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## 1. Introduction

Let $L_{j}: \ell_{j}=0(0 \leq j \leq n+1)$ be hyperplanes in the $k$-dimensional projective space $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ in general position. For

$$
\alpha=\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}\right), \quad \alpha_{j} \in \mathbf{C} \backslash \mathbf{Z}, \quad \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \alpha_{j}=0
$$

we consider the logarithmic 1-from

$$
\omega=\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \alpha_{j} \frac{d \ell_{j}}{\ell_{j}}
$$

and the covariant derivation $\nabla_{\omega}=d+\omega \wedge$ with respect to $\omega$ on $X=\mathbf{P}^{k} \backslash \cup_{j=0}^{n+1} L_{j}$. The $k$-th twisted de Rham cohomology group on $X$ with respect to $\omega$ and that with compact support are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right) & =\left\{\xi \in \mathcal{E}^{k}(X) \mid \nabla_{\omega} \xi=0\right\} / \nabla_{\omega} \mathcal{E}^{k-1}(X), \\
H_{c}^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right) & =\left\{\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{c}^{k}(X) \mid \nabla_{\omega} \xi=0\right\} / \nabla_{\omega} \mathcal{E}_{c}^{k-1}(X),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}^{m}(X)$ is the space of smooth $m$-forms on $X$ and $\mathcal{E}_{c}^{m}(X)$ is the space of $s$ mooth $m$-forms on $X$ with compact support. It is known that the inclusion of $\mathcal{E}_{c}^{m}(X)$ in $\mathcal{E}^{m}(X)$ induces a natural isomorphism of $H_{c}^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ onto $H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ and that $H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ is spanned by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{I} & =d \log \left(\frac{\ell_{i_{0}}}{\ell_{i_{1}}}\right) \wedge d \log \left(\frac{\ell_{i_{1}}}{\ell_{i_{2}}}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge d \log \left(\frac{\ell_{i_{k-1}}}{\ell_{i_{k}}}\right) \\
I & =\left(i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right), \quad 0 \leq i_{0}<i_{1}<\ldots<i_{k} \leq n+1
\end{aligned}
$$

The groups $H_{c}^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ and $H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{-\omega}\right)$ for $-\alpha=\left(-\alpha_{0}, \ldots,-\alpha_{n+1}\right)$ are dual to each other under the pairing

$$
\int_{X} \xi \wedge \eta
$$

where $\xi$ and $\eta$ are representatives of $H_{c}^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ and $H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{-\omega}\right)$, respectively. The inverse $\iota_{\omega}^{k}: H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ of this natural isomorphism induces the pairing $\langle,\rangle_{\omega}$ on the space spanned by the $\varphi_{I}$ 's as follows:

$$
\left\langle\varphi_{I}, \varphi_{J}\right\rangle_{\omega}=\int_{X} \iota_{\omega}^{k}\left(\varphi_{I}\right) \wedge \varphi_{J}
$$

We call $\left\langle\varphi_{I}, \varphi_{J}\right\rangle_{\omega}$ the intersection number of the logarithmic $k$-forms $\varphi_{I}$ and $\varphi_{J}$ with respect to $\omega$. Our main theorem 2.1 evaluates explicitly the intersection numbers.

It seems that Professor K. Cho has obtained the same result in his private note [3] by using algebraic geometrical tools: spectral sequences, hypercohomology, the Serre duality, etc. In this paper, we prove the theorem by using only the Stokes theorem and the residue theorem. Since our proof bases on elementary tools, we can apply the technique in the proof to other computations for intersection numbers of forms, refer to [8] and [9].

Let us here recall the role of the intersection numbers of logarithmic $k$-forms in the theory of twisted period relations for hypergeometric functions. Let $H_{k}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{-\omega}\right)$ be the $k$-th twisted homology group with respect to the locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{-\omega}$ defined by holomorphic functions $\psi$ on $U \subset X$ satisfying $\nabla_{-\omega} \psi=0$. Note that the $\psi$ are branches of $u^{\alpha}=\prod_{j=0}^{n+1} \ell^{\alpha_{j}}$ and that any element $\gamma$ of $H_{k}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{-\omega}\right)$ is represented by a finite sum of pairs of a $k$-th topological chain $\rho_{i}$ and a branch $u_{\rho_{i}}^{\alpha}$ of $u^{\alpha}$ along $\rho_{i}$. The group $H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ and $H_{k}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{-\omega}\right)$ are dual to each other under the pairing

$$
[\varphi, \gamma]=\sum_{i} \int_{\rho_{i}} u_{\rho_{i}}^{\alpha} \varphi
$$

where $\varphi$ is a representative of $H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$. The so-called Euler integral representations for different hypergeometric functions can be interpreted as this pairing.

The isomorphism $\iota_{\omega}^{k}$ and the duality between $H_{c}^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ and $H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{-\omega}\right)$ induce an isomorphism of $H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ onto $H_{k}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\omega}\right)$ and the duality between $H_{k}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{-\omega}\right)$ and $H_{k}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\omega}\right)$. The value $\left\langle\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for $\gamma \in H_{k}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{-\omega}\right)$ and $\gamma^{\prime} \in$ $H_{k}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\omega}\right)$ is called the intersection number of $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ with respect to $\omega$. The intersection theory for representatives of $H_{k}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\mp \omega}\right)$ is established in [6]. Choose bases of four groups $H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{ \pm \omega}\right)$ and $H_{k}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\mp \omega}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi_{i}^{+} \in H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{+\omega}\right), \\
\eta_{i}^{-} \in H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{-\omega}\right) \\
\sigma_{i}^{+} \in H_{k}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{-\omega}\right), \\
\tau_{i}^{-} \in H_{k}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{+\omega}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and make four $\binom{n}{k} \times\binom{ n}{k}$ matrices:

$$
I_{c h}=\left(\left\langle\xi_{i}^{+}, \eta_{j}^{-}\right\rangle\right)_{i j}, \quad I_{h}=\left(\left\langle\sigma_{i}^{+}, \tau_{j}^{-}\right\rangle\right)_{i j}
$$

$$
\Pi^{+\alpha}=\left(\left[\xi_{i}^{+}, \sigma_{j}^{+}\right]\right)_{i j}, \quad \Pi^{-\alpha}=\left(\left[\eta_{i}^{-}, \tau_{j}^{-}\right]\right)_{i j}
$$

The naturality of the four pairings leads to the twisted period relations:

$$
\Pi^{+\alpha} I_{h}^{-1}{ }^{t} \Pi^{-\alpha}=I_{c h}, \quad \text { i.e., } \quad{ }^{t} \Pi^{-\alpha} I_{c h}^{-1} \Pi^{+\alpha}={ }^{t} I_{h} .
$$

In this way, the evaluation of intersection numbers of logarithmic $k$-forms together with results in [6] yields quadratic identities for hypergeometric functions. Refer to [5] and [7] for other applications of the twisted period relations.

## 2. Main Theorem

For a fixed $(k+1) \times(n+2)$ matrix $x=\left(x_{i j}\right)_{0 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq j \leq n+1}$ such that no $(k+1)$ minor vanishes, put

$$
\ell_{j}=\sum_{i=0}^{k} t_{i} x_{i j}, \quad L_{j}=\left\{t \in \mathbf{P}^{k} \mid \ell_{j}=0\right\}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n+1,
$$

where $t=\left(t_{0}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ is a coordinate system of the $k$-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbf{P}^{k}$. Note that any $k$ hyperplanes $L_{j_{1}}, \ldots, L_{j_{k}}$ intersect at one point $L_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}}$, through which passes no other hyperplanes $L_{j}$. For

$$
\alpha=\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}\right), \quad \alpha_{j} \in \mathbf{C} \backslash \mathbf{Z}, \quad \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \alpha_{j}=0
$$

we consider the logarithmic 1 -form

$$
\omega=\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \alpha_{j} \frac{d \ell_{j}}{\ell_{j}}
$$

on $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ and the covariant derivation $\nabla_{\omega}=d+\omega \wedge$ with respect to $\omega$ on $X=\mathbf{P}^{k}-$ $\cup_{j=0}^{n+1} L_{j}$. Note that

$$
\nabla_{\omega} \circ \nabla_{\omega}=0 .
$$

Let $\mathcal{E}^{m}(X)$ be the space of smooth $m$-forms on $X$ and $\mathcal{E}_{c}^{m}(X)$ the space of s mooth $m$-forms on $X$ with compact support. The $m$-th twisted de Rham cohomology groups on $X$ with respect to $\omega$ and those with compact support are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{m}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right) & =\left\{\xi \in \mathcal{E}^{m}(X) \mid \nabla_{\omega} \xi=0\right\} / \nabla_{\omega} \mathcal{E}^{m-1}(X), \\
H_{c}^{m}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right) & =\left\{\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{c}^{m}(X) \mid \nabla_{\omega} \xi=0\right\} / \nabla_{\omega} \mathcal{E}_{c}^{m-1}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is known that the natural inclusion of $\mathcal{E}_{c}^{m}(X)$ in $\mathcal{E}^{m}(X)$ induces the isomorphism between $H^{m}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ and $H_{c}^{m}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ under the condition $\alpha_{j} \in \mathbf{C} \backslash \mathbf{Z}$ for any $j$; let

$$
\iota_{\omega}^{m}: H^{m}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{m}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)
$$

be the inverse of this isomorphism.
Let $\mathcal{F}^{m}(X)$ be the $\mathbf{C}$ vector space spanned by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{I} & =d \log \left(\frac{\ell_{i_{0}}}{\ell_{i_{1}}}\right) \wedge d \log \left(\frac{\ell_{i_{1}}}{\ell_{i_{2}}}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge d \log \left(\frac{\ell_{i_{m-1}}}{\ell_{i_{m}}}\right) \\
I & =\left(i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right), \quad 0 \leq i_{0}<i_{1}<\ldots<i_{m} \leq n+1
\end{aligned}
$$

where we regard $\mathcal{F}^{0}(X)$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(X)$ as $\mathbf{C}$ and $\{0\}$, respectively. It is shown in [1] that the natural inclusion of $\mathcal{F}^{m}(X)$ into $\mathcal{E}^{m}(X)$ induces the isomorphism from $\mathcal{F}^{m}(X) /\left(\omega \wedge \mathcal{F}^{m-1}(X)\right)$ to $H^{m}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$. This isomorphism implies that $H^{m}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ is 0 for $m<k$ and that the $\varphi_{I}$ with multi-index $I=\left(i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right) i_{0}=0, i_{k} \leq n$, span $H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$; especially the rank of $H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ is $\binom{n}{k}$.

For $-\alpha=\left(-\alpha_{0}, \ldots,-\alpha_{n+1}\right)$, we consider $H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{-\omega}\right)$ and $H_{c}^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{-\omega}\right)$. The groups $H_{c}^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ and $H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{-\omega}\right)$ are dual to each other under the pairing

$$
\int_{X} \xi \wedge \eta
$$

where $\xi$ and $\eta$ are representatives of $H_{c}^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$ and $H^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{-\omega}\right)$, respectively. The isomorphism $\iota_{\omega}^{k}$ induces the pairing $\langle,\rangle_{\omega}$ on $\mathcal{F}^{k}(X)$, which descents to that on $\mathcal{F}^{k}(X) /\left(\omega \wedge \mathcal{F}^{k-1}(X)\right)$, as follows:

$$
\left\langle\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right\rangle_{\omega}=\int_{X} i_{\omega}^{k}(\varphi) \wedge \varphi^{\prime}
$$

where $\varphi, \varphi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}^{k}(X)$. We call $\left\langle\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right\rangle_{\omega}$ the intersection number of the logarithmic $k$-forms $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ with respect to $\omega$. The following is our main theorem.

## Theorem 2.1. For multi-indices

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
I=\left(i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right), & 0 \leq i_{0}<i_{1}<\ldots<i_{k} \leq n+1, \\
J=\left(j_{0}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}\right), & 0 \leq j_{0}<j_{1}<\ldots<j_{k} \leq n+1,
\end{array}
$$

we have

$$
\left\langle\varphi_{I}, \varphi_{J}\right\rangle_{\omega}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{k} \frac{\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_{i}}{\prod_{i \in I} \alpha_{i}} & \text { if } I=J \\
(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{k} \frac{(-1)^{\mu+\nu}}{\prod_{i \in I \cap J} \alpha_{i}} & \text { if } \#(I \cap J)=k \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where in case $\#(I \cap J)=k, \mu$ and $\nu$ are determined as

$$
\left\{i_{\mu}\right\}=I \backslash(I \cap J), \quad\left\{j_{\nu}\right\}=J \backslash(I \cap J) .
$$

## 3. Preliminaries

We prepare some notations. Let $\mathbf{Z}_{\leq 0}$ be the set $\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\}$. For multi-indices $P_{m+1}=\left(p_{0}, \ldots, p_{m}\right), p_{0}<p_{1}<\ldots<p_{m}$ of cardinality $m+1$ and $P_{m}=$ $\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}\right)$ of cardinality $m$, set

$$
\delta\left(P_{m} ; P_{m+1}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
(-1)^{\mu} & \text { if } & P_{m} \subset P_{m+1} \\
0 & \text { if } & P_{m} \not \subset P_{m+1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mu$ is determined as $\left\{p_{\mu}\right\}=P_{m+1} \backslash P_{m}$ in case $P_{m} \subset P_{m+1}$. For every $p=0, \ldots, n+1$, we take sufficiently small tubular neighborhoods $U_{p}$ and $V_{p}$ of $L_{p}$ satisfying $V_{p} \subset U_{p}$. Put $D_{p}=U_{p} \backslash V_{p}$; the case $k=1$, see the following.


Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}}=L_{p_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap L_{p_{m}}, \quad U_{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}}=U_{p_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap U_{p_{m}}, \\
& V_{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}}=V_{p_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap V_{p_{m}}, \quad D_{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}}=D_{p_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap D_{p_{m}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that if $m>k$ then $U_{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}}$ is empty. Let $h_{p}$ be a smooth function satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
h_{p}(t)=1 & t \in V_{p} \\
0 \leq h_{p}(t) \leq 1 & t \in D_{p}, \\
h_{p}(t)=0 & t \in U_{p}^{c} .
\end{array}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\omega}(\xi \wedge \eta) & =d(\xi \wedge \eta)+\omega \wedge \xi \wedge \eta \\
& =d \xi \wedge \eta+(-1)^{m} \xi \wedge d \eta+\omega \wedge \xi \wedge \eta \\
& =\left(\nabla_{\omega} \xi\right) \wedge \eta+(-1)^{m} \xi \wedge d \eta \\
& =(d \xi) \wedge \eta+(-1)^{m} \xi \wedge \nabla_{\omega} \eta,
\end{aligned}
$$

for a smooth $m$-form $\xi$ and a smooth form $\eta$ on $X$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem for $k=1$

Theorem for $k=1$ is proved in [2]. In this section, we give another proof of Theorem for $k=1$, since this will be a model of our proof of Theorem for general $k$. The key point for computation of the value $\left\langle\varphi_{I}, \varphi_{J}\right\rangle_{\omega}$ is to find the image $\iota_{\omega}^{1}\left(\varphi_{I}\right)$ explicitly. Since $t_{\omega}^{1}\left(\varphi_{I}\right)$ is with compact support, it vanishes on small neighborhoods around $L_{p}$. Thus we need local solutions of the differential equation $\nabla_{\omega} \psi=\varphi_{I}$ on $U_{p}$. After finding $\iota_{\omega}^{1}\left(\varphi_{I}\right)$, the value $\int_{X} \iota_{\omega}^{1}\left(\varphi_{I}\right) \wedge \varphi_{J}$ can be computed by the Stokes theorem and the residue theorem. We will give the local solutions of $\nabla_{\omega} \psi=\varphi_{I}$ and the image $\iota_{\omega}^{1}\left(\varphi_{I}\right)$ in Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, respectively.

Lemma 4.1. If $\alpha_{p} \notin \mathbf{Z}_{\leq 0}$, for any $\varphi_{I}=d \log \left(\ell_{i_{0}} / \ell_{i_{1}}\right) \in \mathcal{F}^{1}(X)$ there exists $a$ unique holomorphic function $\psi^{p}$ on $U_{p}$ such that

$$
\nabla_{\omega} \psi^{p}=\varphi_{I}, \quad \text { on } U_{p} \backslash\left\{L_{p}\right\} .
$$

The value of $\psi^{p}$ at $L_{p}$ is the ratio of the residues of $\varphi_{I}$ and $\omega$ at $L_{p}$ :

$$
\psi^{p}\left(L_{p}\right)=\frac{\delta_{p, i_{0}}-\delta_{p, i_{1}}}{\alpha_{p}}=\frac{\delta(p ; I)}{\alpha_{p}}
$$

where $\delta_{i, j}$ is Kronecker's symbol.
Proof. In terms of a local coordinate $z$ around $L_{p}, \omega$ and $\varphi_{I}$ can be expressed as

$$
\omega=\left(\frac{a_{-1}}{z}+a_{0}+a_{1} z+\cdots\right) d z, \quad \varphi_{I}=\left(\frac{b_{-1}}{z}+b_{0}+b_{1} z+\cdots\right) d z
$$

note that

$$
a_{-1}=\alpha_{p}, \quad b_{-1}=\delta_{p, i_{0}}-\delta_{p, i_{1}}=\delta(p ; I) .
$$

Put

$$
\psi^{p}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{m} z^{m}
$$

Then $\nabla_{\omega} \psi^{p}=\varphi_{I}$ reduces to

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(m c_{m}+\sum_{q=-1}^{m-1} a_{q} c_{m-q-1}\right) z^{m-1}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_{m} z^{m-1}
$$

This equation implies that $c_{0}=b_{-1} / a_{-1}$ and that $c_{m}$ are expressed in terms of the $a_{m}$ 's and the $b_{m}$ 's by the assumption $\alpha_{p} \notin \mathbf{Z}_{\leq 0}$. Since $\omega$ and $\varphi_{I}$ are meromorphic around $L_{p}$, the series $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{m} z^{m}$ converges on the small neighborhood $U_{p}$ of $L_{p}$.

Note that the function $h_{p} \psi^{p}$ and the form $\psi^{p} d h_{p}$ are regarded as defined on $X$.
Lemma 4.2. The form

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{I}=\varphi_{I}-\sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \nabla_{\omega}\left(h_{p} \psi^{p}\right)
$$

is with compact support on $X$.
Proof. Since

$$
\nabla_{\omega}\left(h_{p} \psi^{p}\right)=\psi^{p} d h_{p}+h_{p} \nabla_{\omega} \psi^{p}=\psi^{p} d h_{p}+h_{p} \varphi_{I}
$$

the form $\tilde{\varphi}_{I}$ vanishes on each $V_{p}$.
Proof of Theorem for $k=1$. Lemma 4.2 asserts that $\tilde{\varphi}_{I}$ represents $\iota_{\omega}^{1}\left(\varphi_{I}\right) \in$ $H_{c}^{1}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$. Since

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{I} \wedge \varphi_{J}=-\sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \psi^{p} d h_{p} \wedge \varphi_{J}=-\sum_{p=0}^{n+1} d\left(h_{p} \psi^{p} \varphi_{J}\right)
$$

for $\varphi_{J} \in \mathcal{F}^{1}(X)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\varphi_{I}, \varphi_{J}\right\rangle_{\omega}=\int_{X} \iota_{\omega}^{1}\left(\varphi_{I}\right) \wedge \varphi_{J} \\
= & -\sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \int_{D_{p}} \psi^{p} d h_{p} \wedge \varphi_{J}=-\sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \int_{\partial D_{p}} h_{p} \psi^{p} \varphi_{J} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\partial D_{p}=\partial U_{p}-\partial V_{p}$,

$$
h_{p}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { on } \partial U_{p} \\ 1 & \text { on } \partial V_{p}\end{cases}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\partial D_{p}} h_{p} \psi^{p} \varphi_{J} & =2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{Res}_{L_{p}}\left(\psi^{p} \varphi_{J}\right) \\
& =2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \frac{\delta(p ; I) \delta(p ; J)}{\alpha_{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Theorem for $k=1$.

## 5. Proof of Theorem for $k=2$

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem for $k=2$, since it will help the reader understand the proof for general $k$. The key point is to find the image $\iota_{\omega}^{2}\left(\varphi_{I}\right)$ explicitly. We need not only 1 -forms $\tilde{\psi}^{p}$ on $U_{p}$ such that $\nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{p}=\varphi_{I}$ but also functions $\tilde{\psi}^{p, q}$ on $U_{p, q}$ such that $\nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{p, q}=\tilde{\psi}^{p}-\tilde{\psi}^{q}$. In order to construct $\tilde{\psi}^{p}$ and $\tilde{\psi}^{p, q}$, we prepare three lemmas. We will give the image $\iota_{\omega}^{2}\left(\varphi_{I}\right)$ in Lemma 5.4. Once $\iota_{\omega}^{2}\left(\varphi_{I}\right)$ is found explicitly, we have only to apply the Stokes theorem and the residue theorem repeatedly.

For a fixed multi-index $P=(p, q)$, let $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ be local coordinates around $L_{P}$ such that $L_{p}$ and $L_{q}$ are expressed by $z_{1}=0$ and $z_{2}=0$, respectively.

Lemma 5.1. If $\alpha_{p}, \alpha_{q} \notin \mathbf{Z}_{\leq 0}$, for any $\varphi_{I} \in \mathcal{F}^{2}(X), I=\left(i_{0}, i_{1}, i_{2}\right)$, there exist 1-forms $\psi_{P}^{p}$ and $\psi_{P}^{q}$ on $U_{P} \backslash\left(L_{p} \cup L_{q}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{\omega} \psi_{P}^{p}=\nabla_{\omega} \psi_{P}^{q}=\varphi_{I}, \quad \text { on } U_{P} \backslash\left(L_{p} \cup L_{q}\right), \\
& \psi_{P}^{p}=f_{P}^{p}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \frac{d z_{2}}{z_{2}}, \quad \psi_{P}^{q}=f_{P}^{q}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \frac{d z_{1}}{z_{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{P}^{p}$ and $f_{P}^{q}$ are holomorphic on $U_{P}$ satisfying

$$
f_{P}^{p}\left(L_{P}\right)=\frac{\delta(P ; I)}{\alpha_{p}}, \quad f_{P}^{q}\left(L_{P}\right)=-\frac{\delta(P ; I)}{\alpha_{q}} .
$$

Moreover, we can extend $\psi_{P}^{p}$ and $\psi_{P}^{q}$ to single-valued holomorphic 1-forms on $U_{p} \cap X$ and $U_{q} \cap X$, respectively.

Proof. Note that in terms of the local coordinates $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$, the forms $\omega$ and $\varphi_{I}$ are expressed as

$$
\omega=\left(\frac{\alpha_{p}}{z_{1}}+\cdots\right) d z_{1}+\left(\frac{\alpha_{q}}{z_{2}}+\cdots\right) d z_{2}, \quad \varphi_{I}=(\delta(P ; I)+\cdots) \frac{d z_{1} \wedge d z_{2}}{z_{1} z_{2}}
$$

We can regard

$$
\nabla_{\omega}\left(f_{P}^{p}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \frac{d z_{2}}{z_{2}}\right)=\varphi_{I}
$$

as an ordinary differential equation with independent variable $z_{1}$ ( $z_{2}$ being a parameter) and

$$
\nabla_{\omega}\left(f_{P}^{q}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \frac{d z_{1}}{z_{1}}\right)=\varphi_{I}
$$

with independent variable $z_{2}$ ( $z_{1}$ being a parameter). Follow the argument in the proof of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 5.2. There exists a unique holomorphic function $\psi_{P}^{P}$ on $U_{P}$ such that

$$
\nabla_{\omega} \psi_{P}^{P}=\psi_{P}^{p}-\psi_{P}^{q}, \quad \text { on } U_{P} \backslash\left(L_{p} \cup L_{q}\right)
$$

for $P=(p, q), p<q$. The value of $\psi_{P}^{P}$ at $L_{P}$ is

$$
\psi_{P}^{P}\left(L_{P}\right)=\frac{\delta(P ; I)}{\alpha_{p} \alpha_{q}} .
$$

Proof. For a smooth function $f$, we have

$$
\nabla_{\omega} f=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} f+\left(\frac{\alpha_{p}}{z_{1}}+\cdots\right) f\right) d z_{1}+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}} f+\left(\frac{\alpha_{q}}{z_{2}}+\cdots\right) f\right) d z_{2}
$$

We can find $\psi_{P}^{P}$ satisfying

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}} \psi_{P}^{P}+\left(\frac{\alpha_{q}}{z_{2}}+\cdots\right) \psi_{P}^{P}\right) d z_{2}=\psi_{P}^{p}=f_{P}^{p}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \frac{d z_{2}}{z_{2}},
$$

by regarding the above as an ordinary differential equation with variable $z_{2}$ ( $z_{1}$ being a parameter) and by following the argument in the proof of Lemma 4.1. Since $\nabla_{\omega}$ 。 $\nabla_{\omega}=0$ and $\nabla_{\omega} \psi_{P}^{p}=\nabla_{\omega} \psi_{P}^{q}=\varphi_{I}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\nabla_{\omega}\left\{\nabla_{\omega} \psi_{P}^{P}-\left(\psi_{P}^{p}-\psi_{P}^{q}\right)\right\} \\
& =\nabla_{\omega}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} \psi_{P}^{P}+\left(\frac{\alpha_{p}}{z_{1}}+\cdots\right) \psi_{P}^{P}\right) d z_{1}+\psi_{P}^{q}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By regarding this as an ordinary differential equation with variable $z_{2}$ ( $z_{1}$ being a parameter), the uniqueness of the solution in Lemma 4.1 implies

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} \psi_{P}^{P}+\left(\frac{\alpha_{p}}{z_{1}}+\cdots\right) \psi_{P}^{P}\right) d z_{1}+\psi_{P}^{q}=0
$$

which shows

$$
\nabla_{\omega} \psi_{P}^{P}=\psi_{P}^{p}-\psi_{P}^{q}
$$

Lemma 5.3. Let $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ be multi-indices of cardinality two including the index p. There exists a unique holomorphic function ${ }_{S^{\prime}} \psi_{S}^{p}$ on $U_{p} \backslash \cup_{q \neq p} L_{q}$ such that

$$
\nabla_{\omega S^{\prime}} \psi_{S}^{p}=\psi_{S^{\prime}}^{p}-\psi_{S}^{p}, \quad \text { on } U_{p} \cap X
$$

The function ${ }_{S^{\prime}} \psi_{S}^{p}$ vanishes along $L_{p} \backslash \cup_{q \neq p} L_{q}$.

Proof. Follow a similar argument in the proof of Lemma 5.2 for local coordinates $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ so that $L_{p}$ is expressed by $z_{2}=0$. Since $\psi_{S^{\prime}}^{p}-\psi_{S}^{p}$ is holomorphic on $U_{p} \backslash \cup_{q \neq p} L_{q}$ and $L_{p}$ is a component of the pole divisor of $\omega,{ }_{S^{\prime}} \psi_{S}^{p}$ must vanish along $L_{p} \backslash \cup_{q \neq p} L_{q}$.

For each $p$, put

$$
\tilde{\psi}^{p}=\psi_{P\langle p\rangle}^{p}
$$

where $P\langle p\rangle$ is the smallest multi-index of cardinality two including $p$ in the lexicographic order; note that on $U_{p} \cap X$,

$$
\nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{p}=\varphi_{I}
$$

For each multi-index $P=(p, q)$, put

$$
\tilde{\psi}^{P}=\psi_{P}^{P}+{ }_{P\langle p\rangle} \psi_{P}^{p}-{ }_{P\langle q\rangle} \psi_{P}^{q}
$$

note that on $U_{P} \cap X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{P} & =\left(\psi_{P}^{p}-\psi_{P}^{q}\right)+\left(\psi_{P\langle p\rangle}^{p}-\psi_{P}^{p}\right)-\left(\psi_{P\langle q\rangle}^{q}-\psi_{P}^{q}\right) \\
& =\psi_{P\langle p\rangle}^{p}-\psi_{P\langle q\rangle}^{q}=\tilde{\psi}^{p}-\tilde{\psi}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can regard $h_{p} \tilde{\psi}^{p}$ and $h_{q} \tilde{\psi}^{p} d h_{p}$ as defined on $X$.

Lemma 5.4. The form

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{I}=\varphi_{I}-\nabla_{\omega}\left(\sum_{p=0}^{n+1} g^{p} h_{p} \tilde{\psi}^{p}\right)-\nabla_{\omega}\left(\sum_{P=(p, q)} h_{q} \tilde{\psi}^{P} d h_{p}\right)
$$

is with compact support on $X$, where

$$
g^{0}=1, \quad g^{p}=\prod_{r=0}^{p-1}\left(1-h_{r}\right), p \geq 1
$$

Proof. We show that $\tilde{\varphi}_{I}$ vanishes on $V_{r}$. Suppose $P=(p, q)$ with $p<q$ and $r \in\{p, q\}$. Then on $U_{P}, \tilde{\varphi}_{I}$ reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{I}-\nabla_{\omega}\left(h_{p} \tilde{\psi}^{p}+\left(1-h_{p}\right) h_{q} \tilde{\psi}^{q}\right)-\nabla_{\omega}\left(\left(h_{q} \tilde{\psi}^{P}\right) d h_{p}\right) \\
= & \left(1-h_{p}\right)\left(1-h_{q}\right) \varphi_{I}-\left(1-h_{q}\right) d h_{p} \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{p}-\left(1-h_{p}\right) d h_{q} \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{q} \\
& +\tilde{\psi}^{P} d h_{p} \wedge d h_{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On $U_{r} \backslash \cup_{r \in P} U_{P}, \tilde{\varphi}_{I}$ reduces to

$$
\varphi_{I}-\nabla_{\omega}\left(h_{r} \tilde{\psi}^{r}\right)=\left(1-h_{r}\right) \varphi_{I}-d h_{r} \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{r}
$$

Note that $d h_{r}$ and $\left(1-h_{r}\right)$ vanish on $V_{r}$.
Proof of Theorem for $k=2$. Lemma 5.4 asserts that $\tilde{\varphi}_{I}$ represents $\iota_{\omega}^{2}\left(\varphi_{I}\right) \in$ $H_{c}^{2}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$. Note that $\tilde{\varphi}_{I} \wedge \varphi_{J}$ vanishes on $\left(\cup_{i=0}^{n+1} D_{p}\right)^{c}$ for $\varphi_{J} \in \mathcal{F}^{2}(X)$. By the expressions of $\tilde{\varphi}_{I}$ on $U_{P}$ and on $U_{r}$ in the proof of Lemma 5.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\varphi_{I}, \varphi_{J}\right\rangle_{\omega} & =\int_{X} \iota_{\omega}^{2}\left(\varphi_{I}\right) \wedge \varphi_{J} \\
& =\sum_{P=(p, q)} \int_{D_{P}} \tilde{\psi}^{P} d h_{p} \wedge d h_{q} \wedge \varphi_{J} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Express $D_{P}$ and $\varphi_{J}$ as

$$
D_{P}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\left|\varepsilon_{1} \leq\left|z_{1}\right|,\left|z_{2}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{2}\right\}, \quad \varphi_{J}=\varphi_{J}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) d z_{1} \wedge d z_{2}\right.
$$

in terms of the local coordinates $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ around $L_{P}$, and use the Stokes theorem and the residue theorem, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{D_{P}} \tilde{\psi}^{P} d h_{p} \wedge d h_{q} \wedge \varphi_{J}=\int_{\partial D_{P}} h_{p} \tilde{\psi}^{P} d h_{q} \wedge \varphi_{J} \\
= & -\int_{\left|z_{1}\right|=\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{1} \leq\left|z_{2}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{2}} \tilde{\psi}^{P} d h_{q}\left(z_{2}\right) \wedge \varphi_{J}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) d z_{1} \wedge d z_{2} \\
= & -2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \int_{\varepsilon_{1} \leq\left|z_{2}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{2}} d h_{q}\left(z_{2}\right) \wedge\left(\operatorname{Res}_{z_{1}=0} \tilde{\psi}^{P} \varphi_{J}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right) d z_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \int_{\left|z_{2}\right|=\varepsilon_{1}}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{z_{1}=0} \tilde{\psi}_{P}^{P} \varphi_{J}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right) d z_{2} \\
& =(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{2} \operatorname{Res}_{z_{2}=0}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{z_{1}=0} \tilde{\psi}_{P}^{P} \varphi_{J}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 5.2, Lemma 5.3 and

$$
\lim _{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \rightarrow(0,0)} z_{1} z_{2} \varphi_{J}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\delta(P ; J),
$$

we have

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{z_{2}=0}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{z_{1}=0} \tilde{\psi}_{P}^{P} \varphi_{J}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)=\frac{\delta(P ; I) \delta(P ; J)}{\alpha_{p} \alpha_{q}}
$$

which yields Theorem for $k=2$.

## 6. Proof of Theorem for general $\boldsymbol{k}$

The key point of our proof of Theorem is to find the image $\iota_{\omega}^{k}\left(\varphi_{I}\right)$ explicitly. We need systems of $(k-m)$-forms $\tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}$ on $U_{P_{m}}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{P_{1}} & =\varphi_{I} \\
\nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}} & =\sum_{P_{m-1} \subset P_{m}} \delta\left(P_{m-1} ; P_{m}\right) \tilde{\psi}_{P}^{P_{m-1}}, \quad 2 \leq m \leq k,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{m}$ 's are multi-indices of cardinality $m$. To construct such systems we prepare some lemmas. Once $\iota_{\omega}^{k}\left(\varphi_{I}\right)$ is found, we have only to apply the Stokes theorem and the residue theorem repeatedly.

For a fixed multi-index $P=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$, let $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)$ be coordinates around $L_{P}$ such that each $L_{p_{\mu}}$ is expressed by $z_{\mu}=0$.

Lemma 6.1. For $\varphi_{I} \in \mathcal{F}^{k}(X)$ and a multi-index $P$ of cardinality $k$, if $\alpha_{p} \notin$ $\mathbf{Z}_{\leq 0}, p \in P$, there uniquely exists a system of $(k-m)$-forms $\psi_{P}^{P_{m}}$ on $U_{P} \cap X$ with multi-index $P_{m} \subset P$ of cardinality $m$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\omega} \psi_{P}^{P_{1}} & =\varphi_{I}, \\
\nabla_{\omega} \psi_{P}^{P_{m}} & =\sum_{P_{m-1} \subset P_{m}} \delta\left(P_{m-1} ; P_{m}\right) \psi_{P}^{P_{m-1}}, \quad 2 \leq m \leq k, \\
\psi_{P}^{P_{m}} & =f_{P}^{P_{m}} \bigwedge_{1 \leq \nu \leq k}^{p_{\nu} \notin P_{m}} \frac{d z_{\nu}}{z_{\nu}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{P}^{P_{m}}$ is holomorphic on $U_{P}$ satisfying

$$
f_{P}^{P_{m}}\left(L_{P}\right)=(-1)^{m(m+1) / 2} \delta(P ; I) \prod_{1 \leq \lambda \leq k}^{p_{\lambda} \in P_{m}} \frac{(-1)^{\lambda}}{\alpha_{p_{\lambda}}}
$$

Moreover, we can extend the form $\psi_{P}^{P_{m}}$ on $U_{P_{m}} \backslash \cup_{p \notin P_{m}} L_{p}$ holomorphically.
Proof. Follow the arguments in the proofs of Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2.
Let $P\left\langle P_{m}\right\rangle$ be the smallest multi-index of cardinality $k$ including $P_{m}$ in the lexicographic order. Put

$$
\tilde{\psi}^{p}=\psi_{P\langle p\rangle}^{p}
$$

Since we have

$$
\nabla_{\omega}\left(\psi_{S^{\prime}}^{p}-\psi_{S}^{p}\right)=\varphi_{I}-\varphi_{I}=0
$$

for multi-indices $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ including $p$, the argument in the proof of Lemma 5.3 im plies that there uniquely exists holomorphic ( $k-2$ )-form ${ }_{S^{\prime}} \psi_{S}^{p}$ on $U_{p} \cap X$ vanishing along $L_{p} \backslash \cup_{q \neq p} L_{q}$ such that the expression of ${ }_{S^{\prime}} \psi_{S}^{p}$ in terms of the local coordinates $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)$ around $L_{S}$ consists of terms not including $d z_{\nu}$, where $\nu$ is determined by $s_{\nu}=p$, and that

$$
\nabla_{\omega S^{\prime}} \psi_{S}^{p}=\psi_{S^{\prime}}^{p}-\psi_{S}^{p} .
$$

For a multi-index $S$ of cardinality $k$ including $p$ and $q$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\psi}^{p}-\tilde{\psi}^{q}=\left(\psi_{P\langle p\rangle}^{p}-\psi_{S}^{p}\right)-\left(\psi_{P\langle q\rangle}^{q}-\psi_{S}^{q}\right)+\left(\psi_{S}^{p}-\psi_{S}^{q}\right) \\
& =\nabla_{\omega}\left({ }_{P\langle p\rangle} \psi_{S}^{p}-{ }_{P\langle q\rangle} \psi_{S}^{q}+\psi_{S}^{p q}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p q}={ }_{P\langle p\rangle} \psi_{S}^{p}-{ }_{P\langle q\rangle} \psi_{S}^{q}+\psi_{S}^{p q} \\
& \tilde{\psi}^{p q}=\tilde{\psi}_{P\langle p q\rangle}^{p q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\nabla_{\omega}\left(\tilde{\psi}_{S^{\prime}}^{p q}-\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p q}\right)=\left(\tilde{\psi}^{p}-\tilde{\psi}^{q}\right)-\left(\tilde{\psi}^{p}-\tilde{\psi}^{q}\right)=0 .
$$

for multi-indices $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ of cardinality $k$ including $p$ and $q$.
Lemma 6.2. There uniquely exists holomorphic ( $k-3$ )-form ${ }_{S} \tilde{\psi}_{S^{\prime}}^{p q}$ on $U_{p q} \cap X$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) the expression of $S_{S^{\prime}} \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p q}$ in terms of the local coordinates $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)$ around $L_{S}$ consists of terms not including $d z_{\nu}$, where $s_{\nu} \in\{p, q\}$,
(ii) it decomposes into a sum of a holomorphic form on $U_{p q} \backslash \cup_{r \neq p} L_{r}$ vanishing along $L_{p}$ and that on $U_{p q} \backslash \cup_{r \neq q} L_{r}$ vanishing along $L_{q}$, $\nabla_{\omega S^{\prime}} \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p q}=\tilde{\psi}_{S^{\prime}}^{p q}-\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p q}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show the existence and uniqueness of ${ }_{S} \tilde{\psi}_{S^{\prime}}^{p q}$ satisfying the condition (ii) and (iii) for $k=3,(p, q)=(1,2)$ and $S=(1,2,3), S^{\prime}=(0,1,2)$. By the expression of $\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{12}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{S^{\prime}}^{12}$, in terms of the local coordinate $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)$ around $L_{S}, \tilde{\psi}_{S^{\prime}}^{p q}-\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p q}$ is expressed as

$$
\left(f_{1}^{1}+f_{1}^{2}\right) d z_{1}+\left(f_{2}^{1}+f_{2}^{2}\right) d z_{2}+f_{3} d z_{3}
$$

where $f_{j}^{i}$ is holomorphic in the variable $z_{i}$ and $f_{2}^{1}$ and $f_{1}^{2}$ vanish along $z_{1}=0$ and $z_{2}=0$, respectively. Since $f_{1}^{1}$ and $f_{2}^{2}$ are holomorphic in the variable $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$, respectively, there exist $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ vanishing along $z_{1}=0$ and $z_{2}=0$, respectively, such that

$$
\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial z_{1}}+\omega_{1} F_{1}=f_{1}^{1}, \quad \frac{\partial F_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}+\omega_{2} F_{2}=f_{2}^{1}
$$

where $\omega=\omega_{1} d z_{1}+\omega_{2} d z_{2}+\omega_{3} d z_{3}$. Since

$$
\nabla_{\omega}\left(\tilde{\psi}_{S^{\prime}}^{12}-\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{12}\right)=0, \quad \nabla_{\omega} \circ \nabla_{\omega}\left(F_{1}+F_{2}\right)=0
$$

we have

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{z_{2}}+\omega_{2}\right)\left\{f_{1}^{2}-\left(\frac{\partial F_{2}}{\partial z_{1}}+F_{2} \omega_{2}\right)\right\}=\left(\frac{\partial}{z_{1}}+\omega_{1}\right)\left\{f_{2}^{1}-\left(\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial z_{2}}+F_{1} \omega_{1}\right)\right\} .
$$

Since the left hand side of the above is holomorphic in $z_{2}$ and the right hand side of the above is holomorphic in $z_{1}$, both sides are holomorphic in $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$, which implies that

$$
f_{1}^{2}-\left(\frac{\partial F_{2}}{\partial z_{1}}+F_{2} \omega_{2}\right), \quad f_{2}^{1}-\left(\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial z_{2}}+F_{1} \omega_{1}\right)
$$

are holomorphic in $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$. By following the argument in the proof of Lemma 5.2, there exists function $F$ vanishing along $z_{1}=0$ and $z_{2}=0$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{z_{1}}+\omega_{1}\right) F=f_{1}^{2}-\left(\frac{\partial F_{2}}{\partial z_{1}}+F_{2} \omega_{2}\right), \quad\left(\frac{\partial}{z_{2}}+\omega_{2}\right) F=f_{2}^{1}-\left(\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial z_{2}}+F_{1} \omega_{1}\right)
$$

Put

$$
{ }_{S} \tilde{\psi}_{S^{\prime}}^{p q}=\left(F+F_{1}\right)+F_{2},
$$

then the coefficients of $d z_{1}$ and $d z_{2}$ of $\nabla_{\omega S} \tilde{\psi}_{S^{\prime}}^{p q}$ coincides with those of $\tilde{\psi}_{S^{\prime}}^{p q}-\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p q}$. The argument in the proof of Lemma 5.2 implies the coincidence of the coefficients of $d z_{3}$ and the uniqueness of ${ }_{S} \tilde{\psi}_{S^{\prime}}^{p q}$.

For a multi-index $S$ of cardinality $k$ including $p, q$ and $r$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\psi}^{p q}-\tilde{\psi}^{p r}+\tilde{\psi}^{q r} \\
= & \left(\tilde{\psi}_{P\langle p q\rangle}^{p q}-\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p q}\right)-\left(\tilde{\psi}_{P\langle p r r}^{p r}-\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p r}\right)+\left(\tilde{\psi}_{P\langle q r\rangle}^{q r}-\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{q r}\right)+\left(\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p q}-\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p r}+\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{q r}\right) \\
= & \nabla_{\omega}\left({ }_{P\langle p q\rangle} \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p q}-{ }_{P\langle p r\rangle} \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p r}+{ }_{P\langle q r\rangle} \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{q r}\right)+\left({ }_{P\langle p\rangle} \psi_{S}^{p}-{ }_{P\langle q\rangle} \psi_{S}^{q}+\psi_{S}^{p q}\right) \\
& -\left({ }_{P\langle p\rangle} \psi_{S}^{p}-{ }_{P\langle r\rangle} \psi_{S}^{r}+\psi_{S}^{p r}\right)+\left({ }_{P\langle q\rangle} \psi_{S}^{q}-{ }_{P\langle r\rangle} \psi_{S}^{r}+\psi_{S}^{q r}\right) \\
= & \nabla_{\omega}\left({ }_{P\langle p q\rangle} \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p q}-{ }_{P\langle p r\rangle} \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p r}+{ }_{P\langle q r\rangle} \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{q r}+\psi_{S}^{p q r}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p q r} & ={ }_{P\langle p q q} \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p q}-{ }_{P\langle p r\rangle} \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{p r}+{ }_{P\langle q r\rangle} \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{q r}+\psi_{S}^{p q r} \\
\tilde{\psi}^{p q r} & =\tilde{\psi}_{P\langle p q\rangle}^{p q r}
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, for multi-indices $P_{m}$ of cardinality $m$ and $S$ of cardinality $k$ including $P_{m}$, we define $(k-m)$-forms on $U_{P_{m}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{P_{m}} & =\sum_{P_{m-1} \subset P_{m}} \delta\left(P_{m-1} ; P_{m}\right)_{P\left\langle P_{m-1}\right\rangle} \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{P_{m}}+\psi_{S}^{P_{m}} \\
\tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}} & =\tilde{\psi}_{P\left\langle P_{m}\right\rangle}^{P_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For multi-indices $P_{m}$ of cardinality $m$ and $S, S^{\prime}$ of cardinality $k$ including $P_{m}$, there uniquely exists holomorphic $(k-m-1)$-form ${ }_{S} \tilde{\psi}_{S^{\prime}}^{P_{m}}$ on $U_{P_{m}} \cap X$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) the expression of ${ }_{S^{\prime}} \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{P_{m}}$ in terms of the local coordinates $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)$ around $L_{S}$ consists of terms not including $d z_{\nu}$, where $s_{\nu} \in P_{m}$,
(ii) it decomposes into a sum of holomorphic forms on $U_{P_{m}} \backslash \cup_{r \neq p_{\mu}} L_{r}$ vanishing along $L_{p_{\mu}}$ for certain $p_{\mu} \in P_{m}$,
(iii) $\nabla_{\omega S^{\prime}} \tilde{\psi}_{S}^{P_{m}}=\tilde{\psi}_{S^{\prime}}^{P_{m}}-\tilde{\psi}_{S}^{P_{m}}$.

Thus we have the following lemma.
Lemma 6.3. The forms $\tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{P_{1}} & =\varphi_{I} \\
\nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}} & =\sum_{P_{m-1} \subset P_{m}} \delta\left(P_{m-1} ; P_{m}\right) \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m-1}}, \quad 2 \leq m \leq k,
\end{aligned}
$$

on $U_{P_{m}} \backslash \cup_{p \in P_{m}} L_{p}$ for any multi-index $P_{m}$.

For multi-index $P=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$, by using $\tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}$ and $h_{p}\left(P_{m} \subset P, p \in P\right)$, we construct a smooth $k$-form such that it is cohomologous to $\varphi_{I}$ and that it vanishes identically on $V_{P}$. We fix $P=(1, \ldots, k)$ for simplicity. Put

$$
g^{\mu, \nu}=\prod_{\lambda=\mu}^{\nu}\left(1-h_{\lambda}\right)=\left(1-h_{\mu}\right)\left(1-h_{\mu+1}\right) \cdots\left(1-h_{\nu}\right)
$$

where $1 \leq \mu \leq \nu \leq k$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-\sum_{\lambda=\mu}^{\nu} g^{\mu, \lambda-1} h_{\lambda} \\
= & 1-h_{\mu}-\left(1-h_{\mu}\right) h_{\mu+1}-\ldots-\left(1-h_{\mu}\right) \cdots\left(1-h_{\nu-1}\right) h_{\nu}=g^{\mu, \nu},
\end{aligned}
$$

and that

$$
d g^{\mu, \nu}=-\sum_{\lambda=\mu}^{\nu} g^{\mu, \lambda-1} d h_{\lambda} g^{\lambda+1, \nu}
$$

where we regard $g^{\mu, \mu-1}$ as 1 . For a multi-index $P_{m}=(\mu(1), \ldots, \mu(m)) \subset P$, put

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta^{\lambda}\left(P_{m}\right) & =g^{\mu(\lambda-1)+1, \mu(\lambda)-1} d h_{\mu(\lambda)} \\
H\left(P_{m}\right) & =\eta^{1}\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta^{m-1}\left(P_{m}\right) \cdot g^{\mu(m-1)+1, \mu(m)-1} h_{\mu(m)} \\
G\left(P_{m}\right) & =\eta^{1}\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta^{m-1}\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge \eta^{m}\left(P_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we regard $\mu(0)$ as 0 . Note that $H\left(P_{m}\right)$ is (m-1)-form and $G\left(P_{m}\right)$ is $m$-form and that

$$
G\left(P_{m}\right)=H\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge d \log h_{\mu(m)}
$$

Put

$$
\Psi^{m}=\sum_{P_{m} \subset P} H\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}
$$

## Lemma 6.4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\omega} \Psi^{1}= & \left(1-g^{1, k}\right) \varphi_{I}+\sum_{P_{2} \subset P} H\left(P_{2}\right) \wedge \nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{P_{2}} \\
& +\sum_{P_{1} \subset P} G\left(P_{1}\right) g^{\mu(1)+1, k} \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{P_{1}} \\
(-1)^{m-1} \nabla_{\omega} \Psi^{m}= & \sum_{P_{m} \subset P} H\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge \nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}+\sum_{P_{m+1} \subset P} H\left(P_{m+1}\right) \wedge \nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{P_{m} \subset P} G\left(P_{m}\right) g^{\mu(m)+1, k} \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}, \quad 2 \leq m \leq k-1, \\
(-1)^{k-1} \nabla_{\omega} \Psi^{k}= & H\left(P_{k}\right) \wedge \nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{P}+d h_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d h_{k} \cdot \tilde{\psi}^{P}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{m}=(\mu(1), \ldots, \mu(m)) \subset P=(1, \ldots, k)$. The form

$$
\varphi_{I}-\sum_{m=1}^{k} \nabla_{\omega} \Psi^{m}=g^{1, k} \varphi+\sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{P_{m} \subset P}(-1)^{m} G\left(P_{m}\right) g^{\mu(m)+1, k} \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}
$$

vanishes on $\cup_{p \in P} V_{p}$.
Proof. Since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\omega} \Psi^{m} & =\sum_{P_{m} \subset P} \nabla_{\omega}\left\{H\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}\right\} \\
& =\sum_{P_{m} \subset P}\left(d H\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}+(-1)^{m-1} H\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge \nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{P_{m} \subset P} d H\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}= & (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{P_{m+1} \subset P} H\left(P_{m+1}\right) \wedge \nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m+1}} \\
& +(-1)^{m-1} \sum_{P_{m} \subset P} G\left(P_{m}\right) g^{\mu(m)+1, k} \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
d g^{\mu(\lambda-1)+1, \mu(\lambda)-1} & =-\sum_{\nu=\mu(\lambda-1)+1}^{\mu(\lambda)-1} g^{\mu(\lambda-1)+1, \nu-1} d h_{\nu} g^{\nu+1, \mu(\lambda)-1} \\
& =-\sum_{\nu=\mu(\lambda-1)+1}^{\mu(\lambda)-1} \eta^{\lambda}\left(P_{m}^{\lambda}(\nu)\right) \cdot g^{\nu+1, \mu(\lambda)-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{m}^{\lambda}(\nu)$ is the multi-index of cardinality $m+1$ as

$$
P_{m}^{\lambda}(\nu)=(\mu(1), \ldots, \mu(\lambda-1), \nu, \mu(\lambda), \ldots, \mu(m)), \quad \mu(\lambda-1)<\nu<\mu(\lambda),
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d H\left(P_{m}\right) \\
= & -\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu(1)-1} \eta^{1}\left(P_{m}^{1}(\nu)\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta^{m}\left(P_{m}^{1}(\nu)\right) \cdot g^{\mu(m-1)+1, \mu(m)-1} h_{\mu(m)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +(-1)^{2} \sum_{\nu=\mu(1)+1}^{\mu(2)-1} \eta^{1}\left(P_{m}^{2}(\nu)\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta^{m}\left(P_{m}^{2}(\nu)\right) \cdot g^{\mu(m-1)+1, \mu(m)-1} h_{\mu(m)} \\
& \vdots \\
& +(-1)^{m} \sum_{\nu=\mu(m-1)+1}^{\mu(m)-1} \eta^{1}\left(P_{m}^{m}(\nu)\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta^{m}\left(P_{m}^{m}(\nu)\right) \cdot g^{\nu+1, \mu(m)-1} h_{\mu(m)} \\
& +(-1)^{m-1} G\left(P_{m}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\lambda=1}^{m} \sum_{\nu=\mu(\lambda-1)+1}^{\mu(\lambda)-1}(-1)^{\lambda} H\left(P_{m}^{\lambda}(\nu)\right) \\
& +(-1)^{m+1} \sum_{\nu=\mu(m)+1}^{k} G\left(P_{m}\right) g^{\mu(m)+1, \nu-1} h_{\nu} \\
& +(-1)^{m-1} G\left(P_{m}\right)-(-1)^{m+1} \sum_{\nu=\mu(m)+1}^{k} G\left(P_{m}\right) g^{\mu(m)+1, \nu-1} h_{\nu} \\
& =\sum_{\lambda=1}^{m+1} \sum_{\nu=\mu(\lambda-1)+1}^{\mu(\lambda)-1}(-1)^{\lambda} H\left(P_{m}^{\lambda}(\nu)\right)+(-1)^{m-1} G\left(P_{m}\right) g^{\mu(m)+1, k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we regard $\mu(m+1)$ as $k+1$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{P_{m} \subset P} d H\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}} \\
= & \sum_{P_{m} \subset P}\left\{\sum_{\lambda=1}^{m+1} \sum_{\nu=\mu(\lambda-1)+1}^{\mu(\lambda)-1}(-1)^{\lambda} H\left(P_{m}^{\lambda}(\nu)\right) \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{m-1} G\left(P_{m}\right) g^{\mu(m)+1, k} \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}\right\} \\
= & (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{P_{m+1} \subset P} H\left(P_{m+1}\right) \wedge \nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m+1}} \\
& +(-1)^{m-1} \sum_{P_{m} \subset P} G\left(P_{m}\right) g^{\mu(m)+1, k} \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{P_{1} \subset P} H\left(P_{1}\right) \cdot \nabla_{\omega} \tilde{\psi}^{P_{1}} & =\varphi_{I}-\left(1-\sum_{\lambda=1}^{k} g^{1, \lambda-1} h_{\lambda}\right) \varphi_{I} \\
& =\left(1-g^{1, k}\right) \varphi_{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $m=1$. Since each term of

$$
\varphi_{I}-\sum_{m=1}^{k} \nabla_{\omega} \Psi^{m}
$$

includes either $\left(1-h_{p}\right)$ or $d h_{p}$ for any index $p \in P$, it vanishes on $\cup_{p \in P} V_{p}$.
For a general multi-index $P=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$, put

$$
\Psi_{P}^{m}=\sum_{P_{m} \subset P} H_{P}\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{P}^{\mu, \nu} & =\prod_{\lambda=\mu}^{\nu}\left(1-h_{p_{\lambda}}\right), \quad 1 \leq \mu \leq \nu \leq k \\
\eta_{P}^{\lambda}\left(P_{m}\right) & =g_{P}^{\mu(\lambda-1)+1, \mu(\lambda)-1} d h_{p_{\mu(\lambda)}} \\
H_{P}\left(P_{m}\right) & =\eta_{P}^{1}\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{P}^{m-1}\left(P_{m}\right) \cdot g_{P}^{\mu(m-1)+1, \mu(m)-1} h_{p_{\mu(m)}} \\
G_{P}\left(P_{m}\right) & =\eta_{P}^{1}\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{P}^{m-1}\left(P_{m}\right) \wedge \eta_{P}^{m}\left(P_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By an argument similar to the one in the proof of Lemma 6.4, we can show that

$$
\varphi_{I}-\sum_{m=1}^{k} \nabla_{\omega} \Psi_{P}^{m}=g_{P}^{1, k} \varphi+\sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{P_{m} \subset P}(-1)^{m} G_{P}\left(P_{m}\right) g_{P}^{\mu(m)+1, k} \wedge \tilde{\psi}^{P_{m}}
$$

and that it vanishes on $\cup_{p \in P} V_{p}$. Moreover, Lemma 6.3 implies that the form $\varphi_{I}-$ $\sum_{m=1}^{k} \nabla_{\omega} \Psi_{P}^{m}$ coincides with $\varphi_{I}-\sum_{m=1}^{k} \nabla_{\omega} \Psi_{Q}^{m}$ on $U_{P \cap Q}$ for any multi-indices $P$ and $Q$ of cardinality $k$. Hence we have a smooth $k$-form $\tilde{\varphi}_{I}$ such that it is cohomologous to $\varphi_{I}$ and that it coincides with the forms $\varphi_{I}-\sum_{m=1}^{k} \nabla_{\omega} \Psi_{P}^{m}, P_{m} \subset P$ on $U_{P}$ for any $P$.

Proof of Theorem for general $k$. It is clear that $\tilde{\varphi}_{I}$ represents $\iota_{\omega}^{k}\left(\varphi_{I}\right) \in$ $H_{c}^{k}\left(X, \nabla_{\omega}\right)$. By the expression of $\varphi_{I}-\sum_{m=1}^{k} \nabla_{\omega} \Psi_{P}^{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\varphi_{I}, \varphi_{J}\right\rangle_{\omega} & =\int_{X} \iota_{\omega}^{k}\left(\varphi_{I}\right) \wedge \varphi_{J} \\
& =\sum_{P} \int_{D_{P}} \tilde{\psi}^{P} d h_{p_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d h_{p_{k}} \wedge \varphi_{J}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$. Express $D_{P}$ and $\varphi_{J}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{P} & =\left\{\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)\left|\varepsilon_{1} \leq\left|z_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|z_{k}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{2}\right\}\right. \\
\varphi_{J} & =\varphi_{J}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right) d z_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d z_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

in terms of the local coordinates $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)$ around $L_{P}$, and use the Stokes theorem and the residue theorem repeatedly, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{D_{P}} \tilde{\psi}^{P} d h_{p_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d h_{p_{k}} \wedge \varphi_{J} \\
= & (2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{k} \operatorname{Res}_{z_{k}=0}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{z_{k-1}=0}\left(\cdots\left(\operatorname{Res}_{z_{1}=0} \tilde{\psi}_{P}^{P} \varphi_{J}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\lim _{\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right) \rightarrow(0, \ldots, 0)} z_{1} \cdots z_{k} \varphi_{J}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)=\delta(P ; J)
$$

Since

$$
\tilde{\psi}^{P}=\psi_{P}^{P}+\sum_{P_{k-1} \subset P} \delta\left(P_{k-1} ; P\right)_{P\left\langle P_{k-1}\right\rangle} \tilde{\psi}_{P}^{P}
$$

and ${ }_{P\left\langle P_{k-1}\right\rangle} \tilde{\psi}_{P}^{P}$ is a sum of holomorphic functions on $U_{P} \backslash \cup_{r \neq p_{\mu}} L_{r}$ vanishing along $L_{p_{\mu}}$ for certain $p_{\mu} \in P$, Lemma 6.1 implies

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{z_{k}=0}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{z_{k-1}=0}\left(\cdots\left(\operatorname{Res}_{z_{1}=0} \tilde{\psi}_{P}^{P} \varphi_{J}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)\right)\right)\right)=\frac{\delta(P ; I) \delta(P ; J)}{\alpha_{p_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{p_{k}}},
$$

which completes our proof of Theorem.
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