infty$ . We start by giving some characterization of sequential convergence in  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *For a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $L^\infty$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $\gamma$  if and only if  $\|x_n\|_0 \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|x_n\|_\infty < M$  for some  $M > 0$  and all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

*Proof.* Since the balls  $B(r) = \{x \in L^\infty : \|x\|_\infty \leq r\}$ ,  $r > 0$  are closed in  $\mathcal{T}_0$  (see [7, IV, §3, Lemma 5]) the result follows from [11, Theorem 2.3.1].

We now are able to prove the basic property of  $\gamma$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *The mixed topology  $\gamma$  is the finest Hausdorff Lebesgue topology on  $L^\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Using [1, Theorem 1.2] it is easy to show that  $\gamma$  is a locally solid topology. In order to show that  $\gamma$  is a Lebesgue topology, let us assume that  $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$  holds in  $L^\infty$  and let  $(\varepsilon_n)$  be a sequence of positive numbers and  $r > 0$ . Then there exists an increasing sequence of indices  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset \{\alpha\}$  such that  $x_{\alpha_n} \downarrow 0$  holds in  $L^\infty$ , because

$L^\infty$  has the countable sup property (see [9, Proposition 5.20]). Since  $\mathcal{T}_0$  is a Lebesgue topology, we have  $x_{\alpha_n} \rightarrow 0$  for  $\gamma$  by Theorem 1. Then there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $x_{\alpha_{n_0}} \in W((\varepsilon_n), \tau)$ , so  $x_\alpha \in W((\varepsilon_n), r)$  for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_{n_0}$ , and hence  $x_\alpha \rightarrow 0$  for  $\gamma$ . Now let  $\xi$  be a Hausdorff Lebesgue topology on  $L^\infty$ . Then by [1, Theorem 12.9] we have  $\xi_{[-x,x]} = \mathcal{T}_0|_{[-x,x]}$  for every  $0 < x \in L^\infty$ . Hence, by [11, Theorem 2.2.2] the inclusion  $\xi \subset \gamma$  holds, and thus the proof is finished.

**REMARK.** It is known that  $L^\infty$  has no minimal topology, if the measure  $\mu$  is atomless [2].

We now consider the problem of separableness of the space  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$ . First, we recall some definition. Let  $\sim$  be the following equivalence relation in  $\Sigma$ :  $A \sim B$  if and only if  $\mu(A \dot{-} B) = 0$  ( $\dot{-}$  denotes the symmetric difference). Denote by  $\Sigma/\sim$  the set of equivalence classes and by  $[A]$  the equivalence class of  $A$ . Then on  $\Sigma/\sim$  one can define a metric function  $\rho([A], [B]) = \|\chi_A - \chi_B\|_0$ . ( $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of the set  $A$ .) The measure  $\mu$  is said to be separable if the metric space  $(\Sigma/\sim, \rho)$  is separable (see [7, I, §6]).

**THEOREM 3.** *The space  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$  is separable if and only if the measure  $\mu$  is separable.*

*Proof.* Assume that the space  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$  is separable and let  $0 < x \in L^0$ . Let  $x_n = x \wedge ne$ , where  $e$  denotes the constant function one. Then  $0 \leq x_n \uparrow x$  holds in  $L^0$ , so  $x_n \rightarrow x$  for  $\mathcal{T}_0$ . Thus  $L^\infty$  is dense in  $(L^0, \mathcal{T}_0)$ , hence  $(L^0, \mathcal{T}_0)$  is separable by hypothesis [7, I, §6]. By [7, I, §6, Theorem 16] the measure  $\mu$  is separable.

Next, assume that the measure  $\mu$  is separable. Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m c_k \chi_{A_k} : A_k \in \Sigma, \mu(A_k) < \infty, \right. \\ \left. A_{k_1} \cap A_{k_2} = \emptyset \text{ for } k_1 \neq k_2, c_k \in \mathbf{R}, m \in \mathbf{N} \right\}$$

where  $\mathbf{R}$  denotes the set of real numbers. Then  $\mathcal{P} \subset L^\infty$  and using Theorem 1, by usual argument one can show that the set  $\mathcal{P}$  is dense in  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$ . Let  $\Sigma_0$  be a countable subset of  $\Sigma/\sim$ , which is dense in  $(\Sigma/\sim, \rho)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{ \sum_{k=1}^m r_k \chi_{A_k} \in \mathcal{P} : [A_k] \in \Sigma_0, r_k \in \mathbf{Q} \}$ , where  $\mathbf{Q}$  denotes the set of rational numbers. Let  $0 \leq x = \sum_{k=1}^m c_k \chi_{A_k} \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then, by hypothesis, for every  $k = 1, \dots, m$  there exist a sequence

( $[A_k^n]$ ) in  $\Sigma_0$  and a sequence  $(r_k^n)$  of positive rational numbers such that  $\|\chi_{A_k^n} - \chi_{A_k}\|_0 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $0 \leq r_k^n \uparrow_n c_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Putting  $x_n = \sum_{k=1}^m r_k^n \chi_{A_k^n}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have  $\|x_n - x\|_0 \rightarrow 0$  and  $|x_n(t)| \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} c_k$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ . Thus, by Theorem 1,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  for  $\gamma$ . It follows that the set  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{P}, \gamma|_{\mathcal{P}})$ , so  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is dense also in  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$ . Thus the space  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$  is separable, because the set  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is countable.

The next theorem describes the topological dual of  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *For a linear functional  $f$  on  $L^\infty$  the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f$  is continuous for  $\gamma$ .
- (ii)  $f$  is sequentially continuous for  $\gamma$ .
- (iii) There exists a unique  $y \in L^1$  such that

$$f(x) = \int_{\Omega} x(t)y(t) d\mu \quad \text{for } x \in L^\infty.$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) It follows from [11, Theorem 2.6.1].

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) By Theorem 1, the functional  $f$  is sequentially continuous for  $\gamma$  if and only if it is sequentially order star-continuous, and if and only if it is sequentially order continuous (cf. [6, VII, §2]). Thus, in view of [7, VI, §2, Theorem 1] the proof is finished.

As an application of Theorems 2 and 4 we get the following important property of  $\gamma$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *The mixed topology  $\gamma$  on  $L^\infty$  is a Mackey topology, i.e.,  $\gamma = \tau(L^\infty, L^1)$ .*

*Proof.* Since the Mackey topology  $\tau(L^\infty, L^1)$  is a Lebesgue topology (see [1, Ex. 4, p. 163] and [1, Theorem 9.1]), by Theorem 2 we have  $\tau(L^\infty, L^1) \subset \gamma$ . According to Theorem 4, it suffices to show that  $\gamma$  is a locally convex topology. Indeed, let us put  $x_n(t) = n$  for  $t \in \Omega$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_I$  be the generalized inductive limit topology of  $(L^\infty, \tau(L^\infty, L^1), j_n, [-x_n, x_n])$  (see [5, p. 2]), i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}_I$  is the finest of all locally convex topologies  $\xi$  on  $L^\infty$  under which the inclusion maps

$$j_n: ([-x_n, x_n], \tau(L^\infty, L^1)|_{[-x_n, x_n]}) \rightarrow (L^\infty, \xi)$$

are continuous for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . By [5, Proposition 5]  $\mathcal{F}_I$  is also the finest of all linear topologies  $\xi$  on  $L^\infty$  under which each of the maps  $j_n$

is continuous. Since  $\gamma$  and  $\tau(L^\infty, L^1)$  are Hausdorff Lebesgue topologies, by [1, Theorem 12.9] we have

$$\gamma|_{[-x_n, x_n]} = \tau(L^\infty, L^1)|_{[-x_n, x_n]} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus  $\gamma \subset \mathcal{I}$ . On the other hand, since

$$\mathcal{I}|_{[-x_n, x_n]} \subset \tau(L^\infty, L^1)|_{[-x_n, x_n]} = \mathcal{I}_0|_{[-x_n, x_n]} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

by [11, Theorem 2.2.2] we get  $\mathcal{I} \subset \gamma$ . Thus  $\mathcal{I} = \gamma$ ; hence  $\gamma$  is locally convex. Therefore, we have  $\gamma \subset \tau(L^\infty, L^1)$ . Thus the proof is finished.

For a linear topology  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $L^\infty$ , we will denote by  $\text{Bd}(\mathcal{I})$  the collection of all  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounded subsets of  $L^\infty$ .

Additional properties of  $\gamma$  are included in the next theorem.

**THEOREM 6.** *The space  $L^\infty$  endowed with  $\gamma$  is complete.*

*Proof.* Since  $\gamma$  is a Lebesgue topology, in view of [1, Theorem 13.9] it suffices to show that  $\gamma$  is a Levi topology. But  $\text{Bd}(\gamma) = \text{Bd}(\mathcal{I}_\infty)$  [11, Theorem 2.4.1], so  $\gamma$  is a Levi topology, because we know that  $\mathcal{I}_\infty$  is a Levi topology.

**COROLLARY 7.** *The mixed topology  $\gamma$  is not metrizable.*

Locally convex Hausdorff space  $(X, \xi)$  is called sequentially barreled if every  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -convergent to zero sequence in the topological dual  $X^* = (X, \xi)^*$  is equicontinuous [10].

**THEOREM 8.** *The space  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$  is sequentially barreled.*

*Proof.* Combining Theorem 4 and Theorem 5, we have  $\gamma = \tau(L^\infty, (L^\infty, \gamma)^+)$ , where  $(L^\infty, \gamma)^+$  denotes the sequential topological dual of  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$ . Since the space  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$  is complete, according to [10, Proposition 4.3] the space  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$  is sequentially barreled.

Since  $L^\infty$  is the norm dual of  $L^1$  we have  $\beta(L^\infty, L^1) = \mathcal{I}_\infty$ . Therefore, according to Theorem 4 and Corollary 7 we obtain that the space  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$  is not barreled.

Additional characterizations of sequential convergence in  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$  are included in the next theorem.

**THEOREM 9.** *For a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $L^\infty$  the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $\gamma$ .
- (ii)  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  for the absolutely weak topology  $|\sigma|(L^\infty, L^1)$ .
- (iii)  $\int_\Omega |x_n(t)y(t)| d\mu \rightarrow 0$  for every  $y \in L^1$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) Since  $|\sigma|(L^\infty, L^1) \subset \tau(L^\infty, L^1)$  (see [1, Theorem 6.7], assume that  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $|\sigma|(L^\infty, L^1)$ . By [1, Theorem 12.9] we have  $|\sigma|(L^\infty, L^1)|_{[-x, x]} = \mathcal{T}_0|_{[-x, x]}$  for every  $0 < x \in L^\infty$ , because  $|\sigma|(L, L^1)$  is a Hausdorff Lebesgue topology. Since the set  $\{x_n\}$  is  $\sigma(L, L^1)$ -bounded and  $\text{Bd}(\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)) = \text{Bd}(\tau(L^\infty, L^1)) = \text{Bd}(\tau_\infty)$  we obtain that  $\{x_n\} \subset [-x, x]$  for some  $0 < x \in L^\infty$ . Thus  $\|x_n\|_0 \rightarrow 0$ , and in view of Theorem 1 we have  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $\gamma$ .

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) Obvious.

The next theorem gives criteria for the compactness of sets in  $(L^\infty, \gamma)$ .

**THEOREM 10.** *For a subset  $Z$  of  $L^\infty$  the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $Z$  is relatively compact for  $\mathcal{T}_0$  and  $\|x\|_\infty < M$  for some  $M > 0$  and every  $x \in Z$ .
- (ii)  $Z$  is relatively compact for  $\gamma$ .
- (iii)  $Z$  is relatively compact for  $|\sigma|(L^\infty, L^1)$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) Obvious, because we know that  $\text{Bd}(\mathcal{T}_\infty) = \text{Bd}(\gamma)$  and the topologies  $\gamma$  and  $\mathcal{T}_0$  coincide on order intervals of  $L^\infty$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Obvious, because  $|\sigma|(L^\infty, L^1) \subset \gamma$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Combining [8, I, §3, Lemma 11] and Theorem 9,  $Z$  is relatively compact for  $\gamma$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Locally Solid Riesz Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [2] ———, *Minimal topologies and  $L_p$ -spaces*, Illinois J. Math., **24** (1980), 164–172.
- [3] R. C. Buck, *Bounded continuous functions on a locally compact space*, Michigan Math. J., **5** (1958), 95–104.

- [4] A. K. Chilana, *The space of bounded sequences with mixed topology*, Pacific J. Math., **48** (1973), 29–33.
- [5] D. J. H. Garling, *A generalized form of inductive-limit topology for vector spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **14** (1964), 1–28.
- [6] L. V. Kantorovich, B. Z. Vulikh and A. Pinski, *Functional Analysis on Semi-ordered Spaces*, Moscow-Leningrad, 1950 (Russian).
- [7] L. V. Kantorovich and G. P. Akilov, *Functional Analysis*, Moscow, 1984 (Russian).
- [8] W. A. Luxemburg, *Banach Function Spaces*, Delft 1955.
- [9] A. Peressini, *Ordered Topological Vector Spaces*, Harper and Row, London, 1967.
- [10] J. H. Webb, *Sequential convergence in locally convex spaces*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **64** (1968), 341–364.
- [11] A. Wiweger, *Linear spaces with mixed topology*, Studia Math., **20** (1961), 47–68.

Received November 15, 1987.

A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY  
MATEJKI 48/49  
60-769 POZNAŃ, POLAND

