

POSITIVENESS OF THE REPRODUCING KERNEL IN THE SPACE $PD(R)$

IVAN J. SINGER*

An important problem in the study of the Hilbert space $PD(R)$ of Dirichlet finite solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$ on a Riemann surface R is to know the behavior of the reproducing kernel in $PD(R)$. The main result of this paper is that the reproducing kernel is strictly positive.

1. Let $P(z)dxdy$ ($z = x + iy$) be a nonnegative not identically zero α -Hölder continuous ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) second order differential on a Riemann surface R . We also assume that $R \notin O_{PD}$, i.e. there exists a nontrivial Dirichlet finite solution of

$$(1) \quad \Delta u(z) = P(z)u(z)$$

on R . If we mean by the scalar product of $u, v \in PD(R)$ the Dirichlet scalar product $(u, v) = D_R[u, v] = \int_R du \wedge *dv$ then $PD(R)$ is a Hilbert space; and as shown by Nakai [2], $PD(R)$ is then uniformly locally bounded on R . Hence there exists a unique reproducing kernel in $PD(R)$ which is a symmetric function on $R \times R$. Denote this kernel by $K(z, \zeta)$.

To show the positiveness of $K(z, \zeta)$ on $R \times R$ it will be enough to examine the kernel at a point z_0 , i.e. the function $K(z, z_0)$, where $z_0 \in R$ is an arbitrary but fixed point. From now on, z_0 will be fixed and $K(z) = K(z, z_0)$.

Let Ω always be a regular subregion of R such that $z_0 \in \Omega$ and $P(z)dxdy \not\equiv 0$ on Ω . Then $\Omega \notin O_{PD}$ and since $P(z) \not\equiv 0$ on Ω , the Neumann's and Green's functions on Ω of (1) are well-defined; hence by Ozawa [6] their difference is 2π -times the reproducing kernel in the space $PE(\Omega)$, i.e. in the space of all energy finite solutions of (1) on Ω , while the

Received September 25, 1971.

* The work was sponsored by the U.S. Army Research Office—Durham, Grant DA-ARO-D-31-124-71-G20, UCLA.

scalar product of $u, v \in PE(\Omega)$ is the mixed energy integral $E_\alpha(u, v) = D_\alpha[u, v] + \int_x uPv$. Denote this kernel by

$$(2) \quad L_\alpha(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi}(N_\alpha(z, \zeta) - G_\alpha(z, \zeta)),$$

where N_α , resp. G_α is Neumann's, resp. Green's function of (1) on Ω . Making use of the joint finite continuity of N_α, G_α (cf. Nakai [1]) we can prove the *known fact* that if a function $f(z) \in L^2_\alpha(\Omega)$ with the measure $P = P(z)dx dy$, then $\int_\alpha L_\alpha(z, \zeta)P(\zeta)f(\zeta)d\xi d\eta$ ($\zeta = \xi + i\eta$) is a continuous function of z on $\bar{\Omega}$. We will extensively use this and also an important result of Nakai [3] that the vector space $PBD(R)$ of bounded Dirichlet finite solutions of (1) is dense in $PD(R)$ with respect to the CD -topology (for the notation cf. [7]).

2. For a regular subregion Ω , obviously $PE(\Omega) \subset PD(\Omega)$ but it may not be without interest to observe that the elements from the larger set PD are reproduced by the kernel $L_\alpha(z, \zeta)$. In particular, we have a simple but important lemma for our further work:

LEMMA 1. *If $u \in PD(\Omega)$ then*

$$(3) \quad u(z) = E_\alpha(u(\zeta), L_\alpha(z, \zeta))$$

for all $z \in \Omega$.

Proof. By [2] $PD(\Omega)$ possesses a Riesz decomposition, thus $u = u^+ - u^-$ where u^+, u^- are positive elements of PD . Assuming that, say $u^+ \not\equiv 0$, we show (3) for u^+ . According to [4] there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{u_n^+\}$ of bounded PD -functions on Ω such that $u^+ = CD - \lim u_n^+$. Because $u_n^+ \in PE(\Omega)$ for each n , we may write

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} u_n^+(z) &= E_\alpha(u_n^+(\zeta), L_\alpha(z, \zeta)) \\ &= D_\alpha[u_n^+(\zeta), L_\alpha(z, \zeta)] + \int_\alpha u_n^+(\zeta)P(\zeta)L_\alpha(z, \zeta)d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

But since for a given $z \in \Omega$, $L_\alpha(z, \zeta) \in PD(\Omega)$ and $u_n^+ \geq 0$ on Ω , the Lebesgue convergence theorem yields (3). The same can be proved for u^- , and hence (3) is valid for u .

COROLLARY 1. *If $K_\alpha(z)$ is a reproducing kernel in $PD(\Omega)$ at the point z_0 , then*

$$(5) \quad K_{\rho}(z) = L_{\rho}(z) + \int_{\rho} L_{\rho}(z, \zeta) P(\zeta) K_{\rho}(\zeta) d\xi d\eta$$

where $L_{\rho}(z) = L_{\rho}(z, z_0)$.

COROLLARY 1'. $K_{\rho}(z) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$.

Proof. Since for any Riesz decomposition of K_{ρ} , both K_{ρ}^+, K_{ρ}^- satisfy (3) we have

$$K_{\rho}^{\mp}(z) = D_{\rho}[K_{\rho}^{\mp}(\cdot), L_{\rho}(z, \cdot)] + \int_{\rho} K_{\rho}^{\mp}(\cdot) P(\cdot) L_{\rho}(z, \cdot) .$$

For any $z \in \Omega$, $\inf_{\zeta \in \rho} L_{\rho}(z, \zeta) > 0$; thus K_{ρ}^+, K_{ρ}^- are in $L^1_{\rho}(\Omega)$ and consequently $K_{\rho} \in L^1_{\rho}(\Omega)$. Then from (5) and by using Fubini's theorem we see that $K_{\rho} \in L^2_{\rho}(\Omega)$; therefore by Schwarz's inequality, directly from (5) we obtain $K_{\rho} \in L^{\infty}_{\rho}(\Omega)$. Thus by the remark in section 1, $K_{\rho}(z) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. The corollary is then proved.

We denote by $P(\Omega)$ the family of solutions of (1) on Ω . As far as a solution of the integral equation (5) is concerned we may state

LEMMA 2. *The integral equation*

$$(6) \quad f(z) - \int_{\rho} f(\zeta) P(\zeta) L_{\rho}(z, \zeta) d\xi d\eta = L_{\rho}(z)$$

has a unique solution in the class $C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap P(\Omega)$.

Proof. Denote by $Q: C(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C(\bar{\Omega})$ the operator defined by

$$(7) \quad Qf(z) = \int_{\rho} f(\zeta) P(\zeta) L_{\rho}(z, \zeta) d\xi d\eta$$

for every $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. Q is well-defined and $Q(C(\bar{\Omega})) \subset C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap P(\Omega)$. If we define the norm $\|f\| = \sup_{\rho} |f|$ for $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ then

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Qu\| &= \sup_{z \in \bar{\Omega}} \left| \int_{\rho} u(\zeta) P(\zeta) L_{\rho}(z, \zeta) d\xi d\eta \right| \\ &\leq \|u\| \sup_{\rho} q(z) \end{aligned}$$

for $u \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap P(\Omega)$, where

$$(9) \quad q(z) = \int_{\rho} e_{\rho}(\zeta) P(\zeta) L_{\rho}(z, \zeta) d\xi d\eta$$

and e_{ρ} is the solution of (1) with constant boundary values 1. The function $q(z) \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap P(\Omega)$, and thus by the maximum principle $\sup_{\rho} q(z) =$

$q(z') = q$, where $z' \in \partial\Omega$. From the construction of the Neumann's function N_ρ , using the double of Ω , we observe that

$$(10) \quad q(z') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\rho N_\rho(z', \zeta) P(\zeta) e_\rho(\zeta) d\xi d\eta,$$

and

$$(11) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\rho N_\rho(z, \zeta) P(\zeta) d\xi d\eta = 1$$

on $\bar{\Omega}$. Because from the maximum principle $e_\rho < 1$ on Ω and as assumed $P(\zeta) \neq 0$ on Ω , (10) and (11) give $q = q(z') < 1$. Thus by (8)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q^n u \in C(\bar{\Omega});$$

and if $u(z) = L_\rho(z)$, by Harnack's principle

$$(12) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q^n L_\rho \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap P(\Omega),$$

since $L_\rho(z) \geq 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. Hence $\sum_0^\infty Q^n L_\rho$ is a solution of (6) and obviously it is unique in the class $C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap P(\Omega)$. This completes the proof.

By Corollaries 1, 1', and Lemma 2 we have the

LEMMA 3. *If $K_\rho \in PD(\Omega)$ is the kernel at the point $z_0 \in \Omega$, then*

$$(13) \quad K_\rho(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q^n L_\rho(z),$$

and $K_\rho(z) > 0$ on Ω .

3. Finally we show that the kernel $K(z) \in PD(R)$ at the point z_0 can be obtained as $\lim_{\Omega \rightarrow R} K_\rho(z)$ where Ω exhausts R . Then $K > 0$ on R .

Take a regular exhaustion $\{\Omega_n\}_1^\infty$ of R by regular subregions such that $z_0 \in \Omega_1$ and $P \neq 0$ on Ω_1 . By Lemma 3 for each $PD(\Omega_n)$ there exists a nonnegative reproducing kernel at z_0 , say K_{Ω_n} . Since $\Omega_n \subset \Omega_{n+1}$, we have

$$(14) \quad D_{\Omega_n}[K_{\Omega_{n+1}}, K_{\Omega_n}] = K_{\Omega_{n+1}}(z_0).$$

By Schwarz's inequality

$$(15) \quad (D_{\Omega_n}[K_{\Omega_{n+1}}, K_{\Omega_n}])^2 \leq K_{\Omega_{n+1}}(z_0) K_{\Omega_n}(z_0);$$

hence

$$(16) \quad K_{\Omega_{n+1}}(z_0) \leq K_{\Omega_n}(z_0)$$

and inductively

$$(17) \quad D_{\Omega_m}[K_{\Omega_m}] \leq D_{\Omega_n}[K_{\Omega_n}]$$

for $m \geq n$. Since $PD(\Omega_k)$ is a Hilbert space for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, it follows from (16) and (17) that for any k there exists a subsequence $\{K_{\Omega_{k_i}}\} \subset \{K_{\Omega_n}\}, k_i \geq k$, and a function $K_k \in PD(\Omega_k)$ such that

$$(18) \quad D_{\Omega_k}[K_{\Omega_{k_i}}, u] \rightarrow D_{\Omega_k}[K_k, u]$$

for each $u \in PD(\Omega_k)$ and thus for each $u \in PD(R)$. Moreover $\{K_{\Omega_{k_i}}\}$ can be chosen such that it converges to K_k uniformly on each compact subset of Ω_k . Using the diagonal process we obtain a subsequence $\{K_{\Omega_{n_i}}\} \subset \{K_{\Omega_n}\}$, converging to, say a function K , uniformly on any compact subset of R .

We show that K is in fact the kernel K at the point z_0 . From the limiting process we know that $K \geq 0$ and K is a solution of (1) on R . It remains to prove the finiteness of the Dirichlet integral and the reproducing property at z_0 of K .

On $\Omega \in \{\Omega_{n_i}\}, K|_{\Omega} \in PD(\Omega)$ and $D_{\Omega}[K_{\Omega_{n_i}} - K] = D_{\Omega}[K_{\Omega_{n_i}} - K, K_{\Omega_{n_i}}^{\#}] - D[K_{\Omega_{n_i}} - K, K]$. By (18)

$$(20) \quad \lim_{n_i} D_{\Omega}[K_{\Omega_{n_i}} - K, K] = 0$$

and by (17)

$$(21) \quad \limsup_{n_i} D_{\Omega}[K_{\Omega_{n_i}} - K, K_{\Omega_{n_i}}] \leq K_{\Omega_1}(z_0) + \|K\|_{\Omega} (K_{\Omega_1}(z_0))^{1/2},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\Omega}$ means Dirichlet norm. Also

$$D_{\Omega}[K] \leq D_{\Omega}[K_{\Omega_{n_i}} - K] + D_{\Omega}[K_{\Omega_{n_i}}] + 2 \cdot \|K_{\Omega_{n_i}} - K\|_{\Omega} \cdot \|K_{\Omega_{n_i}}\|_{\Omega}.$$

Hence by (17), (20) and (21) we have for any $\Omega \in \{\Omega_{n_i}\}$ the estimate

$$(22) \quad \|K\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq 2a + b \|K\|_{\Omega} + c\sqrt{a + b} \|K\|_{\Omega}$$

where a, b and c are fixed positive constants. Therefore $\limsup_{n_i} D_{\Omega_{n_i}}[K] < \infty$.

Let $u \in PD(R)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ choose an n_j such that $\|u\|_{R - \Omega_{n_j}} < \varepsilon / (K_{\Omega_1}(z_0))^{1/2}$. Then for $n_i \geq n_j$

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} |D_{\Omega_{n_j}}[K, u] - u(z_0)| &= |D_{\Omega_{n_j}}[K, u] - D_{\Omega_{n_j}}[K_{\Omega_{n_j}}, u]| \\ &\leq |D_{\Omega_{n_j}}[K - K_{\Omega_{n_i}}, u]| + |D_{\Omega_{n_j}}[K_{\Omega_{n_i}} - K_{\Omega_{n_j}}, u]|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the reproducing properties of $K_{\rho_{n_j}}$ and $K_{\rho_{n_i}}$ by (16) we obtain

$$|D_{\rho_{n_j}}[K_{\rho_{n_i}} - K_{\rho_{n_j}}, u]| \leq |D_{\rho_{n_i} - \rho_{n_j}}[K_{\rho_{n_i}}, u]| < \varepsilon,$$

By (18) and (23), $|D_{\rho_{n_j}}[K, u] - u(z_0)| < \varepsilon$, and since $D_R[K] < \infty$, $D_R[K, u] = u(z_0)$. Thus we have proved the following

THEOREM. *If $R \notin O_{PD}$ then there exists the reproducing kernel $K(z, \zeta)$ in the Hilbert space $PD(R)$ and it is a strictly positive symmetric function on $R \times R$.*

Unfortunately there is no such expression for K as (13), since by Nakai [5], $O_{PD} < O_{PE}$, i.e. there exists a Riemann surface which does not possess a nontrivial energy finite solution of (1); hence $L_R(z, \zeta) \equiv 0$ there, although if $R \in O_{PE} - O_{PD}$, the reproducing kernel $K \in PD(R)$ exists.

Still open questions remain as to whether or not the kernel $K(z, \zeta)$ as a function of one variable is bounded and if there exist more explicit expressions for K_ρ as it was introduced in (13).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] M. Nakai, *The space of non-negative solutions of the equation $\Delta u = Pu$ on a Riemann surface*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. **12** (1960), 151-178.
- [2] —, *The space of Dirichlet-finite solutions of the equation $\Delta u = Pu$ on a Riemann surface*, Nagoya Math. J. **18** (1961), 111-131.
- [3] —, *Dirichlet finite solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$, and classification of Riemann surfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (3) **77** (1971), 381-385.
- [4] —, *Dirichlet finite solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$ on open Riemann surface*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. (to appear).
- [5] —, *The equation $\Delta u = Pu$ on the unit disk with almost rotation free $P \geq 0$* , J. Diff. Eq. (to appear).
- [6] M. Ozawa, *Classification of Riemann surfaces*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. **4** (1952), 63-76.
- [7] L. Sario—M. Nakai, *Classification Theory of Riemann Surfaces* Springer, 1970, 446 pp.

*Department of Mathematics
University of California*