

## DIRECT SUMMANDS OF SYZYGY MODULES OF THE RESIDUE CLASS FIELD

RYO TAKAHASHI

*Dedicated to Professor Shiro Goto on the occasion of his sixtieth  
birthday*

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian local ring. This paper deals with the problem asking whether  $R$  is Gorenstein if the  $n$ th syzygy module of the residue class field of  $R$  has a non-trivial direct summand of finite  $G$ -dimension for some  $n$ . It is proved that if  $n$  is at most two then it is true, and moreover, the structure of the ring  $R$  is determined essentially uniquely.

### §1. Introduction

Throughout the present paper, we assume that all rings are commutative Noetherian local rings and all modules are finitely generated modules.

$G$ -dimension is a homological invariant of a module which has been introduced by Auslander [1]. This invariant is an analogue of projective dimension. Whereas the finiteness of projective dimension characterizes the regular property of the base ring, the finiteness of  $G$ -dimension characterizes the Gorenstein property of the base ring. To be precise, any module over a Gorenstein local ring has finite  $G$ -dimension, and a local ring with residue class field of finite  $G$ -dimension is Gorenstein.  $G$ -dimension shares a lot of properties with projective dimension. For example, it also satisfies an Auslander-Buchsbaum-type equality, which is called the Auslander-Bridger formula.

Dutta [9] proved the following theorem in his research into the homological conjectures:

**THEOREM 1.0.1.** (Dutta) *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring. Suppose that the  $n$ th syzygy module of  $k$  has a non-zero direct summand of finite projective dimension for some  $n \geq 0$ . Then  $R$  is regular.*

---

Received July 22, 2005.

Revised December 18, 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13D02; Secondary 13D05, 13H10.

Since G-dimension is similar to projective dimension, this theorem naturally leads us to the following question:

QUESTION 1.0.2. Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring. Suppose that the  $n$ th syzygy module of  $k$  has a non-zero direct summand of finite G-dimension for some  $n \geq 0$ . Then is  $R$  Gorenstein?

It is obviously seen from the indecomposability of  $k$  that this question is true if  $n = 0$ . Hence this question is worth considering just in the case where  $n \geq 1$ .

We are able to answer in this paper that the above question is true if  $n \leq 2$ . Furthermore, as the theorems below say, we can even determine the structure of a ring satisfying the assumption of the above question for  $n = 1, 2$ .

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we will prepare some notions and results for later use. The definition and properties of G-dimension will be given in this section. In Section 3, we shall state the main theorems of this paper. Firstly, we will consider a local ring such that the first syzygy module of the residue class field, namely, the maximal ideal, is decomposable. We will obtain the following result:

THEOREM A. *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a complete local ring. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There is an  $R$ -module  $M$  with  $\text{G-dim}_R M < \infty = \text{pd}_R M$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is decomposable;*
- (2)  *$R$  is Gorenstein, and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is decomposable;*
- (3) *There are a complete regular local ring  $S$  of dimension two and a regular system of parameters  $x, y$  of  $S$  such that  $R \cong S/(xy)$ .*

Secondly, we will investigate a local ring such that the second syzygy module of the residue class field is decomposable, and obtain the following result:

THEOREM B. *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a complete local ring. (Denote by  $\Omega_R^2 k$  the second syzygy module of  $k$ .) Suppose that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is indecomposable. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There is a non-trivial direct summand  $M$  of  $\Omega_R^2 k$  with  $\text{G-dim}_R M < \infty$ ;*

- (2)  $R$  is Gorenstein, and  $\Omega_R^2 k$  is decomposable;
- (3) There are a complete regular local ring  $(S, \mathfrak{n})$  of dimension three, a regular system of parameters  $x, y, z$  of  $S$ , and  $f \in \mathfrak{n}$  such that  $R \cong S/(xy - zf)$ .

Theorems A and B especially say that a complete Gorenstein local ring such that the first or second syzygy module of the residue class field is decomposable is a hypersurface, and moreover, its ring structure can be determined concretely. We will actually prove in Section 3 more general results than the above two theorems.

## §2. Preliminaries

Throughout this section, let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring. In this section, we will recall several basic notions and state related results to explain and prove the main theorems of this paper.

### 2.1. (Pre)covers and (pre)envelopes

We begin by recalling the notions of a (pre)cover and a (pre)envelope of a module. Let  $\text{mod } R$  denote the category of finitely generated  $R$ -modules.

DEFINITION 2.1.1. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a full subcategory of  $\text{mod } R$ .

- (1) Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow M$  be a homomorphism from  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  to  $M \in \text{mod } R$ .
  - (i) We call  $\phi$  or  $X$  a  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover of  $M$  if for any homomorphism  $\phi' : X' \rightarrow M$  with  $X' \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists a homomorphism  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  such that  $\phi' = \phi f$ .
  - (ii) Assume that  $\phi$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover of  $M$ . We call  $\phi$  or  $X$  a  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover of  $M$  if any endomorphism  $f$  of  $X$  with  $\phi = \phi f$  is an automorphism.
- (2) Let  $\phi : M \rightarrow X$  be a homomorphism from  $M \in \text{mod } R$  to  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ .
  - (i) We call  $\phi$  or  $X$  a  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope of  $M$  if for any homomorphism  $\phi' : M \rightarrow X'$  with  $X' \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists a homomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  such that  $\phi' = f\phi$ .
  - (ii) Assume that  $\phi$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope of  $M$ . We call  $\phi$  or  $X$  a  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope of  $M$  if any endomorphism  $f$  of  $X$  with  $\phi = f\phi$  is an automorphism.

A  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover (resp.  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover,  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope,  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope) is also called a *right  $\mathcal{C}$ -approximation* (resp. *right minimal  $\mathcal{C}$ -approximation*, *left  $\mathcal{C}$ -approximation*, *left minimal  $\mathcal{C}$ -approximation*). A  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover (resp.  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope) is

uniquely determined up to isomorphism whenever it exists. In general, it is uncertain whether the existence of a  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover (resp.  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope) implies the existence of a  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover (resp.  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope). However, it is true under a few assumptions: if  $R$  is Henselian and  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under direct summands, then for a given  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover (resp.  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope), we can extract a  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover (resp.  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope) from it, as follows.

PROPOSITION 2.1.2. *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a full subcategory of  $\text{mod } R$  which is closed under direct summands. Suppose that  $R$  is Henselian.*

- (1) *Let  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\phi} M$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules where  $\phi$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover of  $M$ . Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & L & \xlongequal{\quad} & L & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & N' & \longrightarrow & X' & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & M \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

*of  $R$ -modules with exact rows and split exact columns such that  $\phi'$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover of  $M$ .*

- (2) *Let  $M \xrightarrow{\phi} X \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules where  $\phi$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope of  $N$ . Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 M & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & X' & \longrightarrow & N' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 M & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & L & \xlongequal{\quad} & L & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

of  $R$ -modules with exact rows and split exact columns such that  $\phi'$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope of  $M$ .

For the proof of the statement (1), we refer to [13, Remark 2.6]. The statement (2) can be shown dually.

## 2.2. The subcategory of free modules

We denote by  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  the full subcategory of  $\text{mod } R$  consisting of all free  $R$ -modules. Recall that a homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$  of  $R$ -modules is said to be *minimal* if the induced homomorphism  $f \otimes_R k : M \otimes_R k \rightarrow N \otimes_R k$  is an isomorphism. (Note from Nakayama's lemma that every minimal homomorphism is surjective.) Let  $\nu_R(M)$  denote the minimal number of generators of an  $R$ -module  $M$ , i.e.,  $\nu_R(M) = \dim_k(M \otimes_R k)$ . Set  $(-)^* = \text{Hom}_R(-, R)$ . Every  $R$ -module admits an  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ -cover and an  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ -envelope, as follows.

PROPOSITION 2.2.1. *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module.*

- (1) *A homomorphism  $\phi : R^n \rightarrow M$  is an  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ -cover of  $M$  if and only if  $\phi$  is surjective and  $n = \nu_R(M)$ .*
- (2) *Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  be a minimal system of generators of  $M^*$ . Then the homomorphism  $f = {}^t(f_1, \dots, f_n) : M \rightarrow R^n$  is an  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ -envelope of  $M$ .*

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be *torsionless* (resp. *reflexive*) if the natural homomorphism  $M \rightarrow M^{**}$  is injective (resp. bijective). We easily obtain the following.

COROLLARY 2.2.2. *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module.*

- (1) *Let  $\sigma : M \rightarrow M^{**}$  be the natural homomorphism and  $\phi : F \rightarrow M^*$  an  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ -cover. Then the composite map  $\phi^* \sigma : M \rightarrow F^*$  is an  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ -envelope.*
- (2) *The  $R$ -module  $M$  is torsionless if and only if the  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ -envelope of  $M$  is an injective homomorphism.*

We especially see from this corollary that an  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ -envelope is not necessarily an injective homomorphism.

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Take its  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ -cover  $\pi : F \rightarrow M$ . The *first syzygy module*  $\Omega_R M = \Omega_R^1 M$  of  $M$  is defined to be the kernel of the homomorphism  $\pi$ , and the  *$n$ th syzygy module*  $\Omega_R^n M$  of  $M$  is defined inductively:  $\Omega_R^n M = \Omega_R(\Omega_R^{n-1} M)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Dually to this, we can define the cosyzygy modules of any module.

DEFINITION 2.2.3. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module.

- (1) Take the  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ -envelope  $\theta : M \rightarrow F$  of  $M$ . We set  $\Omega_R^{-1}M = \text{Coker } \theta$ , and call it the *first cosyzygy module* of  $M$ .
- (2) Let  $n \geq 2$ . Assume that the  $(n-1)$ th cosyzygy module  $\Omega_R^{-(n-1)}M$  is defined. Then we set  $\Omega_R^{-n}M = \Omega_R^{-1}(\Omega_R^{-(n-1)}M)$  and call it the  *$n$ th cosyzygy module* of  $M$ .

A module is said to be *stable* if it has no non-zero free summand. The following is a property which is peculiar to cosyzygy modules.

PROPOSITION 2.2.4. *For any  $M \in \text{mod } R$  and any  $n \geq 1$ , the module  $\Omega_R^{-n}M$  is stable.*

### 2.3. G-dimension

Now, we recall the definition of G-dimension.

DEFINITION 2.3.1. (1) We denote by  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  the full subcategory of  $\text{mod } R$  consisting of all  $R$ -modules  $M$  satisfying the following three conditions:

- (i)  $M$  is reflexive,
- (ii)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$  for every  $i > 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M^*, R) = 0$  for every  $i > 0$ .

- (2) Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. If  $n$  is a non-negative integer such that there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  of  $R$ -modules with  $G_i \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  for every  $i$ , then we say that  $M$  has *G-dimension at most  $n$* , and write  $\text{G-dim}_R M \leq n$ . If such an integer  $n$  does not exist, then we say that  $M$  has *infinite G-dimension*, and write  $\text{G-dim}_R M = \infty$ .

If an  $R$ -module  $M$  has G-dimension at most  $n$  but does not have G-dimension at most  $n-1$ , then we say that  $M$  has *G-dimension  $n$* , and write  $\text{G-dim}_R M = n$ . Note that for an  $R$ -module  $M$  we have  $\text{G-dim}_R M = 0$  if and only if  $M \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ , and that all free  $R$ -modules belong to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . For basic properties of G-dimension, we should refer to [3, Chapter 3, 4], [8, Chapter 1] and [6, Section 8]. We write down some properties of the category  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.3.2. (1) *If  $R$  is a Gorenstein local ring, then the category  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  coincides with the full subcategory of  $\text{mod } R$  consisting of all maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules.*

- (2) *There exists a non-free  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  if and only if there exists an  $R$ -module of finite G-dimension and infinite projective dimension.*
- (3) *The following statements hold:*
- (i) *If an  $R$ -module  $M$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , then so do  $M^*$ ,  $\Omega M$ ,  $\Omega^{-1}M$ ;*
  - (ii) *Let  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules. Then  $M, N$  belong to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  if and only if so does  $M \oplus N$ ;*
  - (iii) *Let  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules. If  $L, N$  belong to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , then so does  $M$ ;*
  - (iv) *If an  $R$ -module  $M$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , the  $R/(x)$ -module  $M/xM$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(R/(x))$  for any element  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$  which is  $R$ - and  $M$ -regular.*

If  $R$  is Gorenstein and non-regular, then the latter condition in (2) of the above proposition holds. In fact, the  $R$ -module  $k$  has finite G-dimension and infinite projective dimension.

We denote by  $\underline{\mathcal{G}}(R)$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  consisting of all stable modules in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . The dual functor  $(-)^*$  and the syzygy functor  $\Omega(-)$  make good correspondences between the category  $\underline{\mathcal{G}}(R)$  and itself.

PROPOSITION 2.3.3. (1) *We have an anti-equivalence of categories*

$$\underline{\mathcal{G}}(R) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{G}}(R), \quad M \longmapsto M^*$$

*with the functor being its own quasi-inverse.*

- (2) *We have an equivalence of categories*

$$\underline{\mathcal{G}}(R) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{G}}(R), \quad M \longmapsto \Omega M$$

*having as quasi-inverse functor the functor  $\underline{\mathcal{G}}(R) \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ ,  $M \mapsto \Omega^{-1}M$ .*

This proposition yields the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.3.4. *For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$M$  is a non-free indecomposable module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ ;*
- (2)  *$M^*$  is a non-free indecomposable module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ ;*
- (3)  *$\Omega M$  is a non-free indecomposable module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ ;*
- (4)  *$\Omega^{-1}M$  is a non-free indecomposable module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ .*

## 2.4. The fundamental module

Here we introduce the concept of the fundamental module.

DEFINITION 2.4.1. Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension two with canonical module  $K$ . Then since  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, K) \cong \text{Ext}_R^2(k, K) \cong k$ , there exists a non-split exact sequence  $\sigma : 0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0$  which is unique up to equivalence. This sequence  $\sigma$  is called the *fundamental sequence* of  $R$  and the intermediate module  $E$  is called the *fundamental module* of  $R$ .

We recall a numerical invariant of a module, which was invented by Auslander.

DEFINITION 2.4.2. Let  $R$  be a Gorenstein local ring. For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , we denote by  $\delta_R(M)$  the maximal rank of free summands of the  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover of  $M$ , and set  $\delta_R^i(M) = \delta_R(\Omega_R^i M)$ , which is called the  *$i$ th Auslander's  $\delta$ -invariant* of  $M$ .

LEMMA 2.4.3. (Auslander) *Let  $R$  be a Gorenstein non-regular local ring with residue class field  $k$ . Then  $\delta_R^i(k) = 0$  for every  $i \geq 0$ . In other words, every syzygy module of  $k$  admits a stable  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover.*

This lemma was proved by Auslander in the unpublished paper [2]. For the proof, we can refer to [11, Theorem 6], [4, Proposition 5.7], or [16, Theorem (4.8)].

Now, we can investigate several properties of the fundamental module of a Gorenstein local ring of dimension two.

PROPOSITION 2.4.4. *Let  $R$  be a Henselian Gorenstein non-regular local ring of dimension two, and let  $\sigma : 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0$  be the fundamental sequence of  $R$ . Then*

- (1)  $\phi$  is the  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover of  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,
- (2)  $E$  is stable,
- (3)  $E \cong \Omega_R^{-1}(\Omega_R^2 k)$ ,
- (4)  $E$  is indecomposable if and only if so is  $\Omega_R^2 k$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $R$  is Gorenstein,  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  coincides with the category of maximal Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -modules, and the assertion is a well-known fact on the fundamental sequence.



(2) This assertion follows from (1) and Lemma 2.4.3.

(3) Set  $M = \Omega_R^2 k$ . Note that the module  $M$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . There is also an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\alpha} R^e \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0$ . Take a minimal homomorphism  $\beta : R^r \rightarrow M^*$ . Then  $\text{Coker}(\beta^*)$  is isomorphic to  $\Omega_R^{-1}M$  by definition. The dual homomorphism  $\alpha^* : (R^e)^* \rightarrow M^*$  factors through  $\beta$ , i.e., there exists a homomorphism  $\gamma : (R^e)^* \rightarrow R^r$  such that  $\alpha^* = \beta\gamma$ . Hence we have  $\alpha = \alpha^{**} = \gamma^*\beta^*$ . Since  $R \cong R^*$  and  $M \cong M^{**}$ , we see that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\beta^*} & R^r & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & \Omega_R^{-1}M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \gamma^* \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & R^e & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Take a minimal homomorphism  $\zeta : R^s \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\gamma^*)$  and let  $\eta : R^e \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\gamma^*)$  be the natural surjection. Then there is a homomorphism  $\theta : R^s \rightarrow R^e$  such that  $\zeta = \eta\theta$ . We easily see that the homomorphism  $(\gamma^*, \theta) : R^r \oplus R^s \rightarrow R^e$  is surjective, and obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & R^t & \xlongequal{\quad} & R^t & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \beta^* \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & R^r \oplus R^s & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & \Omega_R^{-1}M \oplus R^s & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & (\gamma^* \theta) \downarrow & & \kappa \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & R^e & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns, where  $t = r + s - e$ . Hence the homomorphism  $\kappa$  is a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . It follows from (1) that there is an isomorphism  $\Omega_R^{-1}M \oplus R^s \cong E \oplus R^{t-1}$ . Since both  $E$  and  $\Omega_R^{-1}M$  are stable by (2), we conclude from the Krull-Schmidt theorem that the module  $E$  is isomorphic to  $\Omega_R^{-1}M$ , as desired.

(4) This assertion is proved by (3).  $\square$

### §3. Main results

In this section, using the results given in the previous section, we shall state and prove our main theorems.

#### 3.1. Idealizations

First of all, we consider an idealization possessing a non-free reflexive module. We begin with making an easy lemma, which will often be used later.

LEMMA 3.1.1. *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring,  $\theta : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow R$  the natural inclusion map, and  $M$  a stable  $R$ -module. Then the induced injective homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \theta) : \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow M^*$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* If there is a homomorphism from  $M$  to  $R$  which does not factor through  $\theta$ , then it is a surjection, hence is a split-epimorphism, contrary to the stability of  $M$ .  $\square$

Now we can prove the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.1.2. *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n}, k)$  be a local ring,  $V \neq 0$  a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space, and  $R = S \ltimes V$  the idealization of  $V$  over  $S$ . Let  $M$  be a non-free indecomposable reflexive  $R$ -module. Then*

- (1)  $M \cong \mathrm{Soc} R \cong V \cong k$ ,
- (2) If  $\mathrm{depth} S = 0$ , then  $S = k$ , hence  $R \cong k[[X]]/(X^2)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Denote by  $\mathfrak{m}$  the unique maximal ideal of  $R$ , and set  $I = \mathfrak{n} \ltimes 0 = \{(s, v) \in R \mid s \in \mathfrak{n}, v = 0\}$ , and  $J = 0 \ltimes V = \{(s, v) \in R \mid s = 0\}$ . These are ideals of  $R$ , and it is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{m} = I \oplus J$ . By virtue of Lemma 3.1.1, we have isomorphisms  $M^* \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \mathfrak{m}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, I \oplus J) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, I) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, J)$ . Since  $M^*$  is also indecomposable, we have either  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, I) = 0$  or  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, J) = 0$ . However  $J$  is isomorphic to  $k^e$  as an  $R$ -module where  $e = \dim_k V$ , hence  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, J) \cong k^{ne} \neq 0$  where  $n = \nu_R(M)$ . It follows that

$$(3.1.2.1) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, I) = 0$$

and  $M^* \cong k^{ne}$ . The indecomposability of  $M^*$  again implies that  $M^* \cong k$  and  $ne = 1$ , hence  $e = 1$ . Therefore  $V \cong k$ . Also, we have isomorphisms

$M \cong M^{**} \cong k^* \cong k^r$  where  $r = \dim_k(\text{Soc } R)$ . The indecomposability of  $M$  implies that  $M \cong k$  and  $r = 1$ . Hence  $\text{Soc } R \cong k$ .

(2) Note from (3.1.2.1) and (1) that  $\text{Hom}_R(k, I) = 0$ . Suppose that  $I \neq 0$ . Then there exists an  $I$ -regular element  $(s, v) \in \mathfrak{m}$  (cf. [7, Proposition 1.2.3]). It is easy to observe that the element  $s \in \mathfrak{n}$  is  $S$ -regular, contrary to the assumption that  $\text{depth } S = 0$ . Therefore we have  $I = 0$ , equivalently,  $S = k$ . By (1) again, we obtain isomorphisms  $R \cong k \times k \cong k[[X]]/(X^2)$ .  $\square$

The structure of an idealization of the form in the above proposition is uniquely determined if it has at least a non-free module of G-dimension zero.

**COROLLARY 3.1.3.** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n}, k)$  be a local ring,  $V \neq 0$  a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space, and  $R = S \times V$  the idealization of  $V$  over  $S$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *There is a non-free  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ ;*
- (2)  *$R$  is Gorenstein;*
- (3)  *$R \cong k[[X]]/(X^2)$ .*

*Proof.* (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): This implication is obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Note that  $\dim R = \text{depth } R = \min\{\text{depth } S, \text{depth}_S V\} = 0$ , namely,  $R$  is an Artinian local ring. Hence  $k$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . Suppose that the  $R$ -module  $k$  is free. Then  $R$  is regular, and hence  $R$  is a field. However, there is a non-zero element  $v \in V$ , and the element  $(0, v) \in R$  is non-zero and nilpotent, which is a contradiction. Thus  $k$  is a non-free  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Then, we see that there exists a non-free indecomposable  $R$ -module  $M$  in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . By definition it is reflexive. Proposition 3.1.2(1) says that  $M$  is isomorphic to  $k$ . It follows that  $R$  is Gorenstein.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Suppose that  $\text{depth } S > 0$ . Then we especially have  $\dim R = \dim S > 0$ . Since  $\text{depth } R = 0$ , the local ring  $R$  is not Cohen-Macaulay, and hence  $R$  is not Gorenstein, which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\text{depth } S = 0$ , and Proposition 3.1.2(2) implies that  $R \cong k[[X]]/(X^2)$ .  $\square$

### 3.2. The first syzygy of the residue field (i.e. the maximal ideal)

The decomposability of the maximal ideal and the existence of a non-free module of G-dimension zero played essential roles in the achievement

of Corollary 3.1.3. From now on, we consider a local ring satisfying these conditions in more general settings. First of all, let us describe the minimal free resolution of the residue class field of such a local ring.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.1.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring. Suppose that there is a direct sum decomposition  $\mathfrak{m} = I \oplus J$  where  $I, J$  are non-zero ideals of  $R$ . Let  $M$  be a non-free indecomposable module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . Then there exist  $x, y \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that*

- (1)  $I = (x)$  and  $J = (y)$ ,
- (2)  $(0 : x) = (y)$  and  $(0 : y) = (x)$ ,
- (3)  $M$  is isomorphic to either  $(x)$  or  $(y)$ .

Hence the minimal free resolution of  $k$  is as follows:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix}} R \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Both  $M^*$  and  $\Omega M$  are also non-free indecomposable modules in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . By virtue of Lemma 3.1.1, there are isomorphisms  $M^* \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \mathfrak{m}) = \text{Hom}_R(M, I \oplus J) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, I) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M, J)$ . The indecomposability of  $M^*$  implies that either  $\text{Hom}_R(M, I) = 0$  or  $\text{Hom}_R(M, J) = 0$ . We may assume that

$$(3.2.1.1) \quad \text{Hom}_R(M, J) = 0.$$

There is an exact sequence

$$(3.2.1.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega M \longrightarrow R^n \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Dualizing this by  $J$ , we obtain another exact sequence  $\text{Hom}_R(M, J) \rightarrow J^n \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\Omega M, J)$ . We have  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega M, J) \neq 0$  by (3.2.1.1). Applying the above argument to the module  $\Omega M$  yields

$$(3.2.1.3) \quad \text{Hom}_R(\Omega M, I) = 0.$$

Also, dualizing (3.2.1.2) by  $I$ , we get an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, I) \rightarrow I^n \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\Omega M, I)$ , and hence  $M^* \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, I) \cong I^n$ . The indecomposability of  $M^*$  implies that  $n = 1$  (i.e.  $M$  is cyclic), and  $M^* \cong I$ . Let  $\alpha : M^* \rightarrow I$  denote this isomorphism, and write  $M = Rz$  for some  $z \in M$ . Then it is easy to check that  $\alpha$  is a map defined by  $\alpha(\sigma) = \sigma(z)$  for  $\sigma \in M^*$ .

We also have  $M \cong M^{**} \cong \text{Hom}_R(M^*, \mathfrak{m}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M^*, I) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M^*, J)$ . Note that  $\text{Hom}_R(M^*, I)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}_R(I, I)$ , which contains the identity map of  $I$ . Hence  $\text{Hom}_R(M^*, I) \neq 0$  and therefore  $\text{Hom}_R(M^*, J) = 0$ . Applying the above argument to the module  $M^*$ , we see that  $M^*$  is also cyclic and  $M \cong M^{**} \cong I$ . Thus, we have shown that  $M \cong M^* \cong I$  and these modules are cyclic. Noting (3.2.1.3) and applying the above argument to the module  $\Omega M$ , we see that  $\Omega M \cong (\Omega M)^* \cong J$  and these modules are cyclic.

Write  $I = (x)$  and  $J = (y)$ . Then  $M$  is isomorphic to the principal ideal  $(x)$ . Apply the above argument to  $(x)$  instead of  $M$ , and we have an isomorphism  $\alpha : (x)^* \rightarrow (x)$  which is defined by  $\alpha(\sigma) = \sigma(x)$  for  $\sigma \in (x)^*$ . Consider a composite map  $(0 : (0 : x)) \xrightarrow{\gamma} (R/(0 : x))^* \xrightarrow{\beta} (x)^* \xrightarrow{\alpha} (x)$ , where  $\beta, \gamma$  are natural isomorphisms. We easily see that this composite map is the identity map. Hence  $(0 : (0 : x)) = (x)$ . Similarly, we also have  $(0 : (0 : y)) = (y)$ . Since  $(0 : x) = \Omega(x) \cong \Omega M \cong (y)$ , we have  $(x) = (0 : (0 : x)) = \text{Ann}_R(0 : x) = \text{Ann}_R(y) = (0 : y)$ , and therefore  $(0 : x) = \text{Ann}_R(x) = \text{Ann}_R(0 : y) = (0 : (0 : y)) = (y)$ . Thus we obtain the minimal free resolutions of  $(x)$  and  $(y)$ :

$$\begin{cases} \dots \xrightarrow{y} R \xrightarrow{x} R \xrightarrow{y} R \longrightarrow (x) \longrightarrow 0, \\ \dots \xrightarrow{x} R \xrightarrow{y} R \xrightarrow{x} R \longrightarrow (y) \longrightarrow 0. \end{cases}$$

Taking the direct sum of these exact sequence, we get

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}} R^2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Joining this to the natural exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow R \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$  constructs the minimal free resolution of  $k$  in the assertion.  $\square$

We denote by  $\text{edim } R$  the embedding dimension of a local ring  $R$ . When a homomorphic image of a regular local ring is given, we can choose a minimal presentation of the ring in the following sense:

**PROPOSITION 3.2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a homomorphic image of a regular local ring. Then there exist a regular local ring  $(S, \mathfrak{n})$  and an ideal  $I$  of  $S$  contained in  $\mathfrak{n}^2$  such that  $R \cong S/I$ .*

Here we introduce a famous result due to Tate [14, Theorem 6]. See also [5, Remarks 8.1.1(3)].

LEMMA 3.2.3. (Tate) *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n}, k)$  be a regular local ring,  $I$  an ideal of  $S$  contained in  $\mathfrak{n}^2$ , and  $R = S/I$  a residue class ring. Suppose that the complexity of  $k$  over  $R$  is at most one. (In other words, the set of all the Betti numbers of the  $R$ -module  $k$  is bounded.) Then  $I$  is a principal ideal.*

We denote by  $\beta_i^R(M)$  the  $i$ th Betti number of a module  $M$  over a local ring  $R$ . Handling the above results, we can determine the structure of a local ring with decomposable maximal ideal having a non-free module of  $G$ -dimension zero, as follows:

THEOREM 3.2.4. *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n}, k)$  be a regular local ring,  $I$  an ideal of  $S$  contained in  $\mathfrak{n}^2$ , and  $R = S/I$  a residue class ring. Suppose that there exists a non-free  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The maximal ideal of  $R$  is decomposable;*
- (2)  *$\dim S = 2$  and  $I = (xy)$  for some regular system of parameter  $x, y$  of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n}/I$  be the maximal ideal of  $R$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{m} = xR \oplus yR$  and that  $xR, yR$  are non-zero.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): First of all, note from the condition (1) that  $R$  is not an integral domain, hence is not a regular local ring. Proposition 3.2.1 says that  $\mathfrak{m} = xR \oplus yR$  for some  $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}$ , and that  $\beta_i^R(k) = 2$  for every  $i \geq 2$ . It follows from Lemma 3.2.3 that  $I$  is a principal ideal. Hence  $R$  is a hypersurface. We write  $I = (f)$  for some  $f \in \mathfrak{n}^2$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m}$  is decomposable, the local ring  $R$  is not Artinian. (Over an Artinian Gorenstein local ring, the intersection of non-zero ideals is also non-zero; cf. [7, Exercise 3.2.15].) Hence we have  $0 < \dim R < \operatorname{edim} R = 2$ , which says that  $\dim R = 1$  and  $\dim S = 2$ .

Note that  $\mathfrak{n} = (x, y, f)$ . Because  $\operatorname{edim} S = \dim S = 2$ , one of the elements  $x, y, f$  belongs to the ideal generated by the other two elements. Noting that the images of elements  $x, y$  in  $\mathfrak{m}$  form a minimal system of generators of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we see that  $f \in (x, y)$ , and hence  $x, y$  is a regular system of parameters of  $S$ . On the other hand, noting  $xR \cap yR = 0$ , we get  $xy \in I = (f)$ . Write  $xy = cf$  for some  $c \in S$ . Since the associated graded ring  $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(S)$  is a polynomial ring over  $k$  in two variables  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$ , we especially have  $\bar{x}\bar{y} \neq 0$  in  $\mathfrak{n}^2/\mathfrak{n}^3$ , namely,  $xy \notin \mathfrak{n}^3$ . It follows that  $c \notin \mathfrak{n}$  because  $f \in \mathfrak{n}^2$ . Therefore the element  $c$  is a unit of  $S$ , and thus  $I = (xy)$ .  $\square$

Using Theorem 3.2.4 and Cohen's structure theorem, we obtain the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.2.5.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a complete local ring. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There is a non-free module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is decomposable;*
- (2)  *$R$  is Gorenstein, and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is decomposable;*
- (3) *There are a complete regular local ring  $S$  of dimension two and a regular system of parameters  $x, y$  of  $S$  such that  $R \cong S/(xy)$ .*

Note that the finiteness of G-dimension is independent of completion. Thus, Corollary 3.2.5 not only gives birth to a generalization of [13, Proposition 2.3] but also guarantees that Question 1.0.2 is true if  $n = 1$ .

### 3.3. The second syzygy of the residue field

As far as here, we have observed a local ring whose maximal ideal is decomposable. From here to the end of this paper, we will observe a local ring such that the second syzygy module of the residue class field is decomposable. We begin with the following theorem, which implies that Question 1.0.2 is true if  $n = 2$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.1.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is indecomposable and that  $\Omega_R^2 k$  has a non-zero proper direct summand of finite G-dimension. Then  $R$  is a Gorenstein ring of dimension two.*

*Proof.* Replacing  $R$  with its  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion, we may assume that  $R$  is a complete local ring. In particular, note that  $R$  is Henselian. We have  $\Omega_R^2 k = M \oplus N$  for some non-zero  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$  with  $\text{G-dim}_R M < \infty$ . There is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \oplus N \xrightarrow{(f,g)} R^e \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0$  of  $R$ -modules, where  $e = \text{edim } R$ . Setting  $A = \text{Coker } f$  and  $B = \text{Coker } g$ , we get exact sequences

$$(3.3.1.1) \quad \begin{cases} 0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} R^e \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{g} R^e \xrightarrow{\beta} B \longrightarrow 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easily observed that there are exact sequences

$$(3.3.1.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow R^e \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}} A \oplus B \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$(3.3.1.3) \quad \begin{cases} 0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\beta f} B \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{\alpha g} A \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow 0. \end{cases}$$

CLAIM 1. *We have  $\text{Ext}_R^2(k, R) \neq 0$ . (Hence  $\text{depth } R \leq 2$ .)*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\text{Ext}_R^2(k, R) = 0$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R^e) \cong \text{Ext}_R^2(k, R^e) = 0$ . Hence the exact sequence (3.3.1.2) splits, and therefore we have an isomorphism  $A \oplus B \cong R^e \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ . Since the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is indecomposable, it follows from the Krull-Schmidt theorem that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $A$  or  $B$ . If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $A$ , then  $B$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $R^e$ . Hence  $B$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank at most  $e$ . Denote by  $b$  the rank of  $B$ . Since the second sequence in (3.3.1.1) splits, the  $R$ -module  $N$  is a free module of rank  $e - b$ . Noting that there is a surjective homomorphism from  $B$  to  $\mathfrak{m}$  by (3.3.1.3), we have  $b = \nu_R(B) \geq \nu_R(\mathfrak{m}) = e$ . This means that  $b = e$ , and hence  $N = 0$ , which is a contradiction. We can get a contradiction along the same lines in the case where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $B$ . Thus, we obtain  $\text{Ext}_R^2(k, R) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

Fix a non-free indecomposable module  $X \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . Applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(X, -)$  to (3.3.1.2) gives an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow (X^*)^e \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, A) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(X, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow 0$  and an isomorphism

$$(3.3.1.4) \quad \text{Ext}_R^1(X, A) \oplus \text{Ext}_R^1(X, B) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(X, \mathfrak{m}).$$

We have  $(X^*)^e \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(X, \mathfrak{m}) \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  by Lemma 3.1.1, hence  $\text{Hom}_R(X, A) \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ .

Take the first syzygy module of  $X$ ; we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega X \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ . Dualizing this sequence by  $A$ , we obtain an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, A) \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\Omega X, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(X, A) \rightarrow 0$ . Divide this into two short exact sequences

$$(3.3.1.5) \quad \begin{cases} 0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, A) \longrightarrow A^n \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\Omega X, A) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(X, A) \longrightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

of  $R$ -modules. Since  $\Omega X$  is also a non-free indecomposable module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , applying the above argument to  $\Omega X$  instead of  $X$  shows that the module



$\mathrm{Hom}_R(\Omega X, A)$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . We have  $\mathrm{G-dim}_R(A^n) < \infty$  by the first sequence in (3.3.1.1). Hence it follows from (3.3.1.5) that  $\mathrm{G-dim}_R C < \infty$ , and

$$(3.3.1.6) \quad \mathrm{G-dim}_R(\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(X, A)) < \infty.$$

On the other hand, applying the functor  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, -)$  to the natural exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow R \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$ , we get an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(X, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow X^* \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(X, k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(X, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow 0$ . Lemma 3.1.1 implies that  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, k) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(X, \mathfrak{m})$ , hence  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(X, \mathfrak{m})$  is a  $k$ -vector space. Since  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(X, A)$  is contained in  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(X, \mathfrak{m})$  by (3.3.1.4),

$$(3.3.1.7) \quad \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(X, A) \text{ is a } k\text{-vector space.}$$

CLAIM 2. *The local ring  $R$  is Gorenstein.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R$  is not Gorenstein. Then we must have  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(G, A) = 0$  for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  by (3.3.1.6) and (3.3.1.7). We have an exact sequence

$$(3.3.1.8) \quad 0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow R^m \longrightarrow \Omega^{-1}X \longrightarrow 0,$$

and note that  $\Omega^{-1}X$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . The exact sequences (3.3.1.8) and (3.3.1.1) yield isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(X, M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^2(\Omega^{-1}X, M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(\Omega^{-1}X, A) = 0.$$

This means that

$$(3.3.1.9) \quad \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(G, M) = 0$$

for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . On the other hand, since  $\mathrm{depth}_R M \geq \mathrm{depth}_R(\Omega^2 k) \geq \min\{2, \mathrm{depth} R\} = \mathrm{depth} R$  by [7, Exercise 1.3.7] and Claim 1,  $M$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . Hence there is an exact sequence of the form  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow R^l \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}M \rightarrow 0$ , and this splits because  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(\Omega^{-1}M, M) = 0$  by (3.3.1.9). Thus the  $R$ -module  $M$  is free. Theorem 1.0.1 implies that  $R$  is regular, which contradicts our assumption that  $R$  is not Gorenstein. This contradiction proves the claim.  $\square$

Since the only number  $i$  such that  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(k, R) \neq 0$  is the Krull dimension of  $R$  if  $R$  is Gorenstein, it follows from the above two claims that  $R$  is a Gorenstein local ring of dimension two, which completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

The above theorem interests us in the investigation of a Gorenstein local ring of dimension two such that the second syzygy module of the residue class field is decomposable. Our result concerning this is stated as follows.

**THEOREM 3.3.2.** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n}, k)$  be a regular local ring,  $I$  an ideal of  $S$  contained in  $\mathfrak{n}^2$ , and  $R = S/I$  a residue class ring. Suppose that  $R$  is a Henselian Gorenstein ring of dimension two. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\Omega_R^2 k$  is decomposable;
- (2)  $\dim S = 3$  and  $I = (xy - zf)$  for some regular system of parameters  $x, y, z$  of  $S$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{n}$ .

It is necessary to prepare three elementary lemmas to prove this theorem. The first and third ones are both well-known and easy to check, and we omit the proofs.

**LEMMA 3.3.3.** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n}, k)$  be a regular local ring of dimension three and  $R = S/(f)$  a hypersurface with  $f \in \mathfrak{n}^2$ . Then  $f = xf_x + yf_y + zf_z$  for some  $f_x, f_y, f_z \in \mathfrak{n}$ , and the minimal free resolution of  $k$  over  $R$  is as follows:*

$$\dots \xrightarrow{C} R^4 \xrightarrow{D} R^4 \xrightarrow{C} R^4 \xrightarrow{D} R^4 \xrightarrow{C} R^4 \xrightarrow{B} R^3 \xrightarrow{A} R \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$A = (x \ y \ z), \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z & y & f_x \\ z & 0 & -x & f_y \\ -y & x & 0 & f_z \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -f_z & f_y & x \\ f_z & 0 & -f_x & y \\ -f_y & f_x & 0 & z \\ -x & -y & -z & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z & y & f_x \\ z & 0 & -x & f_y \\ -y & x & 0 & f_z \\ -f_x & -f_y & -f_z & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**LEMMA 3.3.4.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring and  $x \in \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}^2$  an  $R$ -regular element. Then we have a split exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow k \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathfrak{m}/x\mathfrak{m} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{m}/xR \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\theta$  is defined by  $\theta(\bar{a}) = \overline{xa}$  for  $\bar{a} \in R/\mathfrak{m} = k$  and  $\pi$  is the natural surjection.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a minimal system of generators of  $\mathfrak{m}$  with  $x_1 = x$ . Define a homomorphism  $\varepsilon : \mathfrak{m}/x\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow k$  by  $\varepsilon(\sum_{i=1}^n \overline{x_i a_i}) = \overline{a_1}$ . We easily see that the composite map  $\varepsilon\theta$  is the identity map of  $k$ , which means that  $\theta$  is a split-monomorphism.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.3.5. *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension one. Then  $R$  is a discrete valuation ring if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}^*$  is a cyclic  $R$ -module.*

Now let us prove Theorem 3.3.2.

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.2.* (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): We have  $xy - zf = x \cdot 0 + y \cdot x + z \cdot (-f)$ . Lemma 3.3.3 gives a finite free presentation  $R^4 \xrightarrow{C} R^4 \rightarrow \Omega_R^2 k \rightarrow 0$  of the  $R$ -module  $\Omega_R^2 k$ , where  $C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f & x & x \\ -f & 0 & 0 & y \\ -x & 0 & 0 & z \\ -x & -y & -z & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Putting  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , we obtain  $PCQ = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & {}^tU \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $U = \begin{pmatrix} x & f \\ z & y \end{pmatrix}$ . It is easily seen that the matrices  $P, Q$  are invertible. Denoting by  $M$  (resp.  $N$ ) the cokernel of the homomorphism defined by the matrix  $U$  (resp.  ${}^tU$ ), we get an isomorphism  $\Omega_R^2 k \cong M \oplus N$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): First of all, note that the local ring  $R$  is not regular. We denote by  $\mathfrak{m}$  the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{n}/I$  of  $R$ .

Suppose that there exists an element  $z \in \mathfrak{n} - \mathfrak{n}^2$  whose image in  $\mathfrak{m}$  is an  $R$ -regular element such that the module  $\mathfrak{m}/zR$  is decomposable. Then the assertion (2) follows. Indeed, put  $\overline{(-)} = (-) \otimes_S S/(z)$ . Note that  $\overline{S}$  is also a regular local ring because  $z$  is a minimal generator of the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $S$  (see the proof of Proposition 3.2.2). Since the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$  of  $\overline{R}$  is decomposable, we can apply Theorem 3.2.4 and see that  $\dim \overline{S} = 2$  and  $I\overline{S} = xy\overline{S}$  for some  $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}$  whose images in  $\overline{S}$  form a regular system of parameter of  $\overline{S}$ . Hence  $\overline{R} = \overline{S}/xy\overline{S}$  is a hypersurface, in particular a complete intersection, of dimension one. Therefore  $R$  is a complete intersection of dimension two by [7, Theorem 2.3.4(a)]. Since  $S$  is a regular local ring of dimension three with regular system of parameter  $x, y, z$ , the ideal  $I$  is generated by an  $S$ -sequence by [7, Theorem 2.3.3(c)]. Noting  $\text{ht } I = \dim S - \dim R = 1$ , we see that  $I$  is a principal ideal. Write  $I = (l)$  for some  $l \in I$ . There is an element  $f \in S$  such that  $l = xy - zf$ . Assume that  $f \notin \mathfrak{n}$ . Then  $f$  is a unit of  $S$ , and we see that  $zR \subseteq xyR$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y)R$ , and  $\text{edim } R = \dim R = 2$ . This implies that  $R$  is regular, which is a contradiction. It follows that  $f \in \mathfrak{n}$ .

On the other hand, if  $z \in \mathfrak{n}$  is an element whose image in  $\mathfrak{m}$  is  $R$ -regular such that  $\mathfrak{m}/zR$  is decomposable, then  $z \notin \mathfrak{n}^2$ . Indeed, assume  $z \in \mathfrak{n}^2$ . Then we have  $I + (z) \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^2$ . Since  $R/zR = S/I + (z)$ , it follows from Theorem 3.2.4

that  $\dim S = 2$ . Since  $\dim R = 2$ , we have  $I = 0$ , equivalently  $R = S$ . In particular  $R$  is regular, which is a contradiction.

Thus, it suffices to show the existence of an  $R$ -regular element  $w \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}/(w)$  is decomposable. Let  $E$  denote the fundamental module of  $R$ . Proposition 2.4.4(4) says that we can write  $E = M \oplus N$  for some non-zero  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ . Hence the fundamental sequence of  $R$  is as follows:

$$(a) \quad 0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ \tau \end{pmatrix}} M \oplus N \xrightarrow{(f, g)} \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Take an  $R$ -regular element  $w \in \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}^2$ , and set  $\overline{(-)} = (-) \otimes_R R/(w)$ . If  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$  is decomposable, then our aim is attained. Hence let  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$  be indecomposable. The sequence (a) induces another exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \overline{R} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\sigma} \\ \overline{\tau} \end{pmatrix}} \overline{M} \oplus \overline{N} \xrightarrow{(\overline{f}, \overline{g})} \overline{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow 0$ . (Here, the injectivity of the map  $\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\sigma} \\ \overline{\tau} \end{pmatrix}$  follows from the fact that  $w$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -regular element.) According to Lemma 3.3.4, the natural surjection  $\pi : \overline{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$  is a split-epimorphism with kernel isomorphic to  $k$ . Hence there exists a split-monomorphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{m}\overline{R} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{m}}$  such that  $\pi\rho = 1$ . Then note that the cokernel of  $\rho$  is isomorphic to  $k$ . On the other hand (cf. Proposition 2.4.4), the homomorphism  $(\overline{f}, \overline{g})$  is a  $\mathcal{G}(\overline{R})$ -precover of  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Therefore there exists a homomorphism  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} : \mathfrak{m}\overline{R} \rightarrow \overline{M} \oplus \overline{N}$  such that  $\rho = (\overline{f}, \overline{g})\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \overline{f}\alpha + \overline{g}\beta$ . Set  $e = \text{edim } R$ ,  $m = \nu_R(M)$ , and  $n = \nu_R(N)$ .

CLAIM 1. *We have either  $(m, n) = (e - 1, 2)$  or  $(m, n) = (2, e - 1)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\rho$  is a split-monomorphism, so is the homomorphism  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ . There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & & \mathfrak{m}\overline{R} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathfrak{m}\overline{R} & \\ & & & \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & & \rho \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{R} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\sigma} \\ \overline{\tau} \end{pmatrix}} & \overline{M} \oplus \overline{N} & \xrightarrow{(\overline{f}, \overline{g})} & \overline{\mathfrak{m}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{R} & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & k & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

of  $\overline{R}$ -modules with exact rows and columns, and we have an isomorphism  $\overline{M} \oplus \overline{N} \cong \mathfrak{m}\overline{R} \oplus C$ . The indecomposability of  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$  and the Krull-Schmidt theorem yield that  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of either  $\overline{M}$  or  $\overline{N}$ .

Let us consider the case where  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $\overline{M}$ . There is an  $\overline{R}$ -module  $L$  such that  $\overline{M} \cong \mathfrak{m}\overline{R} \oplus L$ . The Krull-Schmidt theorem again yields an isomorphism

$$(b) \quad C \cong \overline{N} \oplus L.$$

Note that  $\overline{N}$  and  $L$  are isomorphic to direct summands of  $\overline{E}$ . Proposition 2.4.4 implies that the  $\overline{R}$ -module  $\overline{E}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(\overline{R})$ . The  $\overline{R}$ -modules  $\overline{N}$ ,  $L$  also belong to  $\mathcal{G}(\overline{R})$ , and so does  $C$  by (b). Therefore the exact sequence

$$(c) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \overline{R} \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0$$

in the above diagram does not split because  $\text{depth } C = 1 > 0$ . On the other hand, noting that  $\overline{R}$  is a Gorenstein local ring of dimension one, we have  $\text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k, \overline{R}) = 0$  and  $\text{Ext}_{\overline{R}}^1(k, \overline{R}) \cong k$ . Dualizing the natural exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}\overline{R} \rightarrow \overline{R} \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$ , we have another exact sequence

$$(d) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \overline{R} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}, \overline{R}) \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$  of  $\overline{R}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(\overline{R})$ , hence so does  $\text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}, \overline{R})$ . Therefore the exact sequence (d) does not split because  $\text{depth } \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}, \overline{R}) = 1 > 0$ .

Thus, we have obtained two non-split exact sequences (c) and (d) of  $\overline{R}$ -modules. Since  $\text{Ext}_{\overline{R}}^1(k, \overline{R}) \cong k$ , we obtain an isomorphism

$$(e) \quad C \cong \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}, \overline{R}).$$

The isomorphisms (b) and (e) give other isomorphisms  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R} \cong \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}, \overline{R}), \overline{R}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{N} \oplus L, \overline{R}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{N}, \overline{R}) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(L, \overline{R})$ . Note that  $\overline{N} \neq 0$  and  $L$  are reflexive  $\overline{R}$ -modules, hence  $\text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{N}, \overline{R}) \neq 0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$  is indecomposable, we have  $\text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(L, \overline{R}) = 0$ , and hence  $L = 0$ . Thus we get two isomorphisms  $\overline{M} \cong \mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$  and  $\overline{N} \cong \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}, \overline{R})$ . Therefore  $m = \nu_{\overline{R}}(\overline{M}) = \text{edim } \overline{R} = e - 1$  because  $w \notin \mathfrak{m}^2$ , and  $n = \nu_{\overline{R}}(\overline{N}) = \nu_{\overline{R}}(\text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}, \overline{R}))$ . Lemma 3.3.5 implies that  $n \geq 2$ . On the other hand,

it follows from the fundamental sequence (a) that  $m + n = \nu_R(M \oplus N) \leq \nu_R(R) + \nu_R(\mathfrak{m}) = 1 + e$ . Hence we see that  $n = 2$ .

In the case where  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $\overline{N}$ , a similar argument yields  $m = 2$  and  $n = e - 1$ .  $\square$

On the other hand, we have  $1 = \pi\rho = \pi\overline{f}\alpha + \pi\overline{g}\beta$  in  $\text{End}_{\overline{R}}(\mathfrak{m}\overline{R})$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$  is indecomposable, the endomorphism ring  $\text{End}_{\overline{R}}(\mathfrak{m}\overline{R})$  is a local ring (cf. [15, Proposition (1.18)]), and hence either  $\pi\overline{f}\alpha$  or  $\pi\overline{g}\beta$  is a unit of this ring, in other words, is an automorphism. Put  $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Im } f$  and  $\mathfrak{b} = \text{Im } g$ .

CLAIM 2. *If  $\pi\overline{f}\alpha$  (resp.  $\pi\overline{g}\beta$ ) is an automorphism, then  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{a} + (w)$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{b} + (w)$ ) and  $\text{grade } \mathfrak{a} > 0$  (resp.  $\text{grade } \mathfrak{b} > 0$ ).*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\pi\overline{f}\alpha$  is an automorphism. Then  $\pi\overline{f}$  is a split-epimorphism, and so in particular a surjection. Hence  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R} = \mathfrak{a}\overline{R}$ , and therefore  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{a} + (w)$ . There exists an  $\overline{R}$ -regular element in  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R} = \mathfrak{a}\overline{R}$ . We can choose an element  $v \in \mathfrak{a}$  whose image in  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$  is  $\overline{R}$ -regular. Since  $w, v$  is an  $R$ -regular sequence, so is the sequence  $v, w$ . Thus  $v$  is an  $R$ -regular element. The proof of the other case is similar.  $\square$

CLAIM 3. *We have both  $\text{grade } \mathfrak{a} > 0$  and  $\text{grade } \mathfrak{b} > 0$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to show the claim only in the case where  $\pi\overline{f}\alpha$  is an automorphism. Then Claim 2 says that  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{a} + (w)$  and  $\text{grade } \mathfrak{a} > 0$ . Take an  $R$ -regular element  $v \in \mathfrak{a} - \mathfrak{m}^2$ . Applying the above argument to the element  $v$  instead of  $w$ , we see that either of the following holds:

- (i)  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{a} + (v)$  and  $\text{grade } \mathfrak{a} > 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{b} + (v)$  and  $\text{grade } \mathfrak{b} > 0$ .

However, if the statement (i) holds, then we have  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{a}$ , which means that the homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$  is surjective. Hence  $m = \nu_R(M) \geq \nu_R(\mathfrak{m}) = e$ . It follows from Claim 1 that  $m = 2$ , and hence  $e \leq 2$ . But this can not happen because  $R$  is a non-regular local ring of dimension two. Consequently the statement (ii) must hold, and we obtain  $\text{grade } \mathfrak{b} > 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

Put  $x = \sigma(1)$  and  $y = \tau(1)$ . Then  $f(x) + g(y) = (f, g)\begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ \tau \end{pmatrix}(1) = 0$ . Set  $v = f(x) = -g(y) \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ . Take an element  $a \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ . Then we have  $a = f(p) = g(q)$  for some  $p \in M$  and  $q \in N$ . Hence  $\begin{pmatrix} p \\ -q \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Ker}(f, g) =$

$\text{Im} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} p \\ -q \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ , and therefore  $\begin{pmatrix} p \\ -q \end{pmatrix} = b \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $b \in R$ . Thus  $p = bx$ , and we get  $a = f(p) = f(bx) = bv \in (v)$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} = (v)$ . Since  $\text{grade}(v) = \text{grade}(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = \inf\{\text{grade } \mathfrak{a}, \text{grade } \mathfrak{b}\} > 0$  by [7, Proposition 1.2.10(c)] and Claim 3, the element  $v$  is an  $R$ -regular element.

Set  $\overline{(-)} = (-) \otimes_R R/(v)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} = (v)$ , there is a natural exact sequence  $\omega : 0 \rightarrow \overline{R} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{a} \oplus R/\mathfrak{b} \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$  of  $\overline{R}$ -modules. Suppose that this exact sequence splits. Then we have an isomorphism  $R/\mathfrak{a} \oplus R/\mathfrak{b} \cong \overline{R} \oplus k$ , and it is seen from the Krull-Schmidt theorem that  $k$  is isomorphic to either  $R/\mathfrak{a}$  or  $R/\mathfrak{b}$ . Hence we have either  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{a}$  or  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{b}$ , and the same argument as the end of the proof of Claim 3 yields a contradiction. Thus the exact sequence  $\omega$  does not split.

On the other hand, dualizing the natural exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}\overline{R} \rightarrow \overline{R} \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$ , we have a non-split exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \overline{R} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}, \overline{R}) \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_{\overline{R}}^1(k, \overline{R}) \cong k$ , we obtain an isomorphism  $R/\mathfrak{a} \oplus R/\mathfrak{b} \cong \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}, \overline{R})$ , and  $\text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}, \overline{R})$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(\overline{R})$ . It follows that both  $R/\mathfrak{a}$  and  $R/\mathfrak{b}$  belong to  $\mathcal{G}(\overline{R})$ , hence they are reflexive over  $\overline{R}$ . Therefore the  $\overline{R}$ -dual modules  $\text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(R/\mathfrak{a}, \overline{R})$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(R/\mathfrak{b}, \overline{R})$  are non-zero, which proves that  $\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$  is decomposable. This completes the proof of our theorem.  $\square$

Combining Theorem 3.3.1 with Theorem 3.3.2 gives birth to the following corollary. Compare it with Corollary 3.2.5.

**COROLLARY 3.3.6.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a complete local ring. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is indecomposable. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\Omega_R^2 k$  has a non-zero proper direct summand of finite  $G$ -dimension;
- (2)  $R$  is Gorenstein, and  $\Omega_R^2 k$  is decomposable;
- (3) There are a complete regular local ring  $(S, \mathfrak{n})$  of dimension three, a regular system of parameters  $x, y, z$  of  $S$ , and  $f \in \mathfrak{n}$  such that  $R \cong S/(xy - zf)$ .

Lastly, we recall a result of Yoshino and Kawamoto, which is related to Theorem 3.3.2. A homomorphic image of a convergent power series ring over a field  $k$  is called an *analytic ring* over  $k$ . Any complete local ring containing a field is an analytic ring over its coefficient field, and it is known that any analytic local ring is Henselian; see [12, Chapter VII]. Yoshino and Kawamoto observed the decomposability of the fundamental module of an analytic normal domain.

**THEOREM 3.3.7.** (Yoshino-Kawamoto) *Let  $R$  be an analytic normal local domain of dimension two. Suppose that the residue class field of  $R$  is algebraically closed and has characteristic zero. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The fundamental module of  $R$  is decomposable;*
- (2)  *$R$  is an invariant subring of a regular local ring by a cyclic group. (In other words,  $R$  is a cyclic quotient singularity.)*

For the details of this theorem, see [17, Theorem (2.1)] or [15, Theorem (11.12)]. With the notation of the above theorem, suppose in addition that  $R$  is a complete Gorenstein ring such that  $\Omega_R^2 k$  is decomposable. Then it is seen from Proposition 2.4.4(4) that  $R$  satisfies the condition (1) in the above theorem. Hence the proof of the above theorem shows that  $R$  is of finite Cohen-Macaulay type; see [17] or [15]. It follows from a theorem of Herzog [10] that  $R$  is a hypersurface. Therefore the local ring  $R$  is a rational double point of type  $(A_n)$  for some  $n \geq 1$  by [17, Proposition (4.1)], namely,  $R \cong k[[X, Y, Z]]/(XY - Z^{n+1})$ . Thus, the second condition of Theorem 3.3.2 holds.

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to extend hearty thanks to Shiro Goto. He motivated the author to consider such a ring as in Proposition 3.1.2, which led the author to write this paper. The author also wishes to express his deep gratitude to Yuji Yoshino, who gave the author a lot of valuable comments. In particular, Claim 1 in the proof of Theorem 3.3.2 is due to him. The author would also like to thank an anonymous referee very much for useful comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Auslander, Anneaux de Gorenstein, et torsion en algèbre commutative, Séminaire d'Algèbre Commutative dirigé par Pierre Samuel, 1966/67. Texte rédigé, d'après des exposés de Maurice Auslander, Marquerite Mangeney, Christian Peskine et Lucien Szpiro. École Normale Supérieure de Jeunes Filles, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1967.
- [2] M. Auslander, *Minimal Cohen-Macaulay approximations*, unpublished paper.
- [3] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, Stable module theory, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 94, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969.
- [4] M. Auslander, S. Ding and Ø. Solberg, *Liftings and weak liftings of modules*, J. Algebra, **156** (1993), 273–317.



- [5] L. L. Avramov, *Infinite free resolutions*, Six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996), Progr. Math., 166, Birkhauser, Basel, 1998, pp. 1–118.
- [6] L. L. Avramov, *Homological dimensions and related invariants of modules over local rings*, Representations of Algebras, ICRA IX (Beijing, 2000), vol. I, Beijing Normal Univ. Press, 2002, pp. 1–39.
- [7] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, revised edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [8] L. W. Christensen, *Gorenstein dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1747, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [9] S. P. Dutta, *Syzygies and homological conjectures*, Commutative algebra (Berkeley, CA, 1987), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 15, Springer, New York, 1989, pp. 139–156.
- [10] J. Herzog, *Ringe mit nur endlich vielen Isomorphieklassen von maximalen, unzerlegbaren Cohen-Macaulay-Moduln*, Math. Ann., **233** (1978), no. 1, 21–34.
- [11] A. Martsinkovsky, *A remarkable property of the (co) syzygy modules of the residue field of a nonregular local ring*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **110** (1996), no. 1, 9–13.
- [12] M. Nagata, *Local rings*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 13, Interscience Publishers, John Wiley & Sons, New York-London, 1962.
- [13] R. Takahashi, *On the category of modules of Gorenstein dimension zero II*, J. Algebra, **278** (2004), no. 1, 402–410.
- [14] J. Tate, *Homology of Noetherian rings and local rings*, Illinois J. Math., **1** (1957), 14–27.
- [15] Y. Yoshino, *Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 146, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [16] Y. Yoshino, *Cohen-Macaulay approximations (Japanese)*, Proceedings of the 4th Symposium on Representation Theory of Algebras, Izu, Japan, 1993, pp. 119–138.
- [17] Y. Yoshino and T. Kawamoto, *The fundamental module of a normal local domain of dimension 2*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **309** (1988), no. 1, 425–431.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*School of Science and Technology*  
*Meiji University*  
*Kawasaki 214-8571*  
*Japan*

`takahasi@math.meiji.ac.jp`

CURRENT ADDRESS:  
*Department of Mathematical Sciences*  
*Faculty of Science*  
*Shinshu University*  
*Matsumoto 390-8621*  
*Japan*

`takahasi@math.shinshu-u.ac.jp`