

# A NOTE ON CONFORMAL MAPPINGS OF CERTAIN RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

MINORU KURITA

The contents of this note were reported at a meeting of the Japan Mathematical Society five years ago, but it was not printed. Prof. K. Yano advised me to do so and it was as follows.

1. We take  $n$ -dimensional compact orientable Riemannian manifolds  $V$  and  $\bar{V}$ , and denote their line elements by  $ds^2$  and  $d\bar{s}^2$  and their scalar curvatures by  $R$  and  $\bar{R}$  respectively (Signs of the curvatures are taken in such a way that they are positive for the spheres). We consider a conformal homeomorphism  $f$  from  $V$  to  $\bar{V}$  and put

$$f^*(d\bar{s}^2) = a^2 ds^2 \quad (a > 0),$$

where  $f^*$  means a mapping of differential forms dual to  $f$ . We take a neighborhood of any point of  $V$  and orthogonal frames on it. Then  $ds^2$  can be written as  $ds^2 = \sum_i \omega_i^2$  with 1-forms  $\omega_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ). We put as usual

$$\begin{aligned} d(\log a) &= \sum_i b_i \omega_i, & b^2 &= \sum_i b_i^2, \\ b_{ij} &= \nabla_j b_i - b_i b_j + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \delta_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\nabla$  means a covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian metric on  $V$ . Then we get a wellknown formula

$$R - \bar{R}a^2 = 2(n-1) \sum_i b_{ii}, \tag{1}$$

where we write  $\bar{R}$  briefly instead of  $f^*\bar{R}$ . We take a number  $s$  which shall be determined later and put

$$a^s d(\log a) = dc = \sum_i c_i \omega_i. \tag{2}$$

Then we have  $c_i = b_i a^s$  and

---

Received October 19, 1961.

$$\nabla_j c_i - (s+1)a^s b_i b_j + \frac{1}{2} a^s b^2 \delta_{ij} = a^s b_{ij}.$$

For Laplacian  $\Delta c = \sum_i \nabla_i c_i$  of  $c$  we have

$$\Delta c + \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 - s\right) a^s b^2 = a^s \sum_i b_{ii}.$$

If we choose such  $s$  that

$$s = \frac{n}{2} - 1, \quad (3)$$

we have

$$\Delta c = a^s \sum_i b_{ii}. \quad (4)$$

By (1) and (4) we get

$$(R - \bar{R}a^2)a^s = 2(n-1)\Delta c. \quad (5)$$

Thus for  $s$  determined by (3) the relations (2) holds good when we take

$$c = \frac{2}{n-2} a^{(n/2)-1} \text{ for } n > 2, \quad \text{and} \quad c = \log a \text{ for } n = 2. \quad (6)$$

2. We denote a volume element of  $V$  and  $\bar{V}$  by  $dv$  and  $d\bar{v}$  respectively, each corresponding to the orientations of  $V$  and  $\bar{V}$ . Then we have

$$f^*(d\bar{v}) = a^n dv. \quad (7)$$

Integrating (5) on the whole manifold  $V$  we obtain

$$\int_V R a^s dv - \int_V \bar{R} a^{s+2} dv = 2(n-1) \int_V \Delta c dv = 0.$$

Hence we have by (7) and (3)

$$\int_V R a^{(n/2)-1} dv = \int_{\bar{V}} \bar{R} a^{-((n/2)-1)} d\bar{v}. \quad (8)$$

Thus we get

**THEOREM 1.** *We assume that  $V$  and  $\bar{V}$  are compact orientable Riemannian manifolds whose scalar curvatures are  $R$  and  $\bar{R}$  respectively, and  $\bar{V}$  is conformally homeomorphic to  $V$  with a magnification function  $a$ . Then a formula (8) holds good.*

3. Next we assume that scalar curvatures  $R$  and  $\bar{R}$  are constant and will prove theorem 2. We take any differentiable function  $u = \varphi(c)$  with a function

$c$  on  $V$ . Then  $du = \varphi'(c)dc$  and when we put  $du = \sum_i u_i \omega_i$ ,  $dc = \sum_i c_i \omega_i$ , we get

$$u_i = \varphi'(c)c_i.$$

By taking a covariant derivative with respect to the Riemannian metric on  $V$  we obtain

$$\nabla_j u_i = \varphi'(c)\nabla_j c_i + \varphi''(c)c_i c_j.$$

Contracting with respect to  $i$  and  $j$

$$\Delta u = \varphi'(c)\Delta c + \varphi''(c)\sum_i c_i^2.$$

We denote by  $dv$  a volume element on  $V$ . By virtue of the relation  $\int_V \Delta u dv = 0$  we have

$$\int_V \varphi'(c)\Delta c dv + \int_V \varphi''(c)\sum_i c_i^2 dv = 0. \tag{9}$$

If we can find such a function  $\varphi(c)$  that

$$\varphi'(c)\Delta c \geq 0, \quad \varphi''(c) > 0, \tag{10}$$

we have by (9)  $\sum_i c_i^2 = 0$  and so  $c_i = 0$ , and  $c$  is constant. We take such  $c$  that (6) holds good. Then for  $n > 2$

$$a = \left(\frac{n-2}{2}c\right)^{2/(n-2)}.$$

We take  $\varphi(c)$  in such a way that

$$\varphi'(c) = R - \bar{R}a^2 \tag{11}$$

holds good, which is always possible as  $R$  and  $\bar{R}$  are constant. Then we have

$$\varphi''(c) = -\bar{R}\frac{da^2}{dc} = -2\bar{R}\left(\frac{n-2}{2}c\right)^{-(n-6)/(n-2)}$$

For  $n=2$  we have  $a = e^c$  by (6) and for  $\varphi(c)$  determined by (11) we get

$$\varphi''(c) = -\bar{R}\frac{da^2}{dc} = -2\bar{R}e^{2c}.$$

In both cases we have by (11) and (5)  $\varphi'(c)\Delta c \geq 0$ . If  $\bar{R} < 0$ , we have  $\varphi''(c) > 0$ , and (10) is satisfied, and so  $c$  and  $a$  are constant. If  $\bar{R} = 0$ , we can deduce  $R = 0$  from (8), and we get  $\Delta c = 0$  and hence  $a$  is constant. Thus we get

**THEOREM 2.** *We assume that  $V$  and  $\bar{V}$  are compact orientable Riemannian*

*manifolds whose scalar curvatures  $R$  and  $\bar{R}$  are both constant and non-positive. Then a conformal homeomorphism between  $V$  and  $\bar{V}$  is homothetic, namely a magnification function is constant.*

Next we consider the case  $V = \bar{V}$ . Then we have

**THEOREM 3.** *We assume that  $V$  is a compact orientable Riemannian manifold whose scalar curvature is a non-positive constant. Then a conformal homeomorphism of  $V$  onto itself is an isometry.*

In fact a magnification function  $a$  is constant by theorem 2 and hence by the integration of (7) on the whole manifold  $V$  we get  $a = 1$ .

Theorem 3 is an answer to a question raised by T. Sumitomo in [2] p. 118, the case of vanishing curvature being solved by himself.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] Bochner, S. and Yano, K.: Curvature and Betti-numbers, 1953.
- [ 2 ] Sumitomo, T.: Projective and conformal transformations in compact Riemannian manifolds. Tensor, vol. 9 (1959), pp. 113-135.

*Mathematical Institute*

*Nagoya University*