

# GENERALIZATION OF LEVI-OKA'S THEOREM CONCERNING MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

JOJI KAJIWARA and EIICHI SAKAI

Dedicated to Professor K. NOSHIRO on his sixtieth birthday

## Introduction

As Fuks [3] stated, every domain of holomorphy or meromorphy over  $C^n$  is analytically convex in the sense of Hartogs. Oka [6] proved that every domain over  $C^n$  analytically convex in the sense of Hartogs is a domain of holomorphy. Therefore a domain of meromorphy over  $C^n$  coincides with a domain of holomorphy over  $C^n$ .

In the present paper we shall prove that the envelope of meromorphy of a domain  $(D, \varphi)$  over a Stein manifold  $S$  with respect to a family of meromorphic functions on  $D$  is  $p$ -convex in the sense of Docquier-Grauert [2] and, therefore, is a Stein manifold. Especially a domain of meromorphy over  $S$  coincides with a domain of holomorphy over  $S$ .

A complex manifold  $M$  is called of *weak* (or *strong*) *Poincaré type* if for any meromorphic function  $f$  on  $M$  there exist holomorphic functions  $g$  and  $h$  on  $M$  such that  $f = g/h$  on  $M$  (and that  $g$  and  $h$  are coprime at each point of  $M$ ). From Siegel [8] any complex manifold of Cousin-II type is of strong Poincaré type and from Hitotumatu-Kôta [4] any Stein manifold is of weak Poincaré type.

Let  $(D, \varphi)$  be a domain over a Stein manifold and  $f$  be a meromorphic function on  $D$ . There exists a meromorphic function  $\tilde{f}$  on the domain  $(\tilde{\lambda}_f, \tilde{D}_f, \tilde{\varphi}_f)$  of meromorphy of  $f$  such that  $f = \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{\lambda}_f$ . As  $\tilde{D}_f$  is a Stein manifold which is of weak Poincaré type, there exist holomorphic functions  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{h}$  on  $\tilde{D}_f$  such that  $\tilde{f} = \tilde{g}/\tilde{h}$  on  $\tilde{D}_f$ . Then holomorphic functions  $g = \tilde{g} \circ \tilde{\lambda}_f$  and  $h = \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\lambda}_f$  on  $D$  satisfies  $f = g/h$  on  $D$ . This means that any domain over a Stein manifold is of weak Poincaré type.

---

Received March 19, 1966.

Revised April 11, 1966.

## § 1. Theorem of continuity

LEMMA 1. *The following three assertions are valid for  $n \geq 2$ .*

1) *If  $f$  is meromorphic in a neighbourhood of  $\bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \{z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n); z_1 = a_1^p, z_2 = a_2^p, \dots, z_{n-1} = a_{n-1}^p, |z_n| \leq 1\} \cup \{z; z_1 = a_1^0, z_2 = a_2^0, \dots, z_{n-1} = a_{n-1}^0, |z_n| = 1\}$ ,  $f$  can be meromorphically continued in a neighbourhood of  $\{z; z_1 = a_1^0, z_2 = a_2^0, \dots, z_{n-1} = a_{n-1}^0, |z_n| \leq 1\}$  where  $a_j^p \rightarrow a_j^0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .*

2) *If  $f$  is meromorphic in a neighbourhood of  $\{z; |z_1| = 1, z_2 = 0, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, 0 \leq z_n \leq 1\} \cup \{z; |z_1| \leq 1, z_2 = 0, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, z_n = 0\}$ ,  $f$  can be meromorphically continued in a neighbourhood of  $\{z; |z_1| \leq 1, z_2 = 0, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, 0 \leq z_n \leq 1\}$ .*

3) *If  $f$  is meromorphic in a neighbourhood of  $\{z; |z_1| = 1, z_2 = 0, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, |z_n| \leq 1\} \cup \{z; |z_1| \leq 1, z_2 = 0, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, z_n = 0\}$ ,  $f$  can be meromorphically continued in a neighbourhood of  $\{z; |z_1| \leq 1, z_2 = 0, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, |z_n| \leq 1\}$ .*

*Proof.* At first we shall prove the equivalence of 1), 2) and 3).

1)  $\rightarrow$  2). Let  $\gamma$  be the supremum of  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f$  can be meromorphically continued in a neighbourhood of

$$C_\delta = \{z; |z_1| \leq 1, z_2 = 0, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, 0 \leq z_n \leq \delta\}.$$

Suppose that  $\gamma \leq 1$ . Let  $\{\delta^p; p = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers  $\delta^p < \gamma$  such that  $\delta^p \rightarrow \gamma$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $f$  is meromorphic in a neighbourhood of

$$\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} \{z; |z_1| \leq 1, z_2 = 0, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, z_n = \delta^p\} \cup \{z; |z_1| = 1, z_2 = 0, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, z_n = \gamma\},$$

$f$  can be meromorphically continued in a neighbourhood of  $C_\gamma$  from 1). Therefore we have  $\gamma \geq 1$ . Hence  $f$  can be meromorphically continued in a neighbourhood of  $C_1$ .

2)  $\rightarrow$  3). Let  $\theta$  be any real number. Since  $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n \exp(\sqrt{-1}\theta))$  is meromorphic in a neighbourhood of

$$\{z; |z_1| = 1, z_2 = 0, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, 0 \leq z_n \leq 1\} \cup \{z; |z_1| \leq 1, z_2 = 0, \dots, z_n = 0\},$$

$f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n \exp(\sqrt{-1}\theta))$  can be meromorphically continued in a neighbourhood of

$$\{z; |z_1| \leq 1, z_2 = 0, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, 0 \leq z_n \leq 1\}$$

from 2). Thus we have proved that  $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  can be meromorphically

continued in a neighbourhood of

$$\bigcup_{0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi} \{z ; |z_1| \leq 1, z_2 = 0, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, 0 \leq z_n \exp(-\sqrt{-1}\theta) \leq 1\} \\ = \{z ; |z_1| \leq 1, z_2 = 0, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, |z_n| \leq 1\}.$$

3)  $\rightarrow$  1). There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f$  is meromorphic in a neighbourhood of

$$\{z ; |z_1 - a_1^0| < 2\delta, |z_2 - a_2^0| < 2\delta, \dots, |z_{n-1} - a_{n-1}^0| < 2\delta, |z_n| = 1\}.$$

There exists  $q > 0$  such that  $|a_j^p - a_j^0| < \delta$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) for  $p \geq q$ . Since  $f$  is meromorphic in a neighbourhood of

$$\{z ; z_1 = a_1^q, z_2 = a_2^q, \dots, z_{n-1} = a_{n-1}^q, |z_n| \leq 1\} \cup \{z ; |z_1 - a_1^q| \leq \delta, \\ z_2 = a_2^q, \dots, z_{n-1} = a_{n-1}^q, |z_n| = 1\},$$

$f$  can be meromorphically continued in a neighbourhood of

$$\{z ; |z_1 - a_1^q| \leq \delta, z_2 = a_2^q, \dots, z_{n-1} = a_{n-1}^q, |z_n| \leq 1\}.$$

from 3). Of course  $f$  can be meromorphically continued in a neighbourhood of

$$\{z ; z_1 = a_1^q, z_2 = a_2^q, \dots, z_{n-1} = a_{n-1}^q, |z_n| \leq 1\}.$$

Continuing the same argument we can prove that  $f$  can be meromorphically continued in a neighbourhood of

$$\{z ; z_1 = a_1^0, z_2 = a_2^0, \dots, z_{n-1} = a_{n-1}^0, |z_n| \leq 1\}.$$

Okuda-Sakai [7] proved the validity of 1). Therefore 1), 2) and 3) are all valid from the above discussion.

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $f$  is meromorphic in  $\{z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) ; 1 - \varepsilon < |z_1| < 1 + \varepsilon, |z_2| < 1 + \varepsilon, \dots, |z_n| < 1 + \varepsilon\} \cup \{z ; |z_1| \leq 1, |z_2| < 1, \dots, |z_n| < 1\}$ ,  $f$  can be meromorphically continued in  $\{z ; |z_1| < 1 + \varepsilon, |z_2| < 1 + \varepsilon, \dots, |z_n| < 1 + \varepsilon\}$ .*

*Proof.* We take any  $a_j$  with  $|a_j| < 1$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Let  $\delta$  be any positive number with  $\delta < 1$ . Since  $f$  is meromorphic in a neighbourhood of

$$\{z ; |z_1| = 1, z_2 = a_2, \dots, z_{n-1} = a_{n-1}, |z_n| \leq 1 + \varepsilon - \delta\} \cup \{z ; |z_1| \leq 1, z_2 = a_2, \\ \dots, z_{n-1} = a_{n-1}, z_n = 0\},$$

$f$  can be meromorphically continued in a neighbourhood of

$$\{z ; |z_1| \leq 1, z_2 = a_2, \dots, z_{n-1} = a_{n-1}, |z_n| \leq 1 + \varepsilon - \delta\}$$

from 3) of Lemma 1. Therefore  $f$  can be meromorphically continued in a neighbourhood of

$$\{z ; |z_1| \leq 1, |z_2| < 1, \dots, |z_{n-1}| < 1, |z_n| < 1 + \varepsilon\}.$$

Continuing the same argument we can prove that  $f$  can be meromorphically continued in a neighbourhood of

$$\{z ; |z_1| \leq 1, |z_2| < 1 + \varepsilon, \dots, |z_n| < 1 + \varepsilon\}.$$

Therefore  $f$  can be meromorphically continued in

$$\{z ; |z_1| < 1 + \varepsilon, |z_2| < 1 + \varepsilon, \dots, |z_n| < 1 + \varepsilon\}.$$

## § 2. Envelope of meromorphy

In this section we shall define a meromorphic completion, an envelope of meromorphy and a domain of meromorphy. At the same time we can define a holomorphic completion, an envelope of holomorphy and a domain of holomorphy.

Let  $M$  be a complex manifold. If there exists a local biholomorphic mapping of a complex manifold  $V$  in  $M$ ,  $(V, \varphi)$  is called an *open set over  $M$* . Moreover, if  $V$  is connected,  $(V, \varphi)$  is called a *domain over  $M$* . Let  $(V, \varphi)$  and  $(V', \varphi')$  be open sets over  $M$ . If a holomorphic mapping  $\lambda$  of  $V$  in  $V'$  satisfies  $\varphi = \varphi' \circ \lambda$ ,  $\lambda$  is called a *mapping of  $(V, \varphi)$  in  $(V', \varphi')$* . Consider domains  $(V, \varphi)$  and  $(V', \varphi')$  over  $M$  with a mapping  $\lambda$  of  $(V, \varphi)$  in  $(V', \varphi')$ . Let  $f$  be a meromorphic (or holomorphic) function on  $V$ . A meromorphic (or holomorphic) function  $f'$  on  $V'$  with  $f = f' \circ \lambda$  is called a *meromorphic (or holomorphic) continuation of  $f$  to  $(\lambda, V', \varphi')$* , or shortly to  $(V', \varphi')$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a family of meromorphic (or holomorphic) functions on  $V$ . If any meromorphic (or holomorphic) function of  $\mathfrak{F}$  has a meromorphic (or holomorphic) continuation to  $(\lambda, V', \varphi')$ ,  $(\lambda, V', \varphi')$ , or shortly  $(V', \varphi')$ , is called a *meromorphic (or holomorphic) completion of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to the family  $\mathfrak{F}$* . A meromorphic (or holomorphic) completion  $(\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}})$  of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{F}$ , or shortly  $(\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}})$ , is called an *envelope of meromorphy (or holomorphy) of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to the family  $\mathfrak{F}$*  if the following conditions are satisfied:

Let  $(\lambda', V', \varphi')$  be another meromorphic (or holomorphic) completion of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then there exists a mapping  $\psi$  of  $(V', \varphi')$  in  $(\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}})$ .

with  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{F}} = \psi \circ \lambda'$  such that  $(\psi, \tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}})$  is a meromorphic (or holomorphic) completion of  $(V', \varphi')$  with respect to the family  $\mathfrak{F}'$  of meromorphic (or holomorphic) continuations of all meromorphic (or holomorphic) functions of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the family of all meromorphic (or holomorphic) functions on  $V$ , a meromorphic (or holomorphic) completion of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{F}$  and an envelope of meromorphy (or holomorphy) of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{F}$  are called shortly a *meromorphic* (or *holomorphic*) *completion* of  $(V, \varphi)$  and an *envelope of meromorphy* (or *holomorphy*) of  $(V, \varphi)$  respectively.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $(V, \varphi)$  be a domain over a complex manifold  $M$  and  $(\lambda, V', \varphi')$  be its meromorphic completion. Then  $(\lambda, V', \varphi')$  is a holomorphic completion of  $(V, \varphi)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function on  $V$ .  $f$  has a meromorphic continuation  $f'$  to  $(\lambda, V', \varphi')$ . Since  $\exp f$  must be meromorphically continued to the function  $\exp f'$  on  $V'$ ,  $f'$  must be holomorphic in  $V'$ .

By the same method as Malgrange [5], who proved the unique existence of the envelope of holomorphy, we shall prove the unique existence of the envelope of meromorphy.

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $(V, \varphi)$  be a domain over a complex manifold  $M$  and  $\mathfrak{F} = \{f_i; i \in I\}$  be a family of meromorphic functions on  $V$ . There exists uniquely an envelope of meromorphy of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider an open neighbourhood  $U$  of a point  $a \in M$ . Let  $(g_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of meromorphic functions in  $U$  indexed by the above  $I$ . Let  $(g'_i)_{i \in I}$  be another such family defined in a neighbourhood  $U'$  of  $a$ . If there exists a neighbourhood  $W$  of  $a$  such that  $W \subset U \cap U'$  and  $g_i = g'_i$  in  $W$  for any  $i \in I$ ,  $(g_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $(g'_i)_{i \in I}$  are identified. In this manner we shall induce an equivalence relation in the set of all families  $(g_i)_{i \in I}$  of meromorphic functions defined in an open neighbourhood of  $a$ . An equivalence class is denoted by  $(g_i)_a$  and the set of all classes  $(g_i)_a$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}, a}$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}} = \bigcup_{a \in M} \mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}, a}.$$

We shall define a mapping  $p$  of  $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}$  in  $M$  by putting  $p(x) = a$  for  $x = (g_i)_a \in \mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ . We can induce on  $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}$  a sheaf structure as usual such that  $(\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}, p)$  is an open set over  $M$ . If we define a mapping  $\psi$  of  $V$  in  $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}$  by putting

$$\psi(a) = (f_i \circ \varphi^{-1})_{\varphi(a)}$$

for  $a \in V$ ,  $\psi$  is a mapping of  $(V, \varphi)$  in  $(\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \rho)$ . The connected component of the complex manifold  $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}$  containing the connected open set  $\psi(V)$  is denoted by  $\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ . We put

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}} = \rho|_{\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}}.$$

We shall define a meromorphic function  $\tilde{f}_i$  on  $\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}$  for any  $i \in I$  so as the germ defined by  $\tilde{f}_i$  at  $x = (g_i)_a \in \tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ , defined by a family of meromorphic functions  $(g_i)_{i \in I}$  in a neighbourhood of  $a$ , coincides with the germ defined by  $g_i \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}}$  at  $x$ . For  $x \in \psi(V)$ , we have

$$x = (f_i \circ \varphi^{-1})_{\varphi(a)}$$

for  $a \in V$ . Therefore we have

$$f_i = \tilde{f}_i \circ \psi$$

for any  $i \in I$ . Hence  $\tilde{f}_i$  is a meromorphic continuation of  $f_i$  to  $(\psi, \tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\varphi})$  for any  $i \in I$ . This means that  $(\psi, \tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}})$  is a meromorphic completion of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

Let  $(\lambda, V', \varphi')$  be another meromorphic completion of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Let  $f_i$  be any meromorphic function of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . There exists a meromorphic function  $f'_i$  on  $V'$  with  $f_i = f'_i \circ \lambda$ . If we define a mapping  $\psi'$  of  $V'$  in  $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}$  by putting

$$\psi'(a) = (f'_i \circ \varphi'^{-1})_{\varphi'(a)}$$

for any  $a \in V'$ ,  $\psi'$  is a mapping of  $(V', \varphi')$  in  $(\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \rho)$ . Since  $V'$  is connected and  $\psi(V) \subset \psi'(V')$ , we have

$$\psi'(V') \subset \tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}.$$

Therefore  $\psi'$  is a mapping of  $(V', \varphi')$  in  $(\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}})$  too. Moreover we have  $\psi = \psi' \circ \lambda$  and

$$f'_i = \tilde{f}_i \circ \psi'$$

for any  $i \in I$ . Therefore  $(\psi, \tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}})$  is an envelope of meromorphy of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

Now let  $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{V}, \varphi)$  and  $(\tilde{\lambda}', \tilde{V}', \tilde{\varphi}')$  be envelopes of meromorphy of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{F}$ . There exist, respectively, a mapping  $\psi$  of  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\varphi})$  in  $(\tilde{V}', \tilde{\varphi}')$  and a mapping  $\psi'$  of  $(\tilde{V}', \tilde{\varphi}')$  in  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\varphi})$  such that

$$\lambda' = \psi \circ \lambda, \lambda = \psi' \circ \lambda'.$$

From the theorem of identity  $\psi' \circ \psi$  and  $\psi \circ \psi'$  are, respectively, identities of  $\tilde{V}$  and  $\tilde{V}'$ . Hence  $\psi$  is biholomorphic. In this sense the envelope of meromorphy of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$  exists uniquely.

**§ 3. Pseudoconvexity of an envelope of meromorphy**

LEMMA 5. *Let  $(V, \varphi)$  be a domain over an  $n$ -dimensional Stein manifold  $S$ ,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} = \{f_i; i \in I\}$  be a family of meromorphic functions on  $V$  and  $(\tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}, \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}})$  be the envelope of meromorphy of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ . Then  $(\tilde{V}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}})$  is  $p_r$ -convex in the sense of Docquier-Grauert [2] and, therefore,  $\tilde{V}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}$  is a Stein manifold.*

*Proof.* We may suppose that  $n \geq 2$ . We put

$$D = \{z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n); |z_1| \leq 1, |z_2| < 1, \dots, |z_n| < 1\}$$

and

$$\delta D = \{z; |z_1| = 1, z \in D\}.$$

Consider a continuous mapping  $\psi$  of the closure  $\bar{D}$  of  $D$  in  $\tilde{V}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} \cup \delta \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}$  with the following properties:

- 1)  $\psi(\delta D) \subseteq \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}$
- 2)  $\psi(\dot{D}) \subset \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}$
- 3)  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} \circ \psi$  can be continued to a biholomorphic mapping  $\xi$  of a neighbourhood of  $\bar{D}$  in  $S$ .

From 3)  $\xi$  is a biholomorphic mapping of  $B_\varepsilon$  in  $S$  for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'$  where

$$B_\varepsilon = \{z; |z_1| < 1 + \varepsilon, |z_2| < 1 + \varepsilon, \dots, |z_n| < 1 + \varepsilon\}$$

and  $\varepsilon'$  is a suitable positive number. If we put  $G_\varepsilon = \xi(B_\varepsilon)$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}$  maps  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}^{-1}(G_\varepsilon)$  biholomorphically on the subdomain  $G_\varepsilon$  of  $S$  for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'$ . From 1) and 2)  $\psi$  can be regarded as a biholomorphic mapping of  $C_\varepsilon$  in  $\tilde{V}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}$  for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon''$  where

$$C_\varepsilon = D \cup \{z; 1 - \varepsilon < |z_1| < 1 + \varepsilon, |z_2| < 1 + \varepsilon, \dots, |z_n| < 1 + \varepsilon\}$$

and  $\varepsilon''$  is a suitable positive number.

Now let  $f_i$  be any meromorphic function of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$  and  $\tilde{f}_i$  be its meromorphic continuation to  $(\tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}, \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}})$ . Then  $g_i = \tilde{f}_i \circ \psi$  is meromorphic not only in  $D$  but also in  $C_\varepsilon$  for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta = \min(\varepsilon', \varepsilon'')$ . Lemma 4 means that  $B_\varepsilon$  is a meromorphic completion of  $C_\varepsilon$ . Therefore there exists a meromorphic continuation  $\tilde{g}_i$  of  $g_i$

to  $B_\delta$ . We shall consider the sum space  $\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}} \cup B_\delta$ . We shall identify a point  $x \in \tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}$  and a point  $y \in B_\delta$  if

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) = \xi(y), (\tilde{f}_i \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}}^{-1})_{\tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)} = (\tilde{g}_i \circ \xi^{-1})_{\xi(y)}.$$

We can put a complex structure on the quotient space  $V'$  of  $\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}} \cup B_\delta$  by the equivalence relation induced by the above identification. The holomorphic mappings  $\varphi$  and  $\xi$  induce naturally a local biholomorphic mapping  $\varphi'$  of  $V'$  in  $S$ . The natural injection  $\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}} \cup B_\delta$  induces a biholomorphic mapping  $i$  of  $\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}$  in  $V'$ .  $i$  is a mapping of  $(\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}})$  in  $(V', \varphi')$ . Since  $(i \circ \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{F}}, V', \varphi')$  is a meromorphic completion of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{F}$  and since  $(\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}})$  is the envelope of meromorphy of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{F}$ , there exists a mapping  $j$  of  $(V', \varphi')$  in  $(\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}})$  such that  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{F}} = j \circ i \circ \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ . From the theorem of identity  $j \circ i$  is the identity of  $\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ . The natural injection  $B_\delta \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}} \cup B_\delta$  induces a biholomorphic mapping  $\psi'$  of  $B_\delta$  in  $V'$ . It holds that

$$\psi' = i \circ \psi$$

in  $D \subseteq B_\delta$ . Therefore we have

$$\psi = j \circ \psi'$$

in  $D$ . Hence we have

$$\psi(D) \subseteq V_{\mathfrak{F}}$$

as  $\psi'(D) \subseteq V'$ . Thus we have proved that  $(\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{F}})$  is  $p_t$ -convex in the sense of Docquier-Grauert [2]. Of course  $\tilde{V}_{\mathfrak{F}}$  is a Stein manifold from [2].

#### § 4. Main results

Let  $(V, \varphi)$  be a domain over a complex manifold  $M$  and  $f$  be a meromorphic (or holomorphic) function on  $V$ . The envelope  $(\tilde{\lambda}_f, \tilde{V}_f, \tilde{\varphi}_f)$  of meromorphy (or holomorphy) of  $(V, \varphi)$  with respect to the family consisting of only  $f$  is called the *domain of meromorphy* (or *holomorphy*) of  $f$ . A domain over  $M$  is called a *domain of meromorphy* (or *holomorphy*) if it is a domain of meromorphy of a meromorphic (or holomorphic) function on a domain over  $M$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $(V, \varphi)$  be a domain over a Stein manifold  $S$ . Then  $V$  is of weak Poincaré type.*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function on  $V$  and  $(\tilde{\lambda}_f, \tilde{V}_f, \tilde{\varphi}_f)$  be the domain of meromorphy of  $f$ . There exists a meromorphic continuation  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$



to  $(\tilde{\lambda}_f, \tilde{V}_f, \tilde{\varphi}_f)$ . From Lemma 5  $\tilde{V}_f$  is a Stein manifold, which is of weak Poincaré type from Hitotumatu-Kôta [3]. There exist holomorphic functions  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{h}$  on  $\tilde{V}_f$  such that  $\tilde{f} = \tilde{g}/\tilde{h}$  on  $\tilde{V}_f$ . If we put  $g = \tilde{g} \circ \tilde{\lambda}_f$  and  $h = \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\lambda}_f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  are holomorphic functions on  $V$  such that  $f = g/h$  on  $V$ .

If we put

$$S = C^n \times P \quad (n \geq 1),$$

$S$  is a non compact holomorphically convex complex manifold which is not of weak Poincaré type. The authors do not know whether there exists a holomorphically convex complex manifold which is obtained by a proper modification of a Stein space and a domain over which is not of weak Poincaré type. We shall prove the converse of Lemma 3 for domains over a Stein manifold.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $(V, \varphi)$  be a domain over a Stein manifold  $S$  and  $(\lambda, V', \varphi')$  be its holomorphic completion. Then  $(\lambda, V', \varphi')$  is a meromorphic completion of  $(V, \varphi)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function on  $V$ . From Proposition 1 there exist holomorphic functions  $g$  and  $h$  on  $V$  such that  $f = g/h$  on  $V$ . There exist holomorphic continuations  $g'$  and  $h'$  of  $g$  and  $h$  to  $(\lambda, V', \varphi')$  respectively. Then  $f' = g'/h'$  is a meromorphic continuation of  $f$  to  $(\lambda, V', \varphi')$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $(V, \varphi)$  be a domain over a Stein manifold. Then  $(\lambda, V', \varphi')$  is a holomorphic completion of  $(V, \varphi)$  if and only if it is a meromorphic completion of  $(V, \varphi)$ .*

As a special case of the above Corollary we have the following Proposition.

**PROPOSITION 3** *Let  $(V, \varphi)$  be a domain over a Stein manifold  $S$ . Then the envelope  $(\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{V}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbb{R}})$  of meromorphy of  $(V, \varphi)$  coincides with the envelope  $(\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathbb{D}}, \tilde{V}_{\mathbb{D}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbb{D}})$  of holomorphy of  $(V, \varphi)$ .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 3 and Proposition 2 there exist, respectively, a mapping  $\psi$  of  $(\tilde{V}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbb{R}})$  in  $(\tilde{V}_{\mathbb{D}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbb{D}})$  and a mapping  $\psi'$  of  $(\tilde{V}_{\mathbb{D}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbb{D}})$  in  $(\tilde{V}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbb{R}})$  such that  $\lambda = \psi \circ \lambda'$  and  $\lambda' = \psi' \circ \lambda$ . From the theorem of identity  $\psi' \circ \psi$  and  $\psi \circ \psi'$  are, respectively, identities of  $\tilde{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\tilde{V}_{\mathbb{D}}$ . Hence  $\psi$  is a biholomorphic mapping of  $\tilde{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$  in  $\tilde{V}_{\mathbb{D}}$ . In this sense  $(\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{V}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbb{R}})$  coincides with  $(\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathbb{D}}, \tilde{V}_{\mathbb{D}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbb{D}})$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $(V, \varphi)$  be a domain over a Stein manifold  $S$ . Then the following*

assertions are equivalent:

- 1)  $(V, \varphi)$  is an envelope of meromorphy with respect to a family of meromorphic functions on a domain over  $S$ .
- 2)  $(V, \varphi)$  is a domain of meromorphy.
- 3)  $(V, \varphi)$  is domain of holomorphy.
- 4)  $V$  is holomorphically convex.

*Proof.* From Lemma 5 1) implies 4). Quite similarly as in the proof of Lemma 5, 4) follows from 3) by Docquier-Grauert [2]. If  $V$  is holomorphically convex,  $(V, \varphi)$  is a domain of meromorphy of a holomorphic function on  $V$  from Cartan-Thullen [1]. A domain of meromorphy of a meromorphic function is an envelope of meromorphy with respect to the family consisting of only  $f$ .

Roughly speaking, the theory of domains of meromorphy over a Stein manifold coincides almost with the theory of domains of holomorphy over  $C^n$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Cartan und P. Thullen, Zur Theorie der Singularitäten den Funktionen mehrerer komplexen Veränderlichen: Regularitäts- und Konvergenz-bereiche, *Math. Ann.*, **106** (1932), 617-647.
- [2] F. Docquier und H. Grauert, Levisches Problem und Rungescher Satz für Teilgebiete Steinscher Mannigfaltigkeit, *Math. Ann.*, **140** (1960), 94-123.
- [3] B. A. Fuks, Special chapters of theory of analytic functions of several complex variables, Moscow (1963).
- [4] S. Hitotumatu and O. Kôta, Ideals of meromorphic functions of several complex variables, *Math. Ann.*, **125** (1952), 119-126.
- [5] B. Malgrange, Lectures on the theory of functions of several complex variables, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Bombay, (1958).
- [6] K. Oka, Sur les fonctions analytiques de plusieurs variables IX: Domaines finis sans point critique intérieur, *Jap. J. Math.*, **27** (1953), 67-155.
- [7] H. Okuda and E. Sakai, On the continuation theorem of Levi and the radius of meromorphy, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. (A)*, **11** (1957), 65-73.
- [8] C. L. Siegel, Analytic functions of several complex variables, Princeton Lecture (1949/50).

*Mathematical Institute, Nagoya University*

*and*

*Mathematical Institute, Kanazawa University*