

## SOME EXAMPLES OF ONE DIMENSIONAL GORENSTEIN DOMAINS

KEIICHI WATANABE

### Introduction.

In this paper, I will prove the following theorems;

**THEOREM 1.** *For given integers  $n$  and  $m$  such that  $m \geq 2^n$ , there exist 1-dimensional local domains which are complete intersections and have embedding dimension  $n + 1$  and multiplicity  $m$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *For given integers  $n$  and  $m$  such that  $4 \leq n \leq m - 1$ , there exist 1-dimensional local domains which are Gorenstein with multiplicity  $m$  and embedding dimension  $n$  and which are not complete intersections.*

To give these examples I heavily use the theory of the value-semigroups of 1-dimensional local domains by Kunz and Herzog ([1], [3]).

**§1. Review of the theory of value-semigroups of 1-dimensional local domains** ([1], [3]).

In the following, a 'semigroup' always means an additive subsemigroup of  $N$ , the additive semigroup of non-negative integers.

(1) A 'numerical semigroup' is a semigroup  $H$  which satisfies two conditions;

1.  $0 \in H$
2. There exists an integer  $c$  such that any integer  $n \geq c$  is in  $H$ .

(2) The *conductor* of a numerical semigroup  $H$ , denoted by  $c(H)$ , is the smallest integer  $c$  such that all integers  $n$  satisfying  $n \geq c$  belong to  $H$ .

(3) We denote by  $\langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle$  the semigroup generated by  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ ;  $\langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle = \{ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i n_i \mid a_i \in N \}$ .

(4) We say that  $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$  is the *minimal generator system* of a semigroup  $H$  if  $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle$  and any proper subset of  $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$  does not generate  $H$ . If we suppose that  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k$ , this is equivalent to say that  $n_i \notin \langle n_1, \dots, n_{i-1} \rangle$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k$ .

When we write  $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle$ , we agree that  $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$  is the minimal generator system. Minimal generator system of an arbitrary subsemigroup of  $N$  uniquely exists.

(5) A numerical semigroup  $H$  is *symmetric* if for any integer  $n$ ,  $n \in H \Leftrightarrow c - 1 - n \notin H$  ( $c = c(H)$ ).

(6)  $K[H] = K[T^n; h \in H] \subset K[T]$  ( $K$  is a field and  $T$  is an indeterminate).  $K[H]_{\text{loc}}$  the localization of  $K[H]$  'at the origin'. If  $H$  is a numerical semigroup, the integral closure of  $K[H]$  in the quotient field of  $K[H]$  is  $K[T]$ .

If  $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle$ , then  $K[H] = K[T^{n_1}, \dots, T^{n_k}]$ .

(7) We say that a numerical semigroup  $H$  is a *complete intersection* if the ring  $K[H]$  is a complete intersection. When  $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle$ , and if we consider the homomorphism  $\Phi_H: K[X_1, \dots, X_k] \rightarrow K[H]$ ,  $\Phi_H(X_i) = T^{n_i}$ ,  $H$  is a complete intersection if and only if  $\text{Ker}(\Phi_H)$  is generated by  $k - 1$  elements.

(8) The *multiplicity* of  $H$ , denoted by  $m(H)$  is the least positive integer in  $H$ . If  $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle$  with  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k$ , then  $m(H) = n_1$ .

(9) The *embedding dimension* of  $H$ , denoted by  $\text{emb}(H)$ , is the number of the minimal generators of  $H$ . If  $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle$ , then  $\text{emb}(H) = k$  (recall that  $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$  is the minimal generator system).

(10) Let  $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle$  and  $h \in H$ . If  $h$  has different expressions as linear combinations of  $n_i$ 's, then we say that  $h$  is a *relation* of  $H$ . For example, if  $H = \langle 3, 4, 5 \rangle$ ,  $8 = 2 \cdot 4 = 3 + 5$  and  $9 = 3 \cdot 3 = 4 + 5$  are relations of  $H$ .

(11) For a relation  $h$  in  $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle$ , we associate to  $h$  a vector  $v_h \in \mathbf{Z}^k$  in the following way. If  $h = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i n_i = \sum_{i=1}^k b_i n_i$ , then  $v_h = (a_1 - b_1, a_2 - b_2, \dots, a_k - b_k)$ . In the example in (10),  $v_8 = (-1, 2, -1)$ , and  $v_9 = (3, -1, -1)$ . Of course  $v_h$  is not determined uniquely by  $h$ . But as it is not important in our following arguments, we agree to fix one such  $v_h$ .

(12) For  $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle$ , we define;

$$M(H) = \min \left\{ h_1 + h_2 + \dots + h_{k-1} \left| \begin{array}{l} h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} \text{ are relations in } H \text{ and} \\ v_{h_1}, \dots, v_{h_{k-1}} \text{ are linearly} \\ \text{independent in } \mathbf{Z}^k. \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

For example, if  $H = \langle 3, 4, 5 \rangle$ ,  $M(H) = 8 + 9 = 17$ .

Let  $R$  be an analytically irreducible 1-dimensional Noetherian local domain. Then the integral closure  $V$  of  $R$  in the quotient field of  $R$  is a discrete valuation ring. We assume that  $R$  and  $V$  has the same residue class field. (Which is true if  $R = K[H]_{\text{loc}}$ .) If we denote by  $v$  the valuation attached to  $V$ , then  $H_R = v(R)$  is a numerical semigroup and we have the following propositions.

- PROPOSITION 1. (1) *Multiplicity of  $R = m(H_R)$ .*  
 (2) *Embedding dimension of  $K[H]_{\text{loc}} = \text{emb}(H)$ ,*  
 (3)  *$R$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $H_R$  is symmetric.*  
 (4) *If  $H_R$  is a complete intersection, then  $R$  is a complete intersection.*  
 (4') *If  $R = K[H]_{\text{loc}}$ , then the converse of (4) holds.*

PROPOSITION 2. ([1, Satz 5.10]) *If  $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle$ , then we have that  $M(H) - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i + 1 \geq c(H)$ , and the equality holds if and only if  $H$  is a complete intersection.*

**§ 2. Examples of 1-dimensional local domains which are complete intersections and have given embedding dimension and multiplicity.**

LEMMA 1. *Let  $H_1 = \langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  be positive integers such that;*

- (i)  $a \in H_1$  and  $a \neq n_i (i = 1, \dots, k)$ .
- (ii)  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime.

*Then, if we put  $H = \langle a, bn_1, \dots, bn_k \rangle$  (which we will denote by  $H = \langle a, bH_1 \rangle$ ), we have;*

- (1)  *$H$  is a complete intersection if and only if  $H_1$  is a complete intersection.*
- (2)  *$H$  is symmetric if and only if  $H_1$  is symmetric.*

*Proof.* We consider the canonical homomorphisms  $\Phi_1: K[Y_1, \dots, Y_k] \rightarrow K[H_1]$  and  $\Phi: K[Y_1, \dots, Y_k, X] \rightarrow K[H]$  defined by  $\Phi_1(Y_i) = T^{n_i}$ ,  $\Phi(X) = T^a$ ,  $\Phi(Y_i) = T^{bn_i} (i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$ . We put  $\text{Ker}(\Phi_1) = A_1$  and  $A = (A_1, X^b - Y_1^{e_1} Y_2^{e_2} \dots Y_k^{e_k})$  where  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  are defined by  $a = \sum_{i=1}^k e_i n_i$  (we fix one

such expression). We claim  $\text{Ker}(\Phi) = A$ .  $\text{Ker}(\Phi) \supset A$  is obvious. Conversely, if  $f(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_k) \in \text{Ker}(\Phi)$ , we can find  $f_0(Y), \dots, f_{b-1}(Y) \in K[Y_1, \dots, Y_k]$  such that  $f \equiv f_0 + Xf_1 + \dots + X^{b-1}f_{b-1} \pmod{A}$ . Hence  $\Phi(f_i) \in K[T^b]$ . As  $\Phi(X^i) = T^{ai}$  and  $(a, b) = 1$ , it follows from  $\Phi(f) = 0$ , that  $\Phi(f_i) = 0$ , i.e.  $f_i \in \text{Ker}(\Phi_1) = A_1$  ( $i = 1, \dots, b-1$ ).

Now, we have  $K[H] = K[H_1][X]/(g)$ , where  $g(X, Y) = X^b - Y_1^{e_1} \dots Y_k^{e_k}$ . Thus we have;  $K[H]$  is a complete intersection (resp. Gorenstein)  $\Leftrightarrow K[H_1][X]$  is a complete intersection (resp. Gorenstein)  $\Leftrightarrow K[H_1]$  is a complete intersection (resp. Gorenstein). By Proposition 1 we are done.

By Lemma 1, we have semigroups which are complete intersections and have arbitrarily high embedding dimensions. When embedding dimension is  $\leq 3$ , the converse holds.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *If  $H$  is a semigroup which is a complete intersection and if  $\text{emb}(H) = 3$ , then  $H = \langle a, bH_1 \rangle$  where  $H_1$  is a semigroup of  $\text{emb}(H_1) = 2$  (which is necessarily a complete intersection) and  $a$  and  $b$  are integers satisfying the conditions of Lemma 1.*

(This proposition is proved by Herzog [2]. But as his proof is considerably long, I give a shorter proof.)

To prove the proposition, we need a lemma.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle$  be a semigroup which is a complete intersection,  $\Phi_H: K[X_1, \dots, X_k] \rightarrow K[H]$  be the canonical homomorphism and  $(f_1, \dots, f_{k-1})$  the generators of  $\text{Ker}(\Phi_H)$ . If we denote by  $J_p$  the ideal generated by  $p$  variables  $X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_p}$ , then there exists at most  $p-1$   $f_i$ 's which belong to  $J_p$ .*

**COROLLARY.** *For every variable  $X_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq k$ ), one of the  $f_i$ 's includes a monomial of the type  $X_j^s$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 2.* We consider the ideal  $A = (J_p, f_1, \dots, f_{k-1})$ . If  $f_1, \dots, f_p \in J_p$  (for simplicity, we renumber  $f_i$ 's), then  $A = (J_p, f_{p+1}, \dots, f_{k-1})$  and  $A$  is generated by  $k-1$  elements and  $ht(A)$  must be  $\leq k-1$ . But on the other hand,  $\dim(K[H]) = 1$  and  $\Phi_H(J_p) \neq 0$ . So, we must have  $ht(A) = k$ . Contradiction!

The corollary is a special case of the lemma when  $p = k-1$ .

*Proof of Proposition 3.* Let  $H = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$ ,  $\Phi_H: K[X_1, X_2, X_3] \rightarrow K[H]$ ,  $\text{Ker}(\Phi_H) = (f_1, f_2)$ . By the definition of  $\Phi_H$ , each  $f_i$  is of the form

(monomial)-(monomial). Then, by the corollary of Lemma 2, after renumbering  $X_i$ 's and  $f_i$ 's, we may assume,  $f_1 = X_2^a - X_3^m, f_2 = X_1^b - X_2^e X_3^f$ . As  $(f_1, f_2)$  is a prime ideal of height 2,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  must be irreducible and we have  $(m, n) = 1, n \cdot n_2 = m \cdot n_3, bn_1 = en_2 + fn_3$ . We put  $H_1 = \langle m, n \rangle, n_1 = a, n_2 = dm, n_3 = dn$ . Then  $ab = d(em + fn)$ . From  $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$ , we have  $(a, d) = 1$  and  $b = db'$ . We claim that  $d = b$  and  $a = em + fn$ . Let us assume  $d \neq b, b' \neq 1$ . If  $a \in H_1$ , take an integer  $s$  such that  $sa \in H_1$  and  $s$  is not a multiple of  $b'$ . Then, writing  $sa = e'm + f'n, g = X_1^{sd} - X_2^{e'} X_3^{f'} \in \text{Ker}(\Phi_H)$ . But it is easy to assure that  $g \in (f_1, f_2)$ . This contradicts the fact that  $(f_1, f_2) = \text{Ker}(\Phi_H)$ . If  $a \in H_1, a = e'm + f'n$ , then  $X_1^d - X_2^{e'} X_3^{f'} \in \text{Ker}(\Phi_H)$ . From  $\text{Ker}(\Phi_H) = (f_1, f_2)$ , we get  $d = b$ .

*Remark 1.* Proposition 3 is not true if  $emb(H) \geq 4$ . For example, If we put  $H = \langle 14, 21, 15, 20 \rangle, H$  is a complete intersection with  $c(H) = 68, \text{Ker}(\Phi_H) = (X_1^3 - X_2^3, X_1 X_2 - X_3 X_4, X_3^4 - X_4^3)$  and clearly  $H$  can not be written in the form  $H = \langle a, bH_1 \rangle$ .

*Remark 2.* By Proposition 3, we can determine the types of  $H$ 's which are complete intersections and  $emb(H) \leq 3$ . For example, if  $emb(H) = 3$  and  $m(H) = 5$  and if  $H$  is a complete intersection, (this is equivalent to say that  $H$  is symmetric, in this case) then  $H = \langle 5, 2p, 3p \rangle, p \geq 3, (p, 5) = 1$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $a$  be an odd integer. Then the semigroup  $H = \langle 2^n, 2^n + a, 2^n + 2a, \dots, 2^n + 2^t a, \dots, 2^n + 2^{n-1} a \rangle$  is a complete intersection for  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Easy by induction and applying Lemma 1.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be given positive integers such that  $m \geq 2^n$ . Then there exists a 1-dimensional local domain  $R$  which is a complete intersection with embedding dimension  $n + 1$  and multiplicity  $m$ .*

*Proof.* We find a semigroup  $H$  which is a complete intersection and  $m(H) = m, emb(H) = n + 1$ .

(i) If  $m$  is odd, we put  $m = 2^n + a$ . Then, by Lemma 3,  $H_1 = \langle 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1} + a, \dots, 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-2} a \rangle$  is a complete intersection and  $m \in H_1$ . If we take an integer  $b$ , such that  $(b, m) = 1$  and  $2^{n-1} b \geq m$ , then  $H = \langle m, bH_1 \rangle$  is the desired semigroup.

(ii) If  $m$  is even, using induction on  $n$ , we may assume that there exists a semigroup  $H_1$  which is a complete intersection and  $m(H_1) = m/2$ ,  $emb(H_1) = n$ . Then, if we take an odd integer  $a \in H_1$  such that  $a > m$  and  $a$  is not a generator of  $H_1$ ,  $H = \langle a, 2H_1 \rangle$  is the desired semigroup by Lemma 1.

*Remark.* If  $(R, M)$  is a regular local ring and if  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a regular sequence of  $R$  contained in  $M^2$ , then the multiplicity of  $R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is at least  $2^n$ . So the condition  $m \geq 2^n$  is necessary.

**§ 3. Examples of 1-dimensional Gorenstein local domains which are not complete intersections.**

LEMMA 4. *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers such that  $m - 1 \geq n \geq 4$ . If there exist integers  $a, b, e$  such that*

- (i)  $a, b \geq 0$  and  $e > 0$ ,
- (ii) if  $b > 0$ , then  $e$  is even,
- (iii)  $ea + (e/2)b + 2 = m$  (if  $e$  is odd, then  $b = 0$ ),
- (iv)  $n = a + b + 1$ .

*Then there exists a symmetric semigroup  $H$  with  $m(H) = m$  and  $emb(H) = n$  and  $H$  is not a complete intersection. Actually,*

$$H = \langle m, m + 1, \dots, m + a, 2m - b, 2m - b + 1, \dots, 2m - 1 \rangle .$$

*Proof.* We have  $c(H) = e(m + a) + 2$ . It is easy to see that  $H$  is symmetric. To prove that  $H$  is not a complete intersection, we restrict ourselves to the case  $a > 0$ . (The case  $a = 0$  can be proved similarly. But as the case  $a = 0$  is not used later, we omit the proof.) We give two different proofs, the first one using Proposition 2 and the second one using Lemma 2,

*First proof.* We compute  $M(H)$ . In the notation of § 1, (12), we have;

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= 2m + 2 = m + (m + 2) = 2(m + 1) \\ h_2 &= 2m + 3 = m + (m + 3) = (m + 1) + (m + 2) \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ h_{a-1} &= 2m + a = m + (m + a) = (m + 1) + (m + a - 1) \\ h_a &= 3m - b + 1 = m + (2m - b + 1) = (m + 1) + (2m - b) \\ h_{a+1} &= 3m - b + 2 = m + (2m - b + 2) = (m + 1) + (2m - b + 1) \\ &\dots\dots\dots \end{aligned}$$

$$h_{a+b-1} = 3m = (m + 1) + (2m - 1)$$

$$h_{a+b} = c(H) + m - b = (e/2 + 1)(2m - b) .$$

$M(H) - \sum_{i=1}^n n_i + 1 - c(H) = mb + m(a - 2) = (n - 3)m > 0$ . By Proposition 2,  $H$  is not a complete intersection.

*Second proof.* We consider the canonical homomorphism  $\Phi_H: K[X_0, X_1, \dots, X_a, X_{a+1}, \dots, X_{a+b}] \rightarrow K[H]$ , defined by  $\Phi_H(X_i) = T^{m+i} (0 \leq i \leq a)$ ,  $\Phi_H(X_j) = T^{2m-a-b-1+j} (a + 1 \leq j \leq a + b)$ . We assume that  $\text{Ker}(\Phi_H)$  is generated by  $a + b$  elements and lead to a contradiction. By the definition of  $\Phi_H$ , it is clear that  $f_1 = X_0X_2 - X_1^2, f_2 = X_0X_3 - X_1X_2, \dots, f_{a-1} = X_0X_a - X_1X_{a-1}, f_a = X_0X_{a+2} - X_1X_{a+1}, \dots, f_{a+b-1} = X_1X_{a+b} - X_0^3$  are  $a + b - 1$  members of minimal generators of  $\text{Ker}(\Phi_H)$ . As  $\text{Ker}(\Phi_H)$  is generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_{a+b-1}$  and one more polynomial  $g$ , and as  $g$  can include at most 2 monomials of the form  $X_i^2$ , we have  $a + b + 1 \leq 4$ . It remains to show that  $a + b + 1 = 4$  does not occur. If  $a = 1, b = 2$ , then  $f_1 = X_0X_3 - X_1X_2$  and  $f_2 = X_1X_3 - X_0^3$ . So it is impossible to find  $f_3$  satisfying the condition of the corollary of Lemma 2. If  $a = 2, b = 1$ , then  $f_1 = X_0X_2 - X_1^2$  and  $f_2 = X_1X_3 - X_0^3$ . But in this case,  $f_1, f_2 \in (X_0, X_1)$  and this contradicts Lemma 2 ( $p = 2$ ). If  $a = 3, b = 0, f_1 = X_0X_2 - X_1^2$  and  $f_2 = X_0X_3 - X_1X_2$  and it is impossible to find  $f_3$  satisfying the condition of the corollary of Lemma 2. This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.

LEMMA 5. *If  $m - 1 \geq n \geq m/2$ , there exist  $a, b$  and  $e$  satisfying the conditions of Lemma 4. Furthermore, we can take  $a > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $e = 2, b = 2n - m, a = m - n - 1$  if  $n \neq m - 1$ . When  $n = m - 1$ , we put  $e = 1, b = 0, a = n - 1 = m - 2$ .

LEMMA 6. *If  $m \geq 5$ , there exists a symmetric semigroup  $H$ , which is not a complete intersection and with  $m(H) = m, \text{emb}(H) = 4$ .*

*Proof.* Case I  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Writing  $m = 4m' + 1$ , we put

$$H = \langle m, m + 1, m + 2, m'(m + 2) + 1 \rangle .$$

Then  $H$  is symmetric with;

$$c(H) = 2m'm \ , \quad M(H) = h_1 + h_2 + h_3 \ ,$$

where

$$h_1 = 2m + 2 = 2(m + 1) = m + (m + 2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_2 &= (m'(m+2) + 1) + m = m'(m+2) + (m+1) \\ h_3 &= c(H) + m = (2m' + 1)m = (m'(m+2) + 1) + m'(m+2). \end{aligned}$$

$M(H) - m - (m+1) - (m+2) - (m'(m+2) + 1) + 1 - c(H) = m > 0$ ,  
 $H$  is not a complete intersection.

Case II  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . In the Lemma 4, put  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 2$ ,  $e = (m-2)/2$ .

Case III  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . We put

$$H = \langle m, m+1, 2m+3, 2m+4 \rangle.$$

Then  $H$  is symmetric with ;

$$c(H) = \frac{m(m+1)}{2}, \quad M(H) = h_1 + h_2 + h_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= 3m+3 = 3(m+1) = m + (2m+3) \\ h_2 &= 3m+4 = (m+1) + (2m+3) = m + (2m+4) \\ h_3 &= c(H) + m = (2m+3) + \frac{m-3}{4}(2m+4) = \frac{m+3}{2}m. \end{aligned}$$

$M(H) - m - (m+1) - (2m+3) - (2m+4) + 1 - c(H) = m > 0$ . Hence  
 $H$  is not a complete intersection.

Case IV  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . We put

$$H = \left\langle m, m+1, n_3 = \frac{(m-4)(m+1)}{2} + 1, n_4 = \frac{(m-2)(m+1)}{2} + 2 \right\rangle.$$

Then  $H$  is symmetric with ;

$$c(H) = m(m-3), \quad M(H) = h_1 + h_2 + h_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= m + n_3 = (m+1)\frac{m-2}{2} \\ h_2 &= m + n_4 = 2(m+1) + n_3 \\ h_3 &= c(H) + m = m(m-2) = n_3 + n_4. \end{aligned}$$

$M(H) - m - (m+1) - n_3 - n_4 + 1 - c(H) = m > 0$ . Hence  $H$  is not a complete intersection.



**THEOREM 2.** *For given positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ , such that  $m - 1 \geq n \geq 4$ , there exists 1-dimensional local domain  $R$  which is Gorenstein with  $\text{emb}(R) = n, m(R) = m$  and which is not a complete intersection.*

*Proof.* It suffices to find a symmetric semigroup  $H$  with  $\text{emb}(H) = n$  and  $m(H) = m$  and which is not a complete intersection.

(i) It is done for  $n = 4$  by Lemma 6.

(ii) If  $n \geq m/2$ , this is true by Lemma 5.

(iii) If  $m/2 \geq n \geq 4$ , let  $H_1 = \langle n, n + 1, \dots, 2n - 2 \rangle$ . By Lemma 4,  $H_1$  is symmetric with  $c(H_1) = 2n$  and  $\text{emb}(H_1) = n - 1$  which is not a complete intersection and  $m \in H_1$ . If we choose an integer  $b$  so that  $(b, m) = 1$  and  $bn > m$ , then  $H = \langle m, bH_1 \rangle$  is the desired example by Lemma 1.

*Remark.* The condition  $m - 1 \geq n \geq 4$  is necessary. If  $n \geq m$ , we can choose  $x \in R$  such that  $m = m(R) = \text{length}(R/xR)$ . But as  $\text{length}(R/xR) \geq \text{emb}(R) = n$ , the only possibility is the case when  $m = \text{length}(R/xR) = \text{emb}(R)$ . But in this case the principal ideal  $xR$  can not be irreducible and  $R$  is not Gorenstein.

If  $n = 3$ , then it is known by Serre that if  $R$  is Gorenstein, then  $R$  is a complete intersection.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] J. Herzog and E. Kunz; Die Werthhalbgruppe eines lokalen Rings der dimension 1, Sitzungsberichte der Heiderberger Akademie der Wissenschaften (1971), Springer-Verlag.
- [ 2 ] J. Herzog; Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroupings. Manuscripta math. **3**, 175-193 (1970).
- [ 3 ] E. Kunz; The value-semigroup of a one-dimensional Gorenstein ring. Proc. A.M.S. **25**, (1970) 748-751.

*Tokyo Metropolitan University*

