

A NOTE ON HOLOMORPHIC VECTOR BUNDLES OVER COMPLEX TORI

HISASI MORIKAWA

1. Let $\omega : \mathbf{Z}^{2r} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^r$ be an isomorphism of the free additive group of rank $2r$ into the complex vector n -space such that the quotient group $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{C}^r / \omega(\mathbf{Z}^{2r})$ is compact, i.e., \mathbf{T}_ω is a complex torus.

We mean by a matric multiplier of rank n with respect to ω a family of complex holomorphic $n \times n$ -matrix functions $\{\mu_\alpha(z)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}}$ on \mathbf{C}^r such that

- 1) $\det \mu_\alpha(z) \neq 0 \quad (z \in \mathbf{C}^r),$
- 2) $\mu_\alpha(z) \mu_\beta(z + \omega(\alpha)) = \mu_{\alpha+\beta}(z), \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}).$

By virtue of the conditions 1) and 2) we may define an action of \mathbf{Z}^{2r} on the product $\mathbf{C}^r \times \mathbf{C}^n$ as follows:

$$(z, u) \rightarrow (z + \omega(\alpha), v\mu_\alpha(z)), \quad (\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}).$$

The quotient \mathbf{V}_μ of $\mathbf{C}^r \times \mathbf{C}^n$ by this action of \mathbf{Z}^{2r} is a holomorphic vector n -bundle over the complex torus \mathbf{T}_ω , and conversely every holomorphic vector bundle over \mathbf{T}_ω is constructed by this method with a matric multiplier, since holomorphic vector bundles over a vector space are always trivial.¹⁾

2. We shall recall the definition of *finite Heisenberg groups* and their canonical representations.

Let G be an additive group of order n and of exponent d , and ζ be a primitive d -th root of unity. Let \hat{G} be the dual group of G defined by a pairing $(\hat{a}, a) \rightarrow \langle \hat{a}, a \rangle$ of $\hat{G} \times G$ into the multiplicative group $\{1, \zeta, \dots, \zeta^{d-1}\}$. We mean by the finite Heisenberg group $H(G)$ associated with G the group consisting of triples $\{(\hat{a}, a, \zeta^l) \mid \hat{a} \in \hat{G}, a \in G, 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$ with the composition law

$$(\hat{a}, a, \zeta^l) (\hat{b}, b, \zeta^h) = (\hat{a} + \hat{b}, a + b, \langle \hat{a}, b \rangle \zeta^{l+h}).$$

Received March 17, 1970.

The Heisenberg group $H(G)$ has a faithful irreducible $n \times n$ -matrix representation $\{U_{(\hat{a}, a, \zeta^t)}\}$ characterized by its character

$$\text{tr}U_{(\hat{a}, a, \zeta^t)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \hat{a} + a \neq 0, \\ n\zeta^t, & \text{for } \hat{a} + a = 0, \end{cases}$$

We call such a representation U the canonical representation of $H(G)$. Actually U is given by

$$U_{(\hat{a}, a, \zeta^t)} = (\zeta^t u_{b,c}(\hat{a} + a))_{b,c \in G},$$

where

$$u_{b,c}(\hat{a} + a) = \langle \hat{a}, b \rangle \delta_{b,c+a},$$

$$\delta_{b,a} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } b = a \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

In these terminologies we shall show the following result:

THEOREM 1. *Let $\omega : \mathbf{Z}^{2r} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^r$ be an isomorphism such that $\mathbf{T}_\omega = \mathbf{C}^r / \omega(\mathbf{Z}^{2r})$ is a complex torus. If a matrix multiplier $\{\mu_\alpha(z)\}$ of rank n with respect to ω satisfies the conditions*

i) $\mu_\alpha(z) = \mu_\alpha(0)\chi_\alpha(z)$ with scalar functions $\chi_\alpha(z)$ ($\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}$),

ii) the commutators of $\{\mu_\alpha(0)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}}$ are scalar matrices,

then there exist an additive group G of order n , a surjective homomorphism $\hat{\sigma} \oplus \sigma$ of \mathbf{Z}^{2r} onto $\hat{G} \oplus G$ and a family of holomorphic functions $\{\xi_\alpha(z)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}}$ such that

$$\mu_\alpha(z) = U_{(\hat{\sigma}(\alpha), \sigma(\alpha), 1)} \xi_\alpha(z), \quad (\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}),$$

where U is the canonical representation of the Heisenberg group $H(G)$.

Proof. Putting $z = 0$ in $\mu_\alpha(z)\mu_\beta(z + \omega(\alpha)) = \mu_{\alpha+\beta}(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha(0)\mu_\beta(0)\chi_\beta(\omega(\alpha)) &= \mu_{\alpha+\beta}(0), \\ \chi_\beta(\omega(\alpha))^n &= \frac{\det \mu_{\alpha+\beta}(0)}{\det \mu_\alpha(0) \det \mu_\beta(0)}, \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the left hand side of the last equation is symmetric with respect to α and β , denoting

$$\zeta_{\alpha,\beta} = \chi_\beta(\omega(\alpha))^{-1} \chi_\alpha(\omega(\beta)),$$

we have

$$\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}^n = 1, \quad \zeta_{\alpha,\beta}\zeta_{\beta,\alpha} = 1,$$

and the commutation relation

$$\mu_\alpha(0)\mu_\beta(0) = \zeta_{\alpha,\beta}\mu_\beta(0)\mu_\alpha(0).$$

Moreover $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}$ is bimultiplicative, namely

$$\zeta_{\alpha,\beta+\gamma} = \zeta_{\alpha,\beta}\zeta_{\alpha,\gamma}.$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha(0)\mu_\beta(0)\mu_\gamma(0) &= \mu_\alpha(0)\mu_{\beta+\gamma}(0)\chi_\gamma(\omega(\beta))^{-1} \\ &= \zeta_{\alpha,\beta+\gamma}\mu_{\beta+\gamma}(0)\mu_\alpha(0)\chi_\gamma(\omega(\beta))^{-1} \\ &= \zeta_{\alpha,\beta+\gamma}\mu_\beta(0)\mu_\gamma(0)\mu_\alpha(0) \\ &= \zeta_{\alpha,\beta+\gamma}\zeta_{\beta,\alpha}\zeta_{\gamma,\alpha}\mu_\alpha(0)\mu_\beta(0)\mu_\gamma(0) \\ &= \zeta_{\alpha,\beta+\gamma}\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1}\zeta_{\alpha,\gamma}^{-1}\mu_\alpha(0)\mu_\beta(0)\mu_\gamma(0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_\alpha(0)^n\mu_\beta(0) = \zeta_{\alpha,\beta}^n\mu_\beta(0)\mu_\alpha(0)^n = \mu_\beta(0)\mu_\alpha(0)^n$, ($\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}$) and these $\mu_\alpha(0)^n$ ($\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}$) are scalar matrices by the condition (ii). On the other hand

$$\mu_{n\alpha}(0) = \chi_\alpha(\omega(\alpha))\chi_\alpha(\omega(2\alpha)) \cdots \chi_\alpha(\omega(n-1)\alpha)\mu_\alpha(0)^n,$$

hence $\mu_{n\alpha}(0)$, ($\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}$), are scalar matrices. Let N be the subgroup consisting of all the elements α such that $\mu_\alpha(0)$ is a scalar matrix. Then $N \supset n\mathbf{Z}^{2r}$ and the element α is characterized by $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta} = 1$ for every β in \mathbf{Z}^{2r} ,

Since $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}$ is bimultiplicative and $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta} = \zeta_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}$, there exists a skew symmetric rational matrix A such that

$$\zeta_{\alpha,\beta} = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\alpha A\beta}$$

and nA is an integral matrix. Therefore we can choose a base $\{a_1, \dots, a_s, \hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_s\}$ of the quotient additive group \mathbf{Z}^{2r}/N and their representatives $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s, \hat{\alpha}_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_r$ in \mathbf{Z}^{2r} such that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j} &= \zeta_{\hat{\alpha}_i, \hat{\alpha}_j} = 1, & (1 \leq i, j \leq s) \\ \zeta_{\hat{\alpha}_i, \alpha_h} &= 1, & (i \neq h) \\ \zeta_{\hat{\alpha}_i, \alpha_i}^{d_i} &= 1, & (1 \leq i \leq s), \end{aligned}$$

where d_i is the common order of α_i and $\hat{\alpha}_i$. We denote by G and \hat{G} the subgroups of \mathbf{Z}^{2r}/N generated by $\{a_1, \dots, a_s\}$ and $\{\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_s\}$, respectively. Then $\mathbf{Z}^{2r}/N = G \oplus \hat{G}$ and the map

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^s l_i \hat{a}_i, \sum_{i=1}^s h_i a_i \right\rangle \rightarrow \zeta^{\sum_{i=1}^s l_i \hat{a}_i, \sum_{i=1}^s h_i a_i} = \prod_{i=1}^s \zeta^{l_i h_i}$$

is the pairing of the dual pair (G, \hat{G}) . We denote by $\langle \sum_{i=1}^s l_i \hat{a}_i, \sum_{i=1}^s h_i a_i \rangle$ its value. Denote by G^* and \hat{G}^* the inverse images of G and \hat{G} in \mathbb{Z}^{2r} , respectively. Then from the definition of G and \hat{G} it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha(0)\mu_\beta(0) &= \mu_\beta(0)\mu_\alpha(0), & (\alpha, \beta \in G^*) \\ \mu_{\hat{\alpha}}(0)\mu_{\hat{\beta}}(0) &= \mu_{\hat{\beta}}(0)\mu_{\hat{\alpha}}(0), & (\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta} \in \hat{G}^*), \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_i \hat{a}_i$ and $d_i a_i$ are elements in N , the matrices $\mu_{d_i \hat{a}_i}(0)$ and $\mu_{d_i a_i}(0)$ are scalar matrices and we can choose scalar matrices $\nu_{\hat{a}_i}$ and ν_{a_i} such that

$$\nu_{\hat{a}_i}^{d_i} = \mu_{d_i \hat{a}_i}(0) \quad \nu_{a_i}^{d_i} = \mu_{d_i a_i}(0) \quad (1 \leq i \leq s).$$

Let us construct an irreducible $n \times n$ -representation of the Heisenberg group $H(G)$. From the definition follows the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu_{\hat{a}_i}^{-1} \mu_{\hat{a}_i}(0)) (\nu_{\hat{a}_j}^{-1} \mu_{\hat{a}_j}(0)) &= (\nu_{\hat{a}_j}^{-1} \mu_{\hat{a}_j}(0)) (\nu_{\hat{a}_i}^{-1} \mu_{\hat{a}_i}(0)), \\ (\nu_{\hat{a}_i}^{-1} \mu_{\alpha_i}(0)) (\nu_{\alpha_j}^{-1} \mu_{\alpha_j}(0)) &= (\nu_{\alpha_j}^{-1} \mu_{\alpha_j}(0)) (\nu_{\hat{a}_i}^{-1} \mu_{\alpha_i}(0)), \\ (\nu_{\hat{a}_l}^{-1} \mu_{\hat{a}_l}(0)) (\nu_{\alpha_h}^{-1} \mu_{\alpha_h}(0)) &= (\nu_{\alpha_h}^{-1} \mu_{\alpha_h}(0)) (\nu_{\hat{a}_l}^{-1} \mu_{\hat{a}_l}(0)), \quad (l \neq h), \\ (\nu_{\hat{a}_i}^{-1} \mu_{\hat{a}_i}(0)) (\nu_{\alpha_i}^{-1} \mu_{\alpha_i}(0)) &= \langle \hat{a}_i, \alpha_i \rangle (\nu_{\alpha_i}^{-1} \mu_{\alpha_i}(0)) (\nu_{\hat{a}_i}^{-1} \mu_{\hat{a}_i}(0)). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that we may define a map

$$\sum_{i=1}^s l_i a_i + \sum_{i=1}^s h_i \hat{a}_i \rightarrow M\left(\sum_{i=1}^s l_i a_i + \sum_{i=1}^s h_i \hat{a}_i\right) = \prod_{i=1}^s (\nu_{\alpha_i}^{-1} \mu_{\alpha_i}(0))^{l_i} \prod_{i=1}^s (\nu_{\hat{a}_i}^{-1} \mu_{\hat{a}_i}(0))^{h_i}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} M\left(\sum_{i=1}^s l_i a_i\right) M\left(\sum_{i=1}^s h_i \hat{a}_i\right) &= M\left(\sum_{i=1}^s l_i a_i + \sum_{i=1}^s h_i \hat{a}_i\right), \\ M\left(\sum_{i=1}^s h_i \hat{a}_i\right) M\left(\sum_{i=1}^s l_i a_i\right) &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^s h_i \hat{a}_i, \sum_{i=1}^s l_i a_i \right\rangle M\left(\sum_{i=1}^s l_i a_i + \sum_{i=1}^s h_i \hat{a}_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let d be the exponent of G and ζ be the primitive d -th root of unity. Then the map

$$(\hat{a}, a, \zeta^l) \rightarrow U_{(a, \hat{a}, \zeta^l)} = \zeta^l M(\hat{a} + a)$$

is a representation of the Heisenberg group of $H(G)$.

From the commutation relation

$$U_{(\hat{a}, a, \zeta^l)} U_{(\hat{b}, b, \zeta^h)} = \langle \hat{a}, b \rangle U_{(\hat{b}, b, \zeta^h)} U_{(\hat{a}, a, \zeta^l)}$$

follows

$$\text{tr } U_{(\hat{a}, a, \zeta^l)} = \langle \hat{a}, b \rangle \text{tr } U_{(\hat{a}, a, \zeta^l)},$$

$$\text{tr } U_{(\hat{b}, b, \zeta^h)} = \langle \hat{a}, b \rangle \text{tr } U_{(\hat{b}, b, \zeta^h)}.$$

This mean that

$$\text{tr } U_{(\hat{a}, a, \zeta^l)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } a + \hat{a} \neq 0 \\ n\zeta^l & \text{for } a + \hat{a} = 0, \end{cases}$$

Since the commutators of $\{U_{(\hat{a}, a, \zeta^l)}\}$ are scalar matrices, U is the canonical representation of $H(G)$. Denote by $\sigma(\alpha) + \hat{\sigma}(\alpha)$ the direct sum decomposition of the image of α in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}/N with respect to the decomposition $\mathbf{Z}^{2r}/N = G \oplus \hat{G}$ and put

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\alpha) &= (\hat{\sigma}(\alpha), \sigma(\alpha), 1), \\ \xi_\alpha(z) &= U_{\rho(\alpha)}^{-1} \mu_\alpha(z), \quad (\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\xi_\alpha(z)$ ($\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}$) are scalar functions satisfying

$$\mu_\alpha(z) = U_{\rho(\alpha)} \xi_\alpha(z), \quad (\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3. In the notations in the proof of Theorem 1, we denote by λ the natural isogeny of the complex tori.

$$\lambda : \mathbf{C}^r / \omega(\hat{G}^*) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_\omega = \mathbf{C}^r / \omega(\mathbf{Z}^{2r}).$$

After changing the base, we may assume that

$$U_{(a, \hat{a}, 1)} = \langle \hat{a}, b \rangle \delta_{b, c+a} \quad (a \in G, \hat{a} \in \hat{G})$$

We shall define line bundles $L_{\eta(a)}$ ($a \in G$) over $\mathbf{C}^r / \omega(\hat{G}^*)$ as follows. Let $\{\eta_\beta^{(a)}(z)\}_{\beta \in \hat{G}^*}$ ($a \in G$) be families of functions defined by

$$\eta_\beta^{(a)}(z) = \langle \hat{a}(\beta), a \rangle \xi_\beta(z) \quad (\beta \in \hat{G}^*; a \in G).$$

Then it follows

$$\eta_\alpha^{(a)}(z) \eta_\beta^{(a)}(z + \omega(\alpha)) = \eta_{\alpha+\beta}^{(a)}(z) \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \hat{G}^*; a \in G),$$

and thus there exist line bundles $L_{\eta(a)}$ ($a \in G$) over $\mathbf{C}^r / \omega(\hat{G}^*)$ associated with

multipliers $\{\eta_{\beta}^{(\omega)}(z)\}_{\beta \in \hat{G}^*}$ ($a \in G$), respectively. The direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{a \in G} L_{\eta(a)}$$

may be regarded as the pull back by λ of the vector bundle on $\mathbf{T}_{\omega} = \mathbf{C}^r / \omega(\mathbf{Z}^{2r})$ associated with the multifier $\{\mu_{\alpha}(z)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}}$ such that

$$\mu_{\alpha}(z) = U_{\rho(\alpha)} \xi_{\alpha}(z), \quad (\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^{2r}),$$

This means that the vector bundle \mathbf{V}_{μ} is the direct image $\lambda_*(L_{\eta(a)})$ of any one of the line bundles $L_{\eta(a)}$ with respect to the isogeny λ .

Then next is the geometric expression of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathbf{V} be a holomorphic vector n -bundle over a complex torus \mathbf{T} such that*

i) $End_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{V}) = \mathbf{C}$,

ii) *the projective $(n-1)$ -bundle $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{V})$ associated with \mathbf{V} has a family of constant transition functions. Then there exist an isogeny $\lambda: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\omega}$ of degree n and a line bundle L over the complex torus \mathbf{S} such that \mathbf{V} is isomorphic to the direct image $\lambda_*(L)$ of $L^{2)}$ with respect to λ .*

REFERENCES

- [1] Gunning, Rossi; Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables, Prentice-Hall, 1965.
 [2] T. Oda; Vector bundles on an elliptic curve. (to appear in Nagoya Math. J.).

Mathematical Institute of Nagoya University

¹⁾ See [1].

²⁾ T. Oda dealt with such direct images $\lambda_*(L)$ systematically in his paper [2]; our result is nothing else than a characterization of simple direct images $\lambda_*(L)$.