

CYCLES AND ENDOMORPHISMS OF ABELIAN VARIETIES

HISASI MORIKAWA

In the present paper we shall remark that to each class of algebraically equivalent cycles on a Jacobian variety we can attach a symmetric element of the ring of endomorphisms of the variety and shall prove some formulae concerning attached symmetric elements.

§ 1. Endomorphism $\delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$

We shall denote by $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}, \dots$ cycles on an abelian variety \mathbf{A} . Throughout in this section we shall fix this abelian variety \mathbf{A} of dimension n . When \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} have complementary dimensions and $\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$ is defined, $\mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}]$ means $e_1 x_1 + \dots + e_f x_f$, where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_f are points contained in $\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$ with multiplicities e_1, e_2, \dots, e_f respectively. When $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$ are defined over a field k , $\mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X} \cdot (\mathbf{Y}_t - \mathbf{Y})]$ defines a function on \mathbf{A} within values in \mathbf{A} and defined over k . Since $\mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X} \cdot (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y})] = 0$, this function is an endomorphism of \mathbf{A} . We denote it by $\delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$. Even when $\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}_{t_0}$ is not defined, we define $\mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X} \cdot (\mathbf{Y}_{t_0} - \mathbf{Y})]$ by $\delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})_{t_0}$.

We say that two cycles \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} are immediately algebraically equivalent if and only if there exists a complete curve Γ , a cycle \mathbf{Z} on $\mathbf{A} \times \Gamma$ and two points \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}' on Γ such that $\mathbf{Z} \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{P})$ is defined for any point \mathbf{P} on Γ and $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Z} \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{M}), \mathbf{Y} \times \mathbf{M}' = \mathbf{Z} \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{M}')$.

We say that two cycles \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} are algebraically equivalent— $\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathbf{Y}$ in notation—if and only if there exist a finite number of cycles $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_f$ such that $\mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}_f = \mathbf{Y}$ and \mathbf{X}_i is immediately algebraically equivalent to \mathbf{X}_{i+1} for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, f-1$.

PROPOSITION 1. *If $\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathbf{X}'$, then $\delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = \delta(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{Y})$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove this for immediately algebraically equivalent cycles.

Received March 18, 1954.

lent \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}' . Let Γ be a complete curve and let \mathbf{Z} be a cycle on $\mathbf{A} \times \Gamma$ such that $\mathbf{Z} \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{P})$ is defined for any point \mathbf{P} on Γ and $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Z} \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{M})$, $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{M}' = \mathbf{Z} \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{M}')$ with points \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}' on Γ . Let Γ' be a non-singular model of Γ and let \mathbf{J} be the Jacobian variety of Γ' over a definition field of Γ' . We can replace Γ by Γ' . $\mathbf{S}[(\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{N}) - \mathbf{X}) \cdot (\mathbf{Y}_t - \mathbf{Y})]$ defines a function on $\Gamma' \times \mathbf{A}$, where $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{N}) \times \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{Z} \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{N})$. This function can be extended to a function on $\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{A}$. Since $\mathbf{S}[(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}) \cdot (\mathbf{Y}_t - \mathbf{Y})] = 0$, it is a homomorphism from $\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{A}$ into \mathbf{A} . A homomorphism from a product of abelian varieties is a sum of homomorphisms from each component. Hence $\mathbf{S}[(\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{N}) - \mathbf{X}) \cdot (\mathbf{Y}_t - \mathbf{Y})] = \alpha_1(\varphi(\mathbf{N})) + \alpha_2 t$, where φ is the canonical function from Γ' into \mathbf{J} . Since $\mathbf{S}[(\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{N}) - \mathbf{X}) \cdot (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y})] = 0$, $\alpha_1(\varphi(\mathbf{N})) = 0$ for all \mathbf{N} on Γ' . This shows that $\mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{N}) \cdot (\mathbf{Y}_t - \mathbf{Y})] = \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X} \cdot (\mathbf{Y}_t - \mathbf{Y})]$, namely $\delta(\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{N}), \mathbf{Y}) = \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$.

Similarly we get

PROPOSITION 2. *If $\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathbf{X}'$, then $\delta(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) = \delta(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z})$.*

By repeated application of this proposition we get

PROPOSITION 3. *If $\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathbf{X}'_1, \mathbf{X}'_1 \equiv \mathbf{X}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}'_{r-1} \equiv \mathbf{X}'_r$, then $\delta(\mathbf{X}_1 \cdot \mathbf{X}_2 \cdot \mathbf{X}_3 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{X}_r, \mathbf{Y}) = \delta(\mathbf{X}'_1 \cdot \mathbf{X}'_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{X}'_r, \mathbf{Y})$.*

Since any two points t, s are connected by a finite number of curves on \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{X}_t and \mathbf{X}_s are algebraically equivalent.

PROPOSITION 4. $\delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + \delta(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) = \text{deg}(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}) \delta^{(1)}$.

Proof. $\mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X} \cdot (\mathbf{Y}_t - \mathbf{Y})] = \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}_t] - \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}]$
 $= \mathbf{S}[(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}_t)_{-t}] - (\text{deg}(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}))(-t) - \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}]$
 $= \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X}_{-t} \cdot \mathbf{Y}] - \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}] + (\text{deg}(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}))t$
 $= (\text{deg}(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}))t - \delta(\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{X})t.$

From Proposition 3 and Proposition 4 we get:

PROPOSITION 5. *If $\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathbf{X}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}'_{r-1} \equiv \mathbf{X}'_r, \mathbf{Y} \equiv \mathbf{Y}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}'_{e-1} \equiv \mathbf{Y}'_e$, then $\delta(\mathbf{X}_1 \cdot \mathbf{X}_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{X}_r, \mathbf{Y}_1 \cdot \mathbf{Y}_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{Y}_e) = \delta(\mathbf{X}'_1 \cdot \mathbf{X}'_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{X}'_r, \mathbf{Y}'_1 \cdot \mathbf{Y}'_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{Y}'_e)$.*

Thus $\delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ depends only on the algebraic equivalence of \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} .

¹⁾ δ is the identity endomorphism of \mathbf{A} .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PROPOSITION 6. } \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y}) &= \delta(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y}) \\ &+ \dots + \delta(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y}) \\ &+ \dots + \delta(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y})t &= \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X}((\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y})_t - (\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y}))] \\ &= \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X} \cdot (\mathbf{Y}_t \cdot \mathbf{Y}_t \dots \mathbf{Y}_t - \mathbf{Y}_t \cdot \mathbf{Y}_t \dots \mathbf{Y}_t \cdot \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{Y}_t \cdot \mathbf{Y}_t \dots \mathbf{Y}_t \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y}_t \cdot \mathbf{Y} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{Y}_t \cdot \mathbf{Y}_t \dots \mathbf{Y}_t \cdot \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y} + \dots + \mathbf{Y}_t \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y})] \\ &= \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}_t \cdot \mathbf{Y}_t \dots \mathbf{Y}_t \cdot (\mathbf{Y}_t - \mathbf{Y})] \\ &\quad + \dots + \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}_t \dots \mathbf{Y}_t \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{Y}_t - \mathbf{Y})] \\ &\quad + \dots + \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y} \cdot (\mathbf{Y}_t - \mathbf{Y})] \\ &= \delta(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y})t + \dots + \delta(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y})t \\ &\quad + \dots + \delta(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \dots \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y})t. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PROPOSITION 7. } \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X}) + \dots + \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X}) \\ + \dots + \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X}) &= (r-1) \text{deg}(\mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X})\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We shall prove this by induction on r . We assume that this is true for $r-1$ and we put $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{X}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}) + \dots + \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}) \\ + \dots + \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}) + \delta(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X}) \\ = (r-2) \text{deg}(\mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y})\delta = (r-2) \text{deg}(\mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X})\delta. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by virtue of Proposition 4 and Proposition 5,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X}) &= \delta(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X}) \\ &= \text{deg}(\mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X})\delta - \delta(\mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{X}) \\ &= \text{deg}(\mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X})\delta - \delta(\mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) - \delta(\mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) \\ &= \text{deg}(\mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X})\delta - (\text{deg}(\mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X})\delta - \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X})) \\ &\quad - (\text{deg}(\mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X})\delta - \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{X})) \\ &= \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{X}) + \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X}) - \text{deg}(\mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X})\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X}) + \dots + \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X}) \\ + \dots + \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X}) &= (r-1) \text{deg}(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{X} \dots \mathbf{X})\delta. \end{aligned}$$

The case $r = 1$ is Proposition 4 itself.

COROLLARY 1. *If X is a non-degenerate divisor, then*

$$\delta(\overbrace{X, X \dots X}^{n-1})^2 = (n-1)/n \deg(\overbrace{X \dots X}^n) \delta.$$

COROLLARY 2. *If X is a non-degenerate divisor, then*

$$\delta(\overbrace{X \dots X}^r, \overbrace{X \dots X}^{n-r}) = (n-r)/n \deg(\overbrace{X \dots X}^n) \delta.$$

§ 2. Case of Jacobian variety

Let Γ be a non-singular curve of genus n defined over k , let \mathbf{J} be the Jacobian variety of Γ and let φ be the canonical function from Γ into \mathbf{J} . We assume that \mathbf{J} and φ are also defined over k . We denote by $\mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \mathbf{W}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{W}^{(n-1)}$ the locuses of $\varphi(P_1), \varphi(P_1) + \varphi(P_2), \dots, \varphi(P_1) + \dots + \varphi(P_{n-1})$, respectively over k , where P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{n-1} are independent generic points over k of Γ . We denote by $\mathbf{W}'^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{W}'^{(n-1)}$ the locuses of $-\varphi(P_1), -\varphi(P_1) - \varphi(P_2), \dots, -\varphi(P_1) - \dots - \varphi(P_{n-1})$, respectively, over k .

PROPOSITION 8. $\delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}, \mathbf{W}'^{(r)}) = \binom{n-1}{r} \delta.$

Proof. Let $x = \varphi(P_1) + \dots + \varphi(P_n)$ where P_1, \dots, P_n are independent generic points of Γ over k . Then by virtue of Proposition 17, N° 40, § V, [1],

$\mathbf{W}^{(n+r)} \mathbf{W}_x^{(r)} = \sum_{(i)} (w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n-r}})$, where $w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n-r}} = \varphi(P_{i_1}) + \varphi(P_{i_2}) + \dots + \varphi(P_{i_{n-r}})$ and the summation which means the summation as cycles of dimension zero runs over all $\binom{n}{n-r}$ combinations of indices $1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)} (\mathbf{W}_x^{(r)} - \mathbf{W}^{(r)})] &= \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n-r}} (\varphi(P_{i_1}) + \dots + \varphi(P_{i_{n-r}})) \\ &= \frac{n-r}{n} \binom{n}{n-r} [\varphi(P_1) + \dots + \varphi(P_n)] = \binom{n-1}{r} x. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA. $(n-r) \mathbf{W}^{(r)} = \mathbf{W}^{(r+1)} \cdot \theta_a^{(3)}$ with suitable a .

This is proved in a similar manner as in the proof of Proposition 17, N° 40, § V, [1].

²⁾ $\delta(\overbrace{X, X \dots X}^{n-1})$ means $\delta(X, X_{a_1} \cdot X_{a_2} \dots X_{a_{n-1}})$ with suitable a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} which is independent of the choice of a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} .

³⁾ θ means $\mathbf{W}^{(n-1)}$.

Consequently we get:

PROPOSITION 9. $(n-r) \mathbf{W}^{(r)} = \theta \cdot \theta_{a_1} \dots \theta_{a_{n-r-1}}$ with suitable a_1, \dots, a_{n-r-1} .

PROPOSITION 10. $\delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}, \mathbf{W}^{(r)}) = \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}, \mathbf{W}'^{(r)}) = \binom{n-1}{r} \delta$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}, \mathbf{W}^{(r)}) &= \frac{1}{(n-r)!} \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-1)}, \overbrace{\theta \dots \theta}^{n-r}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-r)!} \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}, \overbrace{\theta_{-c} \dots \theta_{-c}}^{n-r}) = \frac{1}{(n-r)!} \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}, \overbrace{\theta' \dots \theta'}^{n-r}) \\ &= \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}, \mathbf{W}'^{(r)}) = \binom{n-1}{r} \delta, \end{aligned}$$

where $c = \varphi(\mathfrak{R})$ with a canonical divisor \mathfrak{R} .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PROPOSITION 11. } \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(r)} \mathbf{W}^{(s)}, \mathbf{W}^{(2n-r-s)}) \\ = \frac{(2n-r-s)!}{(n-r)! (n-s)!} \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(r+s-n)}, \mathbf{W}^{(2n-r-s)}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(r)} \mathbf{W}^{(s)}, \mathbf{W}^{(2n-r-s)}) &= \frac{1}{(n-r)! (n-s)!} \delta(\overbrace{\theta \dots \theta}^{2n-s-r}, \mathbf{W}^{(2n-r-s)}) \\ &= \frac{(2n-r-s)!}{(n-r)! (n-s)!} \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(r+s-n)}, \mathbf{W}^{(2n-r-s)}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get

$$\text{PROPOSITION 12. } \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(r)} \mathbf{W}^{(s)} \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = \frac{(2n-r-s)!}{(n-r)! (n-s)!} \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(r+s-n)} \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}).$$

PROPOSITION 13. *Let C be a cycle of dimension one. Then $\delta(\mathbf{C}, \theta)$, $\delta(\theta, \mathbf{C})$ are symmetric.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show this for simple irreducible curves. Let Γ_1 be a non-singular model of \mathbf{C} and let f be the birational correspondence from Γ_1 to \mathbf{C} regular at each simple point of \mathbf{C} . Let \mathbf{J}_1 be the Jacobian variety of Γ_1 and φ be the canonical function of Γ_1 into \mathbf{J}_1 . We denote by λ the extension of f onto a homomorphism from \mathbf{J}_1 into \mathbf{J} . Then $\mathbf{S}[\mathbf{C} \cdot (\theta_t - \theta)] = \lambda \mathbf{S}[\varphi_1(\mathbf{P}_{r\Gamma_1} \wedge_f \Gamma_1 \times (\theta_t - \theta))] = \lambda \lambda'_0 t$, where Λ_1 is the graph of f in $\Gamma_1 \times \mathbf{J}$. By virtue of formulae in N° 77, § XI, [1],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_l((\lambda \lambda'_0)^t) &= \mathbf{E}_l(\theta)^{-1t} \mathbf{M}_l(\lambda \lambda'_0) \mathbf{E}_l(\theta) = \mathbf{E}_l(\theta)^{-1t} \mathbf{M}_l(\lambda'_0)^t \mathbf{M}_l(\lambda) \mathbf{E}_l(\theta) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_l(\theta)^{-1t} (\mathbf{E}_l(\theta)^{-1t} \mathbf{M}_l(\lambda) \mathbf{E}_l(\theta))^t \mathbf{M}_l(\lambda) \mathbf{E}_l(\theta) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_l(\theta)^{-1t} \mathbf{E}_l(\theta) \mathbf{M}_l(\lambda)^t \mathbf{E}_l(\theta)^{-1t} \mathbf{M}_l(\lambda) \mathbf{E}_l(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbf{E}_l(\theta)^{-1} (-\mathbf{E}_l(\theta)) \mathbf{M}_l(\lambda) (-\mathbf{E}_l(\theta_1))^{-1t} \mathbf{M}_l(\lambda) \mathbf{E}_l(\theta) \\
&= \mathbf{M}_l(\lambda) \mathbf{E}_l(\theta_1)^{-1t} \mathbf{M}_l(\lambda) \mathbf{E}_l(\theta) = \mathbf{M}_l(\lambda \lambda_1^t)
\end{aligned}$$

where θ_1 is the theta divisor of \mathbf{J}_1 . This shows that $\delta(\mathbf{C}, \theta)$ is symmetric. Since $\delta(\theta, \mathbf{C}) = (\deg \mathbf{C} \cdot \theta) \delta - \delta(\mathbf{C}, \theta)$, $\delta(\theta, \mathbf{C})$ is also symmetric.

PROPOSITION 14. *Let \mathbf{X} be a cycle of dimension r . Then*

$$\delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}) = \delta(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{W}^{(n-r+1)}, \theta).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Proof. } \delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}) &= \delta\left(\mathbf{X}, \frac{1}{r!} \overbrace{\theta \dots \theta}^r\right) = \frac{1}{r!} \delta(\mathbf{X}, \overbrace{\theta \dots \theta}^r) \\
&= \frac{1}{r!} r \delta(\mathbf{X}, \overbrace{\theta \dots \theta}^{r-1}) = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \delta(\mathbf{X} \theta \overbrace{\theta \dots \theta}^{r-1}, \theta) = \delta(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{W}^{(n-r+1)}, \theta).
\end{aligned}$$

From this proposition and Proposition 13 we get

THEOREM 1. $\delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}^{(n-r)})$, $\delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}, \mathbf{X})$ are symmetric.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{PROPOSITION 15. } \delta(\lambda^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(2)}, \theta) &= (\deg \lambda^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(2)}) \delta - \lambda' \lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta - \lambda' \lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta(\lambda^{-1}(\theta)) \mathbf{W}^{(2)}, \theta) &= (\deg \lambda^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(2)} \theta) - \delta(\theta, \lambda^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(2)}) \\
&= (n-1)(\deg \lambda^{-1}(\theta) \cdot \mathbf{W}^{(1)}) \delta - \delta(\theta \cdot \mathbf{W}^{(2)}, \lambda^{-1}(\theta)) - \delta(\theta \cdot \lambda^{-1}(\theta), \mathbf{W}^{(2)}) \\
&= (n-1)(\deg \lambda^{-1}(\theta) \cdot \mathbf{W}^{(1)}) \delta - (n-1) \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \lambda^{-1}(\theta)) - \delta(\theta \cdot \lambda^{-1}(\theta), \mathbf{W}^{(2)}) \\
&= (n-1)(\deg \lambda^{-1}(\theta) \cdot \mathbf{W}^{(1)}) \delta - (n-1) \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \lambda^{-1}(\theta)) - (n-2) \delta(\lambda^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(2)}, \theta).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(\lambda^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(2)}, \theta) &= (\deg \lambda^{-1}(\theta) \cdot \mathbf{W}^{(1)}) \delta - \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \lambda^{-1}(\theta)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta - \lambda' \lambda^4.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{PROPOSITION 16. } \delta(\theta, \lambda^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(2)}) = \frac{(n-2)}{2} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta + \lambda' \lambda.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Proof. } \delta(\theta, \lambda^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(2)}) &= (\deg \theta \lambda^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(2)}) - \delta(\lambda^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(2)}, \theta) \\
&= (n-1)(\deg \lambda^{-1}(\theta) \cdot \mathbf{W}^{(1)}) \delta - \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta - \lambda' \lambda\right) \\
&= \frac{(n-1)}{2} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta - \frac{1}{2} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta + \lambda' \lambda
\end{aligned}$$

⁴⁾ $\sigma(\alpha) = \text{Spur } \mathbf{M}_l(\alpha)$. See N° 49, § VI, [1].

$$= \lambda' \lambda + \frac{(n-2)}{2} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta.$$

PROPOSITION 17. $\delta(\mathbf{W}^{(r+1)} \lambda^{-1}(\theta), \mathbf{W}^{(n-r)})$

$$= \binom{n-2}{r-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta - \lambda' \lambda \right).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(r+1)} \lambda^{-1}(\theta), \mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}) &= (\deg \mathbf{W}^{(r+1)} \mathbf{W}^{(n-r)} \lambda^{-1}(\theta)) \delta \\ &\quad - \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)} \lambda^{-1}(\theta), \mathbf{W}^{(r+1)}) - \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)} \mathbf{W}^{(r+1)} \lambda^{-1}(\theta)) \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{r! (n-r-1)!} (\deg \mathbf{W}^{(1)} \lambda^{-1}(\theta)) \delta - \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)} \lambda^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(r+2)}, \theta) \\ &\quad - \frac{(n-1)!}{r! (n-r-1)!} \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \lambda^{-1}(\theta)) = \frac{(n-1)!}{2r! (n-r-1)!} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{r} \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r+1)} \theta \lambda^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(r+2)}, \theta) - \frac{(n-1)!}{r! (n-r-1)!} \lambda' \lambda \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{r! (n-r-1)!} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta - \lambda' \lambda \right) - \frac{1}{r} \delta(\theta \lambda^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(r+2)}, \mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}) \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{r! (n-r-1)!} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta - \lambda' \lambda \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{(n-r-1)}{r} \delta(\lambda^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(r+1)}, \mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(r+1)} \lambda^{-1}(\theta), \mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}) &= \frac{(n-2)!}{r! (n-r-1)!} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta - \lambda' \lambda \right) \\ &= \binom{n-2}{r-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta - \lambda' \lambda \right). \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 18. $\delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}, \mathbf{W}^{(r+1)} \lambda^{-1}(\theta)) = \binom{n-2}{r-1} \lambda' \lambda + \binom{n-2}{r} \frac{\sigma(\lambda' \lambda)}{2}.$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}, \mathbf{W}^{(r+1)} \lambda^{-1}(\theta)) &= (\deg \mathbf{W}^{(n-r)} \mathbf{W}^{(r+1)} \lambda^{-1}(\theta)) \delta - \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(r+1)} \lambda^{-1}(\theta), \mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}) \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{2r! (n-r-1)!} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta - \binom{n-2}{r-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma(\lambda' \lambda) \delta - \lambda' \lambda \right) \\ &= \binom{n-2}{r-1} \lambda' \lambda + \binom{n-2}{r} \frac{\sigma(\lambda' \lambda)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 19. $\delta(\lambda_1^{-1}(\theta) \dots \lambda_r^{-1}(\theta), \mathbf{W}^{(r)})$

$$\begin{aligned} &= a_0 \delta - \sum_i a_i \lambda'_i \lambda_i + \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_1 \neq i_2} a_{i_1 i_2} \lambda'_{i_2} \lambda'_{i_1} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \\ &\quad - \dots \pm \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r, i_j \neq i_k} a_{i_1 i_2, \dots, i_r} \lambda'_{i_r} \dots \lambda'_{i_1} \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_r} \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_h} = \deg \lambda_{j_1}^{-1}(\theta) \dots \lambda_{j_r-h}^{-1}(\theta) \cdot \mathbf{W}^{(r-h)}_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_h \neq j_1, \dots, j_h}.$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } \delta(\lambda_1^{-1}(\theta) \dots \lambda_r^{-1}(\theta), \mathbf{W}^{(r)}) &= a_0 \delta - \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(r)}, \lambda_1^{-1}(\theta) \dots \lambda_r^{-1}(\theta)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= a_0 \delta - \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(r)} \cdot \lambda_1^{-1}(\theta) \dots \lambda_{i-1}^{-1}(\theta) \lambda_{i+1}^{-1}(\theta) \dots \lambda_r^{-1}(\theta), \lambda_i^{-1}(\theta)) \\
&= a_0 \delta - \sum_i \lambda_i' \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(r)} \lambda_1^{-1}(\theta) \dots \lambda_{i-1}^{-1}(\theta) \lambda_{i+1}^{-1}(\theta) \dots \lambda_r^{-1}(\theta), \theta) \\
&= a_0 \delta - \sum_i \lambda_i' \delta(\lambda_1^{-1}(\theta) \dots \lambda_{i-1}^{-1}(\theta) \lambda_{i+1}^{-1}(\theta) \dots \lambda_r^{-1}(\theta), \mathbf{W}^{(r-1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

If this proposition is true for $r-1$, then by virtue of the above calculations it is also true for r . It is clearly true for $r=1$. Hence our proof is finished.

Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{PROPOSITION 20. } & \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(r)}, \lambda_1^{-1}(\theta) \dots \lambda_r^{-1}(\theta)) \\
&= \sum_i a_i \lambda_i' \lambda_i - \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_1 \neq i_2} a_{i_1 i_2} \lambda_{i_2}' \lambda_{i_1}' \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \\
&\quad - \dots \pm \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r, i_j \neq i_k} a_{i_1 i_2, \dots, i_r} \lambda_{i_r}' \dots \lambda_{i_1}' \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_r},
\end{aligned}$$

where $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_h} = \deg \lambda_{j_1}^{-1}(\theta) \dots \lambda_{j_{r-h}}^{-1}(\theta) \mathbf{W}^{(r-h)} i_1, i_2, \dots, i_h \neq j_1, \dots, j_h$.

From these propositions, we get

THEOREM 2. *Let r be an arbitrary integer with $1 \leq r < n$. Then there exists an integer c such that for all symmetric element α there exists a cycle \mathbf{X} of dimension r satisfying*

$$c\alpha = \delta(\mathbf{W}^{(n-r)}, \mathbf{X}).$$

REFERENCE

- [1] A. Weil: Variétés Abéliennes et Courbes Algébriques, Hermann, 1948.

*Mathematical Institute,
Nagoya University*