

# ON A PROBLEM OF CHEVALLEY

HIDEO KUNIYOSHI

In the present note we wish to deal with the same problem as the preceding paper [1] for the case of modular fields.

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$  and  $K = k(x_1, \dots, x_p)$  a purely transcendental extension of  $k$ . Let  $S$  be the automorphism of  $K$  which is induced by the cyclic permutation  $(x_1, \dots, x_p)$  and  $L$  the fixed subfield of  $S$ . Then  $L$  is a purely transcendental extension field over  $k$ .

*Proof.* We put

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \dots + x_p, \\ u_2 &= (1x_2 + 2x_3 + \dots + (p-1)x_p)/u_1, \\ u_3 &= (1^2x_2 + 2^2x_3 + \dots + (p-1)^2x_p)/u_1, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ u_p &= (1^{p-1}x_2 + 2^{p-1}x_3 + \dots + (p-1)^{p-1}x_p)/u_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u_1, u_2u_1, u_3u_1, \dots, u_pu_1$  are linear forms in  $x_1, \dots, x_p$  and their determinant is  $\prod_{p>i>j\equiv 0} (i-j) \neq 0$ ,

$$K = k(x_1, \dots, x_p) = k(u_1, u_2u_1, \dots, u_pu_1) = k(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p).$$

To see the effect of  $S$  on  $u_i$ , we compute  $S^{-1}u_i - u_i (= \Delta u_i)$  instead of  $Su_i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u_1 &= 0, \\ \Delta u_2 &= 1, \\ \Delta u_3 &= 2u_2 + 1, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ \Delta u_{i+1} &= \binom{i}{1}u_i + \binom{i}{2}u_i + \dots + \binom{i}{i-1}u_2 + 1, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \end{aligned}$$

From these  $u_i$  we now construct new elements  $v_2 (= u_2), v_2, \dots, v_p \in K$  such that

---

Received June 2, 1954.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta v_i &= 1, \\ v_i &= u_i + f_i(v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}),\end{aligned}$$

where  $f_i$  is a linear form of  $v_j^e$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, i-1$ ,  $e = 0, \dots, i-1$ , with coefficients in the prime field. We take, at first,  $v_2 = u_2$ ,  $v_3 = u_3 - u_2^2 + u_2$  and construct them by induction. If we get first  $i-2$  terms  $v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}$ , then  $v_i$  is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(1) \quad \Delta u_i &= \binom{i-1}{1} u_{i-1} + \binom{i-1}{2} u_{i-2} + \dots + 1 \\ &= \binom{i-1}{1} (v_{i-1} - f_{i-1}(v_2, \dots, v_{i-2})) \\ &\quad + \binom{i-1}{2} (v_{i-2} - f_{i-2}(v_2, \dots, v_{i-3})) + \dots + 1.\end{aligned}$$

The right side of this relation is a linear form of  $v_j^e$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, i-2$ ,  $e = 0, \dots, i-2$ . We compute  $\Delta v_j^2$ , using the inductive assumption  $\Delta v_j = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta v_j &= 1, \\ \Delta v_j^2 &= 1 + 2v_j, \\ \Delta v_j^3 &= 1 + 3v_j + 3v_j^2, \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ \Delta v_j^e &= 1 + \binom{e}{1} v_j + \binom{e}{2} v_j^2 + \dots + \binom{e}{e-1} v_j^{e-1}.\end{aligned}$$

From these relations we solve  $v_j^e$  in a linear form of  $\Delta v_j^e$ .

$$(2) \quad v_j^2 = h_j(\Delta v_j, \Delta v_j^2, \dots, \Delta v_j^{e+1}) = \Delta h_j(v_j, v_j^2, \dots, v_j^{e+1}),$$

$$1 \leq e \leq i-2 < p,$$

where  $h_j$  is a linear form in its arguments. We put (2) into (1), then

$$\Delta u_i = \Delta g_i(v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}),$$

where  $g_i$  is a linear form of  $v_j^e$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, i-1$ ,  $e = 0, \dots, i-1$ . Since

$$\Delta [u_i - g_i(v_2, \dots, v_{i-1})] = 0,$$

the element

$$u_i - g_i(v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}) + v_2$$

satisfies the inductive assumption and we may take it as  $v_i$ .

Now, we construct algebraically independent generators of  $L$  over  $k$ . We put

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= u_1, \\ w_2 &= v_2^p - v_2, \\ w_i &= v_i - u_i, \quad i = 3, \dots, p. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Delta w_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p,$

hence  $k(w_1, \dots, w_p) < L.$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} [k(w_1, \dots, w_p, v_2) : k(w_1, \dots, w_p)] &\leq p, \\ k(w_1, \dots, w_p, v_2) &\supset k(u_1, \dots, u_p) = K, \end{aligned}$$

and  $[K : L] = p.$  Therefore

$$L = k(w_1, \dots, w_p).$$

Since  $L$  is an extension field of dimension (degree of transcendency)  $p$  over  $k$ , we see that  $w_1, \dots, w_p$  are algebraically independent over  $k$ .

#### REFERENCE

- [1] K. Masuda: On a problem of Chevalley, this journal.

*Mathematical Institute*  
*Tôhoku University, Sendai*

