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## (II) THETA FUNCTIONS AND ABELIAN FUNCTIONS <br> OF CHARACTERISTIC $\boldsymbol{p}(>0)$
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## To Richard Brauer on his 60th Birthday

It may safely said that one of the most important problems in modern algebraic geometry is to elevate theory of abelian functions to the same level as theory of elliptic functions beyond the modern formulation of classical results. Being concerned in such a problem, we feel that one of the serious points is the lack of knowladge on the explicit expressions of abelian varieties and their law of compositions by means of their canonical systems of coordinates: Such expressions correspond to the cubic relation $\gamma^{\prime 2}=4 \gamma^{3}-g_{2} \gamma-g_{3}$ of Weierstrass' $\mathfrak{r}$-functions and their addition formulae in theory of elliptic functions.

In Part (I) we have introduced theta functions and abelian functions over fields of characteristic $p$ with valuations of rank one, ${ }^{1)}$ and have shown that for each positive symmetric bimultiplicative function $q$ valued in a valuation field of rank one there exists an abelian variety $A_{q}$ such that $A_{q}$ is embedded in a projective space by means of theta functions of some type with period $(E, q)$.

In the present part (II) first we shall give the explicit addition formulae of the following abelian functions of characteristic $p(\geq 3)$

$$
\left\{\Phi_{g_{i}}(u)=\vartheta_{p}\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u) / \vartheta_{p}[0,0](q \mid u) \mid p g_{i} \in \mathfrak{M}\right\}
$$

as an immediate consequence from the fact that $\left\{\vartheta_{p}\left[\mathcal{g}_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u)\right\}$ form a base of theta functions of type ( $p, 1$ ) with period ( $E, q$ ); the explicit addition formulae are comparatively simple, and they may be considered as the formulae

[^0]given by the reduction $\bmod p$ of the explicit addition formulae of abelian functions of characteristic zero with a general module in some sense. As corollaries of the explicit addition formulae we have a system of equations satisfied by $\left\{\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{i}}(1)^{p-1}, \Phi_{g_{j}}(u)\right\}$; these equations are considered as a part of equations defining the locus of ( $\vartheta_{p}\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u), \ldots, \vartheta_{p}\left[g_{p^{r}}, 0\right](q \mid u)$ ) over the field of coefficients, where $r$ is the dimension of $A_{q}$. We, however, are not able to decide now whether the system generates all the relations of $\left\{\Phi_{g_{i}}(1)^{p-1}, \Phi_{g_{j}}(u)\right\}$ or not.

In the next section we shall give the explicit expressions of invariant differentials and invariant derivations of the abelian variety $A_{q}$ of characteristic. $\dot{p}(>0)$ by mean of abelian functions $\left\{\Phi_{g_{i}}(u)\right\}$; these expressions are quite simple as we shall see in Theorem 3, 4 and 5.

In $\S 3$, following Bolza, ${ }^{2}$, we shall introduce $\gamma$-functions by means of the canonical base $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}\right\}$ of invariant derivations in $\S 2$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{V}_{g_{i} \ldots g_{i s}}(q \mid u)=-\left(D_{\mathfrak{g}_{i 1}} \cdots D_{g_{i s-1}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{g_{i s}}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)}\right) \\
&\left(1 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s} \leq p ; s \geq 2\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall first show the following simple formula on a derivation $D$ of a field of characteristic $p$ :

$$
D^{p-1}\left(\frac{D(y)}{y}\right)=\frac{D^{p}(y)}{y}-\left(\frac{D(y)}{y}\right)^{p}, \quad y \neq 0 .
$$

Putting $y=\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)$ and $D=D_{i}^{\prime},(1 \leq i \leq r)$, in the above formula, we shall see that

$$
\left(\frac{D_{i}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)}\right)^{p}-\frac{D_{i}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)}=\gamma_{p-1 e_{i} \cdots p-\ldots-e_{i}}(q \mid u),
$$

$$
(1 \leq i \leq r),
$$

and $\left\{D_{i}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u) / \vartheta[0,0](q \mid u) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\right\}$ form a system of canonical Kummer-generators of separable unramified ( $p, \ldots, p$ )-covering of the abelian
 follows

[^1]$$
\gamma_{p} \underbrace{-1 \mathfrak{e}_{i} \cdots p^{-1}}_{p+2} \mathfrak{e}_{i}(q \mid u)=\gamma_{\nu+1}^{p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{i} \cdots p-1} \mathfrak{c}_{i}, \quad(1 \leq i \leq r ; \nu=1,2, \ldots) .
$$

This shows that there exist only finite number of $\gamma$-functions of characteristic $p(>0)$.

It seems to the author that the addition formulae in $\S 1$ will be a starting point of the study of moduli of abelian varieties of characteristic $p(\geq 3)$ and the study of details of $\gamma$-functions of characteristic $p(>0)$ in $\S 3$ will also make rich the theory of abstract abelian varieties.

## § 1. The explicit addition formulae of abelian <br> functions of characteristic $p \geq 3$

1.1. In the present section we assume that the characteristic $p$ of the universal domain is not less than three. We shall now repeat some notations in (I):
$\mathfrak{M}_{Q}$ : a vector space of dimension $r$ over the field $Q$ of rational numbers;
$\mathfrak{M}$ : the module of all the vectors in $\mathfrak{M}_{Q}$ with integral coordinates;
$\Omega$ : an algebraically closed field complete with respect to a valuation $v$ of rank one (valued in the additive group of real numbers). We assume that a mapping $(\xi, m / n) \rightarrow \xi^{m / n}$ of $\Omega^{\times} \times Q$ onto $\Omega^{\times}$is given as follows: i) If $m$ is an integer, $\xi^{m}$ is the ordinary $m$-th power, ii) $\left(\xi^{m / n}\right)^{m n^{\prime} n^{\prime}}=\xi^{m m^{\prime} / n n^{\prime}}$, iii) $(\xi \eta)^{m / n}$ $=\xi^{m / n} \eta^{m / n}$, iv) $1^{1 / p}=1, \mathrm{v}$ ) if ( $\left.n, p\right)=1,1^{1 / n}$ is a primitive $n$-th root of unity.
$q$ : a function on $\mathfrak{M}_{Q} \times \mathfrak{M}_{Q}$ valued in $\Omega^{\times}$such that i) $q(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n})=q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m})$, ii) $q\left(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{q}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}) \boldsymbol{q}\left(\mathfrak{n i i}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{n}\right)$, iii) $\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{q}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}))>0$ for every $\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$ in $\mathfrak{M} \mathbb{M}_{Q} ;$
$q(\mathfrak{n}),\left(\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{M}_{Q}\right)$ : the function on $\mathfrak{M}_{Q}$ defined by $q(\mathfrak{n})(\mathfrak{m})=q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m})^{2}$;
$u$ : a variable function on $\mathfrak{M}_{Q}$ such that $u(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n})=u(\mathfrak{m}) u(\mathfrak{n})$, i.e., for a base $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_{r}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{m}_{Q} u\left(\mathfrak{m}_{1}\right), \ldots, u\left(\mathfrak{m}_{r}\right)$ are analytically independent variables;
$K_{q}$ : the field of abelian functions with period ( $E, q$ ) and with coefficients in $\Omega$.
1.2. We choose a complete system $\left\{g_{1}=(0), g_{2}, \ldots, g_{p r}\right\}$ of representatives of $p^{-1} \mathfrak{M} / \mathfrak{M}$ and denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{i}^{1 / 2}$ the element in $\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}_{p^{r}}\right\}$ such that $2 \mathfrak{g}_{i}^{1 / 2}$ $-\mathfrak{g}_{i} \in \mathfrak{M}$. Since $p \neq 2$, there always exists such a vector $\mathfrak{g}_{i}^{1 / 2}$.

We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u)=\sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}} q\left(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{g}_{i}, \mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{g}_{i}\right) u\left(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{g}_{i}\right), \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq p^{\tau}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u)\left(1 \leq i \leq p^{r}\right)$ are converging series on $u$. It is obvious that $\vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u)$ does not depend of the choice of the representative $g_{i}$ of $p^{-1} \mathfrak{M} / \mathfrak{M}$, and $\vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p}$ is nothing but the theta series $\vartheta_{p}\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u)=\sum_{m \exists \sum \mathfrak{l}} q\left(\mathrm{~m}+g_{i}\right.$, $\left.p\left(\mathfrak{m}+g_{i}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{u}\left(p\left(\mathfrak{m}+g_{i}\right)\right)$ introduced in §2(I). By virtue of Theorem 1 in §2(I) $\left\{\vartheta_{p}\left[g_{1}, 0\right](q \mid u) \mid 1 \leq i \leq p^{r}\right\}$ form a base of theta functions of type ( $p, 1$ ). Since 1 is the only one $p$-th root of unity we may put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid 1)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{M}} q\left(m+g_{i}, m+g_{i}\right), \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq p^{r}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote briefly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{g_{i}}(u)=\vartheta_{p}\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u) / \vartheta_{p}[0,0](q \mid u), \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq p^{r}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show some properties of $\vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u)$.

## Lemma 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right]\left(q \mid u^{-1}\right)=\vartheta\left[-g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid q(\mathfrak{n}) u)=q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n})^{-1} u(\mathfrak{n})^{-1} \vartheta\left[\Omega_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u)
$$

$$
\left(\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{P} ; 1 \leq i \leq p^{r}\right) .
$$

Proof. From (1) it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vartheta\left[\mathfrak{g}_{i}, 0\right]\left(q \mid u^{-1}\right) & =\sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}} q\left(m+g_{i}, m+g_{i}\right) u\left(-m-g_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}} q\left(-\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{g}_{i},-\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{g}_{i}\right) u\left(\mathfrak{m}-\mathfrak{g}_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m \in \mathfrak{M}} q\left(\mathfrak{m}-\mathfrak{g}_{i}, \mathfrak{m}-\mathfrak{g}_{i}\right) u\left(\mathfrak{m}-\mathfrak{g}_{t}\right) \\
& =\vartheta\left[-\mathfrak{g}_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u),
\end{aligned}
$$

and for any $\mathfrak{n}$ in $\mathfrak{M}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vartheta\left[\mathfrak{g}_{i}, 0\right](q \mid q(\mathfrak{n}) u) & =\sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{m}} q\left(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{g}_{i}, \mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{g}_{i}\right) q\left(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{g}_{i}\right)^{2} u\left(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{g}_{i}\right) \\
& =q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n})^{-1} u(\mathfrak{n})^{-1} \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{m}} q\left(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}+\mathfrak{g}_{i}, \mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}+\mathfrak{g}_{i}\right) u\left(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}+\mathfrak{g}_{i}\right) \\
& =q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n})^{-1} u(\mathfrak{n})^{-1} \vartheta\left[\mathfrak{g}_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma 2.

(6) $\vartheta\left[\mathfrak{g}_{i}, 0\right]\left(\boldsymbol{q} \mid q\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}\right) \boldsymbol{u}\right)=\boldsymbol{q}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}, \mathfrak{g}_{j}\right)^{-1} u\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}\right)^{-1} \vartheta\left[\mathfrak{g}_{i}+g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid u), \quad\left(1 \leq i, j \leq p^{r}\right)$.

Proof. From (1) it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right]\left(q \mid q\left(g_{i}\right) u\right) & =\sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M},} q\left(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{g}_{i}, \mathfrak{m}+g_{i}\right) q\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}, \mathfrak{m}+g_{i}\right)^{2} u\left(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{g}_{i}\right) \\
& =q\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}, \mathfrak{g}_{i}\right)^{-1} u\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}\right)^{-1} \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}} q\left(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{g}_{i}+g_{j}, \mathfrak{m}+g_{i}+g_{j}\right) u\left(\mathfrak{m}+g_{i}+g_{j}\right) \\
& =q\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}, g_{j}\right)^{-1} u\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}\right)^{-1} \vartheta\left[g_{i}+g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.

$$
\vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1) \neq 0 .
$$

Proof. Since $v(q(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}))>0$ for $\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$ and $\vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1)=\sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}} q(\mathfrak{m}, m)$, we have $v(\vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1))=v(1)=0$, and thus $\vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1) \neq 0$.

Lemma 4. The $(p, p)$-matrix $\left(\vartheta\left[g_{i}+g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid 1)\right)$ of which $(i, j)$-element is $\vartheta\left[g_{i}+g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid 1)$ is non-singular.

Proof. Since $v\left(q\left(m+g_{i}, m+g_{i}\right)>0\right.$ for every $m+g_{i} \neq 0$, it follows that $v\left(\vartheta\left[g_{i}+\mathfrak{g}_{j}, 0\right](q \mid 1) \neq 0\right.$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}_{i}+\mathfrak{g}_{j} \in \mathfrak{M}$. Hence $\operatorname{det}\left(\vartheta\left[\mathfrak{g}_{i}+g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid 1)\right)$ $= \pm \vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1) p+c$ with an element $c$ such that $v(c)>0$. This shows that $v\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\vartheta\left[g_{i}+g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid 1)\right)=0\right.$, and thus $\operatorname{det}\left(\vartheta\left[g_{i}+g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid 1)\right) \neq 0$.

Lemma 5. $\quad F(u, v)=\vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1)^{2} \vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u v) \vartheta[0,0]\left(q \mid u v^{-1}\right) \vartheta\left[9_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right]$ $(q \mid u)^{p-2} \vartheta\left[9_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right](q \mid v)^{p-2}$ is a theta function of type $(p, 1)$ as a function of both $u$ and $v$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{g}_{i}+(p-2) \mathfrak{g}_{i}^{1 / 2}=p \mathfrak{g}_{i}^{1 / 2}+\left(g_{i}-2 \mathfrak{g}_{i}^{1 / 2} \in \mathfrak{M}\right.$, the function $F(u, v)$ in Lemma 5 is expressed as follows:
$\sum_{\mathfrak{m},} \sum_{\mathfrak{M}} c_{\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}} u(\mathfrak{m}) v(\mathfrak{n})$. On the other hand, if for any $\mathfrak{n}$ in $\mathfrak{M}$ we put $q(\mathfrak{n}) u$ instead of $u$ in $F(u, v)$, by virture of Lemma 1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(q(\mathfrak{n}) u, v)= & q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n})^{-1} u(\mathfrak{n})^{-1} v(\mathfrak{n})^{-1} \vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1)^{2} \vartheta\left[\mathfrak{g}_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u v) \\
& q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n})^{-1} v(\mathfrak{n}) \vartheta[0,0]\left(q \mid u v^{-1}\right) q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n})^{-(p-2)} u(\mathfrak{n})^{-(p-2)} \\
& \vartheta\left[\mathfrak{g}_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p-2} \vartheta\left[\mathfrak{g}_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right](q \mid v)^{p-2} \\
= & q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n})^{-p} u(\mathfrak{n})^{-p} F(u, v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\vartheta[0,0]\left(q \mid u v^{-1}\right)=\vartheta[0,0]\left(q \mid v u^{-1}\right)$, we have $F(u, v)=F(v, u)$, and thus $F(u, q(\mathfrak{n}) v)=F(q(\mathfrak{n}) v, u)=q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n})^{-p} v(\mathfrak{n})^{-p} F(u, v)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.
1.3. We shall first show the addition formulae of $\vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u)$.

Theorem 1. If $p \geq 3$, we have the following formulae:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1)^{2} \vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u v) \vartheta[0,0]\left(q \mid u v^{-1}\right)  \tag{7}\\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l} 
\\
\vartheta\left[g_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p-2} \vartheta\left[g_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right](q \mid v)^{p-2}
\end{array} \\
& =\sum_{i, i_{1}}^{v^{v}} c_{g_{i}-g_{i}^{\prime 2}, g_{l}-g_{i}^{1 /} \vartheta\left[g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p} \vartheta\left[g_{l}, 0\right](q \mid v)^{p}, \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq p^{r}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{c_{g_{j}, g_{l}}\right\}$ are the unique solutions of the following linear equations in
$\left\{\Lambda_{g_{j}, g_{l}}\right\}:$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{h, k=1}^{\nu^{r}} & \vartheta\left[g_{j}+g_{h}, 0\right](q \mid 1) \vartheta\left[g_{l}+g_{k}, 0\right](q \mid 1) \Lambda_{g_{h}, g_{k}}  \tag{8}\\
= & \vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1)^{2} \vartheta\left[g_{j}+g_{l}, 0\right](q \mid 1) \vartheta\left[g_{j}-g_{l}, 0\right](q \mid 1) \\
& \vartheta\left[g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid 1)^{p-2} \vartheta\left[g_{l}, 0\right](q \mid 1)^{p-2} \quad\left(1 \leq j, l \leq p^{r}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover $\left\{c_{\mathrm{g}_{j}, \mathrm{~g}_{l}}\right\}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{g_{j}, g_{l}}=c_{g_{l}, g_{j}}, \quad\left(1 \leq j, l \leq p^{r}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We put briefly

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{g_{i}}(u, v)= & \vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1)^{2} \vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u v) \vartheta[0,0]\left(q \mid u v^{-1}\right) \\
& \vartheta\left[g_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p-2} \vartheta\left[g_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right]^{p-2}(q \mid u), \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq p^{r}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is sufficient to prove Theorem 1 for independent varible $u$ and $v$. Since $\left\{\vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u) \mid 1 \leq i \leq p^{r}\right\}$ and $\left\{\vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid v) \mid 1 \leq i \leq p^{r}\right\}$ form bases of theta functions of type ( $p, 1$ ) of $u$ and $v$, respectively, by virtue of Lemma 5 , we have

$$
F_{\mathfrak{g}_{1}}(u, v)=\sum_{j, l=1}^{v^{r}} c_{g_{j}, g_{l}} \vartheta\left[g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p} \vartheta\left[g_{l}, 0\right](q \mid v)^{p} .
$$

Putting $q\left(g_{h}\right) u$ and $q\left(g_{k}\right) v$ instead of $u$ and $v$, by virtue of Lemma 2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\mathrm{g}_{2}}\left(q\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}\right) \boldsymbol{u}, q\left(\mathrm{~g}_{k}\right) \boldsymbol{v}\right) \\
& =\vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1)^{2} q\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}+\mathrm{g}_{k}, \mathrm{~g}_{h}+\mathrm{g}_{k}\right)^{-1} u\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}+\mathrm{g}_{k}\right)^{-1} v\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}+\mathrm{g}_{k}\right)^{-1} \\
& \vartheta\left[\mathrm{~g}_{h}+\mathrm{g}_{k}, 0\right](q \mid u v) q\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}-\mathrm{g}_{k}, \mathrm{~g}_{h}-\mathrm{g}_{k}\right)^{-1} u\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}-\mathrm{g}_{k}\right)^{-1} v\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}-\mathrm{g}_{k}\right)^{-1} \\
& \vartheta\left[g_{h}-g_{k}, 0\right]\left(q \mid u v^{-1}\right) q\left(g_{h}, g_{h}\right)^{-(p-2)} u\left(g_{h}\right)^{-(p-2)} \\
& \vartheta\left[g_{h}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p-2} q\left(g_{k}, g_{k}\right)^{-(p-2)} v\left(g_{k}\right)^{-(p-2)} \vartheta\left[g_{k}, 0\right](q \mid v)^{p-2} \\
& =q\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}, \mathrm{~g}_{h}\right)^{-p} q\left(\mathrm{~g}_{k}, \mathrm{~g}_{k}\right)^{-p} u\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}\right)^{-p} v\left(\mathrm{~g}_{k}\right)^{-p} \vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1)^{2} \\
& \vartheta\left[g_{h}+g_{k}, 0\right](q \mid u v) \vartheta\left[g_{h}-g_{k}, 0\right]\left(q \mid u v^{-1}\right) \\
& \vartheta\left[g_{h}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p-2} \vartheta\left[g_{k}, 0\right](q \mid v)^{p-2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\nu_{l},=1}^{v^{r}} c_{\mathrm{g}_{j}, \mathrm{~g}_{l}} \vartheta\left[\mathrm{~g}_{j}, 0\right]\left(q \mid q\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}\right) u\right)^{p} \vartheta\left[\mathrm{~g}_{l}, 0\right]\left(q \mid q\left(\mathrm{~g}_{k}\right) v\right)^{p} \\
= & q\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}, \mathrm{~g}_{h}\right)^{-p} q\left(\mathrm{~g}_{k}, \mathrm{~g}_{k}\right)^{-p} u\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}\right)^{-p} v\left(\mathrm{~g}_{k}\right)^{-p} \\
& \sum_{\nu, l=1}^{p^{r}} c_{\mathrm{g}_{j}, \mathrm{~g}_{l} \vartheta\left[g_{j}+g_{h}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p} \vartheta\left[\mathrm{~g}_{l}+\mathrm{g}_{k}, 0\right](q \mid v)^{p} .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1)^{2} \vartheta\left[g_{h}+g_{k}, 0\right](q \mid u v) \vartheta\left[g_{h}-g_{k}, 0\right]\left(q \mid u v^{-1}\right) \\
& \vartheta\left[g_{h}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p-2} \vartheta\left[g_{k}, 0\right](q \mid v)^{p-2} \\
& \quad=\sum_{v^{r}}^{j, l=1} c_{g_{j}, g_{l}} \vartheta\left[g_{j}+g_{h}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p} \vartheta\left[g_{l}+g_{k}, 0\right](q \mid v)^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $\mathfrak{g}_{h}=\mathfrak{g}_{k}=\mathfrak{g}_{i}^{1 / 2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{g_{i}}(u, v) & =\sum_{j, l=1}^{\eta^{r}} c_{g_{j}, g_{l}} \vartheta\left[g_{j}+g_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p} \vartheta\left[g_{l}+g_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right](q \mid v)^{p} \\
& =\sum_{j, l=1}^{p^{r}} c_{g_{j}-g_{i}^{\prime}, g_{l}-g_{i}^{\prime}, \vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p} \vartheta\left[g_{l}, 0\right](q \mid v)^{p} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $u=v=1$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1)^{2} \vartheta\left[g_{h}+\mathfrak{g}_{k}, 0\right](q \mid 1) \vartheta\left[\mathfrak{g}_{h}-\mathfrak{g}_{k}, 0\right](q \mid 1) \\
\vartheta\left[g_{h}, 0\right](q \mid 1)^{p-2} \vartheta\left[g_{k}, 0\right](q \mid 1)^{p-2} \\
=\sum_{l=1}^{p^{r}} c_{g_{j}, g_{l}} \vartheta\left[g_{j}+g_{h}, 0\right](q \mid 1)^{p} \vartheta\left[g_{l}+g_{k}, 0\right](q \mid 1)^{p}, \quad\left(1 \leq h, k \leq p^{r}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

This is nothing but the system of equations (8). Since by virtue of Lemma 4 the ( $p^{r}, p^{r}$ )-matrix $\left(\vartheta\left[g_{i}+g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid 1)\right)$ is non-singular, the $\left(p^{2 r}, p^{2 r}\right)$-matrix of which $((i, j),(h, k))$-element is $\vartheta\left[g_{i}+g_{h}, 0\right](q \mid 1) \vartheta\left[g_{j}+g_{k}, 0\right](q \mid 1)$ is also nonsingular, because the ( $p^{2 r}, p^{2 r}$ )-matrix is the tensor product

$$
\left(\vartheta\left[g_{i}+g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid 1)\right) \otimes\left(\vartheta\left[g_{h}+g_{k}, 0\right](q \mid 1)\right) .
$$

This shows that $\left\{c_{g_{j}, g_{l}}\right\}$ are the unique solutions ef (8). Since $F_{g_{1}}(u, v)$ $=F_{\mathrm{g}_{1}}(v, u)$, we get the formula (9).

As Corollaries of Theorem 1 we shall show some theta relations.
Putting $v=1$ in (7), we get
Corollary 1.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1)^{2} \vartheta\left[\mathrm{~g}_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right](q \mid 1)^{p-2} \vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u) \\
\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u) \vartheta\left[g_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p-2} \\
=\sum_{j, l=1}^{n^{r}} c_{g_{j}-g_{i}^{\prime}, g_{l}-g_{i}^{r} \vartheta} \vartheta\left[g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid 1)^{p} \vartheta\left[g_{l}, 0\right](q \mid \boldsymbol{u})^{p}, \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq p^{r}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1)^{p} \vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)^{p} \\
&=\sum_{j, l=1}^{v^{n}} c_{\mathfrak{g}_{j}, g_{l}} \vartheta\left[g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid 1)^{p} \vartheta\left[g_{l}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $v=u^{-1}$ in (7), we have

## Corollary 2.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1)^{2} \vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid 1) \vartheta[0,0]\left(q \mid u^{2}\right)  \tag{12}\\
& \vartheta\left[g_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p-2} \vartheta\left[-g_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p-2} \\
& =\sum_{j, l=1}^{p^{r}} c_{g_{j}-g_{i}^{1 / 2}}, g_{l}-g_{i}^{1 /} \vartheta\left[g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p} \vartheta\left[-g_{l}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

Making the product (12) for $g_{i}$ and (12) for $g_{1}$, we have

## Corollary 3.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vartheta\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid 1) \vartheta\left[g_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p-2} \vartheta\left[-g_{i}^{1 / 2}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p-2}  \tag{13}\\
& \sum_{j, l=1}^{p^{n}} c_{g_{j}, g_{l}} \vartheta\left[g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p} \vartheta\left[-g_{l}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p} \\
&= \vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1) \vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)^{2(p-2)} \\
& \sum_{j, l}^{p^{r}} c_{1} c_{g_{j}-g_{i}^{\prime \prime}, g_{l}-g_{i}^{1 / 2}} \vartheta\left[g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p} \vartheta\left[-g_{l}, 0\right](q \mid u)^{p},
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left(1 \leq i \leq p^{r}\right)
$$

1.4. Let us now translate (7), ..., (13) in the relations of the abelian functions $\left\{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{g_{i}}(u)\right\}$.

Theorem 2. If $p \geq 3$, we have the following addition formulae:
where the coefficient $\left\{c_{g_{j}, g 7}\right\}$ are the unique solution of the system of linear equations:

$$
\text { (16) } \begin{array}{r}
\sum_{h, k=1}^{p^{r}} \Lambda_{g_{h}, g_{k}} \Phi_{g_{j}+g_{h}}(1) \oplus_{g_{l}+g_{k}}(1)=\Phi_{g_{j}+g_{l}}(1)^{p-1} \Phi_{g_{j}-g_{l}}(1)^{p-1} \cdot \oplus_{g_{j}}(1)^{p-2 / p} \cdot \oplus_{g_{l}}(1)^{p-2 / p}, \\
\left(1 \leq j, l \leq p^{r}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Since $\vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1) \neq 0$ and $\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u) \neq 0$, we may divive (7) for $g_{i}$ by (7) for $g_{1}$. Making the $p$-th powers of both sides of the quotient of (7) for $g_{i}$ by (7) for $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$, we have (14). Dividing (8) by $\vartheta[0,0](q \mid 1)^{2 p}$, we get (16). (15) is a consequence from (4).

The formulae (14) and (16) are a system of explicit expression of the normal law of composition on the locus of $\left(\vartheta_{p}\left[g_{1}, 0\right](q \mid u), \ldots, \vartheta_{p}\left[g_{p r}, 0\right](q \mid u)\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{g_{i}}(u v)=\frac{\left(\sum_{j, l=1}^{p^{r}} c_{g_{j}-g_{i}^{\prime 2}}, g_{l}-g_{i}^{\prime} \Phi_{g_{j}}(u) \Phi_{g_{l}}(v)\right)^{p}}{\Phi_{g_{i}^{\prime 2}}(u)^{p-2} \Phi_{g_{i}^{\prime /}}(v)^{p-2}\left(\sum_{j, l=1}^{v^{r}} c_{g_{j}, g_{l}} \Phi_{g_{j}}(u) \Phi_{\mathrm{g}_{l}}(v)\right)^{p}},  \tag{14}\\
& \varpi_{\mathrm{g}_{2}}\left(u^{-1}\right)=\Phi_{-\mathrm{g}_{i}}(u), \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq p^{r}\right), \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

over $\Omega$.
Putting $v=u^{-1}$ in (14), we get

## Corollary 1.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{g_{i}}(1)\left(\sum_{j, l=1}^{v^{r}} c_{g_{j}, g_{l}} \varpi_{g_{j}}(u) \Phi_{-g_{l}}(u)\right)^{p} \Phi_{g_{i}^{\prime \prime}}(u)^{p-2} \Phi_{-g_{i}^{\prime \prime}}(u)^{p-2}  \tag{17}\\
& =\left(\sum_{j, l=1}^{p^{r}} c_{g_{j}-g_{i}^{\prime \prime}} \cdot g_{l}-g_{i}^{\prime \prime} \Phi_{g_{j}}(u) \Phi_{-g_{l}}(u)\right)^{p}, \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq p^{r}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $v=1$ in (31), we have

## Corollary 2.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{g_{i}}(u) \Phi_{g_{i}^{\prime 2}}(u)^{p-2} \Phi_{9_{i}^{\prime \prime}}(1)^{p-2}\left(\sum_{j, l=1}^{p^{r}} c_{\mathfrak{g}_{j}}, \mathfrak{g}_{l} \Phi_{\mathrm{g}_{j}}(1) \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{l}}(u)\right)^{p} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

(17) is the system of relations of $\left\{\Phi_{g_{i}}(u), \Phi_{g_{j}}(1)\right\}$ which is the explicit expression of the axiom : $x+(-x)=0$, and (18) is the system of relations of $\left\{\Phi_{\mathrm{g}_{i}}(u), \Phi_{\mathrm{g}_{j}}(1)\right\}$ which is the explicite expression of the axiom : $x+0=0$.

We shall now seek relations of $\left\{\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{i}}(u), \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{j}}(1)\right\}$ other than (17) and (18).

## Corollary 3.

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{j}}(1)^{p-2} \varpi_{\mathfrak{g}_{j}}(u)\left(\sum_{h, k=1}^{p^{r}} c_{\left.\mathfrak{g}_{h}-\mathfrak{g}_{i}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{g}_{k}-g_{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}_{h}}(u) \varpi_{\mathfrak{g}_{k}+\mathfrak{g}_{j}}(1)\right)^{p} .} .\right. \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left(1 \leq i, j \leq p^{r}\right)
$$

Proof. Putting $v=q\left(\mathcal{g}_{j}\right)$ in (7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{g_{j}}\left(u q\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}\right)\right) \Phi_{\mathrm{g}_{i}^{\prime \prime}}(u)^{p-2} \Phi_{g_{i}^{1 / 2}}\left(\boldsymbol{q}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}\right)\right)^{p-2}\left(\sum_{h, k=1}^{p^{r}} c_{g_{h}, \mathfrak{g}_{k}} \Phi_{\mathrm{g}_{h}}(u) \Phi_{\mathrm{g}_{k}}\left(\boldsymbol{q}\left(g_{j}\right)\right)\right)^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (22) in Lemma 2, we have $\mathscr{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}_{i}}\left(u q\left(g_{j}\right)\right)$ $=\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{i}+\mathfrak{B}_{j}}(\boldsymbol{u}) / \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{j}}(\boldsymbol{u})$. Hence it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varpi_{g_{i}+\mathrm{g}_{j}}(u) \varpi_{\mathrm{g}_{i}^{\prime \prime}}(u)^{p-2} \varpi_{g_{i}^{\prime / 2}+g_{j}}(1)^{p-2}\left(\sum_{h, k=1}^{p^{r}} c_{\mathrm{g}_{h}, \mathrm{~g}_{k}} \varpi_{\mathrm{g}_{h}}(u) \Phi_{\mathrm{g}_{k}+\mathrm{g}_{j}}(1)\right)^{p} \\
& =\varpi_{\mathrm{g}_{j}}(u) \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{j}}(1) \cdot\left(\sum_{h, k=1}^{p^{r}} c_{\mathfrak{g}_{h}-\mathrm{g}_{i}^{\prime 2}}, \mathfrak{g}_{k}-\mathrm{g}_{i}^{\prime \prime} \Phi_{\mathrm{g}_{h}}(u) \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{k}+\mathrm{g}_{j}}(1)\right)^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Corollary 3.

## § 2. Invariant derivations and invariant differentials

2.1. We shall denote by $\mathrm{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{e}_{r}$ the unit vectors and denote by $x_{i}(\mathrm{~m})$ the $i$-th coordinate madulo $p$ of $m$ in $\mathfrak{M}_{\rho}$, i.e. $m \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}(m) e_{i} \bmod p .{ }^{3)} \quad$ Let us denote by $D_{i}^{\prime}$ the $\Omega$-linear mapping of the field $\Omega(u)=\Omega(\{u(\mathfrak{m}) \mid \mathrm{m} \in \mathfrak{M}\})$ into itself such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{i}^{\prime}(u(\mathrm{~m}))=x_{i}(\mathfrak{m}) u(\mathfrak{m}), \quad(\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}),  \tag{20}\\
D_{i}^{\prime}(\xi)=0, \quad(\xi \in \Omega) . \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 1. $D_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, D_{r}^{\prime}$ are derivations of $\Omega(u) / \Omega$.
Proof. From the definition of $D_{i}^{\prime}$, it follows for any $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{M}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{i}^{\prime}(u(\mathfrak{m}) u(\mathfrak{n})) & =D_{i}^{\prime}(u(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}))=x_{i}(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}) u(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n})=\left(x_{i}(\mathfrak{m})+x_{i}(\mathfrak{n})\right) u(\mathfrak{m}) u(\mathfrak{n}) \\
& =x_{i}(\mathfrak{m}) u(\mathfrak{m}) u(\mathfrak{n})+u(\mathfrak{m}) x_{i}(\mathfrak{n}) u(\mathfrak{n})=D_{i}^{\prime}(u(\mathfrak{m})) u(\mathfrak{n})+u(\mathfrak{m}) D_{i}^{\prime}(u(\mathfrak{n})) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## This proves Lemma 1.

The derivations $D_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, D_{r}^{\prime}$ are naturally extended to the derivations of the field $\Omega(u)\left(\vartheta\left[g_{1}, 0\right](q \mid u), \ldots, \vartheta_{p}\left[g_{p} r, 0\right](q \mid u)\right)$ such that $D_{i}^{\prime}\left(\vartheta_{p}\left[g_{j}, 0\right]\right)(\boldsymbol{q} \mid u)$ $=x_{i}\left(p q_{j}\right) \vartheta_{p}\left[q_{j}, 0\right](q \mid u),\left(1 \leq i \leq r ; 1 \leq j \leq p^{r}\right)$. We denote by the same notation the extended derivation.

Lemma 2. $\quad\left(u\left(e_{i}\right)^{-1} d u\left(e_{i}\right)\right)\left(D_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\delta_{i j}, \quad(1 \leq i, j \leq r)$.
Proof. From the definition of the differential $d u\left(e_{i}\right)$ follows

$$
\left(u\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}\right)^{-1} d u\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}\right)\right)\left(D_{j}^{\prime}\right)=u\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}\right)^{-1} D_{j}^{\prime}\left(u\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}\right)\right)=x_{j}\left(\mathrm{e}_{i}\right)=\delta_{i j} .
$$

Let us operate $D_{i}^{\prime}$ on the theta's $\left\{\vartheta_{\phi}\left[g_{i}, 0\right](q \mid u)\right\}$.
Lemma 3. $D_{i}^{\prime}\left(\vartheta_{p}\left[g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid u)\right)=x_{i}\left(p g_{j}\right) \vartheta_{p}\left[g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid u)$,

$$
\left(1 \leq i \leq r ; 1 \leq j \leq p^{r}\right) .
$$

Proof. Since $\vartheta_{p}\left[g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid u)=\sum_{m \in M_{i}} q\left(p\left(m+g_{j}\right), m+g_{j}\right) u\left(p m+p g_{j}\right)$ and $x_{i}(p \mathrm{~m})=0$ for m in $\mathfrak{M}$, it follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{i}^{\prime}\left(\vartheta_{p}\left[g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid u)=\sum_{m \in \mathfrak{m}} x_{i}\left(p m+p g_{j}\right) q\left(p\left(m+g_{j}\right), m+g_{i}\right) u\left(p m+p g_{j}\right)\right. \\
=x_{i}\left(p g_{j}\right) \vartheta_{p}\left[g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid u) .
\end{gathered}
$$

3) We consider $x_{i}(111)(i=1,2, \ldots, r)$ as elements of the Galois Feld $G F(p)$.

Lemma 4. $\quad D_{i}^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{j}}(u)\right)=x_{i}\left(p g_{j}\right) \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{j}}(u), \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq r ; 1 \leq j \leq p^{r}\right)$.
Proof. From Lemma 3 follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{i}^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{g}_{i}}(u)\right)= & \vartheta_{p}[0,0]^{-2}(q \mid u)\left(D _ { i } ^ { \prime } \left(\vartheta_{p}\left[g_{j}, 0\right](q \mid u) \vartheta_{p}[0,0](q \mid u)\right.\right. \\
& -\vartheta_{p}\left[g_{j}, 0\right](\boldsymbol{q} \mid u) D_{i}^{\prime}\left(\vartheta_{p}[0,0](q \mid u)\right) \\
= & \vartheta_{p}[0,0](\boldsymbol{q} \mid \boldsymbol{u})^{-2} x_{i}\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{j}\right) \vartheta_{p}\left[g_{j}, 0\right](\boldsymbol{q} \mid u) \vartheta_{p}[0,0](q \mid u) \\
= & x_{i}\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{j}\right) \Phi_{\mathrm{g}_{j}}(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall now express $u\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}\right)^{-1} d u\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}\right)$ by means of $\mathscr{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

## Lemma 5.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi_{\mathrm{g}_{j}}(u)^{-1} d \Phi_{\mathrm{g}_{j}}(u)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p \mathfrak{g}_{j}\right) u\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}\right)^{-1} d u\left(\mathrm{e}_{i}\right)  \tag{22}\\
\Phi_{p^{-1} \mathrm{c}_{i}}(u)^{-1} d \Phi_{p^{-1} \mathrm{e}_{i}}(u)=u\left(\mathrm{e}_{i}\right)^{-1} d u\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}\right), \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq r ; 1 \leq j \leq p^{r}\right) \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 2 and 4 it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{g}_{j}}(u)^{-1} d \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{j}}(u)\right)^{-1}\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)=x_{i}\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{j}\right)\left(\left(u\left(\mathrm{e}_{i}\right)^{-1} d\left(u\left(\mathrm{e}_{i}\right)\right)\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right.\right. \\
&\left(1 \leq i \leq r ; 1 二 j \leq p^{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(D_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, D_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ is the $\Omega(u)$-base of all the derivations of $\Omega(u) / \Omega$, we have $\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{j}}(u)^{-1} d \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}_{i}}(u)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{j}\right) u\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}\right)^{-1} d u\left(\mathrm{e}_{i}\right)$.

Corollary.

$$
\Phi_{g_{,}}(u)^{-1} d \Phi_{g_{j}}(u)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p g_{j}\right) \Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{i}}(u)^{-1} d \Phi_{p^{-1} \stackrel{c}{c}}(u), \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq r ; 1 \leq j \leq p^{r}\right)
$$

2.2. By virtue the first part of the proof of Theorem 3 in $\S 3(\mathrm{I})$ the field $K_{q}$ of abelian functions is separably algebraic over $\Omega\left(\Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{1}}, \ldots, \Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_{r}}\right)$, and thus any derivation of $\Omega\left(\Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{1}}, \ldots, \Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{r}}\right)$ is uniquely extended to a derivation of $K_{q}$.

By virtue of Lemma $4 D_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, D_{r}^{\prime} \operatorname{map} \Omega\left(\Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{\varepsilon}_{1}}, \ldots, \Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{r}}\right)$ into itself, and thus the restrictions $D_{1}^{*}, \ldots, D_{r}^{*}$ of $D_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, D_{r}^{\prime}$ on $\Omega(\mathscr{D})_{p^{-1} c_{1}}, \ldots$, $\left.\Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_{r}}\right)$ are derivations of $\Omega\left(\Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{1}}, \ldots, \Phi_{p^{-1} \mathrm{e}_{r}}\right)$. We denote by $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}$ the extensions of $D_{1}^{*}, \ldots, D_{r}^{*}$ to $K_{q}$, respectively. Since $D_{i}\left(\Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_{i}}\right)$ $=\delta_{i j} \Phi_{p^{-1} \mathrm{c}_{j}}(u),(1 \leq i \leq r),\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}\right\}$ is a $K_{q}$-base of derivations of $K_{q} / \Omega$.

For any multiplicative function $\%$ on $\mathfrak{M}_{Q}{ }^{4)}$ we denote by $T_{\chi}$ the mapping

[^2]of $K_{q}$ defined by
$$
\left(T_{x} f\right)(u)=f\left(u \chi^{-1}\right), \quad\left(f \in K_{q}\right)
$$
$T_{\times}$is extended to $K_{q}(\boldsymbol{u})$ such that $T_{\chi} u(\mathfrak{m})=u(\mathfrak{m}) \chi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$.
If a derivation $D$ of $K_{q} / \Omega$ satisfies
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{x-1} \circ D \circ T_{x}=D \quad(\text { for every } \chi) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

we call $D$ an invariant derivation of $K_{q} / \Omega$. If a differential $\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_{i}(u) d g_{i}(u)$ of $K_{q} / \Omega$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_{i}(u) d g_{i}(u)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_{i}\left(u \chi^{-1}\right) d g_{i}\left(u \chi^{-1}\right) \quad \text { (for every } \chi\right) \tag{25'}
\end{equation*}
$$

we call $\omega$ an invariant differential (of degree one).
Theorem 3. $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}\right\}$ is a base of invariant derivations of $K_{q} / \Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i}\left(\Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_{j}}\right)=\delta_{i j} \varpi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_{j}}, \quad(1 \leq i, j \leq r) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The last assertion is nothing but Lemma 4. We shall show that $D_{i}$ is invariant. For any $\chi$ it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{x^{-1}} \circ D_{i}^{\prime} \circ T_{x}\right)(u(\mathrm{~m})) & =T_{x^{-1}} \circ D_{i}^{\prime}\left(\chi(\mathrm{m})^{-1} u(\mathrm{~m})\right) \\
& =T_{x^{-1}} \chi(\mathrm{~m})^{-1} \chi_{i}(\mathrm{~m}) u(\mathrm{~m}) \\
& =x_{i}(\mathrm{~m}) u(\mathrm{~m})=D_{i}^{\prime}(u(\mathrm{~m})) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have $\left(T_{x-1} \circ D_{i}^{\prime} \circ T_{x}\right)(f)=D_{i}(f)$ for every $f \in K_{q}$, and thus $D_{i}$ is an invariant derivation of $K_{q} / \Omega$. Conversely assume $D=\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i}(u) D_{i}$ is an invariant derivation of $K_{q} / \Omega$. Then it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\chi-1} \circ D_{i} \circ T_{\mathrm{x}}=T_{\chi-1} \circ \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i}(u) D_{i} \circ T_{\mathrm{X}} \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=1}^{r} h(u \chi)\left(T_{x-1} \circ D_{i} \circ T\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i}(u \chi) D_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}\right\}$ is a $K_{q}$-base of all the derivations of $K_{q} / \Omega$, we have $h_{i}(u \chi)=h_{i}(u)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ for any $\chi$. This shows that $\left\{h_{i}(u)\right\}$ are constants $\left\{c_{i}\right\}$ in $\Omega$, and thus we conclude that $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}\right\}$ is a base of invariant derivations of $K_{q} / \Omega$.

Let us now translate Theorem 3 in the language of invariant differentials.
Theorem 4. $\left\{\Phi_{p^{-1} \mathrm{c}_{1}}^{-1} d \Phi_{p-\mathrm{c}_{1}}, \ldots, \Phi_{p^{-1} \mathrm{e}_{r}}^{-1} d \Phi_{p^{-1 \mathrm{e}_{r}}}\right\}$ is a base of invariant differ-
entials of $K_{q} / \Omega$.
As a consequence of Theorem 3 we can characterize invariant derivations as follows:

Theorem 5. A derivation $D$ of $K_{q} / \Omega$ is an invariant derivation if and only if $D\left(\Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{i}}\right)=c_{i} \Phi_{p^{-1} c_{i}},(1 \leq i \leq \boldsymbol{r})$, with constants $\left\{c_{i}\right\}$ in $\Omega$.

Proof. Assume $D\left(\Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_{j}}\right)=c_{i} \Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{i}} \quad$ with $\quad c_{i} \in \Omega, \quad(1 \leq i \leq r)$. Then $\left(D-\sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{i} D_{i}\right)\left(\mathscr{\Phi}_{p^{-1 \mathrm{e}_{j}}}\right)=0,(1 \leq j \leq r) . \quad$ Since $K_{q} / \Omega\left(\mathscr{\Phi}_{p^{-1} \mathrm{e}_{1}}, \ldots, \Phi_{p^{-1} \mathrm{e}_{r}}\right)$ is separably algebraic, we have $D=\sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{i} D_{i}$. Conversely if $D$ is an invariant derivation of $K_{q} / \Omega$. Then by virtue of Theorm 3 we have $D=\sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{i} D_{i}$ with $c_{i}$ in $\Omega$, and thus $D\left(\mathscr{D}_{p^{-1} e_{i}}\right)=c_{i},(1 \leq i \leq r)$.

## § 3. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$-functions of characteristic $\boldsymbol{p}>\mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}$-Kummer generators

3.1. Let $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}\right\}$ be the canonical base of invariant derivation of $K_{q} / \Omega$ in $\S 2$ such that $D_{i}\left(\Phi_{p^{-\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{e}_{i}}(q \mid u)\right)=\delta_{i j} \Phi_{p^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\prime}}(q \mid u),(1 \leq i, j \leq r)$, where we indicate $q$ in $\mathscr{D}_{p^{-1} \varepsilon_{i}}(q \mid u)$ explicitely instead of $\mathscr{D}_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{i}}(u)$ in $\S 2$. We shall denote by $D_{\mathfrak{g} l}$ the invariant derivation defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathfrak{S}_{l}}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p_{\mathfrak{g}_{l}}\right) D_{i}, \quad\left(1 \leq 1 \leq p^{r}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, D_{r}^{\prime}$ be the derivations in $\S 2$ such that $D_{i}^{\prime}(u(\mathrm{~m}))=x_{i}(\mathrm{~m}) u(\mathrm{~m})$ for $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}$, and $D_{g_{l}}^{\prime}$ be the derivation $\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right) D_{i}^{\prime}$. We shall denote by $q^{p}$ the positive symmetric bimultiplicative function on $\mathfrak{M}_{Q}$ defined by $q^{p}(m, n)$ $=q(p \mathrm{~m}, \mathfrak{n}),\left(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{M}_{Q}\right)$. We shall denote by $D_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)$ the $D_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\prime}$-derivative of $\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)$. Following Bolza, ${ }^{3)}$ we shall define $\gamma$-functions as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{\rho}_{g_{i} \mathfrak{g}_{j}}(q \mid u)=-D_{\mathfrak{g}_{i}}^{\prime}\binom{D_{g_{j}}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\frac{D_{g_{i}}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(\boldsymbol{q} \mid u) D_{g_{i}}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)-D_{g_{i}}^{\prime} D_{g_{j}}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u) \vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](\boldsymbol{q} \mid u)^{2}},
$$

$$
\left(1 \leq i, j \leq p^{r}\right)
$$

We shall first show that $\mathcal{r}_{g_{n}, g_{2}}(q \mid u)$ is an abelian function in $K_{q}$.
Lemma 1. $\quad D_{g_{i}}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(\boldsymbol{q} \mid u) D_{g_{j}}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(\boldsymbol{q} \mid u)$

$$
-D_{\mathfrak{g}_{i}}^{\prime} D_{\mathfrak{g}_{2}}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u) \vartheta[0,0](q \mid u), \quad\left(1 \leq i, j \leq p^{r}\right)
$$

are theta functions of type $(2,1)$.
Proof. We denote briefly by $\left\{\phi_{g_{i}, g_{j}}(u)\right\}$ the quantities in Lemma 1. Since
 are theta functions of type (2,1). Since $D_{i}^{\prime}(u(\mathfrak{r}))=x_{i}(\mathfrak{m}) u(m),(m \in \mathfrak{M})$, for every $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{M}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{i}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid q(n) u)= & \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M},} x_{i}(\mathfrak{m}) q(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}) q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m})^{2} u(\mathfrak{m}) \\
= & q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n})^{-1} u(\mathfrak{n})^{-1}\left\{\sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}} x_{i}(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}) q(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}) u(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n})\right. \\
& \left.-x_{i}(\mathfrak{n}) \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M} \boldsymbol{m}} q(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}) u(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n})\right\} \\
= & q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n})^{-1} u(\mathfrak{n})^{-1}\left\{D_{i}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)-x_{i}(\mathfrak{n}) \vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{l}^{\prime} D_{j}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0]) & (q \mid q(\mathfrak{n}) u)=\sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}} x_{i}(\mathfrak{m}) x_{j}(\mathfrak{m}) q(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}) \boldsymbol{q}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m})^{2} u(\mathfrak{m}) \\
= & q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n})^{-1} u(\mathfrak{n})^{-1}\left\{\sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}} x_{i}(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}) x_{j}(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n})\right\} \boldsymbol{q}(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}) u(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}) \\
& -x_{i}(\mathfrak{n}) \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}} x_{j}(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}) q(\mathfrak{n}+\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}) u(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}) \\
& -x_{j}(\mathfrak{n}) \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}} x_{i}(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}) \boldsymbol{q}(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}) u(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}) \\
& \left.+x_{i}(\mathfrak{n}) x_{j}(\mathfrak{n}) \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in M} q(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n}) u(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{n})\right\} \\
= & q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n})^{-1} u(\mathfrak{n})^{-1}\left\{D_{i}^{\prime} D_{j}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(\boldsymbol{q} \mid u)-x_{i}(\mathfrak{n}) D_{j}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(\boldsymbol{q} \mid u)\right. \\
& \left.-x_{j}(\mathfrak{n}) D_{i}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)+x_{i}(\mathfrak{n}) x_{j}(\mathfrak{n}) \vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that for every $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{M}$

$$
\phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{i}, p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{j}}(q \mid q(\mathfrak{n}) u)=q(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n})^{-2} u(\mathfrak{n})^{-2} \phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{i}}, p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{j}(q \mid u), \quad(1 \leq i, j \leq r) . . ~ . ~}^{(1)}
$$

Hence we have proved Lemma 1.
Dividing $\phi_{\mathfrak{I}_{i}, \mathfrak{g}_{j}}(q \mid u)$ by $\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)^{2}$, we have
Proposition 1. $\mathcal{P}_{g_{e}, g_{j}}(q \mid u),\left(1 \leq i, j \leq p^{r}\right)$, are abelian functions in $K_{q}$ such that $\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)^{2} \mathcal{P}_{g_{i}, g_{2}}(q \mid u)$ are theta functions of type $(2,1)$.

We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}_{i} \ldots \mathfrak{g}_{i s}}(q \mid u)$ the higher derivatives $D_{\mathfrak{g}_{i}} \cdots D_{g_{i_{s-2}}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{g_{i s-1}}, g_{i s}\right)$, $\left(1 \leq i_{i}, \ldots, i_{s} \leq p^{r}\right)$. Since $D_{\mathfrak{g}_{i}}^{\prime}(f)=D_{\mathfrak{g}_{i}}(f)$ for every $f$ in $\Omega\left(\Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_{1}}, \ldots, \Phi_{p^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{r}}\right)$, $\wp_{\mathfrak{g}_{i} \ldots g_{s}}(q \mid u)$ is independent of the order of the indecis $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}$.

Corollary. $\mathcal{P}_{g_{i} \ldots g_{s}}(q \mid u),\left(1 \leq i_{i}, \ldots, i_{s} \leq p^{r} ; s \geq 2\right)$, are abelian functions in $K_{q}$ such that $\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)^{s} \gamma_{g_{i_{1}} \ldots g_{i_{s}}}(q \mid u)$ are theta functions of type $(s, 1)$.

Proof. Since $\gamma_{g_{i s-1}} g_{i s}$ is an abelian function in $K_{q}$ such that $\phi g_{g_{s-1}} g_{g_{s}}(u)$ $=\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)^{2} \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g}_{s-1} \mathfrak{S}_{i s}}(q \mid u)$ is a theta function of type $(2,1)$, we see that
$\gamma_{g_{i}, \ldots, g_{i_{s}}}$ is an abelian function in $K_{q}$ and $\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)^{s} \gamma_{g_{i_{1}} \ldots g_{i_{s}}}(q \mid u)=\vartheta[0$, $0](q \mid u)^{s}\left(D_{g_{i_{1}}} D_{g_{t_{2}}} \cdots D_{g_{i_{s-2}}}\right)\left(\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)^{-2} \phi_{\mathrm{g}_{i_{s-1}} \mathrm{~g}_{i_{s}}}(u)\right)$ is expressed as a series $\sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{R}}} c_{\mathfrak{m}} u(\mathrm{~m})$. Hence $\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)^{s} \mathcal{P}_{g_{1} \ldots g_{i_{s}}}(q \mid u)$ is a theta function of type $(s, 1)$. This complete the proof of Corollary.
3.2. We shall now prove the following simple formula on a derivation of a field of characteristic $p$, and shall apply it to the invariant derivations of $K_{q}$ and the theta function $\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)$.

Lemma 2. If $D$ is a derivation of a field of characteristic $p$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{p-1}\left(\frac{D(y)}{y}\right)=\frac{D^{p}(y)}{y}-\frac{D(y)^{p}}{y^{p}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

## for every non-zero $y$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove for $y$ such that $y, D(y), \ldots, D^{p}(y)$ are independent over the prime field. Since $y^{p}\left(D^{p-1}\left(y^{-1} D(y)\right)\right.$ is a polynomial in $y, D(y), \ldots, D^{p}(y)$, we may put

$$
D^{p-1}\left(\frac{D(y)}{y}\right)=\frac{D^{p}(y)}{y}+\frac{\sum_{i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{p}} c_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}} D^{i_{1}}(y) \cdots D^{i_{p}}(y)}{y^{p}}
$$

where the summation $\sum_{i_{1} \leq \cdots=i_{p}}$ runs over $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p} \mid 0 \leq i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{p}, \sum_{i=1}^{p} i_{l}=p\right\}$. Since $D^{p}$ is also a derivation, operating $D$ on $D^{p-1}\left(y^{-1} D(y)\right)$ and $y^{-1} D^{p}(y)$, we have

$$
D\left(D^{p-1}\left(\frac{D(y)}{y}\right)\right)=D^{p}\left(\frac{D(y)}{y}\right)=\frac{D^{p+1}(y) y-D(y) D^{p}(y)}{y^{2}}
$$

and

$$
D\left(\frac{D^{p}(y)}{y}\right)=\frac{D^{p+1}(y)-D^{p}(y) D(y)}{y^{2}}
$$

This shows that

$$
D\left(\sum_{i_{1}=\ldots=i_{\nu}} c_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}} D^{i_{1}}(y) \cdots D^{i_{\nu}}(y)\right)=0 .
$$

Let us introduce the lexical order in the set $\left\{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}\right) \mid 0 \leq i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{p} \leq p\right.$; $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{p} i_{l}=p\right\}$. Let $D^{j_{1}}(y) \cdots D^{j_{p}}(y)$ be the first term in the lexical order such that $c_{j_{1}} \cdots j_{p} \neq 0$. Then $D^{j_{1}}(y) \cdots D^{j_{p-1}}(y) D^{j^{\nu+1}}(y)$ is the first term to appear in $D\left(\sum_{i_{1} \leqslant \ldots=i_{l}} c_{i_{1} \ldots i_{v}} D^{i_{1}}(y) \cdots D^{i_{p}}(y)\right)$. Since $D\left(\sum_{i_{1}=\ldots=i_{2}} c_{i l \ldots i_{p}} D^{i_{1}}(y) \cdots D^{i_{p}}(y)\right)=0$,
the multiplicity of $D^{j_{p}}(y)$ in $D^{j_{1}}(y) \cdots D^{j_{\nu}}(y)$ must be divided by $p$. On the othe hand $\sum_{l=1}^{p} j_{l}=p$, hence $D^{j_{1}}(y) \cdots D^{j_{p}}(y)=D(y)^{p}$. Since $D(y)^{p}$ is the first term in $\sum_{i_{1} \leq \ldots=i_{i}} c_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}} D^{i_{1}}(y) \cdots D^{i_{p}}(y)$, other terms $D^{i_{1}}(y) \cdots D^{i_{p}}(y)$ satisfy $1 \leq i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{p} . \quad$ From $\sum_{i=1}^{p} i_{l}=p$ it follows $\sum_{i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{p}} c_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}} D^{i_{1}}(y) \cdots D^{i p}(y)$ $=c D^{p}(y)$ with a constant $c$. By the simple culculation we see $c=(-1)^{p-1}(p$ $-1)!$. Since $(p-1)!\equiv-1 \bmod p$, we have $c=-1$. This shows

$$
D^{p-1}\left(\frac{D(y)}{y}\right)=\frac{D^{p}(y) y^{p-1}-D(y)^{p}}{y^{p}}
$$

Lemma 3. If $D^{p}=D$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-D^{p-1}\left(\frac{D(y)}{y}\right)=\left(\frac{D(y)}{y}\right)^{p}-\frac{D(y)}{y} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an immediate consequence from Lemma 2.
3.3. Let us now apply the formula (29) to $\vartheta[0,0](\boldsymbol{q} \mid u)$ and $D_{g l}^{\prime},\left(1 \leq \boldsymbol{l} \leq \boldsymbol{p}^{r}\right)$.

Lemma 4. $D_{\mathfrak{g} l}^{p}=D_{\mathfrak{g} l}, D_{\mathfrak{g} l}^{\prime p}=D_{\mathfrak{g} l}^{\prime},\left(1 \leq l \leq p^{r}\right)$.
Proof. Since $D_{i}\left(\Phi_{p^{-1} e_{j}}(q \mid u)\right)=\delta_{i j} \varpi_{p^{-1} e_{j}}(q \mid u)$, we have $D_{i}^{p}\left(\Phi_{p^{-1} \varepsilon_{j}}(q \mid u)\right)$ $=\delta_{i j} \emptyset_{p^{-1} \mathrm{e}_{,}}(q \mid u)=D_{i}\left(\Phi_{p^{-1 \mathrm{e}_{j}}}(q \mid u)\right),\left(1 \leq i, j \leq p^{r}\right)$. This shows that the derivations $D_{i}^{p}-D_{i}$ vanish on $\Omega\left(\mathscr{\Phi}_{p-1} \mathfrak{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathscr{D}_{p-1 \mathfrak{c}_{r}}\right)$, and thus they vanish on $K_{q}$, Hence $D_{i}^{p}=D_{i}, \quad(1 \leq i \leq r)$. Therefore $\quad D_{g_{l}}^{p}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right) D_{i}\right)^{p}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right)^{p} D_{i}^{p}$ $=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{l}\right) D_{i}=D_{\mathrm{gl}}$. Since $D_{i}^{\prime}$ can be considered the extension of $D_{i}$ to the derivation of $K_{q}(u)$ such that $D_{i}^{\prime}(u(\mathfrak{m}))=x_{i}(\mathfrak{m}) \boldsymbol{u}(\mathfrak{m})$ for $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}$, by the same reason as $D_{i}$ we have $D_{i}^{\prime p}=D_{i}^{\prime},(1 \leq i \leq r)$, and thus $D_{\mathfrak{g}_{l}}^{\prime p}=D_{\mathfrak{g} l}^{\prime}$.

Let us now culculate $D_{g_{1}}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)$.
Lemma 5.

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{g_{l}}^{\prime v}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)=\sum_{h=1}^{p^{r}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right) x_{i}\left(p g_{h}\right)^{\nu}\right) \vartheta_{p}\left[g_{h}, 0\right]\left(q^{p} \mid u\right), \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\prime \prime(p-1)}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)=D_{\mathfrak{g} \ell}^{\prime \prime}(\vartheta[0,0]) & (q \mid u),  \tag{32}\\
& \left(1 \leq 1 \leq p^{r} ; \nu=0,1,2, \ldots\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. (32) is an immediate consequence from (31). Since $D_{i}\left(u\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right.$ $=x_{i}(\mathfrak{m}) \boldsymbol{u}(\mathfrak{m})$ for $\mathrm{m} \in \mathfrak{P}$ and $D_{\mathfrak{g}_{l}}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{l}\right) D_{i}^{\prime}$, it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathfrak{g} l}^{\prime \prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(\boldsymbol{q} \mid \boldsymbol{u}) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{l}\right) D_{i}^{\prime \nu}\left(\sum_{h=1}^{p^{r}} \sum_{\mathrm{m} \in \mathfrak{M} \mathcal{M}} q\left(p\left(\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{g}_{h}\right), p\left(\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{g}_{h}\right)\right) u\left(p\left(\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{g}_{h}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{h=1}^{p^{r}} \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right) x_{i}\left(p g_{h}\right)^{\nu} \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}} q\left(p\left(\mathfrak{m}+g_{h}\right), p\left(\mathfrak{m}+g_{h}\right)\right) u\left(p\left(\mathfrak{m}+g_{h}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{h=1}^{p^{r}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right) x_{i}\left(p g_{h}\right)^{\nu}\right) \vartheta_{p}\left[g_{h}, 0\right]\left(q^{t} \mid u\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6. $\quad\left\{D_{i}^{\prime \nu}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r ; 0 \leq \nu \leq p-1\right\}$ form a base of theta functions of type $(p, 1)$ with period $q^{p}$.

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 5 we see

$$
D_{i}^{\prime \nu}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)=\sum_{h=1}^{p^{r}} x_{i}\left(p g_{h}\right) \vartheta_{p}\left[g_{h}, 0\right]\left(q^{p} \mid u\right) .
$$

Since the ( $p^{r}, p^{\gamma}$ )-matrix $\left(x_{i}\left(p g_{h}\right)^{v}\right)$ of which ( $\left.(i, \nu), h\right)$-element is $x_{i}\left(p g_{h}\right)^{\nu}$ is non-singular, we see that $\left\{D_{i}^{\prime \nu}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)\right\}$ form a base of theta function of type ( $p, 1$ ) with period $q^{p}$.

Since $\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)=\sum_{h=1}^{p^{r}} \vartheta_{p}\left[\mathrm{~g}_{h}, 0\right]\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)$, putting $D=D_{9_{h}}$ and $y=\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)$ in (30), we have

## Theorem 6.

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{\underbrace{}}{g_{l} \ldots \mathfrak{g}_{l}}}_{p}(q \mid \boldsymbol{u})=X_{\mathrm{g}_{l}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)^{p}-X_{\mathfrak{g}_{l}}\left(q^{p} \mid \boldsymbol{u}\right), \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\mathrm{g}_{l}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)=\frac{\sum_{h=1}^{p^{r}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{l}\right) x_{i}\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{h}\right)\right) \vartheta_{p}\left[\mathrm{~g}_{h}, 0\right]\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)}{\sum_{h=1}^{p r} \vartheta_{p}\left[\mathrm{~g}_{h}, 0\right]\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left(1 \leq 1 \leq p^{r}\right) .
$$

## Corollary 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underbrace{\rho_{g_{l} \ldots g_{l}}(q \mid u)}_{p+\nu}=\underbrace{\gamma_{g_{l} \ldots g_{l}}}_{\nu+1}(q \mid u), \quad\left(1 \leq 1 \leq p^{r} ; \nu=1,2, \ldots\right) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underbrace{}_{\mathcal{g}_{2+1} \ldots \mathfrak{g}_{l}}(q \mid u)=\gamma_{g_{l} g_{l}}(q \mid u), \quad\left(1 \leq 1 \leq p^{r}\right) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{\rho}_{\mathcal{g}_{l} \ldots \mathfrak{g}_{l}}(q \mid u) & =D_{g_{l}}^{\prime}\left(\frac{D_{g_{l}}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)}-\left(\frac{D_{g_{l}}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)}\right)^{p}\right) \\
& =D_{g_{l}}^{\prime}\left(\frac{D_{g_{l}}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)}\right)=\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}_{l} g_{l}}^{\prime}(q \mid u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Corollary 2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{p}{\gamma_{g_{l} \ldots g_{l}}}(q \mid u)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right) \underbrace{p}_{p} \underbrace{}_{p-1 e_{i \ldots p-1 e_{i}}}(q \mid u) . \quad\left(l \leq 1 \leq p^{r}\right) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{\gamma_{g l} \ldots g_{l}}_{\nu}(q \mid u) & =\left(\frac{D_{g l}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)}\right)^{p}-\frac{D_{\mathrm{g} l}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)} \\
& =\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right) D_{i}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)}\right)^{p}-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right) D_{i}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right)^{p} \gamma_{p_{p-1}^{-1 c_{i} \ldots, p-1} \mathrm{e}_{l}}(q \mid u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right),(1 \leq i \leq r)$, belong to the prime-field $G F(p)$, we get (37).
We shall explain the meaning of the formula (33) in theory of separable unramified covering of the abelian variety $A_{q}$ with period ( $E, q$ ).

Theorem 7.

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\mathrm{g}_{l}}\left(q^{p} \mid q^{p}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}\right) u\right)=X_{\mathfrak{g}_{l}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{l}\right) x_{i}\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{h}\right), \quad\left(1 \leq 1, h \leq p^{r}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (34) it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{g_{l}}\left(q^{p} \mid q^{p}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}\right) u\right)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{k=1}^{p^{r}} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right) x_{i}\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{h}\right) \vartheta_{p}\left[g_{h}, 0\right]\left(q^{p} \mid q^{p}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}\right) u\right)}{\vartheta[0,0]\left(q \mid q^{b}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{h}\right) u\right)} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{k=1}^{v^{r}} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right) x_{i}\left(p g_{k}\right) \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}} q\left(p\left(\mathrm{~m}+\mathfrak{g}_{k}\right), p\left(\mathrm{~m}+g_{k}\right)\right) q\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{h}, p\left(\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{g}_{k}\right) \boldsymbol{u}\left(p\left(\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{g}_{k}\right)\right)\right.}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid \boldsymbol{u})} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{k=1}^{p^{r}} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right) x_{i}\left(p g_{k}\right) q\left(p g_{h}, p g_{h}\right)^{-1} u\left(p g_{h}\right)^{-1} \vartheta_{p}\left[g_{k}+g_{h}, 0\right]\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)}{q\left(p g_{h}, p g_{h}\right)^{-1} u\left(p g_{h}\right)^{-1} \vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{k=1}^{v^{r}} x_{i}\left(p g_{i}\right) x_{i}\left(p g_{k}-p g_{h}\right) \vartheta_{p}\left[g_{k}, 0\right]\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{k=1}^{p^{r}} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right) x_{i}\left(p g_{k}\right) \vartheta_{p}\left[g_{k}, 0\right]\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right) x_{i}\left(p \mathrm{~g}_{h}\right)\right) \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{p^{r}} \vartheta_{p}\left[g_{k}, 0\right]\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)} \\
& =X_{g_{l}}\left(q^{p} \mid q\left(g_{h}\right) u\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right) x_{i}\left(p g_{h}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote by $K_{q^{p}}$ the field of abelian functions with coefficients in $\Omega$ and with period $q^{p}$, and denote by $A_{q^{p}}$ the abelian variety with period $q_{p}$. Then from Theorem 6 and 7 it follows

Theorem 8. $\quad K_{q} p / K_{q}$ is a separable abelian extension of type $(\overbrace{p, \ldots, p}^{r})$ generated by the quantities $X_{p^{-1} e_{1}}\left(q_{p} \mid u\right), \ldots, X_{p^{-1} \mathrm{e}_{r}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{p^{-1} e_{i}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)^{p}-X_{p^{-1} e_{i}}(q \mid u)=\gamma_{p-1 e_{i} \ldots, \ldots, p^{-1} e_{i}}(q \mid u), \\
& X_{p-1 e_{i}}\left(q^{p} \mid q^{p}\left(g_{l}\right) u\right)=X_{p^{-1} e_{i}}\left(q^{q} \mid u\right)-x_{i}\left(p g_{l}\right), \\
& \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq r ; 1 \leq l \leq p^{r}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{X_{p^{-1} \mathrm{e}_{i}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)\right\}$ be the quantities in Theorem 6. It is sufficient to prove $K_{q^{\nu}}=K_{q}\left(X_{p^{-1} \mathrm{e}_{1}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right), \ldots, \quad X_{p^{-1} \mathrm{e}_{r}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)\right)$. Since $X_{p^{-1} e_{i}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)$ $=D_{i}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u) \vartheta[0,0](q \mid \dot{u})^{-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{D_{i}^{\prime 2}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)} & =D_{i}^{\prime}\left(\frac{D_{i}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)}\right)+\left(\frac{D_{i}^{\prime}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u)}{\vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)}\right)^{2} \\
& =D_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{p^{-1} e_{i}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)\right)+X_{p^{-1} e_{i}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)^{2} \\
& =-D_{i}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{p-1 e_{i}, \ldots, p^{-1} e_{i}}(q \mid u)\right)+X_{p^{-1} e_{i}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $D_{i}^{\prime 2}(\vartheta[0,0])(q \mid u) \vartheta[0,0](q \mid u)^{-1}, \quad(1 \leq i \leq r)$, belong to $K_{q}\left(X_{p-1 \mathrm{e}_{1}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right), \ldots, X_{p-1 \mathrm{e}_{r}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)\right)$. Hence by virtue of Lemma 6 it follows that

$$
\Phi_{p-1 e_{i}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)=\vartheta_{p}\left[p^{-1} \mathrm{e}_{i}, 0\right]\left(q^{p} \mid u\right) / \vartheta_{p}[0,0]\left(q^{p} \mid u\right),(1 \leq i \leq \boldsymbol{r}),
$$

belong to $K_{q}\left(X_{p^{-1} \mathrm{e}_{1}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right), \ldots, X_{p^{-1} \mathrm{e}_{r}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)\right)$. By virtue of Theorem 3 in $\S 3$ (I) $K_{q^{v}}$ is separable over $\Omega\left(\mathscr{D}_{p^{-1 e_{1}}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right), \ldots, \mathscr{D}_{p^{-1}}{ }_{r}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)\right)$. Hence
 seperable. On the other hand the natural homomorphism $\lambda$ of $A_{q^{y}}$ onto $A_{q}$ induced by the identity map $\chi \rightarrow \chi$ of multiplicative functions on $\mathbb{P}_{Q}$ has the kernel $\lambda^{-1}(0)$ with $p^{r}$-elements corresponding to the multiplicative functions $q^{p}\left(g_{1}\right), \ldots, q^{p}\left(g_{p^{r}}\right)$. This means that the separable degree of $K_{q^{p}}$ over $K_{q}$ is
$p^{r}$, and thus $\left[K_{q^{p}}: K_{q}\right]=p^{r}$. By virtue of Theorem 7 it follows $\left[K_{q}\left(X_{p^{-1}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left.\ldots, X_{p^{-1}{ }_{r}}\right) q^{p} \mid u\right)\right): K_{q}\right] \geq p^{r}$. Hence we conclude $K_{q^{p}}=K_{q}\left(X_{p^{-1}{ }_{1}}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right), \ldots\right.$, $\left.X_{p^{-1}}{ }_{r}\left(q^{p} \mid u\right)\right)$.
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