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Introduction. The purpose of this article is to prove the following two theorems:

Theorem 1. Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let $A$ be a $k$-subalgebra of a polynomial ring $B:=k[x, y]$ such that $B$ is a flat $A$-module of finite type. Then $A$ is a polynomial ring in two variables over $k$.

Theorem 2. Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let $B:=k[x, y, z]$ be a polynomial ring in three variables over $k$. Assume that there is given a nontrivial action of the additive group $G_{a}$ on the affine 3-space $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{3}:=\operatorname{Spec}(B)$ over $k$. Let $A$ be the subring of $G_{a}$-invariant elements in $B$. Assume that $A$ is regular. Then $A$ is a polynomial ring in two variables over $k$.

Theorem 1 was formerly proved in part under one of the following additional conditions (cf. [7; pp. 139-142]):
(1) $B$ is etale over $A$,
(2) $A$ is the invariant subring in $B$ with respect to an action of a finite group.

In proofs of both theorems, substantial roles will be played by the following theorem, which is a consequence of the results obtained in Fujita [1], MiyanishiSugie [8] and Miyanishi [6]:

Theorem 0. Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let $X=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ be a nonsingular affine surface defined over $k$. Then the following assertions hold true:
(1) $X$ contains a nonempty cylinderlike open set, i.e., there exists a dominant morphism $\rho: X \rightarrow C$ from $X$ to a nonsingular curve $C$ whose general fibers are isomorphic to the affine line $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$, if and only if $X$ has the logarithmic Kodaira dimension $\bar{\kappa}(X)=-\infty$.
(2) $X$ is isomorphic to the affine plane $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{2}$ if and only if $X$ has the logarithmic Kodaira dimension $\bar{\kappa}(X)=-\infty, A$ is a unique factorization domain, and $A^{*}=$ $k^{*}$, where $A^{*}$ is the set of invertible elements in $A$ and $k^{*}=k-(0)$.

In this article, the ground field $k$ is always assumed to be an algebraically

[^0]closed field of characteristic zero. For the definition and relevant results on logarithmic pluri-genera and the logarithmic Kodaira dimension of an algebraic variety, we refer to Iitaka [3]. An algebraic action of the additive group $G_{a}$ on an affine scheme $\operatorname{Spec}(B)$ over $k$ can be interpreted in terms of a locally nilpotent $k$-derivation $\Delta$ on $B$. In particular, the subring $A$ of $G_{a}$-invariant elements in $B$ is identified with the set of elements $b$ of $B$ such that $\Delta(b)=0$. For results on $G_{a}$-actions necessary in the subsequent arguments, we refer to [7; pp. 14-24]. The Picard group, i.e., the divisor class group, of a nonsingular variety $V$ over $k$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Pic}(V)$; for a $k$-algebra $A, A^{*}$ denotes the multiplicative group of all invertible elements of $A$; the affine $n$-space over $k$ is denoted by $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{n} ; \boldsymbol{Q}$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{Z}$ ) denotes the field of rational numbers (resp. the ring of rational integers).

## 1. Proof of Theorem 1

### 1.1. We shall begin with

Lemma. Let $Y$ be a nonsingular, rational, affine surface, and let $f: Y \rightarrow C$ be a surjective morphism from $Y$ onto a nonsingular rational curve whose general fibers are isomorphic to the affine line $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$. Then we have:
(1) Let $F$ be a fiber of $f$. If $F$ is irreducible and reduced, then $F$ is isomorphic to the affine line $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$. If $F$ is singular, i.e., $F \cong \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$, then $F_{\text {red }}$ is a disjoint union of the affine lines.
(2) For a point $P \in C$, we denote by $\mu_{P}$ the number of irreducible components of the fiber $f^{-1}(P)$. If $C$ is isomorphic to the projective line $\boldsymbol{P}_{k}^{1}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \operatorname{Pic}(Y) \otimes_{\boldsymbol{Z}} \boldsymbol{Q}=1+\sum_{P \in G}\left(\mu_{P}-1\right)
$$

If $C$ is isomorphic to the affine line $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \operatorname{Pic}(Y) \underset{\boldsymbol{Z}}{\otimes} \boldsymbol{Q}=\sum_{P \in O}\left(\mu_{P}-1\right)
$$

Proof. There exists a nonsingular projective surface $W$ such that $W$ contains $Y$ as a dense open set and that the boundary curve $E:=W-Y$ has only normal crossings as singularities. The surjective morphism $f: Y \rightarrow C$ defines an irreducible linear pencil $\Lambda$ on $W$. By eliminating base points of $\Lambda$ by a succession of quadratic transformations, we may assume that $\Lambda$ is free from base points. Then the general members of $\Lambda$ are nonsingular rational curves, and the pencil $\Lambda$ defines a surjective morphism $\varphi: W \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}_{k}^{1}$. The boundary curve $E$ contains a unique irreducible component $E_{0}$ which is a cross-section of $\varphi$, and the other components of $E$ are contained in the fibers of $\varphi$. We may assume that a fiber of $\varphi$ lying outside of $Y$ is irreducible. Let $S$ be a fiber of $\varphi$ such that $S \cap Y \neq \phi$. If $S$ is irreducible then $S \cong \boldsymbol{P}_{k}^{1}$ and $S \cap Y=S-S \cap E_{0} \cong \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$.

Suppose $S$ is reducible. If $S \cap Y$ is irreducible and reduced, we may contract all irreducible components of $S$ lying outside of $Y$ without losing generalities (cf. [7; Lemma 2.2, p. 115]). Hence, $S \cap Y \cong \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$. We may apply Lemma 1.3 of Kambayashi-Miyanishi [5] to obtain the same conclusion. Assume that $S \cap Y$ is singular. Then $S_{\text {red }}$ has the following decomposition into irreducible components,

$$
S_{\mathrm{red}}=\sum_{i} T_{i}+\sum_{j} Z_{j}
$$

where $T_{i} \cap Y \neq \phi$ and $Z_{j} \cap Y=\phi$. By virtue of [7; ibid.], every component of $S_{\text {red }}$ is a nonsingular rational curve, $S_{\text {red }}$ is a connected curve, and the dual graph of $S_{\text {red }}$ contains no circular chains. On the other hand, since $Y$ is affine, $Y$ does not contain any complete curve and $E$ is connected, whence we know that if some $T_{i}$ meets the cross-section $E_{0}$ then $S_{\text {red }}$ has no components lying outside of $Y$. Suppose $S \cap Y$ is irreducible. Then $S_{\text {red }} \cap Y \cong \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$, for, if otherwise, the dual graph of $S_{\text {red }}$ would contain a circular chain. Suppose $S \cap Y$ is reducible. If either $T_{i} \cap Y \nsupseteq \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$ for some component $T_{i}$ or $T_{i} \cap T_{l} \cap$ $Y \neq \phi$ for distinct components $T_{i}$ and $T_{l}$, the dual graph of $S_{\text {red }}$ would contain a circular chain. Therefore, every irreducible component of $S \cap Y$ is a connected component, and isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$. This proves the first assertion.

Next, we shall prove the second assertion. Let $L$ be an irreducible fiber of $\varphi$. Then the $\boldsymbol{Q}$-vector space $\operatorname{Pic}(W) \underset{\boldsymbol{Z}}{\boldsymbol{Q}}$ has a basis of the divisor classes of the following curves:
(i) $E_{0}$, (ii) $L$, (iii) all irreducible components of a singular fiber $S$ of $\varphi$ except one component meeting $Y$, where $S$ ranges over all singular fibers of $\varphi$.
The $\boldsymbol{Q}$-vector space $\operatorname{Pic}(Y) \underset{\boldsymbol{Z}}{\boldsymbol{Q}} \boldsymbol{Q}$ is generated by a part of the above basis consisting of all classes of curves which meet $Y$; when $C \cong \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$, we take $L$ to be the unique irreducible fiber lying outside of $Y$. Then we obtain immediately the equalities in the second assertion. Q.E.D.
1.2. With the notations in Theorem 1, set $V:=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathrm{A})$ and $W:=\operatorname{Spec}(B)$. The inclusion $A \hookrightarrow B$ induces a finite flat morphism $\pi: W \rightarrow V$. Since $\pi$ is finite, $\pi$ is faithfully flat. Therefore, $V$ is a nonsingular, rational affine surface. We have the following

Lemma. (1) $V$ has the logarithmic Kodaira dimension $\bar{\kappa}(V)=-\infty$. (2) $A^{*}=k^{*}$.

Proof. The second assertion is clear. As for the first assertion, note that $\pi: W \rightarrow V$ is a dominant morphism. Denote by $\bar{P}_{m}(V)$ the logarithmic $m$-th genus of $V$ for an integer $m \geqq 0$. Then we have

$$
0=\bar{P}_{m}(W) \geqq \bar{P}_{m}(V) \text { for every } \quad m \gg 0
$$

(cf. Iitaka [3]). Hence, $\bar{\kappa}(V)=-\infty$.
Q.E.D.
1.3. We shall prove the following

Lemma. $A$ is a polynomial ring in two variables over $k$.
Proof. Our proof consists of the paragraphs 1.3.1~1.3.5.
1.3.1. By virtue of Theorem 0 and Lemma 1.2, $V$ contains a nonempty cylinderlike open set. Namely, there exists a dominant morphism $\rho: V \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}_{k}^{1}$ such that general fibers of $\rho$ are isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$.
1.3.2. Let $n:=\operatorname{deg} \pi$. Then we claim:

For every divisor $D$ on $V, n D$ is linearly equivalent to 0 , i.e., $n D \sim 0$.
In effect, $\pi^{*}(D) \sim 0$ because $\operatorname{Pic}(W)=0$. Since $\pi$ is proper, we have $\pi_{*} \pi^{*}(D)=n D \sim 0$ by the projection formula.
1.3.3. We claim that:
(1) $\rho(V) \cong \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1} ;$
(2) If $\rho^{-1}(P)$ is a singular fiber of $\rho$, it is of the form $\rho^{-1}(P)=n_{P} C_{P}$, where $n_{P} \geqq 2, n_{P} \mid n$ and $C_{P} \cong \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$.

Proof. (1) By 1.3.2, we have $\operatorname{rank}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \operatorname{Pic}(V) \underset{\boldsymbol{Z}}{\otimes} \boldsymbol{Q}=0$. Suppose $\rho(V)=\boldsymbol{P}_{k}^{1}$. Then, Lemma 1.1 implies that

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \operatorname{Pic}(V) \underset{\boldsymbol{Z}}{\otimes} \boldsymbol{Q}=1+\sum_{P \in \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{1}}\left(\mu_{P}-1\right)
$$

Since $\mu_{P} \geqq 1$, we have $\operatorname{rank}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \operatorname{Pic}(V) \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}>0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\rho(V)$ is an affine open set of $\boldsymbol{P}_{k}^{1}$. Since $A^{*}=k^{*}, \rho(V)$ must be isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$.
(2) By Lemma 1.1, we have

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \operatorname{Pic}(V) \underset{\boldsymbol{Z}}{\otimes \boldsymbol{Q}}=\sum_{P \in \rho(V)}\left(\mu_{P}-1\right)=0
$$

Hence $\mu_{P}=1$ for all points $P \in \rho(V)$. This implies that a singular fiber of $\rho$ (if it exists at all) is of the form

$$
\rho^{-1}(P)=n_{P} C_{P}, \quad \text { where } \quad n_{P} \geqq 2 \quad \text { and } \quad C_{P} \cong \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}
$$

Let $m$ be the order of $C_{P}$, i.e., $m$ is the least positive integer such that $m C_{P} \sim 0$. Since $n_{P} C_{P} \sim 0$, we have $m \mid n_{P}$. Write $n_{P}=s m$. Let $t$ be an inhomogeneous coordinate of $\rho(V)$. Then $t$ is everywhere defined on $V$; we may assume that $P \in \rho(V)$ is defined by $t=0$. Since $m C_{P} \sim 0$ and $n_{P} C_{P}=(t)$ (=the divisor
defined by $t=0$ on $V$ ), there exists an element $t^{\prime} \in A$ such that $t=\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{s}$. If $s>1$ then $t-\alpha=\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{s}-\alpha$ has distinct $s$ components for every $\alpha \in k^{*}$. This contradicts the irreducibility of general fibers of $\rho$. Hence $s=1$, i.e., $m=n_{P}$. Since $n C_{P} \sim 0$, we have $n_{P} \mid n$.
Q.E.D.
1.3.4. Let $t$ be the same as defined in 1.3.3. Suppose $\rho$ has a singular fiber $\rho^{-1}(P)$. Since $\pi^{*} C_{P} \sim 0$, there exists an element $\tau \in B$ such that $t=\tau^{n} P$. Let $A^{\prime}=A \underset{k[t]}{\otimes} k[\tau]$. Since $A$ is flat over $k[t]$ (cf. [EGA (IV, 15.4.2)]), $A^{\prime}$ is identified with a $k$-subalgebra $A[\tau]$ of $B$. Let $A$ be the normalization of $A^{\prime}$ is $B$. Let $\tilde{V}=\operatorname{Spec}(\tilde{A})$. Then the morphism $\pi: W \rightarrow V$ factors as

$$
\pi: W \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} \tilde{V} \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} V
$$

Let $n_{1}=\operatorname{deg} \pi_{1}$ and $n_{2}=\operatorname{deg} \pi_{2}$. Then $n=n_{1} \cdot n_{2}$, and $n_{1} \tilde{D} \sim 0$ for every divisor $\tilde{D}$ on $\tilde{V}$. We claim that:
(1) $\tilde{V}$ is a nonsingular, rational, affine surface endowed with a dominant morphism $\tilde{\rho}: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}:=\operatorname{Spec}(k[\tau])$, which is induced by $\rho$. Hence, general fibers of $\tilde{\rho}$ are isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$.
(2) The fibration $\tilde{\rho}$ has a singular fiber with two or more irreducible components.

Proof. Let $Q$ be a point on $C_{P}$. There exist local parameters $\xi, \eta$ of $V$ at $Q$ such that $C_{P}$ is defined locally by $\xi=0$. Then $t=u \xi^{n} P$ for an invertible element $u$ of $\mathcal{O}_{Q, V}$. Let $\theta:=\tau / \xi$. Then $\tilde{V}$ is analytically isomorphic to a hypersurface $\theta^{n_{P}}=u$ in the $(\theta, \xi, \eta)$-space in a neighborhood of $\pi_{2}^{-1}(Q)$, and $\tilde{V}$ is smooth at every point of $\pi_{2}^{-1}(Q)$ by the Jacobian criterion. Since $Q$ is arbitrary on $C_{P}, \tilde{V}$ is smooth along $\left(\rho \cdot \pi_{2}\right)^{-1}(P)$. Let $\rho^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ be another fiber of $\rho$. Then $\rho^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)=n_{P^{\prime}} C_{P^{\prime}}$, where $n_{P^{\prime}} \geqq 1$ and $C_{P^{\prime}} \cong \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$. Let $Q^{\prime}$ be a point on $C_{P^{\prime}}$. Then there exist local parameters $\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}$ such that $C_{P^{\prime}}$ is defined locally by $\xi^{\prime}=0$ and $t-\alpha=u^{\prime}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)^{n_{P}}$ where $\alpha \in k^{*}$ and $u^{\prime}$ is an invertible element of $\mathcal{O}_{Q^{\prime}, V}$. Then $\tilde{V}$ is analytically isomorphic to a hypersurface $\tau^{n_{P}}-\alpha=$ $u^{\prime}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)^{n_{P^{\prime}}}$ in the $\left(\tau, \xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)$-space. By the Jacobian criterion, $\tilde{V}$ is smooth at every point of $\pi_{2}^{-1}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$. Since $Q^{\prime}$ is arbitrary on $C_{P^{\prime}}, \tilde{V}$ is smooth along $\left(\rho \cdot \pi_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$. Thus we know that $\tilde{V}$ is smooth.

Let $\tilde{\rho}: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}:=\operatorname{Spec}(k[\tau])$ be the canonical morphism induced by $\rho$. The generic fibers of $\rho$ and $\tilde{\rho}$ are isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spec}\left(A \otimes_{k(t)} k(t)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Spec}(A \underset{k t t}{\otimes} k(\tau))$, respectively. Since $\operatorname{Spec}(A \underset{k t t}{\otimes} k(t)) \simeq \boldsymbol{A}_{k(t)}^{1}$, we know that $\operatorname{Spec}(A \underset{k t t}{\otimes} k(\tau)) \cong \boldsymbol{A}_{k(\tau)}^{1}$. Hence, general fibers of $\tilde{\rho}$ are isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$.

Let $P$ be the point on $\operatorname{Spec}(k[\tau])$ lying above $P$ on $\operatorname{Spec}(k[t])$. Then the fiber $\tilde{\rho}^{-1}(\bar{P})$ has $n_{P}$ analytic branches over any point $Q$ of $C_{P}$, for $\tilde{\rho}^{-1}(\widetilde{P})$ is analytically defined by $\theta^{n_{P}}=u$ as shown in the proof of the first assertion.

Hence, by Lemma 1.1, $\tilde{\rho}^{-1}(\tilde{P})$ has $n_{P}$ connected components, each of which is isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$.
Q.E.D.
1.3.5. As remarked in 1.3.4, $\operatorname{Pic}(\tilde{V}) \underset{\boldsymbol{Z}}{\otimes \boldsymbol{Q}=0 \text {. However, if } \rho: V \rightarrow \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1} \text { has a }}$ singular fiber, Lemma 1.1 implies that $\operatorname{rank}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \operatorname{Pic}(\tilde{V}){\underset{Z}{\boldsymbol{Z}}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{\boldsymbol{Q}}>0$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $\rho$ has no singular fibers. Then, by virtue of [5; Th. 1], $V$ is an $\boldsymbol{A}^{1}$-bundle over $\rho(V)=\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$. Hence, we know that $V \cong \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{2}$. Namely, $A$ is a polynomial ring in two variables over $k$. This completes a proof of Lemma 1.3 as well as a proof of Theorem 1.
1.4. Remark. Theorem 1 is a generalization of the following result in the case of dimension 1:

Let $k$ be a field, and let $A$ be a normal, 1-dimensional $k$-subalgebra of a polynomial ring over $k$. Then $A$ is a polynomial ring over $k$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 2

2.1. We retain the notations and the assumptions of Theorem 2. Let $L:=$ $k(x, y, z)$, and let $K$ be the invariant subfield of $L$ with respect to the induced $G_{a}$-action on $L$. Then, $A=B \cap K$. Since $B$ is normal and trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k} K=2$, we know by Zariski's Theorem (cf. Nagata [9; Th. 4, p. 52]) that $A$ is finitely generated over $k$. By assumption, $A$ is regular. By virtue of [7; Lemma 1.3.1, p. 16], we know that $A$ is a unique factorization domain and $A^{*}=k^{*}$.
2.2. Let $W=\operatorname{Spec}(B)$, let $V=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$, and let $\pi: W \rightarrow V$ be the dominant morphism induced by the injection $A \hookrightarrow B$. We shall prove

Lemma. $\pi: W \rightarrow V$ is a faithfully flat, equi-dimensional morphism of dimension 1.

Proof. (1) We shall show that $B$ is flat over $A$. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime ideal of $B$ and let $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{q} \cap A$. Then $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ dominates $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular and $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is flat over $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by virtue of [EGA (IV, 15.4.2)]. Hence $B$ is flat over $A$.
(2) We shall show that $\pi$ is surjective. Suppose $\pi$ is not surjective. Then there exists a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $A$ such that $\mathfrak{m} B=B$. Let $(\Im, t \Im)$ be a discrete valuation ring of $K$ such that $\mathfrak{O}$ dominates $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Let $R:=B \underset{A}{\otimes} \mathfrak{O}$. Since $B$ is $A$-flat, $R$ is identified with a subring of $L$. Let $\Delta$ be a locally nilpotent derivation on $B$ associated to the given $G_{a}$-action. Then $\Delta$ extends to a locally nilpotent $\mathfrak{O}$-derivation, and $\mathfrak{O}$ is the ring of $\Delta$-invariants in $R$, i.e., $\mathfrak{O}=\{r \in R ; \Delta(r)=0\}$. By assumption, we have $t R=R$, where $t$ is a uniformisant of $\mathfrak{D}$. Hence $t r=1$ for some element $r \in R$. Then $t \Delta(r)=0$, whence $r \in \mathfrak{O}$. This is a contradiction.
(3) Note that general fibers of $\pi$ are isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$. Hence, each irreducible component has dimension $\geqq 1$. Suppose that some component $T$ of a fiber $\pi^{-1}(P)$ (with $P \in V$ ) has dimension 2. Since $B$ is factorial, there exists an irreducible element $b \in B$ such that $T$ is defined by $b=0$. Since $T$ is invariant with respect to the $G_{a}$-action, we know that $b \in A$. Let $C=$ Spec $(A / b A)$. Then $C$ is an irreducible curve and $\pi^{-1}(C)=T \subset \pi^{-1}(P)$. This is a contradiction because $\pi$ is surjective. Thus, $\pi$ is a faithfully flat, equidimensional morphism of dimension $1 . \quad$ Q.E.D.
2.3. Let $U$ be the subset of all points $P$ of $V$ such that $\pi^{-1}(P)$ is irreducible and reduced. Then $U$ is a dense open set of $V$. By virtue of [5; Th. 1], $\pi^{-1}(U):=\underset{V}{W} U$ is an $\boldsymbol{A}^{1}$-bundle over $U$.
2.4. We shall prove the following:

Lemma. $\quad V$ has the logarithmic Kodaira dimension $\bar{\pi}(V)=-\infty$.
Proof. We follow the arguments of Iitaka-Fujita [4]. Let $X$ be a hyperplane in $W \cong \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{3}$ such that $X \cap \pi^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$. Suppose that $\bar{\kappa}(V) \geqq 0$. Let $C$ be a prime divisor in $X$. Consider a morphism:

$$
\varphi: C \times \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1} \hookrightarrow X \times \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}=W \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\pi}} V,
$$

and assume that $\varphi$ is a dominant morphism. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(C \times \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{dim} V=2$, we know by [3; Prop. 1] that

$$
0=\bar{P}_{m}\left(C \times \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}\right) \geqq \bar{P}_{m}(V) \geqq 1 \quad \text { for for every } \quad m \gg 0
$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $\varphi$ is not a dominant morphism. Let $D$ be the closure of $\varphi\left(C \times \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}\right)$ in $V$. Then $C \times \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1} \subset \pi^{-1}(D)$. Suppose $C \cap \pi^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$. Then the general fibers of $\pi: \pi^{-1}(D) \rightarrow D$ are isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$. Hence $\pi^{-1}(D)$ is irreducible and reduced. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(C \times A_{k}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \pi^{-1}(D)=2$, we have $C \times \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}=\pi^{-1}(D)$.

Let $Q$ be a point on $X$, and let $C_{1}, \cdots, C_{r}$ be prime divisors of $X$ such that $C_{1} \cap \cdots \cap C_{r}=\{Q\}$ and that $C_{i} \cap \pi^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$ for every $1 \leqq i \leqq r$. For any point $Q$ of $X$, we can find such a set of prime divisors. In effect, $X$ is the affine plane $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{2}$ and $X \cap\left(W-\pi^{-1}(U)\right)$ has dimension $\leqq 1$. Thus, we have only to take a set of suitably chosen lines on $X$ passing through $Q$. Let $D_{i}$ be the irreducible curve which is the closure of $\varphi\left(C_{i} \times \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}\right)$ in $V$, where $1 \leqq i \leqq r$. Then $C_{i} \times \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}=\pi^{-1}\left(D_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq r$. Since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(Q) \times \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1} & =\left(C_{1} \cap \cdots \cap C_{r}\right) \times \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}=\left(C_{1} \times \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}\right) \cap \cdots \cap\left(C_{r} \times \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}\right) \\
& =\pi^{-1}\left(D_{1}\right) \cap \cdots \cap \pi^{-1}\left(D_{r}\right)=\pi^{-1}\left(D_{1} \cap \cdots \cap D_{r}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we know that $D_{1} \cap \cdots \cap D_{r}=\{P\}$, a point in $V$. The correspondence $Q \mapsto P$ defines a morphism $\psi: X \rightarrow V$. If $\psi$ is a dominant morphism, we have

$$
0=\bar{P}_{m}(X) \geqq \bar{P}_{m}(V) \geqq 1 \quad \text { for every } \quad m \gg 0,
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\psi$ is not a dominant morphism. Let $F$ be the closure of $\psi(X)$ in $V$. Then, for every point $P$ of $F$, we have $\operatorname{dim} \psi^{-1}(P) \geqq 1$, and $\pi\left(\psi^{-1}(P) \times \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}\right)=\psi\left(\psi^{-1}(P)\right)=P$. This contradicts Lemma 2.2. Therefore, $\bar{\kappa}(V)=-\infty$.
Q.E.D.
2.5. As observed in the above arguments, $V$ is a nonsingular, rational, affine surface such that $\bar{c}(V)=-\infty, A$ is a unique factorization domain and $A^{*}=k^{*}$. Then, by virtue of Theorem $0, V$ is isomorphic to the affine plane $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{2}$. Namely, $A$ is a polynomial ring in two variables over $k$. This completes a proof of Theorem 2.
2.6. We do not know whether or not the regularity condition on $A$ can be eliminated. As a view-point of practical use, the following result might be interesting:

Proposition. Let the notations and the assumptions be the same as in Theorem 2. Instead of the regularity condition on $A$, we assume that $A$ contains a coordinate, say z. Then $A$ is a polynomial ring in two variables over $k$.

Proof. (1) Let $H_{\infty}$ be the hyperplane $z=\alpha$ in $W:=\operatorname{Spec}(B)$ for every $\alpha \in k$. Since $z$ is $G_{a}$-invariant, the hyperplane $H_{\infty}$ is $G_{a}$-invariant. Set $B_{a}:=$ $B /(z-\alpha) B \cong k[x, y]$. Let $R_{\alpha}$ be the invariant subring of $B_{\alpha}$ with respect to the induced $G_{a}$-action on $H_{\alpha}$. Then it is clear that we have the following inclusions:

$$
A_{\alpha}:=A /(z-\alpha) A \hookrightarrow R_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow B_{\alpha}
$$

For a general element $\alpha \in k$, the induced $G_{a}$-action on $H_{a}$ is nontrivial. Hence $R_{a}$ is a one-parameter polynomial ring over $k$ (cf. [7; Lemma, p. 39]).
(2) Let $\mathfrak{f}=k(z)$, let $B_{\mathrm{f}}:=\left\{[x, y]\right.$ and let $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ be the $G_{a}$-invariant subring of $B_{\mathrm{f}}$ with respect to the induced $G_{a}$-action on $B_{\mathrm{f}}$. Then it is not hard to show that $R_{\mathrm{f}}=A \otimes_{k[z]}{ }^{\ell}$ and that $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ is a one-parameter polynomial ring over $\mathcal{E}$ (cf. Remark 1.4 and [7; ibid.]). Now, set $Y:=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ and let $f: Y \rightarrow \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}=\operatorname{Spec}$ $(k[z])$ be the morphism associated to the inclusion $k[z] \hookrightarrow A$. Then the foregoing observations imply the following:
(i) The generic fiber of $f$ is $\operatorname{Spec}\left(R_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$, which is isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{f}}^{1}$;
(ii) For every $\alpha \in k$, the fiber $f^{-1}(\alpha)$ is geometrically integral (cf. the inclusions $A_{\infty} \hookrightarrow R_{\infty} \hookrightarrow B_{\infty}$ in the step (1)).
Since $f$ is clearly faithfully flat morphism, we know by [5; Th.1] that $f: Y \rightarrow \boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$ is an $\boldsymbol{A}^{1}$-bundle over $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$. Hence $Y$ is isomorphic to the affine plane $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{2}$.

Namely, $A$ is a polynomial ring in two variables over $k$.
Q.E.D.
2.7. Corollary. Let the notations and the assumptions be the same as in Theorem 2. Instead of the regularity condition on $A$, assume that $G_{a}$ acts linearly on $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{3}$ via the canonical action of $G L(3, k)$ on the vector space $k x+k y+k z$. Then $A$ is a polynomial ring in two variables over $k$.

Proof. Since $G_{a}$ is a unipotent group, we may assume, after a change of coordinates $x, y, z$, that $G_{a}$ acts on $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{3}$ via the subgroup of upper triangular matrices in $G L(3, k)$. Then, one of coordinates, say $z$, is $G_{a}$-invariant. Then Proposition 2.5 implies that our assertion holds true.
Q.E.D.
2.8. In the case of an algebraic action of $G_{a}$ on a polynomial ring $k[x, y]$ in two variables over $k, k[x, y]$ is a one-parameter polynomial ring over the subring of $G_{a}$-invariant elements (cf. [7; p. 39]). However, this does not hold in the case of an algebraic $G_{a}$-action on a polynomial ring of dimension $\geqq 3$ over $k$, as is shown by the following:

Example. Let $\Delta$ be a $k$-derivation on a polynomial ring $B:=k[x, y, z]$ defined by $\Delta(x)=y, \Delta(y)=z$ and $\Delta(z)=0$. Then $\Delta$ is locally nilpotent, whence $\Delta$ defines an algebraic $G_{a}$-action on $B$. The subring $A$ of $G_{a}$-invariant elements is $A=k\left[z, z x-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}\right]$. However, $B$ is not a one-parameter polynomial ring over $A$.

Proof. We shall prove only the last assertion. Suppose that $B=A[t]$ for some element $t$ of $B$. Set $W:=\operatorname{Spec}(B)$ and $V:=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$. Let $f: W \rightarrow V$ be the morphism defined by the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow B$. Let $P$ be a point of $V$ such that $z=\alpha$ and $z x-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}=\beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in k$. If $\alpha \neq 0$, the fiber $f^{-1}(P)$ is isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$. If $\alpha=0$ and $\beta \neq 0, f^{-1}(P)$ is a disjoint union of two irreducible components isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$. If $\alpha=\beta=0, f^{-1}(P)$ is a non-reduced curve with only one irreducible component isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$ counted twice. But, if $B=A[t]$, all fibers of $f$ should be isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{A}_{k}^{1}$. Therefore, we have a contradiction.
Q.E.D.
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