

# ON THE DIMENSION OF MODULES AND ALGEBRAS, I

SAMUEL EILENBERG,\* MASATOSHI IKEDA  
and TADASI NAKAYAMA

In [5], Ikeda-Nagao-Nakayama gave a characterization of algebras of cohomological dimension  $\leq n$ . In a subsequent paper [4] Eilenberg gave an alternative treatment of the same question. The present paper is devoted to the discussion of a number of questions suggested by the results of [4] and [5]. Among others it is shown that the conditions employed in stating the main results in [4] and [5] are equivalent, so that the main results of these two papers are in accord. Further, the cohomological dimension of a residue-algebra is studied in terms of that of the original algebra and the (module-) dimension of the associated ideal. The terminology and notation employed here are that of [3].

## § 1. Modules and quasi-modules

Throughout this paper,  $A$  will denote an algebra over a commutative ring  $K$ . It is always assumed that  $A$  has a unit, and this unit acts as the identity on all  $A$ -modules.

In addition to  $A$ -modules we shall also consider quasi-modules in which it is no longer assumed that the unit element 1 of  $A$  operates as the identity; however the unit element  $\epsilon$  of  $K$  still operates as the identity. Explicitly a (left)  $A$ -quasi-module is a  $K$ -module  $A$  together with a homomorphism

$$A \otimes_K A \rightarrow A$$

satisfying

$$\gamma(\lambda a) = (\gamma\lambda)a \quad (\gamma, \lambda \in A; a \in A)$$

where  $\lambda a$  is the image of  $\lambda \otimes a$ .

Clearly each  $A$ -module is a  $A$ -quasi-module. Further each  $K$ -module  $A$  may

---

Received June 21, 1954.

\* This work was done while S. Eilenberg was engaged under contract AF-18(600)-562.

also be regarded as a  $\mathcal{A}$ -quasi-module with  $\lambda a = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $a \in A$ . In a sense, these two classes exhaust the picture. Indeed, for each  $\mathcal{A}$ -quasi-module  $A$  we have the direct sum decomposition (due to Peirce)

$$A = 1A + A^\bullet$$

where  $A^\bullet$  consists of all elements  $a \in A$  with  $1a = 0$ . Clearly  $1A$  is a  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, while  $A^\bullet$  is just a  $K$ -module converted into a  $\mathcal{A}$ -quasi-module as above.

A  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $A$  is *projective* if for every epimorphism (i.e. onto-homomorphism)

$$\varphi : B \rightarrow A$$

of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules, there exists a  $\mathcal{A}$ -homomorphism  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\varphi\psi = \text{identity}$ .  $A$  is said to be *injective* if for each monomorphism (i.e. (into-)isomorphism)

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow C$$

of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules, there exists a  $\mathcal{A}$ -homomorphism  $\psi : C \rightarrow A$  with  $\psi\varphi = \text{identity}$ .

Replacing in the above definitions all modules by quasi-modules we obtain the notions of a projective quasi-module and of an injective quasi-module.

**PROPOSITION 1.** A  $\mathcal{A}$ -quasi-module  $A$  is projective [injective] if and only if  $1A$  is a projective [injective]  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and  $A^\bullet$  is a projective [injective]  $K$ -module.

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi : B \rightarrow A$  be an epimorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ -quasi-modules. Then  $\varphi$  decomposes into two components

$$\varphi_1 : 1B \rightarrow 1A, \quad \varphi_2 : B^\bullet \rightarrow A^\bullet$$

A map  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$  with  $\varphi\psi = \text{identity}$  exists if and only if such maps exist for  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ . This yields the desired conclusion.

This proposition implies that a  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $A$  is projective [injective] if and only if it is projective [injective] as a quasi-module.

## § 2. The Hochschild quasi-operators

It will be convenient to denote by  $\mathcal{A}^n$  the  $n$ -fold tensor product  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{A}$  where  $\otimes = \otimes_K$ . We may regard  $\mathcal{A}^n$  as a two-sided  $\mathcal{A}$ -module by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n) &= \lambda\lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n, \\ (\lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n)\lambda &= \lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the complex  $S(A)$  with

$$S_n(A) = A^{n+2} \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$d(\lambda_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \lambda_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_i \lambda_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{n+1}$$

and with the augmentation

$$\varepsilon : S_0(A) = A \otimes A \rightarrow A$$

given by  $\varepsilon(\lambda_0 \otimes \lambda_1) = \lambda_0 \lambda_1$ . This complex is acyclic as can be easily seen using the homotopy operator  $\zeta : S_n(A) \rightarrow S_{n+1}(A)$  given by  $\zeta x = 1 \otimes x$ ,  $x \in S_n(A)$ .

If  $A$  is assumed to be  $K$ -projective, then each  $A^n$  ( $n > 1$ ) is easily seen to be a  $A \otimes A^*$ -projective module, where  $A^*$  is the inverse ring of  $A$ . Thus in this case  $S(A)$  is  $A \otimes A^*$ -projective resolution of  $A$ . This is the *standard complex* of  $A$  as defined in [3] (Ch. IX, § 2).

Now let  $A$  be a left  $A$ -module which is  $K$ -projective. We consider the complex (of left  $A$ -modules)

$$S(A) = S(A) \otimes_A A.$$

It is easy to see that  $S(A)$  is a projective resolution of  $A$ . We have

$$S_n(A) = S_n(A) \otimes_A A = A^{n+2} \otimes_A A$$

$$= A^{n+1} \otimes A \otimes_A A = A^{n+1} \otimes A.$$

In this notation we have

$$d(\lambda_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n \otimes a) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \lambda_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_i \lambda_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n \otimes a$$

$$+ (-1)^n \lambda_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{n-1} \otimes \lambda_n a.$$

Since the complex  $S(A)$  is acyclic, we have

$$B_n(S(A)) = Z_n(S(A)).$$

Consequently we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B_n(S(A)) \rightarrow S_n(A) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow S_0(A) \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $S_i(A)$  are  $A$ -projective, it follows that  $B_n(S(A))$  is  $A$ -projective if and only if  $\text{l. dim}_A A \leq n + 1$ .

In addition to the already present  $A$ -operators on  $S_n(A) = A^{n+1} \otimes A$  we introduce  $A$ -quasi-operators as follows

$$(*) \quad \lambda * x = d(\lambda \otimes x) = \lambda x - \lambda \otimes dx.$$

We calculate

$$\gamma * (\lambda * x) = \gamma * d(\lambda \otimes x) = \gamma d(\lambda \otimes x) = d(\gamma \lambda \otimes x) = (\gamma \lambda) * x$$

so that indeed we have quasi-operators.

PROPOSITION 2. If  $A$  and the left  $A$ -module  $A$  are both  $K$ -projective then for each  $n > 0$  the following properties are equivalent:

- (i)  $l. \dim_A A \leq n$ ,
- (ii) the left  $A$ -module  $B_{n-1}(S(A))$  is projective,
- (iii) the left  $A$ -module  $1 * (A^n \otimes A)$  is projective,
- (iv) the left  $A$ -quasi-module  $A^n \otimes A$  is projective.

*Proof.* The equivalence of (i) and (ii) has already been asserted above. We prove the equivalence of (ii) and (iii) by showing that  $B_{n-1}(S(A))$  and  $1 * (A^n \otimes A)$  coincide as  $A$ -modules. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 * x &= d(1 \otimes x) \in B_{n-1}(S(A)), \\ d(\lambda \otimes x) &= \lambda * x = 1 * (\lambda * x) \in 1 * (A^n \otimes A) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $B_{n-1}(S(A))$  and  $1 * (A^n \otimes A)$  coincide as groups. Further if  $x \in B_{n-1}(S(A))$  then  $dx = 0$  and thus (\*) yields  $\lambda * x = \lambda x$  so that the  $A$ -operators also coincide.

To prove the equivalence of (iii) and (iv) consider the direct sum decomposition

$$A^n \otimes A = 1 * (A^n \otimes A) + (A^n \otimes A)^\bullet.$$

Since  $A^n \otimes A$  is  $K$ -projective it follows that  $(A^n \otimes A)^\bullet$  is  $K$ -projective. The conclusion thus follows from Prop. 1.

*Remark.* If  $n = 0$  then  $B_{-1}(S(A))$  should be interpreted as the image of the augmentation  $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ ; thus  $B_{-1}(S(A)) = A$ . Further if we interpret  $A^0 = K$  then  $A^0 \otimes A = A$ . The quasi-operators are  $\lambda * a = d(\lambda \otimes a) = \lambda a$  and coincide with the operators. With these interpretations Prop. 2 remains valid also for  $n = 0$ .

### § 3. Discussion of $\dim A$ .

Using the results of § 2 it is now possible to close the gap between [4] and [5]. First we give a glossary translating the terminology used here into

that of [5] and [6]:

- module—module  $M$  satisfying  $M = 1 M$ ,
- quasi-module—module,
- projective quasi-module— $(M_0)$ -module,
- injective quasi-module— $(M_u)$ -module.

Let  $A$  be a  $K$ -algebra. The (cohomological) dimension of  $A$  may be defined as follows:  $\dim A \leq n$  if and only if the cohomology groups  $H^q(A, A)$  vanish for all  $q > n$  and all two-sided  $A$ -modules  $A$ .

Assume that  $K$  is a field and that  $(A : K) < \infty$ . Let  $N$  denote the radical of  $A$ . The main result of [5] may now be stated as follows:

For  $n > 0$ , the condition

(a)  $\dim A \leq n$

is equivalent with the set of two conditions

- (b)  $A/N$  is separable,
- (c)  $1 * (A^{n-1} \otimes N)$  is projective.

In view of Prop. 2 (c) is equivalent with

(c')  $l. \dim_A N \leq n$

which is in turn equivalent with

(c'')  $l. \dim_A (A/N) \leq n$ .

This is the form of the result as established in [4]. Actually if (c'') is used, the main result remains valid also for  $n = 0$ .

*Remark.* In [5] it is proved also that (a) implies

(c<sub>0</sub>)  $1 * (A^{n-1} \otimes I)$  is projective for any left ideal  $I$  of  $A$ .

This is equivalent to

(c'<sub>0</sub>)  $l. \dim_A I < n$

or

(c''<sub>0</sub>)  $l. \dim_A (A/I) \leq n$ .

This last inequality is a consequence of the general inequality  $l. \text{gl. dim } A \leq \dim A$  (see [4], Corollary 5).

#### §4. An inequality

Let  $A$  and  $A'$  be rings and

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow A'$$

a ring homomorphism. By means of this homomorphism, each left  $A'$ -module may also be regarded as a left  $A$ -module.

PROPOSITION 3. For each left  $A'$ -module  $A$  we have

$$l. \dim_A A \leq l. \dim_{A'} A + l. \dim_A A'.$$

*Proof.* This proposition could be derived directly from a spectral sequence established in [3] (Ch. XVI, §5), however we shall give an elementary inductive proof here.

Let  $p = l. \dim_{A'} A$  and  $q = l. \dim_A A'$ . Clearly we may assume that  $p$  and  $q$  are finite. For each free  $A'$ -module  $F$  we have  $l. \dim_A F = q$ , and therefore for each direct summand  $P$  of  $F$  we have  $l. \dim_A P \leq q$ . This proves the proposition if  $A$  is  $A'$ -projective i.e. if  $p = 0$ .

From here we proceed by induction with respect to  $p$ . We assume  $p > 0$  and assume that the proposition holds for  $A'$ -modules  $A$  of left dimension (over  $A'$ ) smaller than  $p$ . Let

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of  $A'$ -modules with  $X$   $A'$ -projective. Then

$$l. \dim_{A'} X = 0, \quad l. \dim_{A'} B = p - 1$$

and therefore by the inductive assumption

$$l. \dim_A X \leq p < p + q, \quad l. \dim_A B < p + q.$$

For each left  $A$ -module  $C$  we have the exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_A^{p+q}(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{p+q+1}(A, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{p+q+1}(X, C)$$

and since the extreme terms are zero, so is  $\text{Ext}_A^{p+q+1}(A, C)$ . Thus  $l. \dim_A A \leq p + q$ , as required.

COROLLARY 4. If  $A'$  is semi-simple, then

$$l. \dim_A A \leq l. \dim_A A'$$

for each left  $A'$ -module  $A$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $A$  be a  $K$ -algebra over a field  $K$  with  $(A : K) < \infty$ , and let  $\mathfrak{I}$  be a two-sided ideal contained in the radical  $N$  of  $A$ . Denoting  $A' = A/\mathfrak{I}$ , we have*

$$\dim A \leq \dim A' + 1 \cdot \dim_{\Delta} A'.$$

*Proof.* Let  $N' = N/\mathfrak{I}$ . Then  $N'$  is the radical of  $A'$  and  $A/N \cong A'/N'$ . Clearly we may assume that  $\dim A' < \infty$ . This implies that  $A'/N'$  is separable (see preceding section). Since both  $A/N$  and  $A'/N'$  are separable it follows from the preceding section that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim A &= 1 \cdot \dim_{\Delta} (A/N) = 1 \cdot \dim_{\Delta} (A'/N') \\ \dim A' &= 1 \cdot \dim_{\Delta'} (A'/N'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the desired inequality follows from Prop. 3 with  $A = A'/N'$ .

*Remark.* If instead of  $\mathfrak{I} \subset N$  we have  $N \subset \mathfrak{I}$  then Cor. 4 is applicable.

### § 5. Cartan Matrix

In proving that if  $\dim A < \infty$  then  $A/N$  is separable an important role is played by the Cartan matrix  $M(A)$ . In fact, denoting by  $A_L$  the algebra obtained from  $A$  by passing to the algebraic closure  $L$  of  $K$ , it was proved in [4] and [5] that if  $\dim A < \infty$  then  $\det M(A_L) = \pm 1$ . An algebra  $A$  is called *primary* if  $A/N$  is simple. A direct product (sum) of a finite number of primary algebras is called *primarily decomposable*. An algebra  $A$  is called *absolutely primarily decomposable* if for each extension  $K'$  of  $K$ , the algebra  $A_{K'}$  is primarily indecomposable. It suffices that this be the case for the algebraic closure  $L$  of  $K$ . For a structural characterization of absolutely primarily decomposable algebras see [1], § 1.

**PROPOSITION 6.** If the algebra  $A$  is absolutely primarily decomposable then  $\dim A = 0, \infty$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\dim A$  remains unchanged under extensions of the ground field we may assume that  $K$  is algebraically closed. If  $A$  is semi-simple (i.e. separable) then  $\dim A = 0$ . We may thus assume that  $A$  is not semi-simple. Let  $A_1$  be one of the primary components of  $A$  with a non-zero radical  $N_1$ . Now all the primitive idempotents in  $A_1$  are isomorphic and if  $e_1$  is one of them then  $e_1 N_1 e_1 \neq 0$ . Thus

$$\det M(A_i) = (e_1 A_i e_1 : K) = (e_1 N_i e_1 : K) + (e_1 (A_i/N_i) e_1 : K) > 1.$$

Since  $\det M(A)$  is the product of  $\det M(A_i)$  where  $A_i$  runs through all the primary components of  $A$  it follows that  $\det M(A) > 1$ . Therefore by the result quoted above we have  $\dim A = \infty$ .

There are other situations in which it can be proved that  $\dim A = \infty$  by showing that the matrix  $M(A_L)$  is not invertible. The converse however is not true as will be shown by an example. Indeed, we shall construct an algebra  $A$  over any field  $K$  such that  $\dim A = \infty$  but  $\det M(A_L) = -1$ .

Let  $K$  be an arbitrary field. Given  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{12})$ ,  $\alpha_i \in K$ , we consider the matrices

$$m_1(\alpha) = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & \alpha_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_4 & 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_5 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\ \alpha_{10} & \alpha_3 & \alpha_7 & \alpha_6 & \alpha_1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad m_2(\alpha) = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_{11} & \alpha_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_8 & 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_7 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\ \alpha_9 & \alpha_{11} & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_{12} & \alpha_2 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$m(\alpha) = \begin{vmatrix} m_1(\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & m_2(\alpha) \end{vmatrix}.$$

The matrices  $m(\alpha)$  form an algebra  $A$  with  $(A : K) = 12$ . Basis elements  $x_i \in A$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 12$ ) are obtained by taking  $x_i = m(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha_j = \delta_{ij}$ .

The elements  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are primitive idempotents with  $x_1 + x_2 = 1$ . Further computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 A x_1 &= x_1 K + x_{10} K, \\ x_1 A x_2 &= x_6 K + x_7 K + x_8 K, \\ x_2 A x_1 &= x_3 K + x_4 K + x_5 K, \\ x_2 A x_2 &= x_2 K + x_9 K + x_{11} K + x_{12} K. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the idempotents  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are not isomorphic and thus form a maximal set of non-isomorphic idempotents in  $A$ . Thus the Cartan matrix of  $A$  is

$$M(A) = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 \end{vmatrix}$$

with determinant  $-1$ . The ground field  $K$  played no role in the argument and the result remains valid for any extension of  $K$ .

Next consider the  $K$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow K$  given by



$$\varphi(m(\alpha)) = \alpha_9 + \alpha_{10}.$$

We have

$$\varphi(m(\alpha)m(\beta)) = \alpha_{11}\beta_{11} + \alpha_{12}\beta_{12} + \sum_{i=1}^{10} \alpha_i \beta_{10-i}.$$

This shows that

$$\varphi(m(\alpha)m(\beta)) = \varphi(m(\beta)m(\alpha))$$

and that if  $\varphi(m(\alpha)m(\beta)) = 0$  for all  $m(\alpha)$  then  $m(\beta) = 0$ . Thus the hyperplane  $\varphi = 0$  contains no left ideals (except zero) and contains all commutators. Thus  $A$  is a symmetric algebra and therefore also a Frobenius algebra (see [2]). For such algebras it has been proved in [5] that  $\dim A = 0, \infty$ . However  $A$  is not semi-simple since  $x_3, \dots, x_{12}$  are nilpotent. Thus  $\dim A = \infty$ .

*Remark.* The argument that  $\dim A = \infty$  remains valid if  $K$  is an arbitrary commutative ring (with a unit element). This follows from the generalized treatment of symmetric and Frobenius algebras that will appear in the next paper in this series.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] G. Azumaya and T. Nakayama, On absolutely uni-serial algebras, Jap. J. Math. **19** (1948), 263-273.
- [2] R. Brauer and C. Nesbitt, On the regular representations of algebras, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **23** (1937), 236-240.
- [3] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra, Princeton University Press (1954).
- [4] S. Eilenberg, Algebras of cohomologically finite dimension, Comment. Math. Helv. (to appear).
- [5] M. Ikeda, H. Nagao and T. Nakayama, Algebras with vanishing  $n$ -cohomology groups, Nagoya Math. **7** (1954), - .
- [6] H. Nagao and T. Nakayama, On the structure of  $(M_0)$ - and  $(M_n)$ -modules, Math. Zeit. **59** (1953), 164-170.

*Columbia University*

*Osaka University*

*Nagoya University*

