

A note on Hattori's theorems

By Manabu HARADA

(Received June 30, 1958)

Recently Hattori [3] has given a characterization of Prüfer rings. In this short note we shall show that this characterization is valid for a commutative ring with a slightly weaker property than that of an integral domain.

Let A be a commutative ring and S be a set of non zero divisors which possesses the following properties:

- 1) $S \ni 1$; 2) S is closed under multiplication.

We shall denote A_s the ring of quotients of A with respect to S . We shall call an element a of a A -module A a *torsion element* if $sa=0$ for some $s \in S$. The torsion elements form a submodule tA of A . We can define a *torsion-free module*, *divisible element*, etc. similarly to the case of an integral domain.

We can easily obtain the following results:

- 1) *we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow tA \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_s = A \otimes_A A_s$$

for any A -module A ,

- 2) A_s is A -flat,
 3) $w. \dim_A A_s = w. \dim_{A_s} A_s$ for any A -module A ,
 4) *we have*

$$\{Tor_n^A(A, C)\}_s \approx Tor_n^{A_s}(A_s, C_s)$$

for any A -modules A and C . (See Cartan and Eilenberg [1], VII, Exer's 9 and 10).

From now on we shall always assume that A_s is *regular*.

For instance, an integral domain has this property. Let A be a complete direct sum of integral domains, then A_s is regular, where S is the set of non-zero divisors.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let A be a torsion-free, divisible A -module. Then $w. \dim_A A = 0$.*

Proof. If A is torsion-free and divisible, we can regard A as a A_s -module by the following definition.

For $\frac{\mu}{\lambda} \in A_s (\lambda \in S)$, and $a \in A$, there exists a unique element b in A such that $\lambda b = \mu a$. We define $\frac{\mu}{\lambda} \cdot a = \mu b$. From equalities: $\frac{\mu}{\lambda} \cdot a = \mu \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} a \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} (\mu a)$ we can prove that A is a A_s -module. By an inclusion mapping: $A \rightarrow A_s$ and 2) we have

$$\text{w. dim}_A A \leq \text{w. dim}_{A_s} A = 0$$

by the author [2], Th. 5.

PROPOSITION 2. *For any A -modules A and C $\text{Tor}_n^A(A, C)$ is a torsion module ($n \geq 1$). (Cf. [3], Th. 1).*

Proof. We obtain from 4)

$$\{\text{Tor}_n^A(A, C)\}_s \approx \text{Tor}_n^{A_s}(A_s, C_s) = 0 \quad n \geq 1.$$

Hence we have $t\{\text{Tor}_n^A(A, C)\} = \text{Tor}_n^A(A, C)$ by 1).

THEOREM. *If A_s is regular, we have the following equivalent properties:*

- a) A is semi-hereditary,
- b) $\text{Tor}_2^A(A, B) = 0$ for any A -modules A and B ,
- c) $\text{Tor}_1^A(X, A) = 0$ for any A -module X , if A is torsion free,
- d) $A \otimes_A B'$ is torsion-free, if both A and B are torsion-free. (Cf. [3], Th. 2).

Proof. a) \rightarrow b). See [1], VI, Prop. 2.9.

b) \rightarrow c). If A is torsion-free, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_s \rightarrow A_s/A \rightarrow 0$$

from 1). From this sequence we obtain an exact sequence

$$\text{Tor}_2^A(X, A_s/A) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(X, A) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(X, A_s)$$

for any A -module X . By b) and a fact: $\text{w. dim}_A A_s = \text{w. dim}_{A_s} A_s = 0$ we obtain $\text{Tor}_1^A(X, A) = 0$.

c) \rightarrow d). See the proof of [1], VII, Prop. 4.5.

For the last two arguments we shall repeat the same ones of [3] in order to make the proof plainly.

d) \rightarrow c). From an exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow P \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$, with P projective, of a A -module X , we obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(X, A) \rightarrow R \otimes A.$$

From d) and Proposition 2, we have $\text{Tor}_1^A(X, A) = 0$.

c) \rightarrow a). We have a homomorphism σ :

$$\text{Hom}_A(B, C) \otimes_A A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\text{Hom}_A(A, B), C)$$

by setting $\sigma(f \otimes a)(g) = f(g(a))$, $f \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$, $g \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ and $a \in A$, where A, B and C are A -modules.

Let F be a finitely generated A -projective module and C be a A -injective module. From an exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(Q, C) \otimes M & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(Q, C) \otimes F & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(Q, C) \otimes A \rightarrow 0 \\
 (*) & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(M, Q), C) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(F, Q), C) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(A, Q), C) \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

for any A -module Q . Since the middle σ is isomorphic, the right hand σ is epimorphic. If further Q is injective, Q is divisible by [1], VII, Prop. 1.2, and hence $\text{Hom}(Q, C)$ is torsion-free. Therefore, from c), the two rows of (*) are exact, and so the left hand σ is monomorphic. Let Y be any A -module and I be a finitely generated ideal of A . From exact sequences: $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Q \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$, with Q injective of Y and $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/I \rightarrow 0$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Tor}_1(\text{Hom}(Y, C), I) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(R, C) \otimes I & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}((Q, C) \otimes I \\
 & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma \\
 \text{Hom}(\text{Ext}^1(I, Y), C) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(I, R), C) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(I, Q), C) \\
 & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns, by replacing A by I and then M by I in (*). Since I is torsion free, $\text{Tor}_1(\text{Hom}(Y, C), I) = 0$. Hence $\text{Hom}(\text{Ext}^1(I, Y), C) = 0$ for any injective module C . Since $\text{Ext}^1(I, Y) = 0$ for any A -module Y , I is projective.

Bibliography

- [1] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra, Princeton Univ. Press, (1956).
- [2] M. Harada, Note on the dimension of modules and algebras, J. Inst. Polyt. Osaka City Univ., vol. 7 (1956) 17—27.
- [3] A.Hattori, On prüfer rings, J. Math. Soc. Japan, vol. 9, (1957), 381—385.