

ON DOUBLY TRANSITIVE GROUPS OF DEGREE n AND ORDER $2(n-1)n$

NOBORU ITO

Dedicated to the memory of Professor TADASI NAKAYAMA

Introduction

Let \mathcal{U}_5 denote the icosahedral group and let \mathfrak{H} be the normalizer of a Sylow 5-subgroup of \mathcal{U}_5 . Then the index of \mathfrak{H} in \mathcal{U}_5 equals six. Let us represent \mathcal{U}_5 as a permutation group \mathbf{A} on the set of residue classes of \mathfrak{H} with respect to \mathcal{U}_5 . Then it is clear that \mathbf{A} is doubly transitive of degree 6 and order $60 = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 6$. Since \mathcal{U}_5 is simple, \mathbf{A} does not contain a regular normal subgroup.

Next let $SL(2, 8)$ denote the two-dimensional special linear group over the field $GF(8)$ of eight elements, and let s be the automorphism of $GF(8)$ of order three such that $s(x) = x^2$ for every element x of $GF(8)$. Then s can be considered in a usual way as an automorphism of $SL(2, 8)$. Let $SL^*(2, 8)$ be the splitting extension of $SL(2, 8)$ by the group generated by s . Moreover let \mathfrak{H} be the normalizer of a Sylow 3-group of $SL^*(2, 8)$. Then it is easy to see that the index of \mathfrak{H} in $SL^*(2, 8)$ equals twenty eight. Let us represent $SL^*(2, 8)$ as a permutation group \mathbf{S} on the set of residue classes of \mathfrak{H} with respect to $SL^*(2, 8)$. Then it is easy to check that \mathbf{S} is doubly transitive of degree 28 and order $1,512 = 2 \cdot 27 \cdot 28$. Since $SL(2, 8)$ is simple, \mathbf{S} does not contain a regular normal subgroup.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the converse of these facts, namely to prove the following

THEOREM. *Let Ω be the set of symbols $1, 2, \dots, n$. Let \mathfrak{G} be a doubly transitive group on Ω of order $2(n-1)n$ not containing a regular normal subgroup. Then \mathfrak{G} is isomorphic to either \mathbf{A} or \mathbf{S} .*

1. Let \mathfrak{H} be the stabilizer of the symbol 1 and let \mathfrak{K} be the stabilizer of the set of symbols 1 and 2. Then \mathfrak{K} is of order 2 and it is generated by an involution K whose cycle structure has the form $(1)(2) \dots$. Since \mathfrak{G} is doubly

transitive on \mathcal{Q} , it contains an involution I with the cycle structure (12) Then we have the following decomposition of \mathfrak{G} :

$$\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{H} + \mathfrak{H}I\mathfrak{H}.$$

Since I is contained in the normalizer $Ns\mathfrak{R}$ of \mathfrak{R} in \mathfrak{G} and since \mathfrak{R} has order two, I and K are commutative with each other. Hence for each permutation H of \mathfrak{H} the residue class $\mathfrak{H}IH$ contains just two involutions, namely $H^{-1}IH$ and $H^{-1}KIH$. Let $g(2)$ and $h(2)$ denote the numbers of involutions in \mathfrak{G} and \mathfrak{H} , respectively. Then the following equality is obtained :

$$(1) \quad g(2) = h(2) + 2(n-1).$$

2. Let \mathfrak{R} keep i ($i \geq 2$) symbols of \mathcal{Q} , say $1, 2, \dots, i$, unchanged. Put $\mathfrak{J} = \{1, 2, \dots, i\}$. Then by a theorem of Witt ((4), Theorem 9.4) $Ns\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{R}$ can be considered as a doubly transitive permutation group on \mathfrak{J} . Since every permutation of $Ns\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{R}$ distinct from \mathfrak{R} leaves by the definition of \mathfrak{R} at most one symbol of \mathfrak{J} fixed, $Ns\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{R}$ is a complete Frobenius group on \mathfrak{J} . Therefore i equals a power of a prime number, say p^m , and the order of $\mathfrak{H} \cap Ns\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{R}$ is equal to $i-1$. Since the order of \mathfrak{R} is two, $Ns\mathfrak{R}$ coincides with the centralizer of \mathfrak{R} in \mathfrak{G} . Therefore there exist $(n-1)n/(i-1)i$ involutions in \mathfrak{G} each of which is conjugate to K .

At first, let us assume that n is odd. Let $h^*(2)$ be the number of involutions in \mathfrak{H} leaving only the symbol 1 fixed. Then from (1) and the above argument the following equality is obtained :

$$(2) \quad h^*(2)n + (n-1)n/(i-1)i = (n-1)/(i-1) + h^*(2) + 2(n-1).$$

Since i is less than n , it follows from (2) that $h^*(2) \leq 1$. Thus two cases are to be distinguished: (A) $h^*(2) = 1$ and (B) $h^*(2) = 0$. The following equalities are obtained from (2) for cases (A) and (B), respectively :

$$(2. A) \quad n = i^2 = p^{2m}, \quad (p : \text{odd}).$$

and

$$(2. B) \quad n = i(2i-1) = p^m(2p^m-1), \quad (p : \text{odd}).$$

Next let us assume that n is even. Let $g^*(2)$ be the number of involutions in \mathfrak{G} leaving no symbol of \mathcal{Q} fixed. Then corresponding to (2) the following equality is obtained from (1) :

$$(3) \quad g^*(2) + (n-1)n/(i-1)i = (n-1)/(i-1) + 2(n-1).$$

Let J be an involution in \mathfrak{G} leaving no symbol of \mathcal{Q} fixed. Let CsJ be the centralizer of J in \mathfrak{G} . Assume that the order of CsJ is divisible by a prime factor q of $n-1$. Then CsJ contains a permutation Q of order q . Since $n-1$, and therefore q , is odd, Q must leave just one symbol of \mathcal{Q} fixed. But this shows that Q cannot be commutative with J . This contradiction implies that $g^*(2)$ is a multiple of $n-1$. Now it follows from (3) that $g^*(2) \leq n-1$. Thus again two cases are to be distinguished: (C) $g^*(2) = n-1$ and (D) $g^*(2) = 0$. The following equalities are obtained from (3) for cases (C) and (D), respectively:

$$(3. C) \quad n = i^2 = 2^{2m},$$

and

$$(3. D) \quad n = i(2i-1) = 2^m(2^{m+1}-1).$$

3. Case (A). Let \mathfrak{P}' be a Sylow p -subgroup of $Ns\mathfrak{R}$. Let $Ns\mathfrak{P}'$ and $Cs\mathfrak{P}'$ denote the normalizer and the centralizer of \mathfrak{P}' in \mathfrak{G} , respectively. Then, since $Ns\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{R}$ is a Frobenius group of degree p^m , \mathfrak{P}' is elementary abelian of order p^m and normal in $Ns\mathfrak{R}$. Thus $Cs\mathfrak{P}'$ contains $\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{P}'$. Now let \mathfrak{P} be a Sylow p -subgroup of $Ns\mathfrak{P}'$. Then it follows from an elementary property of p -groups that \mathfrak{P} is greater than \mathfrak{P}' . This implies that $Cs\mathfrak{P}'$ is greater than $\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{P}'$. In fact, if $Cs\mathfrak{P}' = \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{P}'$, then, since $\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{P}'$ is a direct product of \mathfrak{R} and \mathfrak{P}' , \mathfrak{R} would be normal in $Ns\mathfrak{P}'$ and it would follow that $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}'$. Let q ($\neq 2, p$) be a prime factor of the order of $Cs\mathfrak{P}'$ and let Q be a permutation of $Cs\mathfrak{P}'$ of order q . Then q must divide $n-1$ and hence Q must leave just one symbol of \mathcal{Q} fixed. But \mathfrak{P}' does not leave any symbol of \mathcal{Q} fixed and therefore Q cannot belong to $Cs\mathfrak{P}'$. Assume that the order of $Cs\mathfrak{P}'$ is divisible by four. Let \mathfrak{S} be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $Cs\mathfrak{P}'$. Then \mathfrak{S} leaves just one symbol of \mathcal{Q} fixed. This, as above, shows that \mathfrak{S} cannot be contained in $Cs\mathfrak{P}'$. Thus the order of $Cs\mathfrak{P}'$ must be of the form $2^m p^{m+m'}$ with $m \geq m' > 0$.

Now let \mathfrak{P}'' be a Sylow p -subgroup of $Cs\mathfrak{P}'$. Then clearly \mathfrak{P}'' is normal in $Ns\mathfrak{P}'$. Let \mathfrak{B} be a Sylow p -complement of $Ns\mathfrak{R}$, which is a stabilizer in $Ns\mathfrak{R}$ of a symbol of \mathfrak{J} . Then decompose all the permutations ($\neq 1$) of \mathfrak{P}'' into \mathfrak{B} -conjugate classes. If $P \neq 1$ is a permutation of \mathfrak{P}'' and if $Cs\mathfrak{P}$ denotes the centralizer of P in \mathfrak{G} , then it can be seen, as before, that the order of $\mathfrak{B} \cap Cs\mathfrak{P}$

equals at most two. Thus every \mathfrak{B} -conjugate class contains either $p^m - 1$ or $2(p^m - 1)$ permutations and the following equality is obtained:

$$p^{m+m'} - 1 = x(p^m - 1).$$

This implies in turn that;

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv 1 \pmod{p^m} \text{ and } x > 1; \quad x = yp^m + 1 \text{ and } y > 0; \\ p^{m'} &= (y - 1)(p^m - 1) + p^m; \quad y = 1 \text{ and finally } m' = m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus \mathfrak{B}'' is a Sylow p -subgroup of \mathfrak{G} .

Now since the order of $Ns\mathfrak{R}$ equals $2(p^m - 1)p^m$, \mathfrak{R} is not contained in the center of any Sylow 2-subgroup of \mathfrak{G} . But obviously $Ns\mathfrak{R}$ contains a central element of some Sylow 2-subgroup of \mathfrak{G} . Let J be such a "central" involution in $Ns\mathfrak{R}$ (and of $Ns\mathfrak{B}''$). Then J leaves just one symbol of Ω fixed and therefore, as before, J is not commutative with any permutation ($\neq 1$) of \mathfrak{B}'' . Thus \mathfrak{B}'' must be abelian. By assumption \mathfrak{B}'' cannot be normal in \mathfrak{G} . Let \mathfrak{D} be a maximal intersection of two distinct Sylow p -subgroups of \mathfrak{G} , one of which may be assumed to be \mathfrak{B}'' . Assume that $\mathfrak{D} \neq 1$ and let $Ns\mathfrak{D}$ and $Cs\mathfrak{D}$ denote the normalizer and the centralizer of \mathfrak{D} in \mathfrak{G} , respectively. Then, as it is well known, any Sylow p -subgroup of $Ns\mathfrak{D}$ cannot be normal in it. On the other hand, since \mathfrak{B}'' is abelian, it is contained in $Cs\mathfrak{D}$. Moreover, as before, the prime to p part of the order of $Cs\mathfrak{D}$ is at most two. This implies that \mathfrak{B}'' is normal in $Ns\mathfrak{D}$. Thus it must hold that $\mathfrak{D} = 1$. Using Sylow's theorem the following equality is now obtained:

$$2(n - 1)n/xn = yn + 1.$$

This implies that $y = 1$, $x = 1$ and $n = 3$.

Thus there exists no group satisfying the conditions of the theorem in Case (A).

4. Case (B). Likewise in Case (A) let \mathfrak{B} be a Sylow p -subgroup of $Ns\mathfrak{R}$. Then, as before, \mathfrak{B} is elementary abelian of order p^m and normal in $Ns\mathfrak{R}$. Since, however, $n = p^m(2p^m - 1)$ in this case, \mathfrak{B} is a Sylow p -subgroup of \mathfrak{G} . Let $Ns\mathfrak{B}$ and $Cs\mathfrak{B}$ denote the normalizer and the centralizer of \mathfrak{B} in \mathfrak{G} , respectively. Let the orders of $Ns\mathfrak{B}$ and $Cs\mathfrak{B}$ be $2(p^m - 1)p^m x$ and $2p^m y$, respectively. If $x = 1$, then from Sylow's theorem it should hold that $(2p^m - 1)(2p^m + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, which, since p is odd, is a contradiction. Thus x is

greater than one. If $y = 1$, then \mathfrak{R} would be normal in $Ns\mathfrak{B}$, and this would imply that $x = 1$. Thus y is greater than one. Now y is prime to $2p$. In fact, y is obviously prime to p . If y is even, then let \mathfrak{S} be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $Cs\mathfrak{B}$. Since then the order of \mathfrak{S} must be greater than two, \mathfrak{S} leaves just one symbol of Ω fixed. Hence \mathfrak{S} cannot be contained in $Cs\mathfrak{B}$. Thus y must be odd. Therefore by a theorem of Zassenhaus ((5), p. 125) $Cs\mathfrak{B}$ contains a normal subgroup \mathfrak{Y} of order y . \mathfrak{Y} is normal even in $Ns\mathfrak{B}$.

Now likewise in Case (A) let \mathfrak{B} be a Sylow p -complement of $Ns\mathfrak{R}$ and let us consider the subgroup $\mathfrak{Y}\mathfrak{B}$. Since \mathfrak{Y} is a subgroup of $Cs\mathfrak{B}$, any permutation ($\neq 1$) of \mathfrak{Y} does not leave any symbol of Ω fixed. In particular, every prime factor of the order of \mathfrak{Y} must divide $2p^m - 1$. Since $p^m - 1$ and $2p^m - 1$ are relatively prime, it follows that every permutation ($\neq 1$) of \mathfrak{B} is not commutative with any permutation ($\neq 1$) of \mathfrak{Y} . This implies that y is not less than $2p^m - 1$. Thus it follows that $y = 2p^m - 1$ and that all the permutations ($\neq 1$) of \mathfrak{Y} are conjugate under \mathfrak{B} . Therefore $2p^m - 1$ must be equal to a power of a prime, say q^l , and \mathfrak{Y} must be an elementary abelian q -group. Let $Ns\mathfrak{Y}$ and $Cs\mathfrak{Y}$ denote the normalizer and the centralizer of \mathfrak{Y} in \mathfrak{G} , respectively. Then it can be easily seen that $Cs\mathfrak{Y} = \mathfrak{Y}\mathfrak{Y}$. Hence $Ns\mathfrak{Y}$ is contained in $Ns\mathfrak{B}$ and therefore we obtain that $Ns\mathfrak{Y} = Ns\mathfrak{B}$. On the other hand, it is easily seen that the index of $Ns\mathfrak{B}$ in \mathfrak{G} is equal to $2p^m + 1$. But then we must have that $2p^m + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{q}$, which contradicts the theorem of Sylow.

Thus there exists no group satisfying the conditions of the theorem in Case (B).

5. Case (C). Since $n = 2^{2^m}$, \mathfrak{H} contains a normal subgroup \mathfrak{U} of order $n - 1$. Let \mathfrak{B} be a Sylow 2-complement of $Ns\mathfrak{H}$ leaving the symbol 1 fixed. Then \mathfrak{B} is contained in \mathfrak{U} . Since $Ns\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{R}$ is a complete Frobenius group of degree 2^m , all the Sylow subgroups of \mathfrak{B} are cyclic. Let l be the least prime factor of the order of \mathfrak{B} . Let \mathfrak{Q} be a Sylow l -subgroup of \mathfrak{B} . Let $Ns\mathfrak{Q}$ and $Cs\mathfrak{Q}$ denote the normalizer and the centralizer of \mathfrak{Q} in \mathfrak{G} . Then \mathfrak{Q} is cyclic and clearly leaves only the symbol 1 fixed. Hence $Ns\mathfrak{Q}$ is contained in \mathfrak{H} . Because $Cs\mathfrak{Q}$ contains \mathfrak{R} , using Sylow's theorem, we obtain that $Ns\mathfrak{Q} = Cs\mathfrak{Q}(Ns\mathfrak{R} \cap Ns\mathfrak{Q}) = Cs\mathfrak{Q}(\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{B} \cap Ns\mathfrak{Q})$. Then it is easily seen that $Ns\mathfrak{Q} = Cs\mathfrak{Q}$. By the splitting theorem of Burnside \mathfrak{G} has the normal l -complement. Continuing in the similar way, it can be shown that \mathfrak{G} has the normal subgroup \mathfrak{E} , which is a complement

of \mathfrak{B} . In particular, $\mathfrak{S} \cap \mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{D}$ is a normal subgroup of \mathfrak{U} , which is a complement of \mathfrak{B} and has order $2^m + 1$. Consider the subgroup $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{R}$. Then since every permutation ($\neq 1$) of \mathfrak{D} leaves just one symbol of \mathfrak{Q} fixed, K is not commutative with any permutation ($\neq 1$) of \mathfrak{D} , and therefore \mathfrak{D} is abelian. \mathfrak{S} is the product of \mathfrak{D} and a Sylow 2-subgroup of \mathfrak{G} . Hence \mathfrak{S} , and therefore \mathfrak{G} , is solvable ((3)). Then \mathfrak{G} must contain a regular normal subgroup.

Thus there exists no group satisfying the conditions of the theorem in Case (C).

6. Case (D). If $m = 1$, then it can be easily checked that $\mathfrak{G} = A$. Hence it will be assumed hereafter that m is greater than one.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $Ns\mathfrak{R}$ of order 2^{m+1} . Then, since $n = 2^m(2^{m+1} - 1)$ in this case, \mathfrak{S} is a Sylow 2-subgroup of \mathfrak{G} . Let \mathfrak{B} be a Sylow 2-complement of $Ns\mathfrak{R}$ of order $2^m - 1$. Then, since $Ns\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{R}$ is a complete Frobenius group of degree 2^m , $\mathfrak{S}/\mathfrak{R}$ is elementary abelian and normal in $Ns\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{R}$. Furthermore, all the elements ($\neq 1$) of $\mathfrak{S}/\mathfrak{R}$ are conjugate under $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{R}$. Since I and K are commutative involutions, \mathfrak{S} contains an involution S distinct from K . Thus every permutation ($\neq 1$) of \mathfrak{S} can be represented uniquely in the form either $V^{-1}SV$ or $V^{-1}SVK$, where V is any permutation of \mathfrak{B} . In fact, assume that $V^{-1}SV = V^{*-1}SV^*K$, where V and V^* are permutations of \mathfrak{B} . Then it follows that $V^*V^{-1}SVV^{*-1} = SK$ and $(V^*V^{-1})^2S(VV^{*-1})^2 = S$. But VV^{*-1} has an odd order, and this implies that $V = V^*$ and $K = 1$. This is a contradiction. Therefore \mathfrak{S} is elementary abelian.

Let $Ns\mathfrak{S}$ denote the normalizer of \mathfrak{S} in \mathfrak{G} . All the involutions of \mathfrak{S} are conjugate in \mathfrak{G} because of $g^*(2) = 0$. Hence they are conjugate already in $Ns\mathfrak{S}$ ((5), p. 133). Since $Ns\mathfrak{S}$ contains $Ns\mathfrak{R}$, it follows that the index of $Ns\mathfrak{R}$ in $Ns\mathfrak{S}$ equals $2^{m+1} - 1$. Let \mathfrak{U} be a Sylow 2-complement of $Ns\mathfrak{S}$ of order $(2^{m+1} - 1)(2^m - 1)$. Then it follows that $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{U} \cap \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{B})$. By a theorem of Zassenhaus ((5), p. 126) \mathfrak{B} and $\mathfrak{U} \cap \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{B}$ are conjugate in $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{B}$. Hence we can assume that \mathfrak{B} is contained in \mathfrak{U} . Now every permutation ($\neq 1$) of \mathfrak{B} leaves just one symbol of \mathfrak{Q} fixed, and all the Sylow subgroups of \mathfrak{B} are cyclic. Therefore likewise in Case (C) it can be shown that \mathfrak{U} has the normal subgroup \mathfrak{B} of order $2^{m+1} - 1$. Every permutation ($\neq 1$) of \mathfrak{B} leaves no symbol of \mathfrak{Q} fixed, hence it is not commutative with any permutation ($\neq 1$) of \mathfrak{B} . Let B be a permutation of \mathfrak{B} of a prime order, say q . Then all the permutations ($\neq 1$) of \mathfrak{B} are conjugate

to either B or B^{-1} under \mathfrak{B} . This implies that \mathfrak{B} is an elementary abelian q -group of order, say q^b . Then it follows that $2^{m+1} - 1 = q^b$. This implies that $b = 1$ and \mathfrak{B} is cyclic of order q . Hence \mathfrak{B} is also cyclic.

Let $Ns\mathfrak{B}$ denote the normalizer of \mathfrak{B} in \mathfrak{G} . Noticing that $2^m - 1 = \frac{1}{2}(q - 1)$, let the order of $Ns\mathfrak{B}$ be equal to $\frac{1}{2}x(q - 1)q$. Since $n = \frac{1}{2}q(q + 1)$, \mathfrak{B} cannot be transitive on \mathcal{Q} , and hence it cannot be normal in \mathfrak{G} . Therefore x is less than $(q + 1)(q + 2)$. Now using the theorem of Sylow we obtain the following congruence :

$$(q + 1)(q + 2)/x \equiv 1 \pmod{q}.$$

This implies that $(q + 1)(q + 2) = x(yq + 1)$, where, since x is less than $(q + 1)(q + 2)$, y is positive. Then we obtain that $x = zq + 2$, where z , since q is greater than two, is non-negative. Finally we obtain that $(q + 1)(q + 2) = (zq + 2)(yq + 1)$. This implies that z is not greater than one. If $z = 1$, then the order of $Ns\mathfrak{B}$ equals $\frac{1}{2}(q - 1)q(q + 2)$. Hence there will be a permutation $X (\neq 1)$ of order dividing $q + 2$, which belongs to the centralizer of \mathfrak{B} . But X leaves just one symbol of \mathcal{Q} fixed. Then X cannot be contained in the centralizer of \mathfrak{B} . This contradiction implies that $z = 0$, $x = 2$ and $y = \frac{1}{2}(q + 3)$. In particular, \mathfrak{B} coincides with its own centralizer, and the order of $Ns\mathfrak{B}$ equals $(q - 1)q$.

If \mathfrak{G} is solvable, then \mathfrak{G} must have a regular normal subgroup, which is an elementary abelian group of a prime-power order. Since $n = \frac{1}{2}q(q + 1)$, it is impossible. Thus \mathfrak{G} must be nonsolvable.

Let \mathfrak{N} be the least normal subgroup of \mathfrak{G} such that $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{N}$ is solvable. Then since \mathfrak{N} is transitive on \mathcal{Q} , \mathfrak{N} contains \mathfrak{B} and an involution. Since all the involutions of \mathfrak{G} are conjugate, \mathfrak{N} contains \mathfrak{S} . Using Sylow's theorem, we obtain that $\mathfrak{G} = (Ns\mathfrak{B})\mathfrak{N}$. Therefore the order of \mathfrak{N} is divisible by $q + 2$. Let the order of \mathfrak{N} be equal to $xq(q + 1)(q + 2)$. Then the order of $\mathfrak{N} \cap Ns\mathfrak{B}$ is equal to $2xq$. Thus the number of Sylow q -subgroups of \mathfrak{N} is equal to $\frac{1}{2}q(q + 3) + 1$. On the other hand, since the order of \mathfrak{B} equals q , it can be easily shown that \mathfrak{N} is a simple group. Therefore by a theorem of Brauer ((1)) \mathfrak{N} is isomorphic to the two-dimensional special linear group $LF(2, q + 1)$ over the field of $q + 1 = 2^{m+1}$ elements. In particular, it follows that $x = 1$.

Using Sylow's theorem, we obtain that $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{N}(Ns\mathfrak{N})$. Therefore there exist

$q+2$ distinct Sylow 2-subgroups in \mathfrak{G} . Let Γ be the set of all the Sylow 2-subgroups of \mathfrak{G} . Then, in a usual manner, we represent \mathfrak{G} as a permutation group on Γ . As it is well known, \mathfrak{N} , and therefore \mathfrak{G} , is triply transitive on Γ . Let \mathfrak{B} be the stabilizer of some two symbols of Γ . Then the order of \mathfrak{B} is equal to $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)q$, and hence a Sylow q -subgroup of \mathfrak{B} is normal in it. Therefore we can assume that $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{U}$. Thus \mathfrak{B} is the stabilizer of some three symbols of Γ . Let $\mathfrak{B}^* (\neq 1)$ be any subgroup of \mathfrak{B} , and put $\mathfrak{G}^* = \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{B}^*$. Then \mathfrak{G}^* is triply transitive on Γ , and \mathfrak{B}^* is the stabilizer of the above three symbols of Γ in \mathfrak{G}^* . Let f be the number of symbols in the subset \mathcal{A} of Γ , each symbol of which is left fixed by \mathfrak{B}^* . Then by a theorem of Witt ((4), Theorem 9.4) $\mathfrak{G}^* \cap N_s \mathfrak{B}^*$ is triply transitive on \mathcal{A} . Therefore $\mathfrak{U} \cap \mathfrak{G}^* N_s \mathfrak{B}^*$ has an orbit in \mathcal{A} of length $f-2$. But we already know that $\mathfrak{U} \cap N_s \mathfrak{B}^* = \mathfrak{B}$. Thus it follows that $\mathfrak{U} \cap \mathfrak{G}^* \supset N_s \mathfrak{B}^* = \mathfrak{B}^*$. This implies that $f=3$ and that $N_s \mathfrak{B}^* / \mathfrak{B}$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group of degree three.

Now let \mathfrak{U} be the Sylow 2-complement of \mathfrak{H} of order $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)(q+2)$. Then we can assume that \mathfrak{B} is contained in \mathfrak{U} . Since m is greater than one, it follows that $q=2^{m+1}-1$ is not less than seven. Hence the order $q+2$ of $\mathfrak{N} \cap \mathfrak{U}$ is divisible by 3. Since $\mathfrak{N} \cap \mathfrak{U}$ is cyclic, it contains only subgroup \mathfrak{X} of order three. \mathfrak{X} is normal in \mathfrak{U} . On the other hand, since $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)$ is odd, \mathfrak{X} is contained in the centralizer of \mathfrak{B} . Thus it follows that $\mathfrak{U} \cap N_s \mathfrak{B}^* = \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{X}$. If $q+2$ has a prime factor l distinct from 3, then let \mathfrak{Q} be the Sylow l -subgroup of $\mathfrak{N} \cap \mathfrak{U}$ of order, say l^c . Then l^c is not greater than $(q+2)/3$. Now the above argument shows that l^c-1 is a multiple of $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)$. This contradiction implies that $q+2$ is equal to a power of 3, say, 3^a . Thus finally we obtain the following equality:

$$q+2 = 2^{m+1} - 1 = 3^a.$$

This implies that $a=2$, $m=2$ and $q=7$. Then it is easy to check that \mathfrak{G} is isomorphic to \mathfrak{S} .

Remark. Holyoke ((2)) proved a special case of the theorem: if \mathfrak{H} is a dihedral group, then \mathfrak{G} is isomorphic to \mathfrak{A} .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] R. Brauer, On the representations of groups of finite order, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.

U.S.A. **25**, 290-295 (1939).

- [2] T. Holyoke, Transitive extensions of dihedral groups, *Math. Zeitschr.* **60**, 79-80 (1954).
- [3] N. Ito, Remarks on factorizable groups. *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged* **15**, 83-84 (1951).
- [4] H. Wielandt, *Finite permutation groups*, Academic Press, New York-London (1964).
- [5] H. Zassenhaus, *Lehrbuch der Gruppentheorie, I*, Teubner, Leipzig (1937).

Mathematical Institute,
Nagoya University

