

ON (r, p) -CAPACITIES FOR MARKOV PROCESSES

HIROSHI KANEKO

(Received October 11, 1984)

1. Introduction

For a general Markovian semi-group $\{P_t; t \geq 0\}$ on a measure space, we consider the image $F_{r,p}$ of L_p -space of the r -th order Γ -transformation of P_t . Then $F_{r,p}$ gives rise to a set function $C_{r,p}$ satisfying certain properties of capacity (M. Fukushima and H. Kaneko [6]). When P_t is a symmetric operator on L_2 -space, the capacity $C_{1,2}$ coincides with the capacity related to the Dirichlet space associated with P_t , and consequently, the set of zero $C_{1,2}$ -capacity can be identified with the polar set of the Hunt process corresponding to P_t , if the latter ever exists ([5]). But as r or p becomes greater, the set of (r, p) -capacities zero become finer. For instance, when P_t is the heat kernels on R^n , the Γ -transformations of P_t are equal to the so-called Bessel kernels. Therefore, in that case, $C_{r,p}$ coincides with the Bessel capacity $B_{r,p}$ presented in [11], for which there exists no non-empty sets of zero capacity whenever $rp > n$ ([11]).

The purpose of this paper is to examine whether some basic theorems related to the Markovian semi-group $\{P_t; t \geq 0\}$ can be refined, so that one may take the sets of $C_{r,p}$ -capacity zero for various r and p as exceptional sets in the statement of the theorems. Assuming the analyticity of P_t , we shall show that two refinements (Theorem 1 in §2 and Theorem 3 in §4) of this kind are indeed possible. The first one is for ergodic theorem due to G.C. Rota [13], E.M. Stein [16] (which concerned m -a.e. statements) and due to M. Fukushima [4] (which concerned $C_{1,2}$ -q.e. statement). The second is for the construction of a Hunt process which has been established by M. Fukushima [5] and M. Silverstein [14] in the case that $(r, p) = (1, 2)$ and P_t is symmetric and by S.C. Menendez [10] in a non-symmetric case. In §3, a refinement in the construction of a transition function will be presented.

In this connection, we mention the work of Y. Le Jan [8] who started with a general Markovian semi-group on an L_∞ -space and constructed a Hunt process with exceptional set being related to a certain family of supermedian functions. While the above mentioned papers and ours start with a Markovian semi-group acting on an L_2 -space or L_p -space, D. Feyel and A. de La Pradelle [3] started with the one acting on a Banach space of functions which are already refined in relation to a capacity. Further we mention a related work of N.G.

Meyers [11] who formulated a non-linear potential theory based on a class of kernels with lower semi-continuous density functions.

In this paper, we always assume that the space of potentials $F_{r,p}$ is regular in the sense that $F_{r,p}$ contains sufficiently many continuous functions. For instance, when the semi-group is generated by a strongly elliptic partial differential operator of second order with smooth coefficients, then $F_{r,p}$ coincides with $W_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see example at the end of this paper). But in general, it is rather hard to check the regularity of the space $F_{r,p}$ for $(r, p) \neq (1, 2)$.

Finally, as an application of a theory of (r, p) -capacities to other kinds of problems, we like to mention the works by A. B. Cruzeiro [2] and A. Nagel, W. Rudin and J.H. Shapiro [12] concerning the boundary limit theorems and by P. Malliavin [9] and M. Takeda [17] concerning infinite dimensional analysis.

The author wishes to thank Professor M. Fukushima for his valuable suggestions and encouragement.

2. Some limit theorems of semi-groups

Let X be a separable metric space and m be a positive σ -finite measure with the support X . Through the paper, let us consider a strongly continuous contractive semi-group $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $L_p(X; m)$ ($1 < p < \infty$), which is Markovian;

$$0 \leq f \leq 1 \quad m\text{-a.e.} \Rightarrow 0 \leq P_t f \leq 1 \quad m\text{-a.e.}$$

We also require that it is analytic in $t > 0$ as a bounded operator valued function of t .

Let us recall some notations formulated in [6]. The Markovian contractive operator V_r ($r > 0$) is defined by

$$(1) \quad V_r = \Gamma(r/2)^{-1} \int_0^\infty s^{r/2-1} e^{-s} P_s ds .$$

We let $\|u\|_{r,p} = \|f\|_{L_p}$ for $u = V_r f, f \in L_p$, then the space $F_{r,p} = V_r(L_p)$ with the norm $\| \cdot \|_{r,p}$ is a Banach space. We define a set of function $C_{r,p}$ by

$$C_{r,p}(A) = \inf \{ \|u\|_{r,p}^p; u \in F_{r,p} \text{ satisfies } u \geq 1 \text{ m-a.e. on some open set which contains } A \} .$$

“ $C_{r,p}$ -quasi-everywhere” or briefly “ $C_{r,p}$ -q.e.” means that the statement holds except on a $C_{r,p}$ (capacity) zero set. A function u is called $C_{r,p}$ -quasi-continuous if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open set G such that $C_{r,p}(G) < \varepsilon$ and the function is continuous on $X - G$. A sequence of functions u_n is said to be $C_{r,p}$ -quasi-uniformly convergent to a function u if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open set G such that $C_{r,p}(G) < \varepsilon$ and the sequence of functions u_n converges to u uniformly on $X - G$.

We make the following assumption:

(2) $F_{r,p} \cap C(X)$ is dense in the Banach space $F_{r,p}$.

We can show the following ([6]):

(a) $C_{r,p}$ is an outer capacity and stable under the increasing limits of sets.

(b) $C_{r,p}$ is non-decreasing in r .

(c) A function u is $C_{r,p}$ -quasi-continuous and $u \geq 0$ m -a.e. $\Rightarrow u \geq 0$ $C_{r,p}$ -q.e.

(d) $u \in F_{r,p} \Rightarrow$ a $C_{r,p}$ -quasi-continuous modification \tilde{u} of u exists, and it enjoys

$$(3) \quad C_{r,p}(|\tilde{u}| > \lambda) \leq \lambda^{-p} \|u\|_{r,p}^p, \lambda > 0.$$

(e) The convergence of $C_{r,p}$ -quasi-continuous functions in $F_{r,p}$ implies $C_{r,p}$ -quasi-uniform convergence of some subsequence to a $C_{r,p}$ -quasi-continuous function.

We know that the semi-group restores some potential theoretic feature. Let $r > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$ be fixed.

Lemma 1. For each $f \in L_p$, we can take a function $\widehat{P}_t f(x)$ of $x \in X$ and $t > 0$ which has the following properties.

(i) For each $t > 0$, $\widehat{P}_t f(x)$ is a $C_{r,p}$ -quasi-continuous version of $P_t f(x)$, moreover for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open set G independent of t such that $C_{r,p}(G) < \varepsilon$ and the functions $\{\widehat{P}_t f(x)\}_{t > 0}$ are continuous on $X - G$.

(ii) For $C_{r,p}$ -quasi-everywhere $x \in X$, the function $\widehat{P}_t f(x)$ is analytic in t .

(iii) For each $t_0 \geq 0$, there exist positive constants C and ε such that

$$(4) \quad C_{r,p}(\sup_{|t-t_0| < \varepsilon} |\widehat{P}_t f(x)| > \lambda) \leq C \lambda^{-p} \|f\|_{L_p}^p, \quad f \in L_p, \lambda > 0.$$

Proof. Take a natural number $n > r/2$. Since V_r has a semi-group property in r , we have

$$P_t f = (V_1)^{2n} (I - A)^n P_t f = V_r V_{2n-r} ((I - d/dt)^n P_t) f,$$

where A is the generator of the semi-group $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and d/dt stands for the derivative in the operator topology. Hence, $P_t f$ is an element of $F_{r,p}$. Consider an operator valued function $S_t = V_{2n-r} ((I - d/dt)^n P_t)$. Then analyticity of S_t in t admits the Taylor expansion around $t = t_0$:

$$S_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n (t - t_0)^n, \quad |t - t_0| < \varepsilon,$$

where the B_n 's are bounded operators in L_p such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|B_n\| \varepsilon^n < \infty$.

Take any $f \in L_p$ and quasi-continuous versions $\widetilde{V_r B_n f}$, $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then by (e), $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\widetilde{V_r B_n f}|(x) \varepsilon^n$ converges except on some Borel set N with $C_{r,p}(N)=0$. Therefore, if we set, for $|t-t_0| < \varepsilon$

$$P_t f(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{V_r B_n f}(x) (t-t_0)^n, & \text{if } x \in X-N, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and patch the functions in t , then we have $\widehat{P_t f}(x)$ which enjoys properties (i) and (ii). (iii) is clear from (3) and

$$\sup_{|t-t_0| < \varepsilon} |P_t f(x)| \leq \widetilde{V_r(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |B_n f| \varepsilon^n)}(x). \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

In the remainder of this section, we only consider a strongly continuous contraction semi-group $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ which is determined by Markovian symmetric operator $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $L_2(X; m)$. E. M. Stein ([16]) shows that $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ then becomes an analytic semi-group on L_p for each $p > 1$. We introduce for $f \in L_p(X; m)$ the maximal function Mf by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{t > 0} |\widehat{P_t f}(x)|,$$

where $\widehat{P_t f}$ is the function in Lemma 1. Then we have the L_p -estimate ([16]):

$$(5) \quad \|Mf\|_{L_p}^p \leq C_p \|f\|_{L_p}^p, \quad f \in L_p$$

for some positive constant C_p .

Lemma 2. For each $\lambda > 0$, $u \in F_{r,p}$, we have

$$C_{r,p}(Mu > \lambda) \leq C_p \lambda^{-p} \|u\|_{r,p}^p$$

Proof. For $f \in L_p(X; m)$ and $u = V_r f$, we have

$$|P_t V_r f| = |V_r P_t f| \leq V_r Mf \quad m\text{-a.e.}$$

and consequently

$$Mu \leq \widetilde{V_r Mf} \quad C_{r,p}\text{-q.e.}$$

Hence, by (5)

$$\begin{aligned} C_{r,p}(Mu > \lambda) &\leq C_{r,p}(\widetilde{V_r Mf} > \lambda) \leq \lambda^{-p} \|Mf\|_{L_p}^p \\ &\leq C_p \lambda^{-p} \|f\|_{L_p}^p = C_p \lambda^{-p} \|u\|_{r,p}^p, \quad u \in F_{r,p}. \quad \text{q.e.d.} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. Assume that $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is determined by a Markovian symmetric operator on L_2 .

(i) For any $u \in F_{r,p}$, the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \widehat{P}_t u(x)$ exists $C_{r,p}$ -q.e. which is $C_{r,p}$ -quasi-continuous version of u , where $r > 0, p > 1$.

(ii) The limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{P}_t f(x) = h(x)$ exists $C_{r,2}$ -q.e., for any $f \in L_2(X; m)$. h satisfies

$$\widehat{P}_t h(x) = h(x), \quad t > 0, \quad C_{r,2}\text{-a.e.}$$

Proof. (i) If we set

$$R(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t, t' < 1/n} |\widehat{P}_t u(x) - \widehat{P}_{t'} u(x)|,$$

then $R(u) = 0$ $C_{r,p}$ -q.e., for any $u \in F_{r,p}$. For the last lemma combining with the inequality

$$R(u) = R(u - P_h u) \leq 2M(u - P_h u) \quad C_{r,p}\text{-q.e.}$$

shows that

$$C_{r,p}(R(u) > \lambda) \leq C_p (2\lambda)^{-p} \|u - P_h u\|_{r,p}^p,$$

which tends to zero as h tends to zero for any $\lambda > 0$. By (e), the pointwise limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \widehat{P}_t u(x)$ must be a $C_{r,p}$ -quasi-continuous version of u .

(ii) As in [4], we easily obtain the existence of the $C_{r,2}$ -q.e. limit $h = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{P}_t f$. h is $C_{r,2}$ -quasi-continuous. Recalling the analyticity of $\widehat{P}_t h$, we have

$$P_t h(x) = h(x), \quad t > 0, \quad C_{r,2}\text{-q.e.} \qquad \text{q.e.d.}$$

3. Construction of a transition function

In this section, we suppose that X is separable complete metric space, X is covered by some countable family of closed sets with finite m -measure and the support of m is X . Given a strongly continuous Markovian semi-group $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $L_p(X; m)$ ($1 < p < \infty$) satisfying the analyticity in $t > 0$ and the regularity condition (2), we have constructed a regularized version \widehat{P}_t , $f \in L_p$ in Lemma 1. We can further construct a transition function as follows.

Theorem 2. There exists a family of kernels $\{p_t(x, E); t > 0, x \in X, E \in \mathcal{B}\}$, where \mathcal{B} stands for the set of all Borel subsets of X , which satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $p_t(x, X) \leq 1, \quad t > 0$.

(ii) $\int_X p_t(x, dy) p_s(y, E) = p_{t+s}(x, E), \quad t, s > 0$.

(iii) For each $f \in L_p$ and $r > 0$, there exists a Borel set N such that $C_{r,p}(N) = 0$ and

$$p_t f(x) = \widehat{P}_t f(x)$$

for every $t > 0$ and $x \in X - N$.

Proof. We only give the proof in the case that $m(X) < \infty$ but the proof is similar to the σ -finite case. Let us embed the space X homeomorphically onto a Borel subset Y of $[0, 1]^N$. Take a countable dense subset C_1 of $C([0, 1]^N)$. Denoting by B_b the set of all bounded Borel functions of $[0, 1]^N$ and by \tilde{f} the restriction to Y of $f \in B_b$, then $B_b \subset L_p([0, 1]^N)$. By virtue of Lemma 1, we get

$$\widehat{P}_t(a\tilde{f} + b\tilde{g})(x) = a\widehat{P}_t\tilde{f}(x) + b\widehat{P}_t\tilde{g}(x) \quad C_{r,p}\text{-q.e.}$$

for $f, g \in B_b, a, b \in R,$

$$f_n, f \in B_b, f_n \uparrow f \Rightarrow \widehat{P}_t\tilde{f}_n(x) \uparrow \widehat{P}_t\tilde{f}(x) \quad C_{r,p}\text{-q.e.}$$

Further we find the set $N \subset X$ with $C_{r,p}(N) = 0$ such that $\widehat{P}_t\tilde{f}(x)$ is analytic function of $t > 0$ for $f \in C_1, x \in X - N$.

By similar way of the proof of Proposition (4.1) in R.K. Gettoor [7], we obtain the kernel $q_t(x, E)$ such that

$$q_t f(x) = \widehat{P}_t\tilde{f}(x), \quad x \in X - N, f \in C_1, t \in Q^+,$$

where Q^+ is the set of all positive rational numbers. Since $[0, 1]^N$ is compact, the dual space of $C([0, 1]^N)$ is weakly complete and $q_t(x, \cdot)$ ($t \in Q^+$) has a continuous extension to the half real line. Denote by $p_t(x, \cdot)$, $t \in (0, \infty)$ the restriction of $q_t(x, \cdot)$ to X , then we have

$$p_t\tilde{f}(x) = \widehat{P}_t\tilde{f}(x) \quad \text{for any } t > 0, x \in X - N, f \in C_1.$$

Hence, we arrive at (iii) by Lemma 1 and a monotone lemma.

On the other hand, there exists a Borel set Y_1 with $C_{r,p}(X - N) = 0$ such that for each $x \in Y_1$

$$p_t(p_s\tilde{f})(x) = p_{t+s}\tilde{f}(x), \quad t, s \in Q^+, f \in C_1$$

and all the functions $p_t\tilde{f}(x)$ and $p_t(p_s\tilde{f})(x)$, $s \in Q^+$ are continuous in $t > 0$. Now just as the proof of Lemma 6.1.4. in M. Fukushima [5], we can modify $p_t(x, E)$ slightly to get kernels which satisfy not only (i), (iii) but also (ii) of Theorem 2. q.e.d.

We call the kernels in Theorem 2 a transition function representing the

semi-group $(P_i)_{i \geq 0}$. Once such a transition function is constructed, we get a nice potential kernel by

$$v_r(x, E) = \Gamma(r/2)^{-1} \int_0^\infty s^{r/2-1} e^{-s} p_s(x, E) ds .$$

In fact, we have

Corollary. $v_r f(x)$ is a $C_{r,p}$ -quasi-continuous version of $V_r f$, for every f in $L_p(X; m)$.

Proof. It suffices to prove this for bounded functions in L_p . If $f \in L_p$ is bounded, we have the pointwise convergence

$$p_t v_r f(x) = v_r p_t f(x) \rightarrow v_r f, \quad t \rightarrow 0 .$$

The convergence also takes place in the Banach space $F_{r,p}$, and hence we get the above conclusion. q.e.d.

4. Construction of Hunt processes

In this section, we assume that the state space X is a locally compact separable metric space and the measure m is positive Radon with support X . Let $(P_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a Markovian strongly continuous contraction semi-group defined on $L_p(X; m)$ ($1 < p < \infty$) which is analytic in the sense of §2. When the space $F_{r,p}$ contains continuous functions densely, we saw in §2 and §3 that the semi-group admits some potential theoretic refinements. Our assertion of this section is that under a stronger assumption (6) on $F_{r,p}$ mentioned below we can construct an associated Hunt process starting from $C_{r,p}$ -quasi-everywhere point of X , for $r \geq 2$.

Let $X_\Delta = X \cup \{\Delta\}$ be the one-point compactification of X , and extend the transition function of the last section to X_Δ by

$$p_i(x, E) = \begin{cases} p_i(x, E - \{\Delta\}) + (1 - p_i(x, X)) \delta_\Delta(E), & x \in X \\ \delta_\Delta(E), & x = \Delta \text{ or } t = 0, \end{cases}$$

for Borel subset E of X .

By the Kolmogorov extension theorem, there is a Markov process $M_0 = \{\Omega_0, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_t^0, X_t^0, P_x\}_{x \in X}$ with transition probability $(p_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Q}^+}$, where $\Omega_0, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_t^0, X_t^0$ are the following objects:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0 &= X_\Delta^{\mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}} \\ X_t^0(\omega) &= \omega(t), \quad \omega \in \Omega_0 \\ \mathcal{M} &= \sigma[X_t^0(\omega); t \in \mathbb{Q}^+] \\ \mathcal{M}_t^0 &= \sigma[X_s^0(\omega); s \leq t, s \in \mathbb{Q}^+] . \end{aligned}$$

We let $r \geq 2$ and assume that

(6) the $F_{r,p} \cap C_\infty(X)$ is dense not only in $F_{r,p}$, but also in $C_\infty(X)$,

where $C_\infty(X) = \{f \in C(X_\Delta); f(\Delta) = 0\}$. Under the assumption, we have a sequence $\{t_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \subset \mathbb{Q}^+$ decreasing to 0, which satisfies

(7) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} p_{t_j} f(x) = f(x)$, for any $f \in C_\infty(X)$ and $x \in X - N$

for some N with $C_{r,p}(N) = 0$, because we can see this for a dense subclass of $C_\infty(X)$, contained in $F_{r,p}$, as in the proof of Corollary in §3. An increasing sequence $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of closed sets with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C_{r,p}(X - F_k) = 0$ is said to be a $C_{r,p}$ -nest. The condition (6) further implies that each $u \in F_{r,p}$ admits a $C_{r,p}$ -nest $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ for which $u|_{F_k \cup \{\Delta\}}$ are continuous functions vanishing at Δ , $k=1, 2, 3, \dots$. The totality of such functions is denoted by $C_\infty(\{F_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)$. Here, we shall show a crucial lemma.

Lemma 3. *Under the assumption (6), we get the followings:*

(i) For any decreasing sequence $\{O_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of open sets with $C_{r,p}(O_n) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$P_x(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{O_n}^0 = \infty) = 1, \text{ for } C_{r,p}\text{-q.e. } x \in X,$$

where $\sigma_A^0 = \inf \{t > 0; X_t^0 \in A\}$.

(ii) If we let $\Omega_1 = \{\omega \in \Omega_0; \text{the sample path } X_t^0(\omega) \text{ has left- and right-hand limits in } X, \text{ for all } t > 0\}$, then

$$P_x(\Omega_1) = 1, \text{ for } C_{r,p}\text{-q.e. } x \in X.$$

(iii) If we let $X_t(\omega) = \lim_{s \uparrow t, s \in \mathbb{Q}^+} X_s^0(\omega)$ and $\Omega_2 = \{\omega \in \Omega_1; X_t(\omega) = X_t^0(\omega), t \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \text{ and } X^0(\omega) = x\}$, then

$$P_x(\Omega_2) = 1, \text{ for } C_{r,p}\text{-q.e. } x \in X.$$

(iv) Let $\Omega_3 = \{\omega \in \Omega_2; \text{if } X_t(\omega) \in X \text{ then the trajectory of the sample path up to the time } t \text{ lies in a compact subset of } X \text{ for all } t > 0\}$, then

$$P_x(\Omega_3) = 1, \text{ for } C_{r,p}\text{-q.e. } x \in X.$$

(v) There exists a Borel set $Z \subset X$ and $\Gamma_0 \subset \mathcal{M}$ satisfying $C_{r,p}(X - Z) = 0$, $P_x(\Gamma_0) = 0$ for all $x \in Z$ and the inclusion

$$\{\omega \in \Omega_3; \text{for some } t \geq 0, \text{ either } X_t(\omega) \text{ or } \lim_{s \uparrow t} X_s(\omega) \text{ is not in } Z\} \subset \Gamma_0.$$

(vi) Put $\mathcal{M}_t = \bigcup_{s \leq t, s \in \mathbb{Q}^+} \mathcal{M}_s^0$. Consider the restrictions of \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{M}_t , X_t and P_x to

the set $\Omega = \Omega_3 - \Gamma_0$ and denote them by the same notations. Then the quintuplet $M_Z = \{\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_t, X_t, P_x\}_{x \in Z}$ becomes a Hunt process on Z .

Proof. (i) Every open set O of finite capacity possesses a unique norm-minimizing element e_o in the set $\{u \in F_{r,p}; u \geq 1 \text{ m-a.e. on } O\}$. As a version of e_o , take e_o a function expressed as $v_r f$ for some non-negative function f in L_p . Clearly we have then

$$e^{-t} p_t \hat{e}_o(x) \leq e_o(x)$$

which means that $\{Y_t = e^{-t} \hat{e}_o(X_t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{Q}^+}$ is $\{\mathcal{M}_t, P_x\}$ -supermartingale for each $x \in X$.

Applying Doob's optional sampling theorem to $\{Y_t, \mathcal{M}_t, P_x\}$ $x \in X$ and noting that the process $\{X_t^0\}_{t \in \mathbb{Q}^+}$ does not hit the set $\{x \in 0; \hat{e}_o(x) < 1\}$ with P_x -a.e. $x \in X$, we obtain

$$E_x(\exp(-\sigma_0^0)) \leq \hat{e}_o \quad C_{r,p}\text{-q.e.}$$

The statement (i) follows from this inequality.

(ii) Take a countably dense subset $C_2 \subset C_0^+(X)$. There exists a nest $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that

$$(8) \quad \text{The convergence (7) holds on } \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty F_k,$$

$$(9) \quad \bigcup_{l=1+[r], 2+[r], \dots} v_l(C_2) \subset C^\infty(\{F_k\}_{k=1}^\infty).$$

Here, we know that the family of functions of the left hand side of (9) separates the point of $Z_0 = (\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty F_k) \cup \{\Delta\}$. In fact, if we suppose for $x, y \in Z_0$

$$v_l f(x) = v_l f(y), \quad \text{for any } f \in C_2, l = 1+[r], 2+[r], \dots$$

then $p_t f(x) = p_t f(y)$, $t > 0, f \in C_2$, by the uniqueness of the Laplace transformation. Letting t tend to 0 along the sequence $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, we see that $f(x) = f(y)$, $f \in C_2$ by (8) and $x = y$.

Hence, for the event $\Omega_{00} = \{\omega \in \Omega_0; \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{X-F_k}^0(\omega) = \infty\}$, we have that

$$\Omega_{00} - \Omega_1 \subset \{\omega \in \Omega_0; \text{for some } k \text{ and some } t < \sigma_{X-F_k}^0 \\ X_s^0(\omega) \text{ does not have the right- or left-hand limit at } t\}.$$

Since the process $\{e^{-s} v_l f(X_s^0(\omega)), \mathcal{M}_s, P_x\}$ is a non-negative supermartingale, the P_x measure of the right hand side is zero. In view of (i), we know that $P_x(\Omega_{00}) = 1, C_{r,p}$ -q.e. and so is Ω_1 .

(iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) The proofs can be performed in the same way as in [5; Chapter 6, §2]. q.e.d.

We extend the Hunt process of Lemma 3 (vi) to the Hunt process on X by letting each point of $X-Z$ be trap.

Theorem 3. *There exists a Hunt process $M = \{\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_t, X_t, P_x\}_{x \in X_\Delta}$ satisfying that*

$$(10) \text{ for each } f \in L_p, E_x(f(X_t)) \text{ is a } C_{r,p}\text{-quasi-continuous modification of } P_t f.$$

If $M' = \{\Omega', \mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'_t, X'_t, P'_x\}_{x \in X_\Delta}$ is another Hunt process with property (10), then the induced probability laws of X_t and X'_t on the path space $\tilde{\Omega} = \{\tilde{\omega}; [0, \infty) \mapsto X, \tilde{\omega}(t) \text{ is right continuous with left limits in } t\}$ coincide for $C_{r,p}$ -q.e. $x \in X$.

Proof. The existence is already shown. To prove the part of the uniqueness, it suffices to show that for M' with the property (10)

$$\begin{aligned} E_x(f_1(X_{t_1})f_2(X_{t_2}) \cdots f_n(X_{t_n})) \\ = E'_x(f_1(X'_{t_1})f_2(X'_{t_2}) \cdots f_n(X'_{t_n})), \\ C_{r,p}\text{-q.e.}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in C_2, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{Q}^+$. But this is clear from (10). q.e.d.

In the symmetric case, we have a criterion for the sample path continuity of the Hunt process M .

Let us consider a strongly continuous semi-group $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of Markovian symmetric operator on L_2 . As stated in §2, it can be regarded as a strongly contraction analytic semi-group in L_p ($1 < p < \infty$). We assume that the regularity (6) for the associate space $F_{r,p}$ and $F_{1,2}$.

Theorem 4. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) *The Dirichlet space $F_{1,2}$ is local in the sense that the pair $u, v \in F_{1,2}$ with disjoint supports always enjoys the property $(u, v)_{F_{1,2}} = 0$.*
- (ii) *M is a diffusion in the sense*

$$P_x(\omega \in \Omega; \text{the sample path is continuous}) = 1, \quad C_{r,p}\text{-q.e.}$$

Proof. Let us set $q(x) = P_x(\omega \in \Omega; \text{for some } t > 0, \lim_{s \uparrow t} X_s(\omega) \neq X_t(\omega))$. If $q(x)$ vanishes m -a.e., then $q(x) = 0$ $C_{r,p}$ -q.e. Because the function $P_x(\omega \in \Omega; \text{for some } t > 1/n, \lim_{s \uparrow t} X_s(\omega) \neq X_t(\omega)) = p_{1/n} q(x)$ then vanishing $C_{r,p}$ -q.e. Since M can be also regarded as the diffusion as a realization of the L_2 -semi-group, the first statement of Theorem 4 combined with a general theorem related to the Dirichlet space implies that $q(x) = 0$ m -a.e. The proof of theorem is completed. q.e.d.

EXAMPLE. Suppose that a uniformly elliptic partial differential operator

$L = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \partial^2/\partial x_i \partial x_j + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \partial/\partial x_i + c(x)$ possesses bounded smooth coefficients in the sense that $a_{ij}(x) \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $b_i(x) \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \xi^i \xi^j \geq \delta |\xi|^2$ for some $\delta > 0$, and that $c(x)$ is bounded non-positive.

The resolvent R_λ on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $\|R_\lambda\| \leq C/(1+|\lambda|)$ in the domain $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \geq \alpha\}$ with some positive C and α . Owing to a well known theorem of K. Yosida [18; Chapter IX, 10], the corresponding semi-group is analytic in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Obviously the semi-group $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is Markovian and contractive. We observe that the dual semi-group has the same properties. By the method of interpolation mentioned in E.M. Stein [16] and above observation, we know that in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is analytic whenever $1 < p < \infty$.

The Sobolev space $W_p^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the domain of the closed extension of L with domain $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with the space of potentials $F_{2,p}$ with equivalent norms. Since $W_p^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the assumption (6), Theorem 3 gives us the corresponding Hunt process in the $C_{2,p}$ -refined sense. The Sobolev imbedding theorem assures that " $C_{2,p}$ -q.e." becomes "everywhere" when $2p > n$. Consequently the Hunt process is uniquely associated without exceptional starting point.

References

- [1] D.R. Adams: *Maximal operators and capacity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (1972), 152–156.
- [2] A.B. Cruzeiro: *Convergence au bord pour les fonctions harmoniques dans \mathbb{R}^d de la classe de Sobolev W_1^q* , C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **294** (1982), 71–74.
- [3] D. Feyel and A. de La Pradelle: *Processus associés à une classe d'espaces de Banach fonctionnels*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **43** (1978), 339–351.
- [4] M. Fukushima: *Capacity maximal inequalities and an ergodic theorem*, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 1021, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982, 130–136.
- [5] M. Fukushima: *Dirichlet forms and Markov processes*, North-Holland and Kodansha, Tokyo, 1980.
- [6] M. Fukushima and H. Kaneko: *On (r, p)-capacities for general Markovian semi-groups*, Proc. USP-meeting Bielefeld 'Stochastic process and infinite dimensional analysis', 1983, ed. by S. Albeverio, Pitman.
- [7] R.K. Gettoor: *On the construction of kernels*, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 465, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974/75, 443–463.
- [8] Y. Le Jan: *Quasi-continuous functions and Hunt processes*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **35** (1983), 37–42.
- [9] P. Malliavin: *Implicit functions in finite corank on the Wiener space*, Proc. Taniguchi Intern. Symp. on Stochastic Analysis, Katata and Kyoto, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1982.

- [10] S.C. Menendez: *Processus de Markov associé a une forme de Dirichlet non symétrique*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **33** (1975), 139–154.
- [11] N.G. Meyers: *A theory of capacities for potentials of functions in Lebesgue classes*, Math. Scand. **68** (1970), 255–292.
- [12] A. Nagel, W. Rudin and J.H. Shapiro: *Tangential boundary behavior of function in Dirichlet-type spaces*, Ann. of Math. **116** (1982), 331–360.
- [13] G.C. Rota: *An “Aternieven de Verfahren” for general positive operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1968), 95–102.
- [14] M.L. Silverstein: *Symmetric Markov processes*, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 426, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [15] E.M. Stein: *Singular integral and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [16] E.M. Stein: *Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood-Paley theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [17] M. Takeda: *(r, p) -capacity on the Wiener space and properties of Brownian motion*, Z. Wahrsch. Verv. Gebiete **68** (1984), 149–162.
- [18] K. Yosida: *Functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Osaka University
Toyonaka, Osaka 560
Japan