## THE EXCHANGE PROPERTY OF QUASI-CONTINUOUS MODULES WITH THE FINITE EXCHANGE PROPERTY

KIYOICHI OSHIRO and S. TARIQ RIZVI

(Received October 4, 1994)

Mohamed and Müller showed in [5] that continuous modules have the exchange property. And, recently, they also showed in [6] that for nonsingular quasi-continuous modules, the finite exchange property implies the exchange property. However, it is still open whether this is true or not for any quasi-continuous modules ([5, Problem 2]). The purpose of this paper is to answer this problem in the affirmative. This, then also provides another instance of modules for which the existence of the finite exchange property implies that of the exchange property in reference to the longstanding open question posed in Crawley-Jonsson [1].

Discrete (=semiperfect) modules and quasi-discrete (=quasi-semiperfect) modules are dual to continuous modules and quasi-continuous modules, respectively. We note that discrete modules have the exchange property and, for quasi-discrete modules, the finite exchange property implies the exchange property. These results follows by summarizing following results:

- (1) (Oshiro [10]) Every quasi-discrete module M has an indecomposable decomposition  $M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bigoplus M_i$  such that  $M' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{M_i | M_i : \text{completely indecomposable}\}$  satisfies the finite exchange property. So, if M is discrete then it satisfies the finite exchange property since M = M'.
- (2) (Harada-Ishii [2], Yamagata [12], [13]) If a module has an indecomposable decomposition and satisfies the finite exchange property, then it satisfies the exchange property in direct sums of completely indecomposable modules.
- (3) (Zimmermann-Huisgen and Zimmermann [11]) A module M satisfies the exchange property if and only if for any  $P=M \oplus X=\sum_{I} \oplus M_{i}$  with each  $M_{i} \cong M$ , there exists  $M'_{i} < \oplus M_{i}$  for each  $i \in I$  such that  $P=M \oplus \sum_{I} \oplus M'_{i}$ .

The reader is referred to Mohamed and Müller'Book [5] for the background of these results.

1. **Preliminaries.** Throughout this paper R will denote a ring with identity and all R-modules will be unital right R-modules. For two R-modules X and Y, we use  $X \subseteq_e Y$ ,  $X \subseteq_e Y$ ,  $X \subseteq_e Y$ ,  $X < \oplus Y$  and  $X \lesssim \oplus Y$  to mean that X is an essential submodule of Y, X is isomorphic to an essential submodule of Y, X is a direct summand and X is isomorphic to a direct summand of Y, respectively. For a set I, |I| stands for the cardinal of I.

An R-module M is called an extending module (or a CS-module) if it satisfies

 $(C_1)$ : for any submodule X of M, there exists a direct summand  $X^*$  of M such that  $X \subseteq_{e} X^*$ 

M is called continuous if it satisfies  $(C_1)$  and

 $(C_2)$ : Every submodule of M which is isomorphic to a direct summand of M is a direct summand.

M is called a quasi-continuous module if it satisfies  $(C_1)$  and

 $(C_3)$ : If X and Y are direct summands of M with  $X \cap Y = 0$ , then  $X \oplus Y$  is a direct summand.

For an R-module M with a decomposition  $M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bigoplus M_i$ , we use the following condition:

(A) For any choice of  $x_i \in M_{\alpha_i}(i \in /N, \alpha_i \text{ distinct})$  such that  $(0: x_1) \subseteq (0: x_2) \subseteq ...$ , the sequence becomes stationary, where (0: x) denotes the annihilator right ideal of x.

This condition appeared in [8] (cf.[5], [7]). One of interesting results on this condition is (2) of the following

**Proposition 1.1.** Let M be a quasi-continuous module. Then the following hold:

- (1) for any decomposition  $M = \sum_{l} \bigoplus M_{i}$  and any  $J \subseteq I$ ,  $\sum_{l} \bigoplus M_{i}$  is  $\sum_{l=l} \bigoplus M_{i}$ -injective
  - (2) any decomposition  $M = \sum_{i} \bigoplus M_i$  satisfies the condition (A).
- (3) for any decomposition  $M = \sum_{i} \bigoplus M_i$  and any direct summand X of M, there exists  $N_i < \bigoplus M_i$  such that  $M = X \bigoplus \sum_{i} \bigoplus N_i$ .

Proof. (1) follows from [9, Proposition 1.5]. (2) follows from [5, Proposition 2.13] or from (1) above and [5, Proposition 1.9]. And see [3] for (3).

A module M is called a square module if  $M \cong X \oplus X$  for some module X, and a module is called a square free if it does not contain non-zero square modules.

The following results are important and useful in the study of quasicontinuous modules **Lemma 1.1** ([5, Theorem 2.37]). Any quasi-continuous module is a direct sum of a quasi-injective module and a square free module.

**Proposition 1.2.** For an R-module X, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X is quasi-continuous.
- (2) Any decomposition  $E(X) = \sum_{i} \bigoplus M_i$  implies  $X = \sum_{i} \bigoplus (M_i \cap X)$ , where E(X) is the injective hull of X.
- (3) for any R-module Y with  $X \subseteq_e Y$ , any decomposition  $Y = \sum_I \bigoplus Y_i$  implies  $X = \sum_I \bigoplus (Y_i \cap X)$ .

Proof. The equivalence of 1) and 2) is well known [5, Theorem 2.8]. We may show the implication  $2) \Rightarrow 3$ ). Let Y be an R-module with  $X \subseteq_e Y$  and consider a decomposition  $Y = \sum_I \oplus Y_i$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then there exists a finite subset  $F = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  of I such that  $x \in \sum_F \oplus Y_i$ . Let  $x = y_1 + ... + y_n$ , where  $y_i \in Y_i$ . Consider  $E(X) = \sum_F \oplus E(Y_i) \oplus E(\sum_{I=F} \oplus Y_i)$ . By 2), we have  $X = \sum_F \oplus (E(Y_i) \cap X) \oplus E(\sum_{I=F} \oplus Y_i) \cap X$ . Express the element x as  $x = p_1 + p_2 + ... + p_n + q$  where  $p_i \in E(Y_i) \cap X$  and  $q \in E(\sum_{I=F} \oplus Y_i) \cap X$ . Since  $x = y_1 + ... + y_n$  with  $y_i \in E(Y_i)$ , we see  $p_i = y_i$ , i = 1, ..., n. Hence  $y_i \in Y_i \cap X$ , i = 1, ..., n; so  $x \in \sum_I \oplus (Y_i \cap X)$ . Accordingly we see  $X = \sum_I \oplus (Y_i \cap X)$ .

For a cardial  $\alpha$ , an R-module X has the  $\alpha$ -exchange property if for any R-module M and any two decompositions  $M = X \oplus N = \sum_{I} \oplus M_{i}$  with  $|I| \le \alpha$ , there exists  $M'_{i} < \oplus M$  for each  $i \in I$  such that

$$M = X \oplus (\sum_{i} \oplus M'_{i})$$

X has the exchange property if this holds for any cardinal  $\alpha$  and has the finite exchange property if this holds whenever the index set I is finite. We note that, in these definitions, we may assume that  $M_i \cong X$  for all  $i \in I$  (by Zimmermann-Huisgen and Zimmermann [11]).

- 2. A key lemma. In this section we show the following which is a key lemma of this paper. We note that this lemma is also used for the study of direct sums of relative continuous modules [3], [4].
- **Lemma 2.1.** Let P be an R-module with a decomposition  $P = \sum_{I} \bigoplus M_{i}$  such that each  $M_{i}$  is extending. We consider the index set I as an well ordered set:

 $I=\{0, 1, ...w, w+1, ...\}$ , and let X be a submodule oa M. Then there are submodules  $T(i)\subseteq_e T(i)^*<\bigoplus M_i$ , decompositions  $M_i=T(i)^*\bigoplus N_i$  and a submodule  $\sum_i \bigoplus X(i)\subseteq_e X$  for which the following properties hold:

- 1)  $X(0) = T(0) \subseteq_e T(0)^*$ .
- 2)  $X(k) \subseteq T(k) \oplus \sum_{i \le k} \oplus N_i$

for all  $k \in I$ .

3)  $\sigma(X(k)) = T(k) \subseteq_e T(k)^*, X(k) \simeq \sigma(X(k))(by \ \sigma|X(k))$ 

for all  $k \in I$ , where  $\sigma$  is the projection:  $P = \sum_{i} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \sum_{i} \oplus N_i \rightarrow \sum_{i} \oplus T(i)^*$ .

4)  $X \simeq \sigma(X)(by \ \sigma|X)$ .

For a proof of this result, we need two lemmas

**Lemma 2.2.** Let M be an R-module with a decomposition  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  and let X a submodule of M. If there is a decomposition  $M_i = M_i^* \oplus M_i^{**}$  such that  $M_i \cap X \subseteq_e M_i^*$  for i = 1, 2., then  $X_e \supseteq (M_1^* \cap X) \oplus (M_2^* \cap X) \oplus (M_1^* \oplus M_2^{**}) \cap X$ . So, in particular if  $M_1 \cap X = 0$ , then  $X_e \supseteq (M_2^* \cap X) \oplus (M_1 \oplus M_2^{**}) \cap X$ .

Proof. Let  $(0 \neq) x \in X$  and express x in  $M = M_1^* \oplus M_1^{**} \oplus M_2^* \oplus M_2^{**}$  as  $x = x_1^* + x_1^{**} + x_2^{**} + x_2^{**}$  where  $x_i^* \in M_i^*$  and  $x_i^{**} \in M_i^{**}$ . If  $x_1^* + x_2^* \in (M_1^* \cap X) \oplus (M_2^* \cap X)$ , then  $x_1^{**} + x_2^{**} \in (M_1^{**} \oplus M_2^{**}) \cap X$  and hence  $x \in (M_1^* \cap X) \oplus (M_2^* \cap X) \oplus (M_1^{**} \oplus M_2^{**}) \cap X$ . In the case of  $x_1^* + x_2^* \notin (M_1^* \cap X) \oplus (M_2^* \cap X)$ , we take  $r \in R$  such that  $0 \neq (x_1^* + x_2^*) r \in (M_1^* \cap X) \oplus (M_2^* \cap X)$ . Then  $0 \neq xr \in (M_1^* \cap X) \oplus (M_2^* \cap X) \oplus (M_1^{**} \oplus M_2^{**}) \cap X$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let M be an R-module with a decomposition  $M = A \oplus B \oplus C \oplus D$  and let X be a submodule of M. If Y is a submodule of X such that  $Y \subseteq (A \oplus B) \cap X$  and  $Y \stackrel{\sigma/Y}{\cong} \sigma(Y) \subseteq {}_{e}A$ , where  $\sigma$  is the projection:  $M = A \oplus B \oplus C \oplus D \rightarrow A$ . Then  $Y \oplus ((B \oplus C) \cap X) \subseteq {}_{e}(A \oplus B \oplus C) \cap X$ .

Proof. Let  $(0 \neq) x = a + b + c \in (A \oplus B \oplus C) \cap X$ , where  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$  and  $c \in C$ . If a = 0,  $x = b + c \in (B \oplus C) \cap X$ . If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $0 \neq \sigma(xr) \in \sigma(Y)$  for some  $r \in R$ ; so there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $\sigma(xr) = \sigma(y)$ . Since  $xr - y \in \text{Ker } \sigma \cap (B \oplus C)$ , we see  $xr \in Y \oplus ((B \oplus C) \cap X)$ . Hence we see  $Y \oplus ((B \oplus C) \cap X) \subseteq_{e} (A \oplus B \oplus C) \cap X$ .

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We put  $X_i = M_i \cap Y$  for all  $i \in I$ . Since  $M_i$  is extending, we have a decomposition

$$M_i = X_i^* \oplus X_i^{**}$$

such that  $X_i \subseteq {}_e X_i^*$  for all  $i \in I$ . By Lemma 2.2,

$$(M_0 \oplus M_1) \cap X_e \supseteq X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus (X_0^{**} \oplus X_1^{**}) \cap X$$
.

We put

$$X(0) = X_0, X(1) = X_1 \oplus (X_0^{**} \oplus X_1^{**}) \cap X.$$

Let  $\pi_0$ ,  $\pi_1$  be the projections:

$$X_0^{**} \oplus X_1^{**} \to X_0^{**}, X_0^{**} \oplus X_1^{**} \to X_1^{**}$$

respectively. Since  $X_1^{**} \cap X = 0$ , we see that

$$(X_0^{**} \oplus X_1^{**}) \cap X \simeq \pi_0((X_0^{**} \oplus X_1^{**}) \cap X) \simeq \pi_1((X_0^{**} \oplus X_1^{**}) \cap X) \dots (*)$$

canonically. Put

$$T(0)=X(0), T(0)^*=X(0)^*=X_0^*, T(1)=X_1\oplus \pi_1((X_0^{**}\oplus X_1^{**})\cap X).$$

Since  $M_1$  is extending, we have a decomposition

$$M_1 = T(1)^* \oplus N_1$$

with  $T(1)\subseteq_e T(1)^*$ . Putting  $N_0=X_0^{**}$ , we have

$$P = T(0)^* \oplus T(1)^* \oplus N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus \sum_{2 \le i} \oplus M_i$$

such that

$$X(0) = T(0), X(1) \subseteq T(1) \oplus N_0,$$
  
 $\sigma_1(X(i)) = T = (i), X(i) \simeq T(i)$  by  $(\sigma_1 | X(i))$  (cf. (\*) above)

for i=1, 2, where  $\sigma_1$  is the projection:

$$P = T(0)^* \oplus T(1)^* \oplus N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus \sum_{2 \le i} \oplus M_i \to T(0)^* \oplus T(1)^*$$

Next consider  $(M_0 \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2) \cap X$ . Put  $A = T(0)^* \oplus T(1)^*$ ,  $B = N_0 \oplus N_1$ ,  $C = M_2$  and  $D = \sum_{3 \le i} \oplus M_i$  and  $Y = X(0) \oplus X(1)$ . Then  $X \subseteq P = A \oplus B \oplus C \oplus D$ ,

 $A \oplus B \oplus C = M_0 \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2$  and  $Y \simeq \sigma_1(Y) \subseteq_{e} A$ . So we see from Lemma 2.3 that

$$(M_0 \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2) \cap X_e \supseteq X(0) \oplus X(1) \oplus (N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus N_2) \cap X$$

Furthere, since  $(N_0 \oplus N_1) \cap X = 0$ , we see from Lemma 2.2 that

$$(N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus M_2) \cap X_e \supseteq X_2 \oplus (N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus X_2^{**}) \cap X$$

Let  $\pi_{01}$ ,  $\pi_2$  be the projections:

$$N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus X_2^{**} \longrightarrow N_0 \oplus N_1$$
,  $N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus X_2^{**} \longrightarrow X_2^{**}$ 

respectively. Since  $(N_0 \oplus N_1) \cap X = 0$  and  $X_2^{**} \cap X = 0$ , we see

$$(N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus X_2^{**}) \cap X \simeq \pi_{01}((N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus X_2^{**}) \cap X) \simeq \pi_2((N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus X_2^{**}) \cap X)$$

canonically...(\*\*)

Put

$$X(2) = X_2 \oplus (N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus X_2^{**}) \cap X,$$
  

$$T(2) = X_2 \oplus \pi_2((N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus X_2^{**}) \cap X).$$

Then

$$(M_0 \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2) \cap X_e \supseteq X(0) \oplus X(1) \oplus X(2).$$

Since  $M_2$  is extending, we have a decomposition

$$M_2 = T(2) * \oplus N_2$$

with  $T(2) \subseteq_e T(2)^*$ . Here we see

$$P = T(0)^* \oplus T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^* \oplus N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus \sum_{3 \le i} \oplus M_i,$$
  
$$X(2) \subseteq T(2) \oplus N_0 \oplus N_1,$$

and for the projection:

$$\sigma_2: P = T(0)^* \oplus T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^* \oplus N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus \sum_{3 \le i} \oplus M_i \rightarrow T(0)^* \oplus T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^*.$$

We see that

$$\sigma_2(X(i)) = T(i), X(i) \simeq T(i)$$
(by  $\sigma_2|X(i)$ )

for i=0, 1, 2(cf(\*\*)).

Now we proceed our argument by transfinite induction on  $\alpha \in I$ . Let  $\alpha \in I$  and put  $J = \{i \in I | i < \alpha\}$ 

Assume that there are submodules  $T(i) \subseteq_e T(i)^* < \bigoplus M_i$ , decompositions  $M_i = T(i)^* \bigoplus N_i$  for which the following hold:

- 1) X(0) = T(0),
- 2)  $X(k) \subseteq T(k) \bigoplus \sum_{i \le k} \bigoplus N_i \forall k \in J$ ,
- 3)  $(\sum_{i\leq k} \oplus M_i) \cap X_e \supseteq \sum_{i\leq k} \oplus X(i) \forall k \in J; \operatorname{so}(\sum_J \oplus M_i) \cap X_e \supseteq \sum_J \oplus X(i),$
- 4)  $X(k) \simeq T(k)$  by  $(\sigma_l | X(k)) \forall k \in I$

where  $\sigma_I$  is the projection:

$$P = \sum_{J} \bigoplus T(i)^* \bigoplus \sum_{J} \bigoplus N(i) \bigoplus \sum_{I=J} \bigoplus M(i) \longrightarrow \sum_{J} \bigoplus T(i)^*$$

$$\sum_{I} \oplus X(i) \simeq \sum_{I} \oplus T(i) \text{(by } \sigma_{I} | \sum_{I} \oplus X(i) \text{)}$$

Consider  $(\sum_{I} \oplus M_i \oplus M_{\alpha}) \cap X$ . We note that

$$\sum_{J} \oplus X(i) \subseteq_{e} (\sum_{J} \oplus M_{i}) \cap X = (\sum_{J} \oplus T(i)^{*} \oplus \sum_{J} \oplus N_{i}) \cap X,$$
  
$$\sum_{I} \oplus X(i) \simeq \sum_{J} \oplus T(i) (\text{by } \sigma_{J} | \sum_{I} \oplus X(i))$$

Considering

$$\sum_{J} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \sum_{J} \oplus N(i) \oplus M_a \oplus \sum_{I-JUa} \oplus M_i \longrightarrow \sum_{J} \oplus T(i)^*$$

we infer from Lemma 2.3 that

$$(\sum_{I} \bigoplus M_{i} \bigoplus M_{\alpha}) \cap X_{e} \supseteq \sum_{I} \bigoplus X(i) \bigoplus (\sum_{I} \bigoplus N_{i} \bigoplus M_{\alpha}) \cap X.$$

Since  $\sum_{i} \oplus N_i \cap X = 0$ , we see by Lemma 2.2 that

$$(\sum_{I} \oplus N_{i} \oplus M_{a}) \cap X_{e} \supseteq X_{a} \oplus (\sum_{I} \oplus N_{i} \oplus X_{a}^{**}) \cap X$$

(where 
$$X_{\alpha} = M_{\alpha} \cap X \subseteq {}_{e}X_{\alpha}^{*}$$
,  $M_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha}^{*} \oplus X_{\alpha}^{**}$ )

Let  $\pi_I$  and  $\pi_\alpha$  be the projections:

$$\sum_{J} \bigoplus N_{i} \bigoplus X_{\alpha}^{**} \longrightarrow \sum_{J} \bigoplus N_{i}, \sum_{J} \bigoplus N_{i} \bigoplus X_{\alpha}^{**} \longrightarrow X_{\alpha}^{**}$$

respectively. We see that

$$(\sum_{I} \oplus N_{i} \oplus X_{a}^{**}) \cap X \simeq \pi_{I}((\sum_{I} \oplus N_{i} \oplus X_{a}^{**}) \cap X) \simeq \pi_{a}((\sum_{I} \oplus N_{i} \oplus X_{a}^{**} \cap X)$$

canonically. We put

$$X(\alpha) = X_{\alpha} \oplus (\sum_{J} \oplus N_{i} \oplus X_{\alpha}^{**}) \cap X,$$
  

$$T(\alpha) = X_{\alpha} \oplus \pi_{\alpha}((\sum_{J} \oplus N_{i} \oplus X_{\alpha}^{**}) \cap X).$$

Since  $M_{\alpha}$  is extending, we have a decomposition

$$M_{\alpha} = T(\alpha)^* \oplus N_{\alpha}$$

with  $T(\alpha) \subseteq_e T(\alpha)^*$ . Now we see

$$X(\alpha) \subseteq T(\alpha) \oplus \sum_{j} \oplus N_{i},$$
  
 $\sigma(X(\alpha)) = T(\alpha), \ X(\alpha) \simeq T(\alpha) \text{(by } \sigma | X(\alpha))$ 

where  $\sigma$  is the projection:

$$P = \sum_{J} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \sum_{J \cup a} \oplus N(i) \oplus \sum_{I - J \cup a} \oplus M(i) \to \sum_{J \cup a} \oplus T(i)^*.$$

Furthermore we see

$$(\sum_{J\cup a} \bigoplus M_i) \cap X_e \supseteq \sum_{J\cup a} \bigoplus X(i)$$

Thus 1)-5) above hold for  $J \cup \alpha$ , and this completes the proof by transfinite induction.

3. The exchange property. Using Lemma 2.1 we shall give a proof of the exchange property of continuous modules from our point of view.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let P be an R-module and X a submodule of P. If X is continuous and P has a decomposition  $P = \sum_{i} \bigoplus M_i$  with each  $M_i \cong X$ , then there exists direct summand  $N_i < \bigoplus M_i$  for each  $i \in I$  such that  $P = X \bigoplus \sum_{i} \bigoplus N_i$ . So, X is a direct summand of P.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$P = \sum_{I} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \sum_{I} \oplus N_i, X_e \supseteq \sum_{I} \oplus X(i)$$

such that, for each  $i \in I$ ,

- 1)  $T(i)\subseteq_e T(i)^*$ ,
- 2)  $M_i = T(i)^* \oplus N_i$ ,
- 3)  $\sigma(X(i)) = T(i), X(i) \simeq T(i)$  (by  $\sigma(X(i))$ )

where  $\sigma$  is the projection:

$$P = \sum_{I} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \sum_{I} \oplus N_i \longrightarrow \sum_{I} \oplus T(i)^*.$$

Since X is quasi-continuous and  $X \simeq \sigma(X) \subseteq_e \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} T(i)^*$ , we obtain, by Proposition 1.2,

$$\sigma(X) = \sum_{I} \bigoplus (T(i)^* \cap \sigma(X)).$$

Putting  $X(i)^* = \sigma^{-1}(T(i)^* \cap \sigma(X))$ , we see

$$X = \sum_{I} \bigoplus X(i)^{*},$$
  

$$X(i) \subseteq {}_{e}X(i)^{*} \forall i \in I,$$
  

$$T(i) \subseteq {}_{e}\sigma(X(i)^{*}) \subseteq {}_{e}T(i)^{*} \forall i \in I.$$

Since  $X \cong M_i$  and  $X(i)^* < \bigoplus X$ , we see from the condition  $(C_2)$  for X that  $\sigma(X(i)^*) < \bigoplus T(i)^*$ ; whence  $\sigma(X(i)^*) = T(i)^*$  for all  $i \in I$ .

As a result

$$X \simeq \sigma(X) = \sum_{i} \oplus T(i)$$
 (by  $\sigma|X$ ).

Hence it follows  $P = X \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}$ .

As an immediate consequence we have

**Theorem 3.1** ([5, Theorem 3.24]). Continuous module have the exchange property.

REMARK. We note that, in the proof above, the exchange property of quasi-injective modules is not used. (Compare our proof to the proof of [5, Theorem 3. 24])

Now, we are in a position to show our main result

**Theorem 3.2.** Any quasi-continuous module with the finite exchange property has the exchange property.

Proof. Let X be a quasi-continuous module with the finite exchange property. We may assume X to be a square free by Lemma 1.1. In order to show our result by transfinite induction, let  $\alpha$  be an infinite cardinal and assume that X satisfies  $\beta$ -exchange property for any cardinal  $\beta < \alpha$ . To show that X satisfies the  $\alpha$ -exchange property, consider the situation of R-modules:

$$P = \sum_{I} \bigoplus M_{i} = X \bigoplus Y$$

where  $|I|=\alpha$  and  $M_i \cong X$  for all  $i \in I$ . We may consider I as a well ordered set;  $I=\{0,\ 1,\ ...,\ \omega,\ ...\}$ , whose ordinal is an initial ordinal; so, for any  $\beta \in I$ , the cardinal of  $\{i \in I | i < \beta\} < \alpha$ . By Lemma 2.1 and, as in the proof of Proposition 3. 1, we have decompositions:

$$P = \sum_{I} \bigoplus T(i)^* \bigoplus \sum_{I} \bigoplus N_i,$$
  
$$X = \sum_{I} \bigoplus X(i)^*_{e} \supseteq \sum_{I} \bigoplus X_i$$

such that, for all  $k \in I$ ,

$$M_{k} = T(k)^{*} \oplus N_{k}, \quad T(k) \subseteq_{e} T(k)^{*}, \quad X(k) \subseteq_{e} X(k)^{*},$$

$$X(k) \subseteq T(k) \oplus \sum_{i < k} \oplus N_{i},$$

$$X(k)^{*} \subseteq T(k)^{*} \oplus \sum_{l} \oplus N_{k},$$

$$\sigma(X(k)) = T(k) \subseteq_{e} \sigma(X(k)^{*}) \subseteq_{e} T(k)^{*},$$

$$X(k) \cong T(k) \quad \text{(by } \sigma[X(k)),$$

$$X(k)^{*} \cong \sigma(X(k)^{*}) \quad \text{(by } \sigma[X(k)^{*})$$

where  $\sigma$  is the projection:

$$P = \sum_{I} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \sum_{I} \oplus N_i \longrightarrow \sum_{I} \oplus T(i)^*.$$

Since  $N_k < \bigoplus M_k \simeq X = \sum_I \bigoplus X_i^*$ , by Proposition 1.1, we have a decomposition  $N_k = \sum_{i \in I} \bigoplus N_k(i)$  for each  $k \in I$  with  $N_k(i) < \bigoplus X(i)^*$ .

We note that  $\sum_{I} \oplus T(i)^*$  is square free, since  $X \subseteq_e \sum_{I} \oplus T(i)^*$ . Now, using the finite exchange property of  $X(0)^*$  for

$$X(0)^* < \bigoplus T(0)^* \bigoplus \sum_{I} \bigoplus N_i$$

we have decompositions

$$T(0)^* = \overline{T(0)^*} \oplus \overline{T(0)^*},$$
  
$$\sum_{I} \oplus N_i = \overline{\sum_{I} \oplus N_i} \oplus \overline{\sum_{I} \oplus N_i}$$

such that

$$P = X(0)^* \oplus \overline{T(0)^*} \oplus \sum_{i=0} T(i)^* \oplus \overline{\sum_i \oplus N_i}.$$

We denote, by  $\pi_0$ , the projection:

$$P = X(0)^* \oplus \overline{T(0)^*} \oplus \sum_{I=0} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \overline{\sum_I \oplus N_i} \longrightarrow X(0)^*.$$

Then

$$\overline{T(0)^*} \oplus \overline{\sum_i \oplus N_i} \simeq X(0)^* \text{ (by } \pi_0 | \overline{T(0)^*} \oplus \overline{\sum_i \oplus N_i}).$$

Assume  $0 \neq \overline{\sum_I \oplus N_i}$  and take  $0 \neq n'' \in \overline{\sum_I \oplus N_i}$ . We exprese n'' in  $P = X(0)^* \oplus \overline{T(0)^*} \oplus \sum_{I=0} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \overline{\sum_I \oplus N_i}$  as n'' = a + b + n', where  $a \in X(0)^*$   $b \in \overline{T(0)^*} \oplus \sum_{I=0} \oplus T(i)^*$ ,  $n' \in \overline{\sum_I \oplus N_i}$ 

Since  $0 \neq \pi_0(n'') = a \in X(0)^*_e \supseteq X(0)$ , there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $0 \neq ar \in X(0)$ . Since X(0) = T(0) and n''r = ar + br + n'r, we see from  $n''r - n'r = ar + br \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} T(i)^* \cap \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} T(i)^* \cap \sum_{i=1}^{n} T(i)^*$ 

contradiction. Accordingly,  $\overline{\sum_{i} \oplus N_{i}} = 0$  and hence

$$P = X(0)^* \oplus \overline{T(0)^*} \oplus \sum_{I=0} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \sum_{I} \oplus N_i,$$

$$X(0)^* \oplus \overline{T(0)^*} \simeq T(0)^*$$
.

Since  $X(0)^* \oplus \overline{T(0)^*}$  is square free and  $X(0)^* \subseteq_e T(0)^*$ , we also see that  $\overline{T(0)^*} = 0$ . Therefore

$$P = X(0)^* \bigoplus \sum_{I=0} \bigoplus T(i)^* \bigoplus \sum_{I} \bigoplus N_i$$

Next using the finite exchange property of  $X(1)^*$  in

$$P = X(0)^* \oplus T(1)^* \oplus \sum_{I = \{0,1\}} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus N_0(1) \oplus \sum_{I=1} \oplus N_0(i) \oplus \sum_{I=0} \oplus N_i$$
  
=  $W \oplus T(1)^* \oplus N_0(1) \oplus \sum_{I=0} \oplus N_i$ 

where  $W = X(0)^* \oplus \sum_{i=0,1} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \sum_{l=1} \oplus N_0(i)$ , we have decompositions

$$W = \overline{W} \oplus \overline{W}$$

$$T(1)^* = \overline{T(1)^*} \oplus \overline{T(1)^*},$$

$$N_0(1) = \overline{N_0(1)} \oplus \overline{N_0(1)},$$

$$\sum_{i} \oplus N_i = \overline{\sum_{i} \oplus N_i} \oplus \overline{\sum_{i} \oplus N_i}$$

such that

$$P = \overline{W} \oplus \overline{T(1)^*} \oplus \overline{N_0(1)} \oplus \overline{\sum_{i=0}^n \oplus N_i}$$
.

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T(i)^{*}$  is square free, we see from  $\overline{W} \subset X(1)^{1} \subset T(1)^{*}$  that  $\overline{W} = 0$ . So

$$P = X(0)^* \oplus X(1)^* \oplus \overline{T(1)^*} \oplus \sum_{I=\{0,1\}} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \overline{N_0(1)} \oplus \sum_{I=1} \oplus N_0(i) \oplus \overline{\sum_{I=0}} \oplus \overline{N_i}.$$

In order to show  $\overline{\sum_{I=0} \oplus N_i} = 0$ , consider the projection  $\pi_1: P = X(0)^* \oplus X(1)^* \oplus \overline{T(1)^*} \oplus \sum_{I=(0,1)} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \overline{N_0(1)} \oplus \sum_{I=1} \oplus N_0(i) \oplus \overline{\sum_{I=0} \oplus N_i} \longrightarrow X(1)^*$ . Assuming  $\overline{\sum_{I=0} \oplus N_i} \neq 0$  we take  $0 \neq n'' \in \overline{\sum_{I=0} \oplus N_i}$ . We express n'' in  $P = X(0)^* \oplus X(1)^* \oplus \overline{T(1)^*} \oplus \sum_{I=(0,1)} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \overline{N_0(1)} \oplus \sum_{I=1} \oplus N_0(i) \oplus \overline{\sum_{I=0} \oplus N_i}$  as n'' = a + b + n', where  $a \in X(0)^* \oplus X(1)^*$ ,  $b \in \overline{T(1)^*} \oplus \sum_{I=(0,1)} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \overline{N_0(1)} \oplus \sum_{I=0} \oplus N_0(i)$ ,  $n' \in \overline{\sum_{I=0} \oplus N_i}$ . Since  $X(0) \oplus X(1) \subseteq_e X(0)^* \oplus X(1)^*$ , we can take  $r \in R$ , such that  $0 \neq ar \in X(0) \oplus X(1)$ . Note that  $0 \neq n'' r = ar + br + n' r$ . Since  $X(0) \oplus X(1) \subseteq_e T(0)^* \oplus T(1)^* \oplus N_0$ , this implies ar + br = 0 and n'' r = n' r; whence n'' r = 0, a contradiction. Thus we get

$$P = X(0)^* \oplus X(1)^* \oplus \overline{T(1)^*} \oplus \sum_{I=\{0,1\}} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \overline{N_0(1)} \oplus \sum_{I=1} \oplus N_0(i) \oplus \sum_{I=1} \oplus N_i$$
,  
We proceed with the same argument for  $X(2)^*$ . We consider

$$P = X(0)^* \oplus X(1)^* \oplus \overline{T(1)^*} \oplus T(2)^* \oplus \sum_{I - \{0,1,2\}} \oplus T(i)^*$$

$$\oplus \overline{N_0(1)} \oplus N_0(2) \oplus \sum_{I - \{0,1\}} \oplus N_0(i)$$

$$\oplus N_1(2) \oplus \sum_{I - 2} \oplus N_1(i)$$

$$\oplus \sum_{I - \{0,1\}} \oplus N_i.$$

$$= W \oplus T(2)^* \oplus N_0(2) \oplus N_1(2) \oplus \sum_{I - \{0,1\}} \oplus N_i$$

where

$$W = X(0)^* \oplus X(1)^* \oplus \overline{T(1)^*} \oplus \sum_{I - \{0,1,2\}} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \overline{N_0(1)}$$
$$\bigoplus_{I - \{1,2\}} \oplus N_0(i) \oplus \sum_{I - 2} \oplus N_1(i).$$

And using the finite exchange property of  $X(2)^*$  in this decomposition, we have decompositions

$$W = \overline{W} \oplus \overline{W},$$

$$T(2)^* = \overline{T(2)^*} \oplus \overline{T(2)^*},$$

$$N_0(2) = \overline{N_0(2)} \oplus \overline{N_0(2)},$$

$$N_1(2) = \overline{N_1(2)} \oplus \overline{N_1(2)},$$

$$\sum_{I - \{0,1\}} \oplus N_i = \overline{\sum_{I - \{0,1\}} \oplus N_i} \oplus \overline{\sum_{I - \{0,1\}} \oplus N_i}$$

such that

$$P = X(2)^* \oplus \overline{W} \oplus \overline{T(2)^*} \oplus \overline{N_0(2)} \oplus \overline{N_1(2)} \oplus \overline{\sum_{I=10} \bigcup_{1} \bigoplus_{1} N_i}.$$

But, as  $\overline{W} \subset X(2)^{l} \subset T(2)^{*}$  and as  $\sum_{I} \oplus T(i)^{*}$  is square free, we obtain  $\overline{W} = 0$ . So

$$P = W \oplus T(2)^* \oplus N_0(2) \oplus N_1(2) \oplus \sum_{I = \{0,1\}} \oplus N_i$$

$$= X(0)^* \oplus X(1)^* \oplus X(2)^* \oplus \overline{T(1)^*} \oplus \overline{T(2)^*} \oplus \sum_{I = \{0,1,2\}} \oplus T(i)^*$$

$$\oplus \overline{N_0(1)} \oplus \overline{N_0(2)} \oplus \sum_{I = \{1,2\}} \oplus N_0(i)$$

$$\oplus \overline{N_1(2)} \oplus \sum_{I = 2} \oplus N_1(i)$$

$$\oplus \overline{\sum_{I = \{0,1\}} \oplus N_i}.$$

We denote by  $\pi_2$  the projection:

$$P = X(0)^* \oplus X(1)^* \oplus X(2)^* \oplus \overline{T(1)^*} \oplus \overline{T(2)^*} \oplus \sum_{I = \{0,1,2\}} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \overline{N_0(1)} \oplus \overline{N_0(2)} \oplus \sum_{I = \{1,2\}} \oplus N_0(i) \oplus \overline{N_1(2)} \oplus \sum_{I = 2} \oplus N_1(i) \oplus \overline{\sum_{I = \{0,1\}}} \oplus N_i \longrightarrow X(2)^*.$$

Then note that

$$T(2)^* \oplus \overline{N_0(2)} \oplus \overline{N_1(2)} \oplus \overline{\sum_{I=10,13} \oplus N_i} \simeq X(2)^* \dots (*)$$

(by 
$$\pi_2|\overline{T(2)^*} \oplus \overline{N_0(2)} \oplus \overline{N_1(2)} \oplus \overline{\sum_{I=10} \oplus N_I} \oplus N_I$$
).

We shall show  $\overline{\sum_{I=\{0,1\}} \oplus N_i} = 0$ . Assume not, and take  $0 \neq n'' \in \overline{\sum_{I=\{0,1\}} \oplus N_i}$ . We express n'' as n'' = a + b + n' where  $a \in X(0)^* \oplus X(1)^* \oplus X(2)^*$ ,  $b \in \overline{T(1)^*} \oplus \overline{T(2)^*} \oplus \sum_{I=\{0,1,2\}} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \overline{N_0(1)}$ 

 $\bigoplus \overline{N_0(2)} \bigoplus_{I=(1,2)} \bigoplus N_0(i) \bigoplus \sum_{I=2} \bigoplus N_1(i) \bigoplus \overline{N_1(2)}, \ n' \in \overline{\sum_{I=(0,1)} \bigoplus N_i}. \ \text{Note that} \ a \neq 0 \ \text{by}$  (\*). Since  $X(0) \bigoplus X(1) \bigoplus X(2) \subseteq {}_e X(0)^* \bigoplus X(1)^* \bigoplus X(2)^*, \ \text{we can take} \ r \in R \ \text{such}$  that  $0 \neq ar \in X(0) \bigoplus X(1) \bigoplus X(2)$ ; so  $0 \neq n''r$ . As  $X(0) \bigoplus X(1) \bigoplus X(2) \subseteq T(0)^* \bigoplus T(1)^* \bigoplus T(2)^* \bigoplus N_0 \bigoplus N_1$ , we see that ar + br = 0 and n''r - n'r = 0. But n''r - n'r = 0 implies n''r = 0, a contradiction. Hence  $\overline{\sum_{I=(0,1)} \bigoplus N_i} = 0$ . As a result, we have

$$P = X(0)^* \oplus X(1)^* \oplus X(2)^* \oplus \overline{T(1)^*} \oplus \overline{T(2)^*} \oplus \sum_{I - \{0,1,2\}} \oplus T(i)^* \oplus \overline{N_0(1)} \oplus \overline{N_0(2)} \oplus \sum_{I - \{1,2\}} \oplus N_0(i) \oplus \overline{N_1(2)} \oplus \sum_{I - 2} \oplus N_i(i) \oplus \sum_{I - \{0,1\}} \oplus N_i.$$

We transfinitely proceed with this argument. For the sake of convenience, for any k in I, we put

$$I(k) = \{i \in I | i < k\}.$$

Now, let  $\beta \in I$  and assume that we have obtained decompositions:

such that, fo any  $k \in I(\beta)$ ,

$$P = \sum_{0 \le i \le k} \bigoplus X(i)^* \sum_{0 < i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{T(i)^*} \bigoplus \sum_{k < i} \bigoplus T(i)^*$$

$$\bigoplus N_0(0) \bigoplus \sum_{0 < i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{N_0(i)} \bigoplus \sum_{k < i} \bigoplus N_0(i)$$

$$\bigoplus N_1(0) \bigoplus N_1(1) \bigoplus \sum_{1 < i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{N_1(i)} \bigoplus \sum_{k < i} \bigoplus N_1(i)$$

$$\bigoplus N_2(0) \bigoplus N_2(1) \bigoplus N_2(2) \bigoplus \sum_{2 < i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{N_2(i)} \bigoplus \sum_{k < i} \bigoplus N_2(i)$$

$$\bigoplus \sum_{k \leq i} \bigoplus N_i$$

For  $k \in I(\beta)$ , we put

$$Q(k) = \overline{T(k)^*} \bigoplus_{0 \le i < k} \overline{N_i(k)}$$

$$Q = \sum_{I(k)=0} \bigoplus Q(k).$$

Since  $Q(k) \cong X(k)^* \subseteq T(k)^*$  for all  $k \in I(\beta) - 0$  and  $\sum_{l} \oplus T(k)^*$  satisfies the condition A,  $Q = \sum_{l(\beta) = 0} \bigoplus Q(k)$  satisfies the condition A. We note that, for any  $q_k \in Q_k$  and  $q_l \in Q_l$ ,  $(0: q_k) \neq (0: q_l)$ , since Q is square free.

Putting

$$\hat{N}_{0} = N_{0}(0) \bigoplus_{0 \le i < \beta} \sum_{k \le i} \overline{N_{0}(i)} \bigoplus_{k \le i} \sum_{k \le i} W_{0}(i) \subseteq N_{0}, 
\hat{N}_{k} = N_{k}(0) \bigoplus N_{k}(1) \bigoplus \dots \bigoplus N_{k}(k) \bigoplus_{k \le i < \beta} \overline{N_{k}(i)} \bigoplus_{k \le i} \sum_{k \le i} W_{k}(i) \subseteq N_{k}$$

for  $0 \neq k \in I(\beta)$ , we claim that

$$P = \sum_{i < \beta} \bigoplus X(i)^* \bigoplus_{0 < i < \beta} \bigoplus \overline{T(i)^*} \bigoplus_{\beta \le i} \bigoplus T(i)^* \bigoplus_{i < \beta} \bigoplus \widehat{N}(i) \bigoplus_{\beta \le i} \bigoplus N_i$$

To show this we may show that Q is contained in

$$Z = \sum_{i < \beta} \bigoplus X(i)^* \bigoplus_{0 < i < \beta} \overline{T(i)^*} \bigoplus_{\beta \le i} \bigoplus T(i)^* \bigoplus_{i \le \beta} \widehat{N}(i) \bigoplus_{\beta \le i} \bigoplus N_i.$$

Assume  $Q \nsubseteq Z$ . Since  $Q = \sum_{l=0} \bigoplus Q(k)$  satisfies the condition A, we can take  $Q_k$  and  $q_k \in Q_k$  such that  $q_k \notin Z$  and, for any k < l and  $q_l \in Q_l$ ,

$$(0: q_k)\subset (0: q_l)\Longrightarrow q_l\in Z....(*)$$

We express  $q_k$  in

$$P = \sum_{0 \le i \le k} \bigoplus X(i)^* \bigoplus \sum_{0 < i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{T(i)^*} \bigoplus \sum_{k < i} \bigoplus T(i)^*$$

$$\bigoplus N_0(0) \bigoplus \sum_{0 < i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{N_0(i)} \bigoplus \sum_{k < i} \bigoplus N_0(i)$$

$$\bigoplus N_1(0) \bigoplus N_1(1) \bigoplus \sum_{1 < i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{N_1(i)} \bigoplus \sum_{k < i} \bigoplus N_1(i)$$

$$\bigoplus N_2(0) \bigoplus N_2(1) \bigoplus N_2(2) \bigoplus \sum_{2 < i \le k} \bigoplus N_2(i) \bigoplus \sum_{k < i} \bigoplus N_2(i)$$

$$\dots$$

$$\bigoplus \sum_{k \le i} \bigoplus N_i$$

as  $q_k = a + b$ , where

$$a \in \sum_{0 \le i \le k} \bigoplus X(i)^* \bigoplus \sum_{0 \le i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{T(i)^*} \bigoplus \sum_{k \le i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{T(i)^*} \bigoplus \sum_{k \le i} \bigoplus T(i)^*$$

$$\bigoplus N_0(0) \bigoplus_{0 < i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{N_0(i)} \bigoplus_{k < i < \beta} \bigoplus \overline{N_0(i)} \bigoplus_{\beta \le i} \bigoplus N_0(i) 
\bigoplus N_1(0) \bigoplus N_1(1) \bigoplus_{1 < i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{N_1(i)} \bigoplus_{k < i < \beta} \bigoplus \overline{N_1(i)} \bigoplus_{\beta \le i} \bigoplus N_1(i) 
\bigoplus N_2(0) \bigoplus N_2(1) \bigoplus N_2(2) \bigoplus_{2 < i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{N_2(i)} \bigoplus_{k < i < \beta} \bigoplus \overline{N_2(i)} \bigoplus_{\beta \le i} \bigoplus N_2(i) 
\dots 
\bigoplus \sum_{\beta \le i} \bigoplus N_i$$

and

Then  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and (\*) shows  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $q_k = a + b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , a contradiction. Thus we get

$$P = \sum_{i < \beta} \bigoplus X(i)^* \bigoplus_{0 < i < \beta} \bigoplus \overline{T(i)^*} \bigoplus_{\beta \le i} \bigoplus T(i)^* \bigoplus_{i < \beta} \bigoplus \widehat{N_i} \bigoplus_{\beta \le i} \bigoplus N_i$$

$$= \sum_{0 < i < \beta} \bigoplus X(i)^* \bigoplus_{0 < i < \beta} \bigoplus \overline{T(i)^*} \sum_{\beta \le i} T(i)^*$$

$$\bigoplus N_0(0) \bigoplus_{0 < i < \beta} \bigoplus \overline{N_0(i)} \bigoplus_{\beta \le i} \bigoplus N_0(i)$$

$$\bigoplus N_1(0) \bigoplus N_1(1) \bigoplus_{1 < i < \beta} \bigoplus \overline{N_1(i)} \bigoplus_{\beta \le i} \bigoplus N_1(i)$$

$$\bigoplus N_2(0) \bigoplus N_2(1) \bigoplus N_2(2) \bigoplus_{2 < i < \beta} \bigoplus \overline{N_2(i)} \bigoplus_{\beta \le i} \bigoplus N_2(i)$$
......
$$\bigoplus_{\beta \le i} \bigoplus N_i$$

We put

$$W = \sum_{i < \beta} \bigoplus X(i)^* \bigoplus_{0 < i < \beta} \bigoplus \overline{T(i)^*} \sum_{\beta < i} \bigoplus T(i)^*$$

$$\bigoplus N_0(0) \bigoplus_{0 < i < \beta} \bigoplus \overline{N_0(i)} \bigoplus_{\beta < i} \bigoplus N_0(i)$$

$$\bigoplus N_1(0) \bigoplus N_1(1) \bigoplus_{1 < i < \beta} \bigoplus \overline{N_1(i)} \bigoplus_{\beta < i} \bigoplus N_1(i)$$

$$\bigoplus N_2(0) \bigoplus N_2(1) \bigoplus N_2(2) \bigoplus_{2 < i < \beta} \bigoplus \overline{N_2(i)} \bigoplus_{\beta < i} \bigoplus N_2(i)$$
......
$$\bigoplus \sum_{i \in \beta} \bigoplus N_{\beta}(i)$$

And we consider the decomposition :  $P = W \oplus T(\beta)^* \oplus \sum_{i < \beta} \oplus N_i(\beta) \oplus \sum_{\beta \le i} \oplus N_i$  Here using the  $|I(\beta)|$ -exchange property of  $X(\beta)$  in this decomposition, we get decompositions :

$$W = \overline{W} \oplus \overline{W}$$

$$T(\beta)^* = \overline{T(\beta)^*} \oplus \overline{T(\beta)^*}$$

$$N_i(\beta) = \overline{N_i(\beta)} \oplus N_i(\beta)$$

for  $i < \beta$ 

$$\sum_{\beta \leq i} \bigoplus N_i = \overline{\sum_{\beta \leq i} \bigoplus N_i} \bigoplus \overline{\sum_{\beta \leq i} \bigoplus N_i}$$

such that

$$P = X(\beta)^* \oplus \overline{W} \oplus \sum_{0 < i \leq \beta} \oplus \overline{T(i)^*} \oplus \sum_{\beta < i} \oplus \overline{N_i(\beta)} \oplus \overline{\sum_{\beta \leq i} \oplus N_i}$$

But, by the same argument above, we can that

$$\overline{W} = 0, \ \overline{\sum_{\beta \leq i} \bigoplus N_i} = 0$$

so, we have

$$P = \sum_{0 \le i \le \beta} \bigoplus \overline{T(i)}^*$$

$$\bigoplus \sum_{0 < i \le \beta} \bigoplus \overline{T(i)}^*$$

$$\bigoplus \sum_{\beta < i} \bigoplus T(i)^*$$

$$\bigoplus N_0(0) \bigoplus \sum_{0 < i \le \beta} \bigoplus \overline{N_0(i)} \bigoplus \sum_{\beta < i} \bigoplus N_0(i)$$

$$\bigoplus N_1(0) \bigoplus N_1(1) \bigoplus \sum_{0 < i \le \beta} \bigoplus \overline{N_1(i)} \bigoplus \sum_{\beta < i} \bigoplus N_1(i)$$

$$\bigoplus N_2(0) \bigoplus N_2(1) \bigoplus N_2(2) \bigoplus \sum_{2 < i \le \beta} \bigoplus \overline{N_2(i)} \bigoplus \sum_{\beta < i} \bigoplus N_2(i)$$
......
$$\bigoplus \sum_{\beta < i} \bigoplus N_i$$

Thus, by transfinite induction, we have decompositions

such that, for any  $k \in I$ ,

$$P = \sum_{0 \le i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{T(i)^*} \bigoplus_{k \le i} \bigoplus \overline{T(i)^*} \bigoplus_{k \le i} \prod T(i)^*$$

$$\bigoplus N_0(0) \bigoplus \sum_{0 < i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{N_0(i)} \bigoplus_{k < i} \bigoplus N_0(i)$$

$$\bigoplus N_1(0) \bigoplus N_1(1) \bigoplus \sum_{0 < i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{N_1(i)} \bigoplus_{k < i} \bigoplus N_1(i)$$

$$\bigoplus N_2(0) \bigoplus N_2(1) \bigoplus N_2(2) \bigoplus \sum_{2 \le i \le k} \bigoplus \overline{N_2(i)} \bigoplus_{k \le i} \bigoplus N_2(i)$$

$$\bigoplus \sum_{k \leq i} \bigoplus N_i$$

So, by the quite similar argument above for  $X(1)^*$  or  $X(2)^*$ , we have

$$P = \sum_{I} \bigoplus X(i)^{*} \bigoplus \sum_{I=0} \bigoplus \overline{T(i)^{*}}$$

$$\bigoplus N_{0}(0) \bigoplus \sum_{I=0} \bigoplus \overline{N_{0}(i)}$$

$$\bigoplus N_{1}(0) \bigoplus N_{1}(1) \bigoplus_{I=I(2)} \bigoplus \overline{N_{1}(i)}$$

$$\bigoplus N_{2}(0) \bigoplus N_{2}(1) \bigoplus N_{2}(2) \bigoplus_{I=I(3)} \bigoplus \overline{N_{2}(i)}$$

$$\dots$$

$$\bigoplus N_{k}(0) \bigoplus N_{k}(1) \bigoplus N_{k}(2) \cdots \bigoplus N_{k}(k) \bigoplus_{I=I(k)} \bigoplus \overline{N_{k(i)}}$$

This completes the proof, as  $X = \sum_{i} \bigoplus X(i)^*$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors would like to thank Prof. B. Müller for his kind comments for a preliminary version of this paper.

## References

- [1] P. Crawley and B. Jónsson: Refinements for infinite direct decompositions of algebraic systems, Pac. J. Math. 14 (1964), 797-855
- [2] M. Harada and T. Ishii: On perfect rings and the exchange property, Osaka J. Math. 12, (1975), 483-491.
- [3] S.K. Jain, K. Oshiro and S.T. Rizvi: Direct sum of relative quasi-continiuous modules, preprint.
- [4] S.R. Lopez-Permouth, K. Oshiro and S.T. Rizvi: On retative continuous modules, preprint.
- [5] S.H. Mohamed and B.J. Müller: Continuous and Discrete Modules, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 147, Cambridge Univ. Press 1990.
- [6] S.H. Mohamed and B.J. Müller: The exchange property for quasi-continuous modules, Ring theory (Ohio State-Denison, 1992), 242-247, World Scientific (1993).
- [7] B.J. Müller and S.T. Rizvi: direct sums of indecomposable modules, Osaka J. Math. 21, (1984), 365-374.
- [8] K. Okado: On the decomposition of extending modules, Math. Japonica 29, (1984) 939-941.
- [9] K. Oshiro: Continuous modules and quasi-continuous modules, Osaka J. Math.20, (1983), 681-694.
- [10] K. Oshiro: Semiperfect modules and quasi-semiperfect modules, Osaka J. Math. 20, (1983), 337-372.
- [11] B. Zimmermann-Huisgen and W. Zimmermann: Classes of modules with the exchange property, J. Algebra 88, (1984), 416-434.
- [12] K. Yamagata: The exchange property and direct sums of indecomposable injective modules, Pacific J. Math. 55, (1974), 301-317.
- [13] K. Yamagata: On rings of finite representation type and modules with the finite exchange proprty, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A 13, (1975), 1-6.

Kiyoichi Oshiro Department of Mthematics Yamaguchi University Yamaguchi, 753, Japan

S. Tariq Rizvi Department of Mathematics Ohio State University Lima, Ohio 45804, U.S.A.