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#### Abstract

When the cohomology ring of a generalized Bott manifold with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficient is isomorphic to that of a product of complex projective spaces $\mathbb{C} P^{n_{i}}$, the generalized Bott manifold is said to be $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial. We find a necessary and sufficient condition for a generalized Bott manifold to be $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial. In particular, every $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial generalized Bott manifold is diffeomorphic to a $\prod_{n_{i}>1} \mathbb{C} P^{n_{i}}$-bundle over a $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial Bott manifold.


## 1. Introduction

A generalized Bott tower of height $h$ is a sequence of complex projective space bundles

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{h} \xrightarrow{\pi_{h}} B_{h-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{h-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} B_{1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} B_{0}=\{\text { a point }\}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{i}=P\left(\underline{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \xi_{i}\right), \underline{\mathbb{C}}$ is a trivial complex line bundle, $\xi_{i}$ is a Whitney sum of $n_{i}$ complex line bundles over $B_{i-1}$, and $P(\cdot)$ stands a projectivization. Each $B_{i}$ is called an $i$-stage generalized Bott manifold. When all $n_{i}$ 's are 1 for $i=1, \ldots, h$, the sequence (1.1) is called a Bott tower of height $h$ and $B_{i}$ is called an $i$-stage Bott manifold.

A ( $h$-stage) generalized Bott manifold is said to be $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial (respectively, $\mathbb{Z}$-trivial) if $H^{*}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong H^{*}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{h} \mathbb{C} P^{n_{i}} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ (respectively, $H^{*}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong H^{*}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{h} \mathbb{C} P^{n_{i}} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ ). It is shown in [4] that if $B_{h}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-trivial, then every fiber bundle in the tower (1.1) is trivial so that $B_{h}$ is diffeomorphic to $\prod_{i=1}^{h} \mathbb{C} P^{n_{i}}$. Furthermore, Choi and Masuda show that every ring isomorphism between $\mathbb{Z}$-cohomology rings of two $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial Bott manifolds is induced by some diffeomorphism between them (see Theorem 3.1 and [2]).

We find a necessary and sufficient condition for a generalized Bott manifold to be $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial. Namely, we have the following proposition.

[^0]Proposition 1.1. An $h$-stage generalized Bott manifold $B_{h}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial if and only if each vector bundle $\xi_{i}, i=1, \ldots, h$, satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n_{i}+1\right)^{k} c_{k}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\binom{n_{i}+1}{k} c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right)^{k} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, n_{i}+1$, where $B_{i}=P\left(\underline{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \xi_{i}\right)$.
Moreover, the following theorem says that a $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial generalized Bott manifold without $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-fibration is weakly equivariantly diffeomorphic to a trivial generalized Bott manifold.

Theorem 1.2. Let $B_{h}$ be a generalized Bott manifold such that all $n_{i}$ 's are greater than 1. Then the following are equivalent
(1) $B_{h}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial,
(2) total Chern class $c\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ is trivial for each $i=1, \ldots, h$,
(3) $B_{h}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-trivial, and
(4) $B_{h}$ is diffeomorphic to the product of projective spaces $\prod_{i=1}^{h} \mathbb{C} P^{n_{i}}$.

In the light of Theorem 1.2, we have a natural question.
Question 1.1. Let $B_{h}$ and $B_{h}^{\prime}$ be generalized Bott manifolds with $n_{i}>1, i=$ $1, \ldots, h$. Is $H^{*}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ isomorphic to $H^{*}\left(B_{h}^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ if $H^{*}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong H^{*}\left(B_{h}^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ ?

Unfortunately, Example 3.1 shows that the answer to the question is negative.
From the proposition, we can deduce the following theorem.
Theorem 1.3. Every $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial generalized Bott manifold is diffeomorphic to a $\prod_{n_{i}>1} \mathbb{C} P^{n_{i}-\text { bundle over a }} \mathbb{Q}$-trivial Bott manifold.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall general facts on a generalized Bott manifold and deal with its cohomology ring. In Section 3, we prove Proposition 1.1, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

## 2. Cohomology ring of a generalized Bott manifold

Let $B$ be a smooth manifold and let $E$ be a complex vector bundle over $B$. Let $P(E)$ denote the projectivization of $E$. Let $y \in H^{2}(P(E))$ be the negative of the first Chern class of the tautological line bundle over $P(E)$. Then $H^{*}(P(E))$ can be viewed as an algebra over $H^{*}(B)$ via $\pi^{*}: H^{*}(B) \rightarrow H^{*}(P(E))$, where $\pi: P(E) \rightarrow B$ denotes the projection. When $H^{*}(B)$ is finitely generated and torsion free (this is the case when
$B$ is a toric manifold), $\pi^{*}$ is injective and $H^{*}(P(E))$ as an algebra over $H^{*}(B)$ is known to be described as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}(P(E))=H^{*}(B)[y] /\left\langle\sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k}(E) y^{n-k}\right\rangle, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ denotes the complex dimension of the fiber of $E$ (see [1]).
For a generalized Bott manifold $B_{h}$ in (1.1), since $\pi_{j}^{*}: H^{*}\left(B_{j-1}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(B_{j}\right)$ is injective, we regard $H^{*}\left(B_{j-1}\right)$ as a subring of $H^{*}\left(B_{j}\right)$ for each $j$ so that we have a filtration

$$
H^{*}\left(B_{h}\right) \supset H^{*}\left(B_{h-1}\right) \supset \cdots \supset H^{*}\left(B_{1}\right) .
$$

Let $x_{j} \in H^{2}\left(B_{j}\right)$ denote minus the first Chern class of the tautological line bundle over $B_{j}=P\left(\underline{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \xi_{j}\right)$. We may think of $x_{j}$ as an element of $H^{2}\left(B_{i}\right)$ for $i \geq j$. Then the repeated use of (2.1) shows that the ring structure of $H^{*}\left(B_{h}\right)$ can be described as

$$
H^{*}\left(B_{h}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{h}\right] /\left\langle x_{i}^{n_{i}+1}+c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right) x_{i}^{n_{i}}+\cdots+c_{n_{i}}\left(\xi_{i}\right) x_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, h\right\rangle .
$$

Let $\xi_{2,1}$ be the tautological line bundle over $B_{1}=\mathbb{C} P^{n_{1}}$ and let $\xi_{3,1}=\pi_{2}^{*}\left(\xi_{2,1}\right)$ the pullback bundle of the tautological line bundle over $B_{1}$ to $B_{2}$ via the projection $\pi_{2}: B_{2} \rightarrow B_{1}$. In general, let $\xi_{j, j-1}$ be the tautological line bundle over $B_{j-1}$ and we define inductively

$$
\xi_{j, j-k}=\pi_{j-1}^{*} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{j-k+1}^{*}\left(\xi_{j-k+1, j-k}\right)
$$

for $k=2, \ldots, j-1$. Then one can see that the Whitney sum of complex line bundles $\xi_{i}$ over $B_{i-1}$ in the sequence (1.1) can be written as

$$
\xi_{i}:=\left(\xi_{i, 1}^{a_{11}^{i}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_{i, i-1}^{a_{1, i-1}^{i}}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus\left(\xi_{i, 1}^{a_{i, 1}^{i}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_{i, i-1}^{a_{n, i}^{i}}\right)
$$

for some integers $a_{11}^{i}, \ldots, a_{n_{i}, i-1}^{i}$. Note that $\xi_{1}=(\mathbb{C})^{n_{1}}$. Hence, the total Chern class of $\xi_{i}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{j k}^{i} x_{k}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the cohomology ring of $B_{h}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{*}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{h}\right] /\left\langle x_{i}^{n_{i}+1}+c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right) x_{i}^{n_{i}}+\cdots+c_{n_{i}}\left(\xi_{i}\right) x_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, h\right\rangle \\
& =\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{h}\right] /\left\langle x_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{j k}^{i} x_{k}+x_{i}\right) \mid i=1, \ldots, h\right\rangle . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

REMARK 1. We can associate a generalized Bott manifold $B_{h}$ with an $h \times h$ vector matrix $A$ as follows:

$$
A^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{1} & & &  \tag{2.4}\\
\mathbf{a}_{1}^{2} & \mathbf{1} & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\
\mathbf{a}_{1}^{h} & \mathbf{a}_{2}^{h} & \cdots & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{a}_{k}^{i}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1 k}^{i} \\
\vdots \\
a_{n_{i} k}^{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\vdots \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Moreover we can consider $B_{h}$ as a quasitoric manifold over the product of simplices $\prod_{i=1}^{h} \Delta^{n_{i}}$ with the reduced characteristic matrix $\Lambda_{*}=-A^{T}$.

## 3. $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial generalized Bott manifolds

As we mentioned in the introduction, Choi and Masuda classify $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial Bott manifolds as follows.

Theorem 3.1 ([2]). (1) A Bott manifold $B_{h}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial if and only if for each $i=1, \ldots, h$, each line bundle $\xi_{i}$ satisfies $c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right)^{2}=0$ in $H^{*}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$.
(2) Every ring isomorphism $\varphi$ between two $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial Bott manifolds $B_{h}$ and $B_{h}^{\prime}$ is induced by some diffeomorphism $B_{h} \rightarrow B_{h}^{\prime}$.

In this section we shall prove Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. To prove them, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. If a generalized Bott manifold $B_{h}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial, then there exist linearly independent primitive elements $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{h}$ in $H^{2}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ such that $z_{i}^{n_{i}}$ is not zero but $z_{i}^{n_{i}+1}$ is zero in $H^{*}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, h$.

Proof. Let $H^{*}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ be generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{h}$ as in (2.3) and let

$$
H^{*}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{h} B_{h} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{h}\right] /\left\langle y_{i}^{n_{i}+1} \mid i=1, \ldots, h\right\rangle
$$

Since both $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{h}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{h}\right\}$ are sets of generators of $H^{2}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$, we can write

$$
y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{h} c_{i j} x_{j} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, h \quad \text { and } \quad c_{i j} \in \mathbb{Q}
$$

where the determinant of the matrix $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)_{h \times h}$ is non-zero. We may assume that $c_{i j}$ 's are irreducible fractions. Multiplying $\left(c_{i, 1}, \ldots, c_{i, h}\right)$ by the least common denominator $r_{i}$ of a set $\left\{c_{i, 1}, \ldots, c_{i, h}\right\}$, we can get a primitive element $z_{i}=r_{i} y_{i}=r_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{h} c_{i j} x_{j}$ in $H^{2}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ such that $z_{i}^{n_{i}+1}=r_{i}^{n_{i}+1} y_{i}^{n_{i}+1}$ is zero in $H^{*}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ for each $i=1, \ldots, h$. Since the elements $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{h}$ are linearly independent, the elements $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{h}$ are also linearly independent. Since $y_{i}^{n_{i}}$ is not zero in $H^{*}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Q}\right), z_{i}^{n_{i}}$ cannot be zero in $H^{*}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 3.3 ([4]). Let $B_{m}$ be an m-stage generalized Bott manifold. Then the set

$$
\left\{b x_{m}+w \in H^{2}\left(B_{m}\right) \mid 0 \neq b \in \mathbb{Z}, w \in H^{2}\left(B_{m-1}\right),\left(b x_{m}+w\right)^{n_{m}+1}=0\right\}
$$

lies in a one-dimensional subspace of $H^{2}\left(B_{m}\right)$ if it is non-empty.
Proof. To satisfy $\left(b x_{m}+w\right)^{n_{m}+1}=0$, we need $b c_{1}\left(\xi_{m}\right)=\left(n_{m}+1\right) w$.
Lemma 3.4 ([4]). For an element $z=\sum_{i=1}^{h} b_{i} x_{i} \in H^{2}\left(B_{h}\right)$, if $b_{i}$ is non-zero, then $z^{n_{i}}$ cannot be zero in $H^{*}\left(B_{h}\right)$.

Proof. If we expand $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{h} b_{i} x_{i}\right)^{n_{i}}$, there appears a non-zero scalar multiple of $x_{i}^{n_{i}}$ because $b_{i} \neq 0$. Then, $z^{n_{i}}$ cannot belong to the ideal generated by the polynomials $x_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{j k}^{i} x_{k}+x_{i}\right)$, hence it is not zero in $H^{*}\left(B_{h}\right)$.

Now we can prove Proposition 1.1.
Proof of Proposition 1.1. If each vector bundle $\xi_{i}$ satisfies the conditions (1.2), then $\left(x_{i}+1 /\left(n_{i}+1\right) c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)^{n_{i}+1}$ is zero in $H^{*}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Since the set

$$
\left\{\left.x_{i}+\frac{1}{n_{i}+1} c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right) \right\rvert\, i=1, \ldots, h\right\}
$$

generates $H^{*}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ as a graded ring, this shows that $B_{h}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial.
Conversely, if a generalized Bott manifold is $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial, then there are linearly independent and primitive elements $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{h}$ in $H^{2}\left(B_{h} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ such that $z_{i}^{n_{i}+1}$ is zero but $z_{i}^{n_{i}}$ is not zero in $H^{*}\left(B_{h}\right)$ by Lemma 3.2. We can put $z_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{h} b_{i j} x_{j}$ with $b_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, h$.

Now, consider a map $\mu:\{1, \ldots, h\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ given by $j \mapsto n_{j}$. Further assume that the image of $\mu$ is the set $\left\{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{m}\right\}$ with $N_{1}<\cdots<N_{m}$. We will show inductively that each $z_{i}$ can be written as $r_{i}\left(x_{i}+1 /(\mu(i)+1) c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)$ for some $r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$.

CASE 1: Assume $i \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{1}\right)$. Let $\mu^{-1}\left(N_{1}\right):=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\alpha}\right\}$ with $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\alpha}$. We have $z_{i}^{N_{1}+1}=0$. Then, by Lemma 3.4, we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i}=\sum_{j \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{\mathrm{l}}\right)} b_{i j} x_{j} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $b_{i j^{\prime}}=0$ for $j^{\prime} \notin \mu^{-1}\left(N_{1}\right)$. Note that for each $i \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{1}\right)$, one of $b_{i j}$ 's is nonzero for $j \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{1}\right)$ because the set $\left\{z_{i} \mid i \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{1}\right)\right\}$ is linearly independent. For some $i_{p} \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{1}\right)$, if $b_{i_{p} i_{\alpha}}$ is nonzero, then $z_{i_{p}} \in H^{2}\left(B_{i_{\alpha}}\right)$ and $b_{i i_{\alpha}}=0$ for all $i \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{1}\right) \backslash\left\{i_{p}\right\}$ by Lemma 3.3. Put $w_{i_{\alpha}}:=z_{i_{p}}$. If $b_{i_{q} i_{\alpha-1}}$ is nonzero for some $i_{q} \in$ $\mu^{-1}\left(N_{1}\right) \backslash\left\{i_{p}\right\}$, then $z_{i_{q}} \in H^{2}\left(B_{i_{\alpha-1}}\right)$ and $b_{i i_{\alpha-1}}=0$ for all $i \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{1}\right) \backslash\left\{i_{p}, i_{q}\right\}$. Now, put $w_{i_{\alpha-1}}:=z_{i_{q}}$. In this way, for each $i \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{1}\right)$, we can obtain $w_{i} \in H^{2}\left(B_{i}\right)$ such that $w_{i} \notin H^{2}\left(B_{i-1}\right)$ and $w_{i}^{N_{1}+1}=0$ in $H^{*}\left(B_{h}\right)$. Moreover, from the proof of Lemma 3.3, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i}:=r_{i}\left(x_{i}+\frac{1}{N_{1}+1} c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right) \in H^{2}\left(B_{i}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $i \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{1}\right)$. In particular, if $N_{1}=1$, then $w_{i}$ is of the form either $\pm x_{i}$ or $\pm\left(2 x_{i}+c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)$ for $i \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{1}\right)$. Furthermore, without loss of generality, we may assume that $z_{i}=w_{i}$ for $i \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{1}\right)$.

CASE 2: Assume that $z_{k}=r_{k}\left(x_{k}+1 /(\mu(k)+1) c_{1}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right)$ for $N_{1} \leq \mu(k) \leq N_{n-1}$ and let $l \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right)$. Then we have $z_{l}^{N_{n}+1}=0$. Then by Lemma 3.4, we can easily see that

$$
z_{l}=\sum_{k \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{<n}\right)} b_{l k} x_{k}+\sum_{j \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right)} b_{l j} x_{j}
$$

where $N_{<n}=\left\{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n-1}\right\}$. That is, $b_{l j^{\prime}}=0$ for $j^{\prime} \notin \mu^{-1}\left(N_{\leq n}\right)$. Since $z_{l}^{N_{n}+1}$ is zero in $H^{*}\left(B_{h}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sum_{k \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{<n}\right)} b_{l k} x_{k}+\sum_{j \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right)} b_{l j} x_{j}\right)^{N_{n}+1} \\
& =\sum_{k \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{<n}\right)} f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{h}\right)\left(x_{k}^{\mu(k)+1}+c_{1}\left(\xi_{k}\right) x_{k}^{\mu(k)}+\cdots+c_{\mu(k)}\left(\xi_{k}\right) x_{k}\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
& \quad+\sum_{j \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right)} b_{l j}^{N_{n}+1}\left(x_{j}^{N_{n}+1}+c_{1}\left(\xi_{j}\right) x_{j}^{N_{n}}+\cdots+c_{N_{n}}\left(\xi_{j}\right) x_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

as polynomials, where $f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{h}\right)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $N_{n}-$ $\mu(k)$ for each $k \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{<n}\right)$. Note that for each $l \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right)$, one of $b_{l j}$ 's is non-zero
for $j \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right)$ from the linearly independency of the set $\left\{z_{i} \mid i \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{\leq n}\right)\right\}$. Let $\mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right):=\left\{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{\beta}\right\}$ with $l_{1}<\cdots<l_{\beta}$. Assume $b_{l_{p} l_{\beta}}$ is nonzero for some $l_{p} \in$ $\mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right)$. Substituting $l=l_{p}$ into (3.3) and comparing the monomials containing $x_{l_{\beta}}^{N_{n}}$ as a factor on both sides of (3.3), we have

$$
\left(N_{n}+1\right)\left(\sum_{k \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{<n}\right)} b_{l_{p} k} x_{k}+\sum_{\substack{j \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right) \\ j \neq l_{\beta}}} b_{l_{p} j} x_{j}\right)=b_{l_{p} l_{\beta}} c_{1}\left(\xi_{l_{\beta}}\right) .
$$

Since $c_{1}\left(\xi_{l_{\beta}}\right)$ belongs to $H^{2}\left(B_{l_{\beta}-1}\right)$, we can see that $b_{l_{p} k}=0$ for $k>l_{\beta}$. That is,

$$
z_{l_{p}}=\sum_{\substack{k \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{<n}\right) \\ k<l_{\beta}}} b_{l_{p} k} x_{k}+\sum_{j \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right)} b_{l_{p} j} x_{j} .
$$

Thus, we can see that $z_{l_{p}} \in H^{2}\left(B_{l_{\beta}}\right)$ and $b_{l l_{\beta}}=0$ for all $l \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right) \backslash\left\{l_{p}\right\}$ by Lemma 3.3. Put $w_{l_{\beta}}:=z_{l_{p}}$. Now assume that $b_{l_{q} l_{\beta-1}}$ is nonzero for some $l_{q} \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right) \backslash\left\{l_{p}\right\}$. Substituting $l=l_{q}$ into (3.3) and comparing the monomials containing $x_{l_{\beta-1}}^{N_{n}}$ as a factor on both sides of (3.3), we have

$$
\left(N_{n}+1\right)\left(\sum_{k \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{<n}\right)} b_{l_{q} k} x_{k}+\sum_{\substack{j \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right) \\ j<l_{\beta-1}}} b_{l_{q} j} x_{j}\right)=b_{l_{q} l_{\beta-1}} c_{1}\left(\xi_{l_{\beta-1}}\right) .
$$

Since $c_{1}\left(\xi_{l_{\beta-1}}\right)$ belongs to $H^{2}\left(B_{l_{\beta-1}-1}\right)$, we can see that $b_{l_{q} k}=0$ for $k>l_{\beta-1}$, and hence,

$$
z_{l_{q}}=\sum_{\substack{k \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{<n}\right) \\ k<l_{\beta-1}}} b_{l_{p} k} x_{k}+\sum_{\substack{\left.j \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right) \\ j<l_{\beta}\right)}} b_{l_{p} j} x_{j} .
$$

Thus, we can see that $z_{l_{q}} \in H^{2}\left(B_{l_{\beta-1}}\right)$ and $b_{l_{\beta-1}}=0$ for all $l \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right) \backslash\left\{l_{p}, l_{q}\right\}$ by Lemma 3.3. Now, put $w_{l_{\beta-1}}:=z_{l_{q}}$. In this way, for each $l \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right)$, we can obtain $w_{l} \in H^{2}\left(B_{l}\right)$ such that $w_{l} \notin H^{2}\left(B_{l-1}\right)$ and $w_{l}^{N_{n}+1}=0$ in $H^{2}\left(B_{h}\right)$. Moreover, from the proof of Lemma 3.3, $w_{l}$ can be written as $r_{l}\left(x_{l}+1 /\left(N_{n}+1\right) c_{1}\left(\xi_{l}\right)\right)$. Furthermore, without loss of generality, we may assume that $z_{l}=w_{l}$ for $l \in \mu^{-1}\left(N_{n}\right)$.

By Cases 1 and 2, we can see that, for each $i=1, \ldots, h$, we can write

$$
z_{i}=r_{i}\left(x_{i}+\frac{1}{n_{i}+1} c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)
$$

for some $r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. Therefore, $\left\{\left(n_{i}+1\right) x_{i}+c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right\}^{n_{i}+1}$ is zero in $H^{*}\left(B_{h}\right)$. From this, we can see

$$
\left(n_{i}+1\right)^{k} c_{k}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\binom{n_{i}+1}{k} c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right)^{k} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right)^{n_{i}+1}=0
$$

$k=1, \ldots, n_{i}$.
By using Proposition 1.1, we can prove Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. We first prove the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). By Proposition 1.1, we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n_{i}+1\right)^{2} c_{2}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\frac{n_{i}\left(n_{i}+1\right)}{2} c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n_{i}=2$, from (2.2) and (3.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\left(a_{11}^{i} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{1, i-1}^{i} x_{i-1}\right)+\left(a_{21}^{i} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{2, i-1}^{i} x_{i-1}\right)\right\}^{2}  \tag{3.5}\\
& =3\left(a_{11}^{i} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{1, i-1}^{i} x_{i-1}\right)\left(a_{21}^{i} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{2, i-1}^{i} x_{i-1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $j=1, \ldots, i-1$, since $x_{j}^{2} \neq 0$ in $H^{*}\left(B_{i}\right)$, by comparing the coefficients of $x_{j}^{2}$ on both sides of (3.5), we have $\left(a_{1 j}^{i}+a_{2 j}^{i}\right)^{2}=3 a_{1 j}^{i} a_{2 j}^{i}$ whose integer solution is only $a_{1 j}^{i}=a_{2 j}^{i}=0$. If $n_{i}=n>2$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& n\left\{\left(a_{11}^{i} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{1, i-1}^{i} x_{i-1}\right)+\cdots+\left(a_{21}^{i} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{2, i-1}^{i} x_{i-1}\right)\right\}^{2} \\
& =2(n+1)\left\{\left(a_{11}^{i} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{1, i-1}^{i} x_{i-1}\right)\left(a_{21}^{i} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{2, i-1}^{i} x_{i-1}\right)+\cdots\right.  \tag{3.6}\\
& \left.\quad+\left(a_{n-1,1}^{i} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{n-1, i-1}^{i} x_{i-1}\right)\left(a_{n, 1}^{i} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{n, i-1}^{i} x_{i-1}\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $x_{j}^{2} \neq 0$ in $H^{*}\left(B_{i}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, i-1$, by comparing the coefficients of $x_{j}^{2}$ on both sides of (3.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n\left(a_{1, j}^{i}+\cdots+a_{n j}^{i}\right)^{2}=2(n+1) \sum_{1 \leq k<l \leq n} a_{k j}^{i} a_{l j}^{i} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (3.7) is equivalent to

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(a_{m, j}^{i}\right)^{2}+\sum_{1 \leq k<l \leq n}\left(a_{k j}^{i}-a_{l j}^{i}\right)^{2}=0
$$

Therefore, $a_{1 j}^{i}=\cdots=a_{n j}^{i}=0$ for each $j=1, \ldots, i-1$, and hence, in any case, $c\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ is trivial for all $i=1, \ldots, h$.

The implications (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) are clear.
The implication (3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) is proved by Choi-Masuda-Suh [4].
Therefore, all four conditions are equivalent.
From Theorem 1.2, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 3.5. Let $M$ be a quasitoric manifold. If $H^{*}(M ; \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $H^{*}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{h} \mathbb{C} P^{n_{i}} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$, then $M$ is homeomorphic to $\prod_{i=1}^{h} \mathbb{C} P^{n_{i}}$ provided $n_{i}>1$ for all $i$.

Proof. By [3], if $H^{*}(M ; \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $H^{*}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{h} \mathbb{C} P^{n_{i}} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$, then $M$ is homeomorphic to a generalized Bott manifold. But a $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial generalized Bott manifolds with $n_{i}>1$ is diffeomorphic to $\prod_{i=1}^{h} \mathbb{C} P^{n_{i}}$. Hence, $M$ is homeomorphic to $\prod_{i=1}^{h} \mathbb{C} P^{n_{i}}$.

The following is the counter-example of Question 1.1.
EXAMPLE 3.1. Let $B$ be a fiber bundle $P\left(\mathbb{C}^{3} \oplus \xi\right)$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ and let $B^{\prime}$ be a fiber bundle $P\left(\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{3} \oplus \xi^{\otimes 2}\right)$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$, where $\xi$ is the tautological line bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. Let $y$ (respectively, $Y$ ) denote the negative of the first Chern class of the tautological line bundle over $B_{2}$ (respectively, $B_{2}^{\prime}$ ). Then their cohomology rings are

$$
H^{*}(B)=\mathbb{Z}[x, y] /\left\langle x^{3}, y\left(y^{3}+x y^{2}\right)\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
H^{*}\left(B^{\prime}\right)=\mathbb{Z}[X, Y] /\left\langle X^{3}, Y\left(Y^{3}+2 X Y^{2}\right)\right\rangle
$$

Then the map $\phi$ defined by $\phi(x)=2 X$ and $\phi(y)=Y$ is an isomorphism from $H^{*}(B ; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(B^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$. But this $\phi$ is not a $\mathbb{Z}$-isomorphism. Suppose that $\psi$ is an isomorphism $H^{*}(B ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(B^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Then there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
\binom{\psi(x)}{\psi(y)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \beta \\
\gamma & \delta
\end{array}\right)\binom{X}{Y}
$$

and $\alpha \delta-\beta \gamma= \pm 1$. Since $\psi\left(x^{3}\right)=0$ in $H^{*}\left(B^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$, we have

$$
(\alpha X+\beta Y)^{3}=\alpha^{3} X^{3}
$$

as polynomials. So, we can see that $\beta$ is zero and $\alpha= \pm 1$, and hence $\delta= \pm 1$. Since $\psi\left(y\left(y^{3}+x y^{2}\right)\right)$ is zero in $H^{*}\left(B^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\gamma X+\delta Y)^{3}((\alpha+\gamma) X+\delta Y)=(a X+b Y) X^{3}+c Y\left(Y^{3}+2 X Y^{2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$. By comparing the coefficients of $X Y^{3}$ on both sides of (3.8), we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 c=3 \gamma \delta^{3}+(\alpha+\gamma) \delta^{3}=\delta(\alpha+4 \gamma) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the right hand side of (3.9) is odd, there is no such an integer $c$. Hence, there is no such $\mathbb{Z}$-isomorphism $\psi$.

Now consider $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial generalized Bott manifolds $B_{h}$ which have $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-fibers, that is, $n_{k}=1$ for some $k \in[h]$.

Lemma 3.6. Let $B_{h}$ and $B_{h}^{\prime}$ be two $h$-stage generalized Bott towers. If the associated vector matrices to them are

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{1} & & & & \\
* & \ddots & & & \\
* & * & \mathbf{1} & & \\
\mathbf{a}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{h-2} & \mathbf{1} & \\
\mathbf{b}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{b}_{h-2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
A^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{1} & & & & \\
* & \ddots & & & \\
* & * & \mathbf{1} & & \\
\mathbf{b}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{b}_{h-2} & \mathbf{1} & \\
\mathbf{a}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{h-2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right),
$$

respectively, then $B_{h}$ and $B_{h}^{\prime}$ are equivariantly diffeomorphic.
Proof. Note that this lemma can be seen by the fact that $B_{h}$ and $B_{h}^{\prime}$ are equivariantly diffeomorphic if two associated vector matrices are conjugated by a permutation matrix, see the paper [3]. It is obvious that

$$
A^{\prime}=E_{\sigma} A E_{\sigma}^{-1}
$$

where $\sigma:=(1, \ldots, h-2, h, h-1)$ is the permutation on $[h]$ which permutes only $h-1$ and $h$.

Now, we can prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $B_{h}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial generalized Bott manifold whose associated matrix is of the form (2.4).

Consider a map $\mu:\{1, \ldots, h\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ given by $j \mapsto n_{j}$ and assume that the image of $\mu$ is the set $\left\{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{m}\right\}$ with $1=N_{1}<N_{2}<\cdots<N_{m}$.

For each $i \in \mu^{-1}(1)$, by Proposition 1.1, we have $c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right)^{2}=0$ in $H^{*}\left(B_{h}\right)$. Since $x_{k}^{2} \neq 0$ in $H^{*}\left(B_{h}\right)$ for $k \notin \mu^{-1}(1)$, we can see that $a_{1 k}^{i}=0$ for $k \in[i-1]$ with $n_{k}>1$.

Now suppose that $n_{j}>1$. Then by Proposition 1.1, we have the relation

$$
\left(n_{j}+1\right)^{2} c_{2}\left(\xi_{j}\right)=\frac{n_{j}\left(n_{j}+1\right)}{2} c_{1}\left(\xi_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

Since $x_{k}^{2} \neq 0$ in $H^{*}\left(B_{h}\right)$ for $n_{k}>1$, we can show that $\mathbf{a}_{k}^{j}=\mathbf{0}$ by using the same argument to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Since $\mathbf{a}_{k}^{j}=\mathbf{0}$ for all $n_{k}>1$, by Lemma 3.6, $B_{h}$ is diffeomorphic to the $\mathbb{Q}$-trivial generalized Bott manifold $B^{\prime}$ whose associated matrix is of the form

$$
\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc}
1 & & & & & & &  \tag{3.10}\\
a_{11}^{2} & 1 & & & & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & & & \\
a_{11}^{r} & a_{1,2}^{r} & \cdots & 1 & & & & \\
\hline \mathbf{a}_{1}^{r+1} & \mathbf{a}_{2}^{r+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{r}^{r+1} & \mathbf{1} & & & \\
\mathbf{a}_{1}^{r+2} & \mathbf{a}_{2}^{r+2} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{r}^{r+2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \\
\mathbf{a}_{1}^{h} & \mathbf{a}_{2}^{h} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{r}^{h} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $r$ is the cardinality of the set $\mu^{-1}(1)$, that is, $r=\left|\mu^{-1}(1)\right|$. This proves the theorem.
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