

SYMPLECTIC CAPACITIES OF TORIC MANIFOLDS AND RELATED RESULTS

GUANGCUN LU*

Abstract. In this paper we give concrete estimations for the pseudo symplectic capacities of toric manifolds in combinatorial data. Some examples are given to show that our estimates can compute their pseudo symplectic capacities. As applications we also estimate the symplectic capacities of the polygon spaces. Other related results are impacts of symplectic blow-up on symplectic capacities, symplectic packings in symplectic toric manifolds, the Seshadri constant of an ample line bundle on toric manifolds, and symplectic capacities of symplectic manifolds with S^1 -action.

§1. Introduction and main results

The symplectic capacities are the important tools of study of symplectic topology. There are several symplectic capacities. The typical two of them are the Gromov symplectic width \mathcal{W}_G and Hofer-Zehnder capacity c_{HZ} (cf. [Gr] and [HZ]). However, for a general symplectic manifold (M, ω) it is very difficult to compute or estimate $\mathcal{W}_G(M, \omega)$ and $c_{HZ}(M, \omega)$; see [Gin], [Lu3] and reference therein for the related results. It is well-known that the toric manifolds are a very beautiful family of Kähler manifolds admitting a combinatorial description. They also are rational and thus uniruled. So their pseudo symplectic capacities all are finite (cf. [Lu3]). The main aim of this paper is to estimate their (pseudo) symplectic capacities in terms of combinatorial data. Part results were announced in [Lu2] though they should be restricted to toric Fano manifolds as showed below.

Firstly, we briefly recall the typical *pseudo symplectic capacity* introduced in [Lu3]. For its properties and applications the reader refer to [Lu3]. Given a connected symplectic manifold (M, ω) of dimension $2n$ and a smooth function H on it let X_H denote the symplectic gradient

Received December 15, 2004.

Revised June 11, 2005, August 9, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53D35, 37J45, 52B20.

*Partially supported by the NNSF 19971045 and 10371007 of China.

of H . An isolated critical point p of H is called *admissible* if the spectrum of the linear transformation $DX_H(p) : T_pM \rightarrow T_pM$ is contained in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\lambda i \mid 2\pi \leq \pm\lambda < +\infty\}$. For two given nonzero homology classes $\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty \in H_*(M)$ we denote by

$$\mathcal{H}_{ad}(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \quad (\text{resp. } \mathcal{H}_{ad}^\circ(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty))$$

the set of all smooth functions on M for which there exist two smooth compact submanifolds P and Q of M with connected smooth boundaries and of codimension zero such that the following condition groups (a)(b)(c)(d)(e)(f) (resp. (a)(b)(c)(d)(e)(f')) are satisfied:

- (a) $P \subset \text{Int}(Q)$ and $Q \subset \text{Int}(M)$;
- (b) $H|_P = 0$ and $H|_{M - \text{Int}(Q)} = \max H$;
- (c) $0 \leq H \leq \max H$;
- (d) There exist chain representatives of α_0 and α_∞ , still denoted by α_0, α_∞ , such that $\text{supp}(\alpha_0) \subset \text{Int}(P)$ and $\text{supp}(\alpha_\infty) \subset M \setminus Q$;
- (e) There are no critical values in $(0, \varepsilon) \cup (\max H - \varepsilon, \max H)$ for a small $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(H) > 0$;
- (f) The Hamiltonian system $\dot{x} = X_H(x)$ on M has no nonconstant periodic solutions of period less than 1;
- (f') The Hamiltonian system $\dot{x} = X_H(x)$ on M has no nonconstant contractible periodic solutions of period less than 1.

If $\alpha_0 \in H_0(M)$ can be represented by a point we allow P to be an empty set. If M is a closed manifold and $\alpha_\infty \in H_0(M)$ is represented by a point, we also allow $Q = M$.

The pseudo symplectic capacities of Hofer-Zehnder type are defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} C_{HZ}^{(2)}(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) := \sup\{\max H \mid H \in \mathcal{H}_{ad}(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)\}, \\ C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) := \sup\{\max H \mid H \in \mathcal{H}_{ad}^\circ(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)\}. \end{cases}$$

Denote by pt the generator of $H_0(M)$ represented by a point. Then we have symplectic invariants

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} C_{HZ}(M, \omega) := C_{HZ}(M, \omega; pt, pt), \\ C_{HZ}^\circ(M, \omega) := \widehat{C}_{HZ}(M, \omega; pt, pt). \end{cases}$$

It had been proved in [Lu3] that C_{HZ} is a symplectic capacity and that $\mathcal{W}_G \leq C_{HZ} \leq c_{HZ}$. In fact, in Lemma 1.4 of the recent [Lu3, v9] we proved that $C_{HZ}(M, \omega) = c_{HZ}(M, \omega)$ and $C_{HZ}^\circ(M, \omega) = c_{HZ}^\circ(M, \omega)$ if either M is closed or each compact subset $K \subset M \setminus \partial M$ may be contained in a compact submanifold $W \subset M$ with connected boundary and of codimension zero. Without special statements we follow all notations and conventions in [Lu3]. Especially, we always make the convention that $\sup \emptyset = 0$ and $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$ in this paper. Moreover, we shall omit the superscripts in $C_{HZ}^{(2)}$ and $C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$ without occurring of confusion.

A $2n$ -dimensional *symplectic toric manifold* is a closed connected symplectic manifold (M, ω) equipped with an effective Hamiltonian action $\tau : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M, \omega)$ of the standard (real) n -torus $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n$ and with a choice of a corresponding *moment map* $\mu : M \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$. The image $\Delta = \mu(M) \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ is a convex polytope, called the *moment polytope*. It was proved in [Del] that the polytope satisfies: (i) there are n edges meeting at each vertex p , (ii) the edges meeting at the vertex p are rational, i.e., each edge is of the form $p + tv_k$, $0 \leq t \leq \infty$, where $v_k \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$; (iii) the v_1, \dots, v_n in (ii) can be chosen to be a basis of $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$. Such a polytope is called a *Delzant polytope*. It can be uniquely written as

$$(1.3) \quad \Delta = \bigcap_{k=1}^d \{x \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \mid \langle x, u_k \rangle = x(u_k) \geq \lambda_k\}.$$

Here d is the number of the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional faces of Δ , u_k is a uniquely primitive element of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (the inward-pointing normal to the k -th face of Δ), and λ_k is a real number. Delzant [Del] associated to this $\Delta \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ a closed connected symplectic manifold $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta)$ of dimension $2n$ together with a Hamiltonian \mathbb{T}^n -action $\tau_\Delta : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta)$ such that the image of the corresponding moment map $\mu_\Delta : M_\Delta \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ is precisely Δ and that (M, ω, τ) is isomorphic as a Hamiltonian \mathbb{T}^n -space to $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta, \tau_\Delta)$. Two symplectic toric manifolds are *isomorphic* if they are equivariantly symplectomorphic. Two Delzant polytopes in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ are *isomorphic* if they differ by the composition of a translation with an element of $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Delzant showed in [Del] that two symplectic toric manifolds are isomorphic if and only if their Delzant polytopes are isomorphic. Thus we reduce the study of symplectic topology of (M, ω, τ) to that of $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta, \tau_\Delta)$. Delzant’s construction also yielded a “canonical” \mathbb{T}^n -invariant complex structure J_Δ compatible with the symplectic form ω_Δ . In other words the quadruple $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta, J_\Delta, \tau_\Delta)$ is a Kähler manifold.

Such manifolds may be explained as a special class of projective varieties. There exists a class of normal algebraic varieties, called toric varieties, which are classified by combinatorial objects called fans. Let Σ be a complete regular fan in \mathbb{R}^n and $G(\Sigma) = \{u_1, \dots, u_d\}$ be the set of all generators of 1-dimensional cones in Σ . Denote by X_Σ the compact toric manifold associated with Σ . If it is projective, i.e., if X_Σ admits a compatible symplectic structure ω such that (X_Σ, ω, J) is Kähler, then every Kähler form on X_Σ can be represented by a strictly convex support function φ for Σ (cf. Section 2.2). Conversely every strictly convex support function for Σ represents a Kähler form on X_Σ . Therefore, in this paper we shall use the same letter to denote a Kähler form on X_Σ and the corresponding strictly convex support function for Σ when the context makes our meaning clear. For such a function φ setting

$$(1.4) \quad \Delta_\varphi = \{x \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \mid \langle x, m \rangle \geq -\varphi(m) \ \forall m \in \mathbb{R}^n\},$$

it is a Delzant polytope in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$. With $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ the action of the maximal compact torus $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n \cong (S^1)^n \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is Hamiltonian with respect to the symplectic structure $2\pi \cdot \varphi$ and has moment polytope Δ_φ . In other words

$$(1.5) \quad (X_\Sigma, 2\pi \cdot \varphi) = (M_{\Delta_\varphi}, \omega_{\Delta_\varphi}).$$

For the Delzant polytope Δ in (1.3) we denote by Σ_Δ the complete regular fan in \mathbb{R}^n associated with it and by $P_\Delta = X_{\Sigma_\Delta}$ the corresponding projective toric manifold (cf. Section 2.2). It follows from (1.5) that Σ is the fan associated with Δ_φ . As showed in [Gu2] the set of all generators of 1-dimensional cones in Σ_Δ is given by $G(\Sigma_\Delta) = \{u_1, \dots, u_d\}$, and under the identity $M_\Delta = P_\Delta$ the Kähler form ω_Δ is represented by the strictly convex support function for Σ_Δ defined by $\omega_\Delta(u_i) = -2\pi\lambda_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Therefore $\Delta_{\omega_\Delta} = 2\pi\Delta$. So we can study the symplectic topology of toric manifolds from two points of view. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be the set of all nonnegative integers. Denote by

$$(1.6) \quad \Lambda(\Sigma, \varphi) := \max \sum_{i=1}^d \varphi(u_i) a_i$$

where $(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^d a_i u_i = 0$ and $1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \leq n+1$. Our first result is:

THEOREM 1.1. *For the above Σ and φ one has*

$$(1.7) \quad 0 < \Lambda(\Sigma, \varphi) \leq (n + 1) \max_i \varphi(u_i) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{W}_G(X_\Sigma, \varphi) \leq C(X_\Sigma, \varphi; pt, PD([\varphi])) \leq \Lambda(\Sigma, \varphi)$$

for $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}$, $C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$ and $n \geq 2$. Moreover, for the interior $\text{Int}(\Delta_\varphi)$ of Δ_φ it always holds that

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{W}_G(X_\Sigma, \varphi) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{W}_G(\text{Int}(\Delta_\varphi) \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}}),$$

where $(\text{Int}(\Delta_\varphi) \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}}) = (\{(x, \theta) \mid x \in \text{Int}(\Delta_\varphi), \theta \in \mathbb{R}^n/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n\}, \sum_{k=1}^d dx_k \wedge d\theta_k)$.

As a by-product of proof of (1.8) we obtain in Corollary 3.2 Mori's theorem on the existence of rational curves through any point on a uniruled manifold with a different method. In Remark 1.5 below we shall give an example to show that $\Lambda(\Sigma, \varphi)$ may be much smaller than $(n + 1) \max_i \varphi(u_i)$. In some condition the estimate in (1.8) can be improved.

THEOREM 1.2. *If X_Σ is also Fano, i.e., the anticanonical divisor $-K_{X_\Sigma}$ is ample, then*

$$(1.10) \quad \Upsilon(\Sigma, \varphi) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^d \varphi(u_k) a_k > 0 \mid \sum_{k=1}^d a_k u_k = 0, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, k = 1, \dots, d \right\} > 0,$$

and for $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}$, $C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$ and any $n \geq 2$,

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{W}_G(X_\Sigma, \varphi) \leq C(X_\Sigma, \varphi; pt, PD([\varphi])) \leq \Upsilon(\Sigma, \varphi).$$

By the definition it is easy to see that $\Upsilon(\Sigma, \varphi) \leq \Lambda(\Sigma, \varphi)$. In Theorem 2.3 we shall list three equivalent criterions to judge whether or not X_Σ is Fano from Σ .

Let $\Delta^n(a) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n \mid \sum_{k=1}^n x_k < a\}$. For Δ in (1.3) the following number

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{W}(\Delta) := \sup\{a > 0 \mid \exists \Psi \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z}), x \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \text{ s.t. } \Psi(\Delta^n(a)) + x \subset \Delta\}$$

is an invariant of the Delzant polytopes in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ under the group generated by elements of $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and translations. For each vertex p of Δ we can

assign a positive number $E_p(\Delta)$ as follows. Let p_1, \dots, p_n be n vertex adjacent to p . By the above definition of Delzant polytope we may assume that p_k sits in an edge of the form $p + tv_k$, $t \geq 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. Denote by $r_p(\Delta)_k = |p - p_k|/|v_k|$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. Here $|v|$ denotes the standard norm of vector v in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$. Then $p_k = p + r_p(\Delta)_k v_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. Let

$$(1.13) \quad r_p(\Delta) = \{r_p(\Delta)_1, \dots, r_p(\Delta)_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad E_p(\Delta) = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} r_p(\Delta)_k.$$

PROPOSITION 1.3. *For the Delzant polytope Δ in (1.3) it holds that*

$$(1.14) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{W}_G(\text{Int}(\Delta) \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}}) \geq \mathcal{W}(\Delta) \geq \max_{p \in \text{Vert}(\Delta)} E_p(\Delta).$$

We also want to derive the estimation in terms of Δ . A n -dimensional integral polytope $\Delta \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ was called *reflexive* in [Ba2] if it satisfies: (i) $\text{Int}(\Delta) \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^* = \{0\}$, and (ii) all facets F of Δ are supported by an affine hyperplane of the form $\{m \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \mid \langle m, v_F \rangle = -1\}$ for some $v_F \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. A equivalent version is that $0 \in \text{Int}(\Delta)$ and the polar $\Delta^\circ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle m, x \rangle \geq -1, \forall m \in \Delta\}$ is also a n -dimensional integral polytope \mathbb{R}^n . A reflexive polytope Δ is called a *Fano polytope* if the fan Σ_Δ is regular. Clearly, a reflexive and Delzant polytope is also Fano. Note that polytopes Δ and $r \cdot \Delta$ yield the same fans for any $r > 0$, and that two toric manifolds corresponding with two isomorphic Delzant polytopes have same Fanoness. Thus a toric manifold P_Δ is Fano if and only if $r \cdot (m + \Delta)$ is a Fano polytope for some $m \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ and $r > 0$. In Theorem 2.5 we shall show that the toric manifold P_Δ associated with a Delzant polytope Δ in (1.3) is Fano if and only if there exist $m \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ and $r > 0$ such that

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Int}(r \cdot (m + \Delta)) \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^* &= \{0\} \quad \text{and} \\ r \cdot (\lambda_i + \langle m, u_i \rangle) &= \pm 1, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq d. \end{aligned}$$

More sufficient and necessary conditions will be given there. Using this we get the following corollary of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

COROLLARY 1.4. *For the Delzant polytope $\Delta \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ in (1.3) let $\Lambda(\Delta) (= \Lambda(\Sigma_\Delta, \omega_\Delta))$ be the maximum of $-2\pi \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i a_i$ for all $(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^d a_i u_i = 0$ and $1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \leq n + 1$. Then $\Lambda(\Delta) \leq -2\pi(n + 1) \min_i \lambda_i$ and for $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}$, $C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$ and $n \geq 2$,*

$$(1.16) \quad 2\pi \mathcal{W}(\Delta) \leq \mathcal{W}_G(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta) \leq C(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta; pt, PD([\omega_\Delta])) \leq \Lambda(\Delta).$$

If there exist $r > 0$ and $m \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ such that $r \cdot (m + \Delta)$ satisfies (1.15), then

(1.17)

$$\Upsilon(\Delta) := \inf \left\{ - \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k a_k > 0 \mid \sum_{k=1}^d a_k u_k = 0, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, k = 1, \dots, d \right\} > 0,$$

and for $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}, C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$ and any $n \geq 2$ it holds that

$$(1.18) \quad \mathcal{W}_G(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta) \leq C(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta; pt, PD([\omega_\Delta])) \leq 2\pi\Upsilon(\Delta).$$

Remark 1.5. The *polygon space* associated with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5) = (3/2, 1, 1, 1, 4/3)$ is a symplectic toric manifold $(\text{Pol}(\alpha), \omega_\alpha)$ with moment polytope Δ_α given by

$$\left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \frac{1}{2} \leq x_1 \leq \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{3} \leq x_2 \leq \frac{7}{3}, x_1 + x_2 \geq 1, x_1 - x_2 \geq -1, x_2 - x_1 \geq -1 \right\}.$$

(cf. [HaKn]). Using (1.15) one can prove that it is not Fano. We can also compute that $\Lambda(\Delta_\alpha) = 25\pi/3 < 15\pi = -2\pi(n + 1) \min_i \lambda_i$. This shows that the second inequality in (1.7) may be strict. Since $\Psi = \text{diag}(1, -1) \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\Psi(\Delta^2(1)) + (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ is contained in Δ_α , we get that $\mathcal{W}(\Delta_\alpha) \geq 1$. From these we can use (1.16) to obtain

$$2\pi \leq \mathcal{W}_G(\text{Pol}(\alpha), \omega_\alpha) \leq C(\text{Pol}(\alpha), \omega_\alpha; pt, PD([\omega_\alpha])) \leq 25\pi/3.$$

Moreover, we can prove that $\Upsilon(\Delta_\alpha) = 1/6$. So the second inequality in (1.18), i.e.,

$$C(\text{Pol}(\alpha), \omega_\alpha; pt, PD([\omega_\alpha])) \leq 2\pi\Upsilon(\Delta_\alpha) = \pi/3$$

can not hold because the first one in (1.18) always hold. These show that the second inequalities in (1.11) and (1.18) do not necessarily hold for non-Fano symplectic toric manifolds.

Notice that $(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ is only taken over a finite set in the definition of $\Lambda(\Sigma, \varphi)$. Using the formula in [Sp] it might be possible to get the optimal estimation for any compact non-Fano toric manifold.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some necessary preliminaries on toric manifolds; the readers only need to browse through them. The main results are proved in Section 3. Three examples are given in Section 4. In Section 5 we estimate symplectic capacities

of the polygon spaces. Finally four related results are given in Section 6; They are impacts of symplectic blow-ups on symplectic capacities, symplectic packings in toric manifolds and the estimate of Seshadri constants of an ample line bundle on toric manifolds, and symplectic capacities of symplectic manifolds with S^1 -action.

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to Professors V. V. Batyrev, A. Givental, A. Kresch, H. Sato, B. Siebert and J. A. Wiśniewski for clarifying some facts. The author also thanks ICTP at Italy and IHES at Paris for their financial support and hospitality.

§2. Preliminaries on toric manifolds

The basic references for toric manifolds (in alphabetic order) are [Au], [Ba1], [Ew], [Fu], [Gu2] and [Oda]. The description here will be presented in the unity notations in [Ba1] and [Gu2].

2.1. Symplectic toric manifolds

Let $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta, J_\Delta, \tau_\Delta)$ be the symplectic toric manifold associated with Delzant polytope $\Delta \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ in (1.3), and $\mu_\Delta : M_\Delta \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ be the moment map of the \mathbb{T}^n -action τ_Δ on it. Denote by F_k the k -th $(n-1)$ -dimensional face of Δ defined by the equation $\langle x, u_k \rangle = \lambda_k$. They yield complex and symplectic submanifolds of M_Δ of real codimension 2,

$$(2.1) \quad D_1 = \mu_\Delta^{-1}(F_1), \dots, D_d = \mu_\Delta^{-1}(F_d).$$

Let c_k be the cohomology class in $H^2(M_\Delta, \mathbb{Z})$ dual to D_k . The cohomology class $[\omega_\Delta]$ and the first Chern class of M_Δ are respectively given by

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi}[\omega_\Delta] = -\sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k c_k \quad \text{and} \quad c_1(M_\Delta) = \sum_{k=1}^d c_k$$

(cf. [Gu1]). As pointed out in [Ab] the arguments in [Gu1] gave a symplectomorphism

$$(2.3) \quad (\text{Int}(M_\Delta), \omega_\Delta) \cong (\text{Int}(\Delta) \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}}).$$

Here $\text{Int}(M_\Delta) = \phi_{\mathbb{T}^n}^{-1}(\text{Int}(\Delta))$ is an open dense subset in M_Δ , $x \in \text{Int}(\Delta)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\omega_{\text{can}} = \sum_{k=1}^d dx_k \wedge d\theta_k$. Thus (x, θ) may be viewed as symplectic coordinates in $\text{Int}(M_\Delta)$.

2.2. Fans and toric varieties

For an integer $k \geq 1$, a convex subset $\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a *regular k -dimensional cone* if there exists a \mathbb{Z} -basis $v_1, \dots, v_k, \dots, v_n$ of \mathbb{Z}^n such that $\sigma = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}v_1 + \dots + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}v_k$. Such $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ are called the *integral generators of σ* . The origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the *regular zero dimensional cone*. The cones generated by subsets of the integral generators of σ are called the faces of σ . A finite system $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s\}$ of regular cones in \mathbb{R}^n is called a *complete regular n -dimensional fan* in \mathbb{R}^n if (i) any face of each cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is also in Σ ; (ii) the intersection $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ of any two cones $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma$ is a face of each; (iii) $\mathbb{R}^n = \sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_s$. A toric variety is compact and nonsingular if and only if its corresponding fan is complete and regular. We always consider such a fan Σ below. The set of all k -dimensional cones of Σ is denoted by $\Sigma^{(k)}$. For every $\sigma \in \Sigma^{(1)}$ there is a unique generator $u \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $\sigma = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cdot u$. Denote by $G(\Sigma) = \{u_1, \dots, u_d\}$ the set of all generators of elements of $\Sigma^{(1)}$. A nonempty subset $\mathcal{P} = \{u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_k}\} \subset G(\Sigma)$ is called a *primitive collection* if it is not the set of generators of a k -dimensional cone in Σ , while for each generator $u_{i_l} \in \mathcal{P}$ the elements of $\mathcal{P} \setminus \{u_{i_l}\}$ generate a $(k-1)$ -dimensional cone in Σ . Since Σ is complete there exists a unique cone $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \in \Sigma$ whose relative interior contains $u_{i_1} + \dots + u_{i_k}$. Let $G(\sigma(\mathcal{P})) = \{u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_m}\}$. We get a linear relation

$$(2.4) \quad u_{i_1} + \dots + u_{i_k} = c_{j_1}u_{j_1} + \dots + c_{j_m}u_{j_m}, \quad c_{j_s} > 0, \quad c_{j_s} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(we allow $m = 0$ if $u_{i_1} + \dots + u_{i_k} = 0$.) It is called the *primitive relation* for \mathcal{P} . The integer $\text{deg}(\mathcal{P}) := k - (c_1 + \dots + c_m)$ is called the *degree* of \mathcal{P} . Denote by $\text{PC}(\Sigma)$ the set of primitive collections of Σ . Let $A(\mathcal{P}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid z_i = 0 \text{ if } u_i \in \mathcal{P}\}$ and $Z(\Sigma) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{P}} A(\mathcal{P})$, where \mathcal{P} takes over $\text{PC}(\Sigma)$. Put $U(\Sigma) = \mathbb{C}^d \setminus Z(\Sigma)$ and

$$R(\Sigma) = \{\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \mu_1u_1 + \dots + \mu_du_d = 0\}.$$

Clearly, $R(\Sigma)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^{d-n} . Let (e_1, \dots, e_d) be the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^d . Define a linear map $\beta : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $e_k \mapsto u_k$, $k = 1, \dots, d$. It maps \mathbb{Z}^d onto \mathbb{Z}^n . Note that the map β can be naturally extended to a map $\beta_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ that maps $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}^d$ onto $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}^n$. We still denote by $\beta_{\mathbb{C}}$ the induced map from $T_{\mathbb{C}}^d := \mathbb{C}^d/2\pi i\mathbb{Z}^d$ to $T_{\mathbb{C}}^n := \mathbb{C}^n/2\pi i\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $N_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma)$ be the kernel of this map. Using the group isomorphism $E_d : T_{\mathbb{C}}^d \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ given by

$$[w] = [(w_1, \dots, w_d)] \longmapsto (e^{w_1}, \dots, e^{w_d}),$$

we get a subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$, $D(\Sigma) := E_d(N_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma))$. Explicitly, it is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{d-n}$ as the Lie group. Moreover, $D(\Sigma)$ acts freely and properly on $U(\Sigma)$. Thus the quotient $X_{\Sigma} = U(\Sigma)/D(\Sigma)$ is a simply connected compact complex manifold of dimension n , called the *compact toric manifold associated with Σ* . Denote by

$$(2.5) \quad D_k(\Sigma) = \{[(z_1, \dots, z_d)] \in U(\Sigma)/D(\Sigma) \mid z_k = 0\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, d.$$

They are complex submanifolds of X_{Σ} of codimension one and form a basis for the group $T_N \text{Div}(X_{\Sigma})$ of $T_N = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ -invariant divisors.

A continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called Σ -piecewise linear if it is a linear function on every cone of Σ . Such a function is uniquely determined by its values on elements $u_k \in G(\Sigma)$. We also call $\varphi \in \text{PL}(\Sigma)$ *integral* if $\varphi(\mathbb{Z}^n) \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $\text{PL}(\Sigma)$ the space of all Σ -piecewise linear functions on \mathbb{R}^n . For $\varphi \in \text{PL}(\Sigma)$ and $\mu \in R(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ the *degree of μ relative to φ* is defined by $\text{deg}_{\varphi}(\mu) = \sum_{k=1}^d \mu_k \varphi(u_k)$

THEOREM 2.1. *For $A \in H_2(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z})$ let $\mu_k(A)$ denote the intersection numbers $A \cdot D_k(\Sigma)$, $k = 1, \dots, d$. Then $\mu(A) = (\mu(A)_1, \dots, \mu(A)_d) \in R(\Sigma)$ and the map*

$$(2.6) \quad H_2(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow R(\Sigma), \quad A \longmapsto \mu(A)$$

is an isomorphism. Denote by Ξ_{Σ} the inverse of the isomorphism and its natural extension $R(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H_2(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the homomorphism $\varphi \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^d \varphi(u_k) PD(D_k(\Sigma))$ from $\text{PL}(\Sigma)$ to $H^2(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{R})$ also induces an isomorphism

$$(2.7) \quad \Xi^{\Sigma} : \text{PL}(\Sigma)/M_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow H^2(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{R}).$$

In particular, under the isomorphism Ξ^{Σ} the first Chern class $c_1(X_{\Sigma})$ is represented by the class of $\varphi_{c_1} \in \text{PL}(\Sigma)$ such that $\varphi_{c_1}(u_1) = \dots = \varphi_{c_1}(u_d) = 1$. Furthermore, the degree-mapping induces the nondegenerate pairing $\text{deg} : \text{PL}(\Sigma)/M_{\mathbb{R}} \times R(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which coincides with the canonical intersection pairing $H^2(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{R}) \times H_2(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

A nonzero homology class $A \in H_2(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z})$ is called *very effective* in [Kr] if $A \cdot D \geq 0$ for every toric divisor D . Let $\text{VNE}(X_{\Sigma})$ denote the set of very effective curve classes on X_{Σ} . Then under the isomorphism (2.6) it is given by $\text{VNE}(X_{\Sigma}) = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d \cap (R(\Sigma) \setminus \{0\})$.

For each cone $\sigma = \langle u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_{n-1}} \rangle \in \Sigma^{(n-1)}$ let $\langle u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_{n-1}}, u_{i_n} \rangle$ and $\langle u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_{n-1}}, u_{i_{n+1}} \rangle$ are the n -dimensional cones in Σ which contains σ as a face. Then there are unique integers $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ with $b_n = b_{n+1} = 1$, such that $b_1 u_{i_1} + \dots + b_n u_{i_n} + b_{n+1} u_{i_{n+1}} = 0$. We define $v(\sigma) = (v(\sigma)_1, \dots, v(\sigma)_d) \in R(\Sigma)$ by $v(\sigma)_r = b_t$ for $r = i_t$ and $1 \leq t \leq n + 1$, and by $v(\sigma)_r = 0$ otherwise. Under the isomorphism (2.6) it corresponds to the class in $H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ represented by the T_N -stable closed subvariety $V(\sigma) \cong \mathbb{C}P^1$. So the intersection number is

$$(2.8) \quad (D_l(\Sigma) \cdot V(\sigma)) = \begin{cases} b_t & l = i_t \ (1 \leq t \leq n - 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If X_Σ is projective the effective cone is given by $\text{NE}(X_\Sigma) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{(n-1)}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} v(\sigma)$.

A Σ -piecewise linear function $\varphi \in \text{PL}(\Sigma)$ is called *strictly convex support function* for Σ if (i) it is *upper convex*, i.e., $\varphi(x) + \varphi(y) \geq \varphi(x + y) \ \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and (ii) the restrictions of it to any two different n -dimensional cones $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma$, are two different linear functions. Denote by $\varphi_l \in \text{PL}(\Sigma)$ the unique functions determined by $\varphi_l(u_k) = \delta_{kl}$, $k, l = 1, \dots, d$. It is easily checked that they are all upper convex. Moreover, under the isomorphism (2.7) the divisor $D_l(\Sigma) \in H^2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ corresponds to the class represented by φ_l . Denote by $K(\Sigma)$ the cone in $H^2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{PL}(\Sigma)/(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ consisting of the classes of all upper convex $\varphi \in \text{PL}(\Sigma)$, and by $K^\circ(\Sigma)$ the interior of $K(\Sigma)$, i.e., the cone consisting of the classes of all strictly convex support functions $\varphi \in \text{PL}(\Sigma)$. Then $K^\circ(\Sigma) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if X_Σ is projective.

THEOREM 2.2. *For a complete regular fan Σ in \mathbb{R}^n , $\varphi \in \text{PL}(\Sigma)$ is a strictly convex support function for it if and only if the following equivalent conditions hold.*

(i) *For any primitive collection $\mathcal{P} = \{u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_k}\} \subset G(\Sigma)$ it holds that*

$$\varphi(u_{i_1}) + \dots + \varphi(u_{i_k}) > \varphi(u_{i_1} + \dots + u_{i_k}).$$

(ii) $\Delta_\varphi := \{m \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \mid \langle m, x \rangle \geq -\varphi(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ is a Delzant polytope in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$. In this case, for each maximal cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ let $\varphi_\sigma \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ be the unique element such that $\langle \varphi_\sigma, x \rangle = -\varphi|_\sigma(x) \ \forall x \in \sigma$, then different maximal cone give different $\varphi_\sigma \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ and $\{\varphi_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma^{(n)}\}$ is exactly the set of vertexes of Δ_φ .

(iii) *The divisor $\sum_{k=1}^d \varphi(u_k)D_k$ is ample, or equivalently*

$$\left(\left(\sum_{l=1}^d \varphi(u_l)D_l(\Sigma) \right) \cdot V(\sigma) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^d \varphi(u_k)v(\sigma)_k > 0 \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in \Sigma^{(n-1)}.$$

(i) is Theorem 4.6 in [Ba1]. The first claim in (ii) follows from Corollary 2.15 in [Oda], and the second is Lemma 2.12 in [Oda]. (iii) is Theorem 2.18 in [Oda].

With the above fan Σ one can associate a polytope in \mathbb{R}^n

$$(2.9) \quad \Delta_\Sigma := \bigcup_{\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle \in \Sigma} \text{conv}(0, u_1, \dots, u_k)$$

where $u_i \in G(\Sigma)$ and $\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$ is the convex cone spanned on vectors u_1, \dots, u_k .

THEOREM 2.3. *The compact toric manifold X_Σ is Fano if and only if the following equivalent conditions hold.*

- (i) Σ -piecewise linear function φ_{c_1} defined in Theorem 2.1 is strictly convex for Σ .
- (ii) Every primitive collection \mathcal{P} of Σ has positive degree.
- (iii) The polytope Δ_Σ is strictly convex in the sense that each face of it is of the form $\text{conv}(u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_k})$ where $\langle u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_k} \rangle \in \Sigma$.

(i) and (ii) come from [Ba1] and [Ba3] respectively. (iii) was obtained on page 268 in [Wi].

There are only finitely many toric Fano varieties of dimension n up to isomorphism. Toric Fano manifolds have been classified in low dimensions: there exist exactly 5 different toric Del Pezzo surfaces, exactly 18 different toric Fano 3-folds and exactly 124 different toric Fano 4-folds (see [Ba2], [Ba3], [Oda] and references therein).

Since a compact nonsingular toric variety X_Σ is projective (or Kähler) if and only if its fan Σ comes from some Delzant polytope, we recall the construction of the fan Σ_Δ associated with the Delzant polytope Δ in (1.3). For each face F of Δ of codimension k there exists a unique multi-index I_F of length k , $I_F = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d$, such that $F = \{x \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \mid x(u_i) = \lambda_i, \forall i \in I_F\}$. One has a regular k -dimensional cone in \mathbb{R}^n , $\sigma_F = \{\sum t_i u_i \mid t_i \geq 0 \forall i \in I_F\}$ with generators $\{u_i \mid i \in I_F\}$. The

origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the regular 0-dimensional cone. Then the set $\Sigma_\Delta := \{\sigma_F \mid F \text{ is a face of } \Delta\}$ is a complete regular n -dimensional fan in \mathbb{R}^n with $G(\Sigma_\Delta) = \{u_1, \dots, u_d\}$, and the corresponding toric manifold $P_\Delta := X_{\Sigma_\Delta}$ is projective. Audin showed in [Au] that there exists a biholomorphism from (M_Δ, J_Δ) to $P_\Delta = U(\Sigma_\Delta)/D(\Sigma_\Delta)$ which maps D_k in (2.1) to $D_k(\Sigma_\Delta)$ in (2.5), $k = 1, \dots, d$. Later we shall not distinguish between M_Δ and P_Δ without special statements. By Theorem 2.2 (ii), if X_Σ is projective then any $\varphi \in K^\circ(\Sigma)$ yields a Delzant polytope Δ_φ . It is easily proved that the fan Σ associated with Δ_φ is exactly Σ . Moreover, for any $m \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ and $r > 0$, the above construction implies that $\Sigma_{m+r\Delta} = \Sigma_\Delta$ and thus $P_{m+r\Delta} = P_\Delta$ because $m + r\Delta = \bigcap_{k=1}^d \{x \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \mid x(u_k) \geq m(u_k) + r\lambda_k\}$.

THEOREM 2.4. *For the Delzant polytope Δ in (1.3) the following assertions hold:*

- (i) $K^\circ(\Sigma_\Delta) \neq \emptyset$, and the open cone $K^\circ(\Sigma_\Delta) \subset H^2(P_\Delta, \mathbb{R}) = H^{1,1}(P_\Delta, \mathbb{R})$ consists of classes of Kähler (1,1)-forms on P_Δ . The support function $h_\Delta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for Δ defined by

$$h_\Delta(x) = -\inf\{\langle v, x \rangle \mid v \in \Delta\} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

is strictly convex for Σ_Δ , and $\omega_\Delta = 2\pi h_\Delta$.

- (ii) $\Delta_{\omega_\Delta} = 2\pi\Delta$, and if $\varphi \in \text{PL}(\Sigma_\Delta)$ is strictly convex for Σ_Δ then one has

$$\Delta_\varphi = \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{m \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \mid \langle m, u_i \rangle \geq -\varphi(u_i)\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(M_{\Delta_\varphi}, \omega_{\Delta_\varphi}) = (P_\Delta, 2\pi\varphi).$$

(i) follows from Theorem 2.7 in [Oda]. To prove (ii), it is showed before that each $(n - 1)$ -dimensional face $F_i = \{m \in \Delta \mid \langle m, u_i \rangle = \lambda_i\}$ gives a corresponding 1-dimensional cone $\sigma_{F_i} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}u_i$ in Σ_Δ . By Lemma 2.12 in [Oda] this cone yields a $(n - 1)$ -dimensional face $F_i^\varphi := \{m \in \Delta_\varphi \mid \langle m, u_i \rangle = -\varphi(u_i)\}$ again.

THEOREM 2.5. *The projective toric manifold $M_\Delta = P_\Delta$ is Fano if and only if the following equivalent conditions hold.*

- (i) *There exist $r > 0$ and $m \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ such that $\text{Int}(r \cdot (m + \Delta)) \cap (\mathbb{Z}^n)^* = \{0\}$ and that $r \cdot (\lambda_i + \langle m, u_i \rangle) = \pm 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$.*

- (ii) *There exist $r > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $0 \in \text{Int}(m + \Delta)$ and that each vertex of $r \cdot (m + \Delta)$ is a primitive vector in $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$ in the sense that its coordinates are relatively prime.*

Proof. To prove (i), note that $P_\Delta = P_{\mu(m+\Delta)}$. By Exercise 3.6 on page 70 of [Gu2], the vertices of the polytope $r \cdot (m + \Delta)$ lie on integer lattice points if and only if all $r \cdot (\lambda_i + \langle m, u_i \rangle)$ are integers, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Moreover it was proved in [Ba2] that $P_{r \cdot (m+\Delta)}$ is Fano if and only if the integral polytope $r \cdot (m + \Delta)$ is a reflexive polytope. These imply (i). As to (ii) it was proved in [Ew] that $P_{r \cdot (m+\Delta)}$ is Fano if and only if the integral polytope $r \cdot (m + \Delta)$ is a Fano polytope. The condition in (ii) just right guarantees that $r \cdot (m + \Delta)$ is a Fano polytope. \square

§3. Proof of the Main Theorems

Let (M, ω) be a closed symplectic manifold. For nonzero classes $\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty \in H_*(M, \mathbb{Q})$, using the Gromov-Witten invariant homomorphism $\Psi_{A,g,m+2} : H_*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m+2}; \mathbb{Q}) \times H_*(M; \mathbb{Q})^{m+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, we defined in [Lu3] a number $\text{GW}_g(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)$ by the infimum of the ω -areas $\omega(A)$ of the homology classes $A \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ for which $\Psi_{A,g,m+2}(\kappa; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \neq 0$ for some homology classes $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in H_*(M; \mathbb{Q})$, $\kappa \in H_*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m+2}; \mathbb{Q})$ and integer $m > 0$. It was proved in Theorem 1.10 and Remark 1.11 of [Lu3] that for $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}, C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$,

$$(3.1) \quad C(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \leq \text{GW}_0(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \text{GW}_g(M, \omega; pt, PD([\omega])) = \inf\{\text{GW}_g(M, \omega; pt, \alpha) \mid \alpha \in H_*(M, \mathbb{Q})\}.$$

These are the starting points of proof of our main results.

3.1. Rational curves on uniruled manifolds

A smooth projective variety X over \mathbb{C} is called *uniruled* if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions:

- (i) There is a nonempty open subset $U \subset X$ such that for every $x \in U$ there is a morphism $f : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow X$ satisfying $x \in f(\mathbb{C}P^1)$.
- (ii) For every $x \in X$ there is a morphism $f : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow X$ satisfying $x \in f(\mathbb{C}P^1)$.

The following proposition is a key to prove Theorem 1.1. Its proof was actually contained in Kollar’s arguments in [Ko] and Proposition 7.3 in [Lu3]. For convenience of the readers we shall prove it in detail.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let X be a uniruled manifold of positive dimension n . Then there exist homology classes $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ with $1 \leq c_1(A) \leq n + 1$, $\alpha \in H_{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\beta \in H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ such that*

$$(3.3) \quad \Psi_{A,0,3}(pt; pt, \alpha, \beta) \neq 0.$$

Proof. Firstly, note that (3.3) and dimension condition in the definition of GW-invariants imply

$$2 + 2n + (2n - \dim \beta) = 2n + 2c_1(A).$$

It follows that $1 \leq c_1(A) \leq n + 1$ because $0 \leq \dim \beta \leq 2n$. So we only need to prove (3.3).

Our proof ideas are based on the proof of Theorem 4.2.10 in [Ko] and simple arguments of Gromov-Witten invariants. Recall the proof of Theorem 4.2.10 in [Ko]. Fix a very general point $x \in X$ and a very ample divisor $H \subset X$. Since X is uniruled there exists a rational curve C through x such that $(C \cdot H)$ is minimal. Let $B =: [C]$. Fix a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ and let k be the complex dimension of the space of morphisms $f : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow X$ such that $f_*([\mathbb{C}P^1]) = B$ and $f(z_0) = x$. Then $k \geq 2$ because the isotropic subgroup of automorphism group of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ at z_0 has real dimension 4. Then for general divisors H_1, \dots, H_k linearly equivalent to H ,

$$(3.4) \quad \Psi_{B,0,k+1}(pt; pt, H_1, \dots, H_k) \neq 0.$$

If $k = 2$ then (3.3) holds for $A = B$. If $k = 3$ it follows from (6) in [Mc] that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_{B,0,4}(pt; pt, H_1, H_2, H_3) \\ &= \sum_{B=B_1+B_2} \sum_l \Psi_{B_1,0,3}(pt; pt, H_1, e_l) \Psi_{B_2,0,3}(pt; f_l, H_2, H_3) \end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_l\}_l$ is a basis for the homology $H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ and $\{f_l\}_l$ is the dual basis with respect to the intersection pairing. This identity implies that $\Psi_{B_1,0,3}(pt; pt, H_1, e_l) \neq 0$ for some l . Taking $A = B_1$ one gets (3.3) again. If $k \geq 4$ the composition law of the GW-invariants gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_{B,0,k+1}(pt; H_1, \dots, H_k) \\ &= \sum_{B=B_1+B_2} \sum_{a,b} \Psi_{B_1,0,4}(pt; pt, H_1, H_2, \beta_a) \eta^{ab} \Psi_{B_2,0,k-1}(pt; \beta_b, H_3, \dots, H_k). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\{\beta_b\}_{b=1}^L$ is a homogeneous basis of $H_*(X, \mathbb{Q})$. It follows from (3.4) that

$$\Psi_{B_1,0,4}(pt; pt, H_1, H_2, \beta_a) \neq 0$$

for some $B_1 \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and $1 \leq a \leq L$. As in case $k = 3$ we can also get (3.3). Clearly we has always $(H' \cdot A) \leq (H' \cdot B)$ for any very ample divisor H' on X . □

COROLLARY 3.2. *For a uniruled manifold X of positive dimension n , through any general point of X there is a rational curve C with $0 < (-K_X \cdot C) \leq n + 1$.*

This result is not new. It is an easy part of the celebrated Mori’s theorem in [Mor1], [Mor2]. For more general versions of Corollary 3.2 the reader may refer to [KoMor].

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Since X_Σ is uniruled, Proposition 3.1 yields homology classes $A \in H_2(X_\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ with $1 \leq c_1(A) \leq n + 1$, $\alpha \in H_{2n-2}(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\beta \in H_*(X_\Sigma; \mathbb{Q})$ such that $\Psi_{A,0,3}(pt; pt, \alpha, \beta) \neq 0$. Note that the Gromov-Witten invariants are deformation invariants. For any $\varphi \in K^\circ(\Sigma)$ one has

$$\langle [\varphi], A \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d \varphi(u_i) \mu(A)_i > 0.$$

Now $K(\Sigma)$ is the closure of $K^\circ(\Sigma)$ in $H^2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$. Therefore $\langle [\psi], A \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d \psi(u_i) \mu(A)_i \geq 0$ for any $\psi \in K(\Sigma)$. In particular we have

$$(3.5) \quad \langle [\varphi_l], A \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d \varphi_l(u_i) \mu(A)_i = \mu(A)_l \geq 0, \quad l = 1, \dots, d.$$

These show that A is very effective. By Theorem 2.1, $c_1(A) = \sum_{i=1}^d \mu(A)_i$. So $1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \mu(A)_i \leq n + 1$. By the definition of $\Lambda(\Sigma, \varphi)$ we have

$$0 < \langle [\varphi], A \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d \varphi(u_i) \mu(A)_i \leq \Lambda(\Sigma, \varphi)$$

and thus $\text{GW}_0(M, \omega; pt, \alpha) \leq \Lambda(\Sigma, \varphi)$. Moreover it is clear that

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \varphi(u_i) \mu_i \leq \sum_{\varphi(u_i) > 0} \varphi(u_i) \mu_i \leq (n + 1) \max_i \varphi(u_i)$$

for each $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^d \mu_i u_i = 0$ and $1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \mu_i \leq n + 1$. By (3.1) and (3.2) we get the desired (1.8).

The proof of (1.9) is direct. Note that Δ_φ may be written as

$$\Delta_\varphi = \bigcap_{k=1}^d \{x \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \mid \langle x, u_k \rangle = x(u_k) \geq -\varphi(u_k)\}.$$

By Theorem 2.4 (ii) it is a Delzant polytope in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$, and $(M_{\Delta_\varphi}, \omega_{\Delta_\varphi}) = (P_{\Delta_\varphi}, 2\pi\varphi) = (X_\Sigma, 2\pi\varphi)$. Using (2.3) we can give a symplectic embedding from $(\text{Int}(\Delta_\varphi) \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}})$ to $(M_{\Delta_\varphi}, \omega_{\Delta_\varphi})$. Then (1.9) follows from these and the monotonicity of symplectic capacities. \square

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

For every $A = \Xi_\Sigma(a) \in \text{VNE}(X_\Sigma)$, by Theorem 9.1 in [Ba1] the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(A, X_\Sigma)$ consisting of holomorphic maps $f : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow X_\Sigma$ with $f_*([\mathbb{C}P^1]) = A$ is irreducible and the virtual dimension of it is equal to $n + c_1(X_\Sigma)(A) = n + \sum_{k=1}^d a_k$. Denote by $m = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^d a_k$ and by $c_k \in H^2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ the Poincare dual of $[D_k(\Sigma)]$, $k = 1, \dots, d$. It was stated in [Ba1] that

$$(3.6) \quad c_1^{a_1} \cdots c_d^{a_d} = q^A$$

holds in $QH^*(X_\Sigma)$. The author incorrectly admitted it in [Lu2]. Actually one only can prove (3.6) for all $A \in \text{VNE}(X_\Sigma)$ in the toric Fano manifolds. The first proof was given by Givental in [Giv] (also see [Kr] for an elementary proof for a class of Fano toric manifolds, and [CiS] for another different proof for Fano toric manifolds with minimal Chern number at least two). In terms of GW-invariants (3.6) means

$$(3.7) \quad \Psi_{A,0,m+1}^{X_\Sigma}(pt; pt, \underbrace{D_1(\Sigma), \dots, D_1(\Sigma)}_{a_1}, \dots, \underbrace{D_d(\Sigma), \dots, D_d(\Sigma)}_{a_d}) = 1.$$

Its enumerative interpretation is that for a given general point p_0 on X_Σ and generic distinct points $z_0, z_{k,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, a_k$ and $k = 1, \dots, d$ on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ there exists precisely one morphism $f \in \mathcal{M}(A, X_\Sigma)$ such that $f(z_0) = p_0$ and $f(z_{k,i}) \in D_k(\Sigma)$ for $i = 1, \dots, a_k$ and $k = 1, \dots, d$. In particular $\varphi(A) > 0$. But Theorem 2.1 shows that $\varphi(A) = \sum_{k=1}^d \omega(u_k) a_k$. Therefore for a given $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d \cap R(\Sigma)$, $\Xi_\Sigma(a) \in \text{VNE}(X_\Sigma)$ if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^d \varphi(u_k) a_k > 0$. Now

(1.10) can easily follow from this and the Gromov compactness theorem. Hence (3.2) and (3.7) give

$$\text{GW}_0(X_\Sigma, \varphi; pt, PD([\varphi])) \leq \varphi(A) = \sum_{k=1}^d \varphi(u_k) a_k$$

for any $A = \Xi_{\Sigma_\Delta}(a) \in \text{VNE}(X_\Sigma)$, and thus

$$\text{GW}_0(X_\Sigma, \varphi; pt, PD([\varphi])) \leq \Upsilon(\Sigma, \varphi).$$

This and (3.1) give (1.11). □

Remark 3.3. For a symplectic toric manifold $(\text{Pol}(\alpha), \omega_\alpha)$ in Remark 1.5 it is easily seen that (3.6) cannot hold for all $A \in \text{VNE}(\text{Pol}(\alpha))$.

3.4. Proof of Proposition 1.3

Denote by $\omega_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n dx_k \wedge d\theta_k$ and $\omega_{\text{can}} = \sum_{k=1}^n dx_k \wedge dy_k$ the standard symplectic form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and its descending symplectic form on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n)$ respectively. For $a_k > 0, b_k > 0, k = 1, \dots, n$, we also denote by

$$(3.8) \quad E(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \left\{ (x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j^2 + y_j^2)/r_j^2 < 1 \right\},$$

$$\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n \mid \sum_{k=1}^n x_k/a_k < 1 \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$\square(b_1, \dots, b_n) = \{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 0 < \theta_k < b_k \forall 1 \leq k \leq n\}$$

and abbreviate $\Delta^n(a) := \Delta(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\square^n(b) := \square(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ if $a_1 = \dots = a_n = a$ and $b_1 = \dots = b_n = b$. The following two lemmas will be also used in Section 6.

LEMMA 3.4. ([Sik]) *Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be two connected open sets with $H^1(U) = 0$ and $H^1(V) = 0$. For the symplectic submanifolds $U \times \mathbb{T}^n$ and $V \times \mathbb{T}^n$ of $(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}})$, the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $(U \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}})$ and $(V \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}})$ are symplectomorphic;
- (ii) there exists a unimodular matrix $\Phi \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ and a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $V = \Phi U + x$.

LEMMA 3.5. ([Sch, Lemma 3.11]) *Let $E(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ be as above. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$,*

- (i) *$(E(\sqrt{2a_1} - \epsilon, \dots, \sqrt{2a_n} - \epsilon), \omega_0)$ embeds symplectically in $(\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_n) \times \square^n(2\pi), \omega_0)$ in such a way that for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha E(\sqrt{2a_1} - \epsilon, \dots, \sqrt{2a_n} - \epsilon)$ is mapped into $((\alpha + \epsilon)\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_n)) \times \square^n(2\pi)$;*
- (ii) *$(\Delta(a_1 - \epsilon, \dots, a_n - \epsilon) \times \square^n(2\pi), \omega_0)$ embeds symplectically in $E(\sqrt{2a_1}, \dots, \sqrt{2a_n})$ in such a way that for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $(\alpha\Delta(a_1 - \epsilon, \dots, a_n - \epsilon)) \times \square^n(2\pi)$ is mapped into $(\alpha + \epsilon)E(\sqrt{2a_1}, \dots, \sqrt{2a_n})$.*

Now we are in position to prove Proposition 1.3. By Lemma 3.4, if $\Psi(\Delta^n(a)) + x \subset \Delta$ for some $\Psi \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and $x \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ then there exists a symplectic embedding from $(\Delta^n(a) \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}})$ into $(\Delta \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}})$. Moreover, Lemma 3.5 can give a symplectic embedding from $(B^{2n}(\sqrt{2a} - \epsilon), \omega_0)$ into $(\Delta^n(a) \times \square^n(2\pi), \omega_0) \subset (\Delta^n(a) \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}})$ for any given small $\epsilon > 0$. The definition of $\mathcal{W}(\Delta)$ and the monotonicity of the symplectic capacities yield the first inequality in (1.14).

In order to prove the second inequality in (1.14) let $p \in \text{Vert}(\Delta)$ such that

$$E_p(\Delta) = \max\{E_q(\Delta) \mid q \in \text{Vert}(\Delta)\}.$$

Suppose that $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \text{Vert}(\Delta)$ are the adjacent n vertexes as described above Proposition 1.3. Then there exists a unique unimodular matrix $A \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $Ae_k^* = v_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. So the map

$$(3.9) \quad \Phi : (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^*, \quad x \longmapsto Ax - p$$

maps the vertexes p and p_1, \dots, p_n to the origin and $r_p(\Delta)_1 e_1^*, \dots, r_p(\Delta)_n e_n^*$. It follows that Φ maps the convex combination $\text{conv}(p, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ onto $\text{conv}(0, r_p(\Delta)_1 e_1^*, \dots, r_p(\Delta)_n e_n^*)$. Since $\text{conv}(p, p_1, \dots, p_n) \subset \Delta$, the inverse map Φ^{-1} of Φ maps $\text{conv}(0, r_p(\Delta)_1 e_1^*, \dots, r_p(\Delta)_n e_n^*)$ into Δ . But $\Delta^n(E_p(\Delta))$ is contained in $\text{conv}(0, r_p(\Delta)_1 e_1^*, \dots, r_p(\Delta)_n e_n^*)$. The second inequality in (1.14) is obtained immediately. □

§4. Examples

EXAMPLE 4.1. In [CdFKM] Candelas, de la Ossa, Font, Katz, and Morrison resolved the curve of \mathbb{Z}_2 singularities of the weighted projective space $\mathbb{C}P^4(1, 1, 2, 2, 2)$ to obtain a compact toric manifold $X = X_\Sigma$. Here

the one-dimensional cones in the fan Σ are spanned by

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= -e_1 - 2e_2 - 2e_3 - 2e_4, & u_2 &= e_1, & u_3 &= e_2, \\ u_4 &= e_3, & u_5 &= e_4, & u_6 &= \frac{1}{2}(u_1 + u_2) \end{aligned}$$

for the standard basis e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 in \mathbb{C}^4 . The maximal cones of Σ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \langle u_1, u_3, u_4, u_5 \rangle, & \sigma_5 &= \langle u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5 \rangle \\ \sigma_2 &= \langle u_1, u_4, u_5, u_6 \rangle, & \sigma_6 &= \langle u_2, u_4, u_5, u_6 \rangle \\ \sigma_3 &= \langle u_1, u_3, u_5, u_6 \rangle, & \sigma_7 &= \langle u_2, u_3, u_5, u_6 \rangle \\ \sigma_4 &= \langle u_1, u_3, u_4, u_6 \rangle, & \sigma_8 &= \langle u_2, u_3, u_4, u_6 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The only two primitive collections are $\{u_1, u_2\}$ and $\{u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6\}$. By Theorem 2.2 a Σ -piecewise linear function $\varphi \in \text{PL}(\Sigma)$ is a strictly convex support function for Σ if and only if $\varphi(u_3) + \varphi(u_4) + \varphi(u_5) + \varphi(u_6) > 0$ and $\varphi(u_1) + \varphi(u_2) > 2\varphi(u_6)$. Note that $u_1 + u_2 = 2u_6$. $\varphi_{c_1} \in \text{PL}(\Sigma)$ is not a strictly convex support function for Σ . By Theorem 2.3, X is not Fano. Let ω be the unique Σ -piecewise linear function determined by $\omega(u_1) = 1$, $\omega(u_3) = 1$ and $\omega(u_i) = 0$ for $i = 2, 4, 5, 6$. It is easily checked that it is a strictly convex support function for Σ . So X is projective and ω is a Kähler symplectic form. Theorem 1.1 yields

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{W}_G(X, \omega) \leq C(X, \omega; pt, PD([\omega])) \leq \Lambda(\Sigma, \omega) = 1$$

for $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}, C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.2 (ii) we can calculate all vertexes of Δ_ω as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= (-1, -1, 0, 0), & t_2 &= (1, 0, 0, 0), & t_3 &= (3, 1, 1, 0), \\ t_4 &= (1, -1, 0, 1), & t_5 &= (0, -1, 0, 0), & t_6 &= (0, 0, 0, 0), \\ t_7 &= (0, -1, 1, 0), & t_8 &= (0, -1, 0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since the matrix

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} t_2 - t_6 \\ t_5 - t_6 \\ t_7 - t_6 \\ t_8 - t_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

belongs to $SL(4, \mathbb{Z})$ and maps $Cl(\Delta^n(1)) = \text{conv}\{0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ onto

$$\text{conv}\{t_6, t_2, t_5, t_7, t_8\} \subset \Delta_\omega.$$

It follows from Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.3 that

$$\mathcal{W}_G(X, \omega) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{W}_G(\Delta_\omega \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}}) \geq \mathcal{W}(\Delta_\omega) \geq 1.$$

Combing (4.1) we get

$$\mathcal{W}_G(X, \omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{W}_G(\Delta_\omega \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}}) = \mathcal{W}(\Delta_\omega) = 1.$$

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let $(\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega_{\text{FS}})$ be n -dimensional projective space equipped with the Fubini-Study ω_{FS} . We assume that $\int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} \omega_{\text{FS}} = 2\pi$. Then $(\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega_{\text{FS}})$ is a $2n$ -dimensional toric manifold and its Delzant polytope has vertices $q_0 = 0$ and $q_i = e_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. Here e_1, \dots, e_n are the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n and we have identified $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ with \mathbb{R}^n . Let $p \in \mathbb{C}P^n$ be a fixed point of action of T^n on it corresponding vertex q_n under the moment map. Since $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is Fano it easily follows from Corollary 1.4 that for $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}, C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$,

$$\mathcal{W}_G(\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega_{\text{FS}}) = C(\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega_{\text{FS}}; pt, PD([\omega_{\text{FS}}])) = 2\pi.$$

Now take $\tau \in (0, 1)$ and consider the τ -blow up of $(\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega_{\text{FS}})$ at p we get a symplectic toric manifold $(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}_\tau^n, \omega_\tau)$. By Theorem 1.12 in [Gu2] the vertices of its Delzant polytope Δ_τ are $q_0 = 0, q_n = \delta e_n$, and $q_i = e_i, q_{n+i} = \delta e_n + \delta e_i, i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Here $\delta = 1 - \tau$. It is easy to see that

$$\Delta_\tau = \bigcap_{k=1}^{n+2} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x, u_k) - \lambda_k \geq 0\}$$

where $u_i = e_i$ and $\lambda_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, n$, and $u_{n+1} = -\sum_{i=1}^n e_i, u_{n+2} = -e_n, \lambda_{n+1} = -1$ and $\lambda_{n+2} = -\delta$. Note that all Delzant polytopes Δ_τ generate the same fan. All toric manifolds $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}_\tau^n$ are same as complex manifolds. If $\tau = 1/2$ it is easily checked that $2(\Delta_{1/2} - (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}))$ satisfies (1.15). So $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}_{1/2}^n$ is Fano. In particular we get that (3.7) holds for $X_\Sigma = \widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}_{1/2}^n$. Note that the Kähler forms on $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}_{1/2}^n$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}_\tau^n$ are deformedly equivalent because they sit in a Kähler cone on a complex manifold. Using the fact that the Gromov-Witten invariants are symplectic deformation invariants

we still obtain (3.7) for $X_\Sigma = \widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}_\tau^n$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.2 it follows from this and (3.1) that

$$C(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}^n, \omega_\tau; pt, PD([\omega_\tau])) \leq 2\pi\Upsilon(\Delta_\tau) = 2\pi\delta$$

for $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}$, $C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$. On the other hand the first inequality in (1.16) leads to

$$\mathcal{W}_G(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}^n, \omega_\tau) \geq 2\pi(1 - \tau)$$

because $\Delta^n(1 - \tau) \subset \Delta$. Hence

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{W}_G(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}^n, \omega_\tau) = C(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}^n, \omega_\tau; pt, PD([\omega_\tau])) = 2\pi(1 - \tau)$$

for $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}$, $C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$. On the other hand it is easily checked that $\Lambda(\Delta) \geq \pi(n + 1)(1 - \tau)$. So (1.18) gives better upper bound than (1.16) for $C_{HZ}(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}^n, \omega_\tau; pt, PD([\omega_\tau]))$.

EXAMPLE 4.3. Consider the following 4-dimensional toric Fano manifold W due to Hiroshi Sato [Sa, Ex. 4.7], which was missed in the table of Batyrev [Ba3]. Let e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 be the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^4 . Denote by $u_1 = e_1, u_2 = e_2, u_3 = -e_1 - e_2$ and $u_4 = e_3, u_5 = e_4, u_6 = -e_3 - e_4$. Let W be the equivariant blow-ups of $\mathbb{C}P^2 \times \mathbb{C}P^2$ along three T_N -invariant 2-dimensional irreducible closed subvarieties $\overline{\text{orb}(\{u_1, u_4\})}$, $\overline{\text{orb}(\{u_2, u_5\})}$ and $\overline{\text{orb}(\{u_3, u_6\})}$. The set of all generators of 1-dimensional cones in its fan Σ is $G(\Sigma) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8, u_9\}$, where $u_7 = u_1 + u_4, u_8 = u_2 + u_5$ and $u_9 = u_3 + u_6$. Σ has 23 maximal cones as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \langle u_1, u_2, u_7, u_8 \rangle, & \sigma_2 &= \langle u_1, u_2, u_6, u_8 \rangle, & \sigma_3 &= \langle u_1, u_2, u_6, u_7 \rangle, \\ \sigma_4 &= \langle u_1, u_3, u_5, u_7 \rangle, & \sigma_5 &= \langle u_1, u_3, u_5, u_9 \rangle, & \sigma_6 &= \langle u_1, u_3, u_7, u_9 \rangle, \\ \sigma_7 &= \langle u_1, u_5, u_6, u_8 \rangle, & \sigma_8 &= \langle u_1, u_5, u_6, u_9 \rangle, & \sigma_9 &= \langle u_1, u_5, u_7, u_8 \rangle, \\ \sigma_{10} &= \langle u_1, u_6, u_7, u_9 \rangle, & \sigma_{11} &= \langle u_2, u_3, u_4, u_9 \rangle, & \sigma_{12} &= \langle u_2, u_3, u_8, u_9 \rangle, \\ \sigma_{13} &= \langle u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5 \rangle, & \sigma_{14} &= \langle u_2, u_4, u_7, u_8 \rangle, & \sigma_{15} &= \langle u_2, u_4, u_6, u_7 \rangle, \\ \sigma_{16} &= \langle u_2, u_4, u_6, u_9 \rangle, & \sigma_{17} &= \langle u_2, u_6, u_8, u_9 \rangle, & \sigma_{18} &= \langle u_3, u_4, u_5, u_7 \rangle, \\ \sigma_{19} &= \langle u_3, u_4, u_7, u_9 \rangle, & \sigma_{20} &= \langle u_3, u_5, u_8, u_9 \rangle, & \sigma_{21} &= \langle u_4, u_5, u_7, u_8 \rangle, \\ \sigma_{22} &= \langle u_4, u_6, u_7, u_9 \rangle, & \sigma_{23} &= \langle u_5, u_6, u_8, u_9 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since W is Fano, $\varphi_{c_1} \in \text{PL}(\Sigma)$ defined by $\varphi_{c_1}(u_i) = 1, i = 1, \dots, 9$ gives a symplectic structure on W . It is easily checked that

$$\Upsilon(\Sigma, \varphi_{c_1}) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^9 n_i > 0 \mid \sum_{i=1}^9 n_i u_i = 0, (n_1, \dots, n_9) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^9 \setminus \{0\} \right\} = 1.$$

By Theorem 1.2 we get that for $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}, C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$,

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{W}_G(W, \varphi_{c_1}) \leq C(W, \varphi_{c_1}; pt, PD([\varphi_{c_1}])) \leq 1.$$

By Theorem 2.2 (ii) we can calculate all vertexes of $\Delta_{\varphi_{c_1}}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= (1, 1, 0, 0), & t_2 &= (1, 1, -1, 0), & t_3 &= (1, 1, 0, -1), \\ t_4 &= (1, -2, 0, 1), & t_5 &= (1, -2, -1, 1), & t_6 &= (1, -2, 0, 0), \\ t_7 &= (1, 0, -2, 1), & t_8 &= (1, -1, -2, 1), & t_9 &= (1, 0, 0, 1), \\ t_{10} &= (1, -1, 0, -1), & t_{11} &= (-2, 1, 1, -1), & t_{12} &= (0, -1, -2, -2), \\ t_{13} &= (-2, 1, 1, 1), & t_{14} &= (0, 1, 1, 0), & t_{15} &= (0, 1, 1, -2), \\ t_{16} &= (-2, -1, 0, -1), & t_{17} &= (-1, 1, -1, 0), & t_{18} &= (0, -1, 1, 1), \\ t_{19} &= (0, -1, -1, -1), & t_{20} &= (-1, 0, -1, 1), & t_{21} &= (0, 0, 1, 1), \\ t_{22} &= (0, 0, 1, -2), & t_{23} &= (0, 0, -2, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the matrix

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} t_2 - t_1 \\ t_3 - t_1 \\ t_9 - t_1 \\ t_{14} - t_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

belongs to $SL(4, \mathbb{Z})$ and maps $Cl(\Delta^n(1)) = \text{conv}\{0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ onto

$$\text{conv}\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_9, t_{14}\} - t_1 \subset \Delta_{\varphi_{c_1}} - t_1.$$

It follows from Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.3 that

$$\mathcal{W}_G(W, \varphi_{c_1}) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{W}_G(\Delta_{\varphi_{c_1}} \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}}) \geq \mathcal{W}(\Delta_{\varphi_{c_1}}) \geq 1.$$

Combing (4.3) we arrive at

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{W}_G(W, \varphi_{c_1}) = C(W, \varphi_{c_1}; pt, PD([\varphi_{c_1}])) = 1$$

for $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}, C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$.

§5. Symplectic capacities of polygon spaces

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. Following [HaKn] the *polygon space* $\text{Pol}(\alpha)$, *abelian polygon space* $\text{APol}(\alpha)$ and *upper path space* $\text{UP}(\alpha)$ for α

are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pol}(\alpha) &= \left\{ (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^m \mid \forall i, |\rho_i| = \alpha_i, \sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i = 0 \right\} / SO_3, \\ \text{APol}(\alpha) &= \left\{ (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^m \mid \forall i, |\rho_i| = \alpha_i, \zeta\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i\right) = \alpha_m \right\} / SO_2, \\ \text{UP}(\alpha) &= \left\{ (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{m-1}) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{m-1} \mid \forall i, |\rho_i| = \alpha_i, \zeta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \rho_i\right) \geq \alpha_m \right\} / \sim \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where SO_3 acts on $(\mathbb{R}^3)^m$ diagonally, $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the projection $\zeta(x, y, z) = z$, and $\rho \sim \rho'$ if $\rho = \rho'$ or if $\zeta(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \rho_i) = \alpha_m$ and $[\rho] = [\rho']$ in $\text{APol}(\alpha)$. When α is *generic*, i.e., the equation $\sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i \alpha_i = 0$ has no solution with $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ they are respectively closed symplectic manifolds of dimensions $2(m-3)$, $2(m-2)$ and $2(m-1)$. Moreover $\text{Pol}(\alpha)$ is a codimension 2 symplectic submanifold of $\text{APol}(\alpha)$, and the latter is a codimension 2 symplectic submanifold of $\text{UP}(\alpha)$. In particular $\text{APol}(\alpha)$ and $\text{UP}(\alpha)$ are respectively toric manifolds with moment polytopes

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_\alpha &= \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} [-\alpha_i, \alpha_i] \mid \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i = \alpha_m \right\}, \\ \widehat{\Xi}_\alpha &= \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} [-\alpha_i, \alpha_i] \mid \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i \geq \alpha_m \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\widehat{\Xi}_\alpha$ may viewed as a Delzant polytope. Indeed, with the standard basis e_1, \dots, e_{m-1} of \mathbb{R}^{m-1} we set $u_i = e_i$, $u_{m-1+i} = -e_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ and $u_{2m-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} e_i$. Then with $\lambda_{m-1+i} = \lambda_i = -\alpha_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, and $\lambda_{2m-1} = \alpha_m$ we have

$$\widehat{\Xi}_\alpha = \bigcap_{k=1}^{2m-1} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \mid (x, u_k) - \lambda_k \geq 0\}.$$

For nonnegative integers μ_k , $k = 1, \dots, 2m-1$ the direct computation gives rise to

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{2m-1} \mu_k u_k = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (\mu_i - \mu_{m-1+i} + \mu_{2m-1}) e_i \\ - \sum_{k=1}^{2m-1} \lambda_k \mu_k = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\mu_i + \mu_{m-1+i}) - \alpha_m \mu_{2m-1}. \end{cases}$$

So $\sum_{k=1}^{2m-1} \mu_k u_k = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu_{m-1+i} = \mu_i + \mu_{2m-1}, i = 1, \dots, m-1$, and thus $1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2m-1} \mu_i \leq m \Leftrightarrow 1 \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \mu_i + m\mu_{2m-1} \leq m$. In this case

$$- \sum_{k=1}^{2m-1} \lambda_k \mu_k = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \mu_i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i - \alpha_m \right) \mu_{2m-1}.$$

Setting $b_i = \mu_i, i = 1, \dots, m-1$, and $b_m = \mu_{2m-1}$ we get

$$(5.1) \quad \Lambda(\widehat{\Xi}_\alpha) = 2\pi \max \left\{ 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i b_i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i - \alpha_m \right) b_m \mid 1 \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} b_i + m b_m \leq m, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}.$$

By (1.7) it is less than or equal to $2m\pi \max\{\alpha_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m-1\}$. Moreover, it is easily checked that $\text{conv}(0, \delta e_1, \dots, \delta e_{m-1}) \subset \widehat{\Xi}_\alpha$ for $\delta = \min\{\alpha_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m-1\}$. By Theorem 1.1 we have:

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let $\widehat{\omega}_\alpha$ denote the symplectic form on $\text{UP}(\alpha)$. Then for $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}, C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$,*

$$2\pi \min\{\alpha_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m-1\} \leq \mathcal{W}_G(\text{UP}(\alpha), \widehat{\omega}_\alpha) \leq C(\text{UP}(\alpha), \widehat{\omega}_\alpha; pt, PD([\widehat{\omega}_\alpha])),$$

and for $m \geq 3$ it holds that

$$(5.2) \quad C(\text{UP}(\alpha), \widehat{\omega}_\alpha; pt, PD([\widehat{\omega}_\alpha])) \leq \Lambda(\widehat{\Xi}_\alpha) \leq 2m\pi \max\{\alpha_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m-1\}.$$

Since Ξ_α is isomorphic to the Delzant polytope

$$\Delta_\alpha = \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_{m-2}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} [-\alpha_i, \alpha_i] \mid \alpha_m - \alpha_{m-1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} y_i \leq \alpha_m + \alpha_{m-1} \right\},$$

as in the proof of Proposition 5.1 we can derive from Theorem 1.1:

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let ω_α denote the symplectic form on $\text{APol}(\alpha)$. Then*

$$\Lambda(\Delta_\alpha) = \max \left\{ 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \mu_i \alpha_i + \mu_{m-1} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i - \alpha_m \right) + \mu_m \cdot \left(\alpha_{m-1} + \alpha_m - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \right) \right\},$$

where $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, satisfy

$$1 \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \mu_i + (m-1)\mu_{m-1} + (m-3)\mu_m \leq m-1.$$

Moreover, for $m \geq 4$ and $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}, C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$ it holds that

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{W}_G(\text{APol}(\alpha), \omega_\alpha) \leq C(\text{APol}(\alpha), \omega_\alpha; pt, PD([\omega_\alpha])) \leq \Lambda(\Delta_\alpha) \leq 2(m-1)\pi \max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-2}, \alpha_{m-1} + \alpha_m\}.$$

By Proposition 1.3 in [HaKn], for generic $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ and $\delta > \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \alpha_j$ one has a symplectomorphism

$$\text{APol}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \cong \text{Pol}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \delta + \alpha_m, \delta).$$

So it follows from Proposition 5.2 that

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let ω'_α denote the symplectic form on $\text{Pol}(\alpha)$. Then for every generic $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ satisfying $\alpha_{m-1} > \alpha_m > \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \alpha_j$ the polygon space $\text{Pol}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ is symplectomorphic to $\text{APol}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-2}, \alpha_{m-1} - \alpha_m)$. So with $\alpha' = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_{m-1} - \alpha_m)$,*

$$\Lambda(\Delta_{\alpha'}) = \max \left\{ 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-3} \mu_i \alpha_i + \mu_{m-2} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i - 2\alpha_{m-1} \right) + \mu_{m-1} \cdot \left(2\alpha_{m-1} + 2\alpha_{m-2} - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \right) \right\},$$

where $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, satisfy

$$1 \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-3} \mu_i + (m-2)\mu_{m-2} + (m-4)\mu_{m-1} \leq m-2.$$

Moreover, for $m \geq 5$ and $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}, C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$ it holds that

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{W}_G(\text{Pol}(\alpha), \omega'_\alpha) \leq C(\text{Pol}(\alpha), \omega'_\alpha; pt, PD([\omega'_\alpha])) \leq \Lambda(\Delta_{\alpha'}) \leq 2(m-2)\pi \max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-3}, \alpha_{m-2} + \alpha_{m-1} - \alpha_m\}.$$

It was shown in Section 6 of [HaKn] that for generic $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^5$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+^6$ both $\text{Pol}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Pol}(\beta)$ are toric manifolds if $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ and $\alpha_4 \neq \alpha_5$, and if $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$ and $\beta_5 \neq \beta_6$.

§6. Related results

6.1. Impacts on symplectic capacities of symplectic blow-ups

If we always require the class κ to be a single point one pt in the definition of the number $\text{GW}_g(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)$ at the beginning of Section 3, the corresponding infimum is denoted by

$$(6.1) \quad \widehat{\text{GW}}_g(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty).$$

Then $\text{GW}_g(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \leq \widehat{\text{GW}}_g(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)$.

Firstly, it is easy to see that the symplectic blow up operation for a symplectic manifold must decrease the volume of it. One easily find a non-compact symplectic manifold for which a suitable symplectic blowing up does not decrease its Gromov symplectic width. Therefore it is a complicated problem. For simplicity we restrict our attention to the case of a symplectic blow up of a closed $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold (M, ω) at k distinct points. Let $\psi = \coprod_i \psi_i : \coprod_i (B^{2n}(r_i), \omega_0) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$ be a symplectic embedding of k disjoint standard symplectic balls of radii r_1, \dots, r_k , and $\Theta : (\widetilde{M}_\psi, \widetilde{\omega}_\psi) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$ be the symplectic blow-up associated with ψ at $p_i = \psi_i(0)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $H_j(M)$ (resp. $H^j(M)$) denote $H_j(M, \mathbb{Z})$ (resp. $H^j(M, \mathbb{Z})$) modulo torsion. Denote by $\Sigma_i = \Theta^{-1}(0) \approx \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ the exceptional divisor corresponding to p_i . Let E_1, \dots, E_k denote the homology classes of the exceptional divisors in $H_{2n-2}(\widetilde{M})$ and $e_1, \dots, e_k \in H^2(\widetilde{M})$ be their Poincaré duals. Then

$$(6.2) \quad [\widetilde{\omega}] = [\Theta^*\omega] - \sum_{i=1}^k \pi r_i^2 e_i$$

in $H^2(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{R})$ ([McP]). Let $E'_i \in H_2(\widetilde{M})$ be the classes of lines in the exceptional divisors Σ_i such that $PD(E'_i) = -(-e_i)^{n-1}$. Let $\{T_0, \dots, T_q\}$ be a homogeneous basis of $H^2(M)$ of increasing codimension such that T_0 is the fundamental class and $T_q = pt$. With $p = q + k(n - 1)$ we define $\widetilde{T}_{q+1}, \dots, \widetilde{T}_p$ to be the classes $e_i^j \in H^2(\widetilde{M})$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Denote by $\widetilde{T}_i = \Theta^*T_i$, $i = 1, \dots, q$. Then $\{\widetilde{T}_1, \dots, \widetilde{T}_p\}$ is a homogeneous basis of $H^2(\widetilde{M})$. The classes $\widetilde{T}_1, \dots, \widetilde{T}_q$ (resp. $\widetilde{T}_{q+1}, \dots, \widetilde{T}_p$) are called *non-exceptional* (resp. *exceptional*). Note that

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{T}_j \cdot \widetilde{T}_{j'} &= \Theta^*(T_j \cdot T_{j'}), & \widetilde{T}_j \cdot e_i^m &= 0 \\ e_i^m \cdot e_{i'}^{m'} &= \delta_{ii'} e_i^{m+m'}, & e_i^n &= (-1)^{n-1} pt \end{aligned}$$

on \widetilde{M} for $1 \leq j, j' \leq q$, $1 \leq i, i' \leq k$ and $1 \leq m, m' \leq n - 1$. One has a canonical decomposition

$$H_2(\widetilde{M}) = H_2(M) \oplus \mathbb{Z}E'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}E'_k.$$

By (6.3) the classes $PD(E'_i) \in H^2(\widetilde{M})$ satisfy $PD(E'_i) \cdot E_j = E_j(E'_i) = -\delta_j^i$. So one has

$$H^2(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{R}) = H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}e_1^{n-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{R}e_k^{n-1}.$$

As usual we denote by $\Theta!$ the transfer map $PD_{\widetilde{M}} \circ \Theta^* \circ PD_M$ from $H_*(M)$ to $H_*(\widetilde{M})$ and call the image $\Theta!(A)$ the corresponding non-exceptional class of $A \in H_2(M)$. Using (6.2) and (6.3) it is not hard to derive that

$$(6.4) \quad \langle [\widetilde{\omega}], \Theta!(A) \rangle = \langle [\omega], A \rangle.$$

Let pt_M (resp. $pt_{\widetilde{M}}$) denote the single point class in $H_0(M)$ (resp. $H_0(\widetilde{M})$) such that $\langle PD_M(pt_M), [M] \rangle = 1$ (resp. $\langle PD_{\widetilde{M}}(pt_{\widetilde{M}}), [\widetilde{M}] \rangle = 1$). Note that $H^{2n}(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}[\widetilde{\omega}^n]$ and $H^{2n}(M, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}[\omega^n]$. It is easily checked that

$$(6.5) \quad \Theta!(pt_M) = pt_{\widetilde{M}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^k r_i^{2n} (-\pi e_i)^n = - \left(\pi^n \sum_{i=1}^k r_i^{2n} \right) PD_{\widetilde{M}}(pt_{\widetilde{M}}).$$

It was proved in [Ga] and [Hu] that

$$(6.6) \quad \Psi_{\Theta!(A), 0, m}^{\widetilde{M}}(pt; \Theta!(\gamma_1), \dots, \Theta!(\gamma_m)) = \Psi_{A, 0, m}^M(pt; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$$

for any $A \in H_2(M)$ and $\gamma_i \in H_*(\widetilde{M})$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. We here use the homology classes for convenience. It follows from this, (6.1) and (6.4) that

$$(6.7) \quad \widehat{GW}_0(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\omega}; \Theta!(\alpha_0), \Theta!(\alpha_\infty)) \leq \widehat{GW}_0(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty).$$

Note that the first identity in (6.5) and (6.6) give

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Psi_{\Theta!(A), 0, m+1}^{\widetilde{M}}(pt; pt_{\widetilde{M}}, \Theta!(\gamma_1), \dots, \Theta!(\gamma_m)) \\ &= \Psi_{A, 0, m+1}^M(pt; pt_M, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.2), $GW_0(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\omega}; pt_{\widetilde{M}}, PD([\widetilde{\omega}]))$ is equal to the infimum of the $\widetilde{\omega}$ -areas $\widetilde{\omega}(A)$ of the classes $A \in H_2(\widetilde{M})$ for which $\Psi_{A, 0, m+1}^{\widetilde{M}}(\kappa; pt_{\widetilde{M}}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \neq 0$

for some classes $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in H_*(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Q})$, $\kappa \in H_*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m+1}; \mathbb{Q})$ and integer $m > 1$. Hence it follows from (6.8) that

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{GW}_0(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\omega}; pt_{\widetilde{M}}, PD([\widetilde{\omega}])) \\ & \leq \inf\{\omega(A) \mid \Psi_{A,0,m+1}^M(pt; pt_M, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m) \neq 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the infimum is taken for $A \in H_2(M)$ and $\gamma_i \in H_*(M)$. By (3.1) and (6.7) we obtain:

THEOREM 6.1. *For any nonzero classes $\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty \in H_*(M, \mathbb{Q})$ it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & C_{HZ}(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\omega}; \Theta!(\alpha_0), \Theta!(\alpha_\infty)) \leq \widehat{\text{GW}}_0(M, \omega; \alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \quad \text{and} \\ & C_{HZ}(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\omega}; pt_{\widetilde{M}}, PD([\widetilde{\omega}])) \\ & \leq \inf\{\omega(A) \mid \Psi_{A,0,m+1}^M(pt; pt_M, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m) \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the blow-ups of a toric manifold at its toric fixed points are also toric manifolds. However, the blow up of a toric Fano manifold is not necessarily Fano again. By (3.7) and Theorem 6.1 we get:

THEOREM 6.2. *Let $X_{\widetilde{\Sigma}}$ be a toric manifold obtained by a sequence of blowings up of a toric Fano manifold at toric fixed points. So $G(\Sigma) = \{u_1, \dots, u_d\} \subset G(\widetilde{\Sigma})$. Then for any strictly convex support function φ for Σ (also strictly convex for $\widetilde{\Sigma}$) it holds that*

$$(6.10) \quad \text{WG}(X_{\widetilde{\Sigma}}, \varphi) \leq C(X_{\widetilde{\Sigma}}, \varphi; pt, PD([\varphi])) \leq 2\pi \cdot \Upsilon(\Sigma, \varphi).$$

for every $n \geq 2$ and $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}, C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$. Here $\Upsilon(\Sigma, \varphi)$ is given by (1.10) and is always more than zero though $\Upsilon(\widetilde{\Sigma}, \varphi)$ might equal to zero in the case $X_{\widetilde{\Sigma}}$ is not Fano.

The fan $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ may be obtained from Σ by a sequence of regular stellar operations. For the Delzant polytope Δ in (1.3) and a vertex p of it, let the rays $p + tv_i$, $t \geq 0$, form the edges of Δ at p as in the definition of Delzant polytope above, we choose $0 < \varepsilon < E_p(\Delta)$ and replace the vertex p by the n vertices $p + \varepsilon v_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ to get a new Delzant polytope Δ_ε . Then the symplectic toric manifold $(M_{\Delta_\varepsilon}, \omega_{\Delta_\varepsilon})$ is the symplectic ε blow-up of the symplectic toric manifold $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta, \tau_\Delta, \mu_\Delta)$ at a fixed point $q = \mu_\Delta(p)$ of the \mathbb{T}^n -action τ_Δ .

COROLLARY 6.3. *Suppose that there exist $r > 0$ and $m \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ such that $r \cdot (m + \Delta)$ satisfies (1.15), i.e., M_Δ is Fano. Then for $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}$, $C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$ and any $n \geq 2$ it holds that*

$$\mathcal{W}_G(M_{\Delta_\epsilon}, \omega_{\Delta_\epsilon}) \leq C(M_{\Delta_\epsilon}, \omega_{\Delta_\epsilon}; pt, PD([\omega_{\Delta_\epsilon}])) \leq 2\pi\Upsilon(\Delta).$$

6.2. Symplectic packings in symplectic toric manifolds

We here presents a symplectic packing result in symplectic toric manifolds via symplectic ellipsoid of form (3.8) (see [Bi], [Gr], [McP], [Ka], [Tr], [Sch] and references therein for the exposition and related results). Denote by $\text{Vert}(\Delta)$ the vertex set of the Delzant polytope Δ in (1.3). For each $p \in \text{Vert}(\Delta)$ let p_1, \dots, p_n be its adjacent n vertexes. If $\#\text{Vert}(\Delta) > n + 1$ there must exist another $p' \in \text{Vert}(\Delta)$ and adjacent n vertexes p'_1, \dots, p'_n corresponding to it such that

$$(6.11) \quad (\text{conv}(p, p_1, \dots, p_n))^\circ \cap (\text{conv}(p', p'_1, \dots, p'_n))^\circ = \emptyset.$$

Hereafter S° denotes the interior of the set S . In this case we say that the vertexes q and q' are *simplicially separating in Δ* . Notice also that each $\text{conv}(p, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ determines a family of open symplectic ellipsoids $E(\Delta, p, \epsilon) := E(\sqrt{2r_p(\Delta)_1} - \epsilon, \dots, \sqrt{2r_p(\Delta)_n} - \epsilon)$ for $0 \leq \epsilon \leq E_p(\Delta)$.

THEOREM 6.4. *If any two points of a given subset $\{q_1, \dots, q_m\} \subset \text{Vert}(\Delta)$ are simplicially separating in Δ , then for any small $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a symplectic packing of $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta)$ via the ellipsoids $E(\Delta, q_k, \epsilon)$, $k = 1, \dots, m$.*

Proof. By (2.3) it suffices to prove that for any small $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a symplectic embedding of a disjoint union of the open ellipsoids $E(\Delta, q_k, \epsilon)$, $k = 1, \dots, m$. For each $k = 1, \dots, m$, as in proof of Proposition 1.3 we have the unimodular matrixes $A_k \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that the corresponding transformations

$$\Phi_k : (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^*, \quad x \longmapsto A_k x - q_k,$$

map $q_k, p_{k1}, \dots, p_{kn}$ to $0, a_{k1}e_1^*, \dots, a_{kn}e_n^*$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, respectively. Here p_{k1}, \dots, p_{kn} are the adjacent n vertexes to q_k , and $a_{ki} = r_{q_k}(\Delta)_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $k = 1, \dots, m$. Now each Φ_k induces a symplectomorphism \mathcal{A}_k of $((\mathbb{R}^n)^* \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}})$ to itself that maps $\text{conv}(q_k, p_{k1}, \dots, p_{kn}) \times \mathbb{T}^n$ onto $\text{conv}(0, a_{k1}e_1^*, \dots, a_{kn}e_n^*) \times \mathbb{T}^n$, $k = 1, \dots, m$. Note that

$$(\text{conv}(0, a_{k1}e_1^*, \dots, a_{kn}e_n^*))^\circ = \Delta(a_{k1}, \dots, a_{kn}), \quad k = 1, \dots, m,$$

provided that $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ is identified with \mathbb{R}^n by the isomorphism

$$x_1 e_1^* + \cdots + x_n e_n^* \longmapsto x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_n e_n.$$

We can use Lemma 3.5 to find symplectic embeddings \mathcal{B}_k of

$$E(\Delta, q_k, \epsilon) = E(\sqrt{2a_{k1}} - \epsilon, \dots, \sqrt{2a_{kn}} - \epsilon)$$

into $(\Delta(a_{k1}, \dots, a_{kn}) \times \square^n(2\pi), \omega_0)$ and thus into $(\Delta(a_{k1}, \dots, a_{kn}) \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{can}})$, $k = 1, \dots, m$. Then it is easily checked that the compositions $\mathcal{A}_k^{-1} \circ \mathcal{B}_k$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, give the desired symplectic embeddings. \square

Remark 6.5. Let $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a vector of positive weights and $\Delta^n(\underline{a}) := \Delta(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Also denote by $E(\sqrt{2\underline{a}}) := E(\sqrt{2a_1}, \dots, \sqrt{2a_n})$. The above proof actually shows that if for some $\Delta^n(\underline{a}^{(k)}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \cong (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ there exist $A_k \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and $q_k \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, such that the sets $A_k(\Delta^n(\underline{a}^{(k)})) + q_k \subset \Delta$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, are mutually disjoint, then $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta)$ admits a symplectic packing via m open ellipsoids $E(\sqrt{2\underline{a}^{(k)}})$, $k = 1, \dots, m$.

EXAMPLE 6.6. Consider the polygon space $(\text{Pol}(\alpha), \omega_\alpha)$ in Remark 1.5. Its moment polytope Δ_α has vertexes: $q_1 = (1/2, 3/2)$, $q_2 = (4/3, 7/3)$, $q_3 = (5/2, 7/3)$, $q_4 = (5/2, 3/2)$, $q_5 = (4/3, 1/3)$, $q_6 = (2/3, 1/3)$ and $q_7 = (1/2, 1/2)$. It is easily computed that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Delta, q_1) &= E(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{5\sqrt{2}/3}), & E(\Delta, q_2) &= E(\sqrt{5\sqrt{2}/3}, \sqrt{7/3}), \\ E(\Delta, q_3) &= E(\sqrt{7/3}, \sqrt{5/3}), & E(\Delta, q_4) &= E(\sqrt{5/3}, \sqrt{7\sqrt{2}/3}), \\ E(\Delta, q_5) &= E(\sqrt{7\sqrt{2}/3}, \sqrt{4/3}), & E(\Delta, q_6) &= E(\sqrt{4/3}, \sqrt{\sqrt{10}/3}), \\ E(\Delta, q_7) &= E(\sqrt{\sqrt{10}/3}, \sqrt{2}). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 6.4, for any $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, $(\text{Pol}(\alpha), \omega_\alpha)$ admits the symplectic packings via the following groups of ellipsoids:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{E(\Delta, q_1, \epsilon), E(\Delta, q_3, \epsilon), E(\Delta, q_5, \epsilon)\}, \{E(\Delta, q_1, \epsilon), E(\Delta, q_3, \epsilon), E(\Delta, q_6, \epsilon)\}, \\ &\{E(\Delta, q_2, \epsilon), E(\Delta, q_4, \epsilon), E(\Delta, q_6, \epsilon)\}, \{E(\Delta, q_2, \epsilon), E(\Delta, q_4, \epsilon), E(\Delta, q_7, \epsilon)\}, \\ &\{E(\Delta, q_3, \epsilon), E(\Delta, q_5, \epsilon), E(\Delta, q_7, \epsilon)\}, \{E(\Delta, q_1, \epsilon), E(\Delta, q_4, \epsilon), E(\Delta, q_6, \epsilon)\}, \\ &\{E(\Delta, q_2, \epsilon), E(\Delta, q_5, \epsilon), E(\Delta, q_7, \epsilon)\}. \end{aligned}$$

6.3. Seshadri constants

Let (M, J) be a compact complex manifold of dimension n , and $L \rightarrow M$ an ample line bundle. Demailly [Dem] defined the Seshadri constant of L at a point $x \in M$ to be the infimum $\varepsilon(L, x)$ of $\int_C c_1(L)/\text{mult}_x C$, where C takes over all irreducible curves passing through the point x , and $\text{mult}_x C$ is the multiplicity of C at x . The global *Seshadri constant* is defined by $\varepsilon(L) := \inf_{x \in M} \varepsilon(L, x)$. For the toric manifold X_Σ as in Theorem 1.1 let $L_k \rightarrow P_\Delta$ be the corresponding line bundles to the toric divisors $D_k(\Sigma)$ in (2.5), $k = 1, \dots, d$. It is well-known that the Chern class $c_1(L_k)$ is Poincaré dual to $[D_k] \in H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ for each k .

THEOREM 6.7. *Let Σ be a complete regular fan in \mathbb{R}^n . Then for any ample line bundle $L \rightarrow X_\Sigma$ and any strictly convex support function φ_L representing the class $c_1(L)$ it holds that*

$$(6.12) \quad \varepsilon(L) \leq 2\pi \cdot \Lambda(\Sigma, \varphi_L).$$

Furthermore, if X_Σ is also Fano then

$$(6.13) \quad \varepsilon(L) \leq 2\pi \cdot \Upsilon(\Sigma, \varphi_L).$$

Proof. Recall that in Definition 1.26 of [Lu3, v9] we defined

$$\text{GW}(M, \omega) = \inf \text{GW}_g(M, \omega; pt, \alpha),$$

where the infimum is taken over all nonnegative integers g and all homology classes $\alpha \in H_*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \setminus \{0\}$ of degree $\deg \alpha \leq \dim M - 1$. Using Proposition 6.3 in [BiCi] we showed in Theorem 1.36 of [Lu3, v9] that for a closed connected complex manifold (M, J) of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M > 2$ and any ample line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ it holds that $\varepsilon(L) \leq \text{GW}(M, \omega_L)$. Here ω_L is any J -compatible Kähler form (the curvature form for a suitable metric connection on L) representing the cohomology class $c_1(L)$. From the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 it is easily seen that for a toric manifold X_Σ and a strictly convex support φ for Σ one has

$$\text{GW}(X_\Sigma, \varphi) \leq 2\pi \Lambda(\Sigma, \varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{GW}(X_\Sigma, \varphi) \leq 2\pi \Upsilon(\Sigma, \varphi)$$

in general case and Fano case respectively. □

6.4. Symplectic capacities of symplectic manifolds with S^1 -action

The symplectic toric manifolds are a special class of symplectic manifolds with the Hamiltonian S^1 -action. Let $\{\lambda_t\} = \lambda : S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ be a Hamiltonian circle action on a connected symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$. Let $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the Hamiltonian function for the action. It means that the circle action is generated by the Hamiltonian vector field X_H . This action is called semi-free if it is free on $M \setminus M^{S^1}$. For each fixed point p of the action there exist integers m_1, \dots, m_n such that the induced linear symplectic S^1 -action on the tangent space T_pM is isomorphic to the action on (\mathbb{C}^n, ω_0) generated by the moment map

$$H_0(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \pi \sum_{j=1}^n m_j |z_j|^2.$$

The integers m_1, \dots, m_n , uniquely determined up to permutation, are called the *isotropy weights* at p . An Hamiltonian S^1 -action on (M, ω) is semi-free if and only if the only isotropy weights at every fixed point are ± 1 .

THEOREM 6.8. *Let (M, ω) be a $2n$ -dimensional, connected closed symplectic manifold with a semi-free Hamiltonian circle action with isolated fixed points. Then*

$$(6.14) \quad \mathcal{W}_G(M, \omega) \leq C(M, \omega; pt, PD([\omega])) \leq \max H - \min H$$

for $C = C_{HZ}^{(2)}$, $C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}$ and any $n \geq 2$, where H is the associated Hamiltonian function. Moreover, if $[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Q})$ and the only isotropy weights at every fixed point is ± 1 then

$$(6.15) \quad \mathcal{W}_G(M, \omega) \geq \frac{\pi}{m}.$$

Here $m > 0$ is the smallest integer such that $m[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. Following the notations in [Go] let $\mathcal{S} = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Each subset $I \subset \mathcal{S}$ may determine a homology class $A_I \in H_2(M)$ in Proposition 2.11 of [Go] such that $\omega(A_I) = \max H - H(p_{I^c})$ with $I^c = \mathcal{S} \setminus I$. By (14) in Corollary 3.14 of [Go] one has $x_{\mathcal{S}} * x_I = x_{I^c} \otimes e^{A_I}$. It follows that Gromov-Witten invariant

$$(6.16) \quad \Psi_{A_I, 0, 3}(pt; PD(x_{\mathcal{S}}), PD(x_I), PD(x_J)) \neq 0$$

for some $J \subset \mathcal{S}$. Note that $x_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the positive generator $H^{2n}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ (cf. Remark 2.10 in [Go].) (6.16) shows that (M, ω) is strong 0-symplectic uniruled in the sense of Definition 1.14 in [Lu3, v9]. As in [Lu1] and [Lu3], using the the reduction formula of the Gromov-Witten invariants we can also derive from (6.16) that

$$\Psi_{A_I, 0, 4}(\pi^{-1}(pt); pt, PD([\omega]), \alpha) \neq 0$$

for some $\alpha \in H_*(M, \mathbb{R})$. So it follows from (12) and Theorem 1.13 in [Lu3, v9] that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_G(M, \omega) &\leq C_{HZ}^{(2)}(M, \omega; pt, PD([\omega])) \\ &\leq C_{HZ}^{(2\circ)}(M, \omega; pt, PD([\omega])) \\ &\leq GW_0(M, \omega; pt, PD([\omega])) \\ &\leq \omega(A_I) \\ &\leq \max H - H(p_{I^c}) \\ &\leq \max H - \min H. \end{aligned}$$

(6.14) is proved.

For the second claim, by Proposition 2.8 in [KaTo] there exists a symplectic embedding from $(B^{2n}(1), \omega_0)$ to $(M, m\omega)$. So $\mathcal{W}_G(M, m\omega) \geq \pi$. (6.15) follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ab] M. Abreu, *Kähler geometry of toric manifolds in symplectic coordinates*, Symplectic and contact topology: interactions and perspectives (Toronto, ON/Montreal, QC, 2001), Fields Inst. Commun. **35**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2003), pp. 1–24.
- [Au] M. Audin, *The topology of torus actions on symplectic manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics, **93**, Birkhäuser, 1991.
- [Ba1] V. V. Batyrev, *Quantum cohomology rings of toric manifolds*, Astérisque, **218** (1993), 9–34.
- [Ba2] V. V. Batyrev, *On the classification of smooth projective toric varieties*, J. Algebraic Geometry, **3** (1994), 493–535.
- [Ba3] V. V. Batyrev, *On the classification of toric Fano 4-folds*, J. Math. Sciences, **94** (1999), 1021–1050.
- [Bi] P. Biran, *From symplectic packing to algebraic geometry and back*, European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Barcelona, 2000), Prog. Math., **202**, Birkhäuser (2001), pp. 507–524.

- [BiCi] P. Biran and K. Cieliebak, *Symplectic topology on subcritical manifolds*, Comm. Math. Helv., **76** (2001), no. 4, 712–753.
- [CdFKM] P. Candelas, X. de la Ossa, A. Font, S. Katz and D. Morrison, *Mirror symmetry for two parameter models I*, Mirror symmetry, II, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., **1**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1997), pp. 483–543.
- [CiS] K. Cieliebak and D. A. Salamon, *Wall crossing for symplectic vortices and quantum cohomology*, math.SG/0209170.
- [Del] T. Delzant, *Hamiltoniens périodiques et image convexe de l'application moment*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **116** (1988), 315–339.
- [Dem] J.-P. Demailly, *L^2 -vanishing theorems for positive line bundles and adjunction theory*, Transcendental methods in Algebraic Geometry (F. Catanese and C. Ciliberto, eds.), Lect. Notes Math. **1646**, Springer-Verlag (1992), pp. 1–97.
- [Ew] G. Ewald, *Combinatorial convexity and algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **168**, Springer, 1996.
- [Fu] W. Fulton, *Introduction to Toric Varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **131**, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [Ga] A. Gathmann, *Gromov-Witten invariants of blow-ups*, J. Algebraic Geom., **10** (2001), no. 3, 399–432.
- [Gin] V. Ginzburg, *The Weinstein conjecture and the theorems of nearby and almost existence*, The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry, Progr. Math., **232**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA (2005), pp. 139–172.
- [Giv] A. Givental, *A mirror theorem for toric complete intersections*, Topological field theory, primitive forms and related topics (Kyoto, 1996), Progr. Math., **160**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA (1998), pp. 141–175.
- [Go] E. Gonzalez, *Quantum cohomology and S^1 -action with isolated fixed points*, math.SG/0310114.
- [Gr] M. Gromov, *Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds*, Invent. Math., **82** (1985), 307–347.
- [Gu1] V. Guillemin, *Kähler structures on toric varieties*, J. Diff. Geom., **40** (1994), 285–309.
- [Gu2] V. Guillemin, *Moment maps and combinatorial invariants of Hamiltonian T^n -spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, **122**, Birkhäuser, 1994.
- [HaKn] J.-C. Hausmann and A. Knutson, *The cohomology ring of polygon spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **48** (1998), 281–321.
- [Hu] J. Hu, *Gromov-Witten invariants of blow-ups along points and curves*, Math. Z., **233** (2000), no. 4, 709–739.
- [HZ] H. Hofer and E. Zehnder, *Symplectic Invariants and Hamiltonian Dynamics*, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1994.
- [Ka] Y. Karshon, *Appendix to [McP]*, Inven. Math., **115** (1994), 431–434.
- [KaTo] Y. Karshon and S. Tolman, *The Gromov width of complex Grassmannians*, math.SG/0405391.
- [Ko] J. Kollár, *Low Degree Polynomial Equations: Arithmetic, Geometry and Topology*, Progress in Mathematics, **122**, Birkhäuser (1994), pp. 255–288.

- [KoMor] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*, Cambridge tracts in Mathematics, **134**, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [Kr] A. Kresch, *Gromov-Witten invariants of a class of toric varieties*, Michigan Math. J., **48** (2000), 369–391.
- [Lu1] G. C. Lu, *The Weinstein conjecture in the uniruled manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett., **7** (2000), 383–387.
- [Lu2] G. C. Lu, *Symplectic capacities of toric manifolds and combinatorial inequalities*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, **334** (2002), 889–892.
- [Lu3] G. C. Lu, *Gromov-Witten invariants and pseudo symplectic capacities*, math.SG/0103195, v6, 6 September 2001, and v9, 3 December 2004, to appear in Israel Journal of Mathematics.
- [Mc] D. McDuff, *Quantum homology of fibrations over S^2* , International Journal of mathematics, **11** (2000), 665–721.
- [McP] D. McDuff and L. Polterovich, *Symplectic packings and algebraic geometry*, Invent. Math., **115** (1994), 405–425.
- [Mor1] S. Mori, *Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles*, Ann. Math., **110** (1975), 593–606.
- [Mor2] S. Mori, *An email communication*.
- [Oda] T. Oda, *Convex Bodies and Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [Sa] H. Sato, *Toward the classification of higher-dimensional toric Fano varieties*, Tohoku Math. J., **52** (2000), no. 3, 383–413.
- [Sch] F. Schlenk, *On symplectic folding*, preprint, math.SG/9903086, March 1999.
- [Sik] J. C. Sikorav, *Rigidité symplectique dans le cotangent de \mathbb{T}^n* , Duke Mathematical Journal, **59** (1989), 227–231.
- [Sp] H. Spielberg, *The Gromov-Witten invariants of symplectic toric manifolds, and their quantum cohomology ring*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, **329** (1999), 699–704.
- [Tr] L. Traynor, *Symplectic packing constructions*, J. Diff. Geom., **41** (1995), 735–751.
- [Wi] J. A. Wiśniewski, *Toric Mori theory and Fano manifolds*, Séminaires & Congrès, **6** (2002), 249–272.

Department of Mathematics
Beijing Normal University
Beijing 100875
P. R. China
 gclu@bnu.edu.cn