# MONOMIAL REPRESENTATIONS AND METABELIAN GROUPS ${ }^{17}$ 

B.G. BASMAJI

1. Introduction. In this paper we develop a method to find all the irreducible and inequivalent representations over the complex field $C$ of a family of finite groups that includes the metabelian groups. The outline of the paper is as follows: In $\S 2$ we let $P$ be a one-dimensional representation of a subgroup $H$ of a finite group $G$ and find a maximal subgroup $K$, $H \subseteq K \subseteq G$, such that an extension $\bar{P}$ of $P$ to $K$ exists. We show that the induced representation $\bar{P}^{G}$ is irreducible if $K$ is normal in $G$. In $\S 3$ we give all the irreducible and inequivalent representations of the "generalized metabelian group" $G$, and in particular of the metabelian group, and decompose the group ring $C G$ into its simple components. The convenience of this method is shown in $\S 4$ where we determine the representations of the metacyclic group and a metabelian group of order $2 s p^{2}, p$ an odd prime and $s \mid p-1$. The algorithm in $\S 5$ is supplementary and can be used to find representations of more general groups than the two in $\S 4$.

In this paper we make use of theorems 45.2 and 45.6 of Curtis-Reiner [3, §45], in the special case (due to Shoda [6]) where $L, L_{1}, L_{2}$ afford onedimensional representations.
2. Induced representations. Let $G$ be a finite group and $H, D$, and $K$ be subgroups of $G$ such that $G \supseteq K \supseteq H \supseteq D$ with the following conditions:
(i) $D$ is normal in $H$ and $H / D$ is cyclic.
(ii) $K^{\prime} \cap H \subseteq D$ where $K^{\prime}$ is the commutator group of $K$.

[^0](iii) $K$ is maximal in the sense that if $K_{1} \supseteq K$ and $K_{1}^{\prime} \cap H \subseteq D$, then $K_{1}=K$.
(iv) $K$ is normal in $G$.

Let $H$ and $D$ be fixed. Then $K$ depends on $H$ and $D$. Sometimes, where confusion is likely to occur, we let $K=K(H, D)$. Note that we can always find a $K$ with conditions (ii) and (iii), but not necessarily with conditions (ii), (iii), and (iv).

Now let $A=\left\{H, K^{\prime}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{D, K^{\prime}\right\}$. Then if $H=D+a D+\cdots+a^{s-1} D$, we may set $A=B+a B+\cdots+a^{s-1} B$. Since $K / A$ is abelian we let $z_{1} A$, $z_{2} A, \cdots, z_{n} A$ be a basis for $K / A$. Then for each $z_{i}$ there exists a smallest positive integer $t_{i}$ such that $z_{i}^{t_{i}} \in A$.

Let $\zeta$ be a primitive $s$-th root of unity and $P$ be a one-dimensional representation of $A$ with kernel $B$ such that $P(a)=\zeta$. There exist $\varphi(s)=$ $\varphi([H: 1])$ distinct such representations of $A$ with kernel $B$. Let $\theta_{i}, i=1$, $\cdots, n$, be a solution of

$$
\theta^{t_{i}}=P\left(z_{i}^{t_{i}}\right) .
$$

Each $k \in K$ is uniquely expressed as $k=z_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdots z_{n}^{e_{n}} h, h \in A, \quad 0 \leqslant e_{i} \leqslant t_{i}-1$. Set

$$
\bar{P}(k)=\theta_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdots \theta_{n}^{e_{n}} P(h) .
$$

Then $\bar{P}$ is a one-dimensional representation of $K$ and is afforded by the minimal left ideal $(C K) \bar{e}$ where $\bar{e}$ is given by

$$
\bar{e}=[K: 1]^{-1} \sum_{k \in K} \bar{P}\left(k^{-1}\right) k .
$$

For each $P$ there exist [ $K: A$ ] distinct such extensions $\bar{P}$.
For $x \in G$, the left ideal $(C K) \bar{e}_{x}$, where

$$
\bar{e}_{x}=[K: 1]^{-1} \sum_{k \in K} \bar{P}\left(x^{-1} k^{-1} x\right) k,
$$

affords the representation $\bar{P}_{x}$ where $\bar{P}_{x}(k)=\bar{P}\left(x^{-1} k x\right)$ for all $k \in K$. We call $\bar{P}$ and $\bar{P}_{x} G$-conjugate representations and $\bar{e}$ and $\bar{e}_{x} G$-conjugate idémpotents.

Let $R(H, D, K)$ be the set of all representations $\bar{P}$ for every possible $P$ with kernel $D$ and their $G$-conjugates and $I(H, D, K)$ be the set of all idempotents $\bar{e}$ and their $G$-conjugates. Note that $K^{\prime} \cap x^{-1} H x \subseteq x^{-1} D x$ for all
$x \in G$ and is maximal in the sense that $K \supseteq x^{-1} H x$ and $K^{\prime} \cap x^{-1} H x \subseteq x^{-1} D x$. Hence $R\left(x^{-1} H x, x^{-1} D x, K\right)=R(H, D, K)$ and $I\left(x^{-1} H x, x^{-1} D x, K\right)=I(H, D, K)$.

Lemma. (a) If $\bar{P} \in R(H, D, K)$, then $\bar{P}^{G}$ is an irreducible representation of $G$ afforded by the minimal left ideal $(C G) \bar{e}$ of $C G$. Moreover $(C G) \bar{e}$ is a direct summand of the simple component $(C G) \tilde{e}$ of $C G$ where $\tilde{e}$ is the sum of the distinct $G$-conjugates of $\bar{e}$.
(b) If $\bar{P}$ and $\bar{P}^{\prime}$ are in $R(H, D, K)$, then $\bar{P}^{G}$ and $\bar{P}^{G}$ are equivalent if and only if $\bar{P}$ and $\bar{P}^{\prime}$ are $G$-conjugate.
(c) Assume that $H$ is normal in $G, D$ is not conjugate to $D_{1}(\subseteq H), \bar{P} \in R(H, D, K)$ and $\bar{P}_{1} \in R\left(H, D_{1}, K_{1}\right)$, where $K_{1}$ is similarly defined. Then $\bar{P}^{G}$ and $\bar{P}_{1}^{G}$ are inequivalent.

Proof. (a) Let $x \notin K$ and assume that $\bar{P}(k)=\bar{P}\left(x^{-1} k x\right)$ for all $k \in K$. Then $k^{-1} x^{-1} k x$ is in the kernel $\bar{D}$ of $\bar{P}$. Let $K_{1}=\{K, x\}$, then $K_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq \bar{D}$. But $\bar{D} \cap H=D$ or $K_{1} \supsetneq K \supseteq H$ and $K_{1}^{\prime} \cap H \subseteq D$, a contradiction since $K$ is maximal. Hence $\bar{P}(k) \neq \bar{P}\left(x^{-1} k x\right)$ for at least one $k \in K$. From [3, (45.2)], $\bar{P}^{G}$ is irreducible. Now $\bar{P}^{G}$ is of degree $[G: K]$. Let $\bar{\chi}^{G}$ be the character of $\bar{P}^{G}$ then $\bar{\chi}^{G}(g)=0$ for all $g \notin K$ and $\bar{\chi}^{G}(k)=\sum \bar{P}_{x}(k)$ where $x$ runs over a complete set of coset representatives of $K$ by $G$. The central idempotent $\tilde{e}$ is given by

$$
\tilde{e}=\frac{[G: K]}{[G: 1]} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi^{G}(g)} g .
$$

Hence it can be easily shown that $\tilde{e}=\sum_{x} \bar{e}_{x}$ where $x$ runs over a complete set of coset representatives of $G$ by $K$. For $x \notin K$, we have $\sum_{k \in K} P\left(k^{-1}\right) P\left(x^{-1} k x\right)$ $=0$, and hence $\bar{e} \cdot \bar{e}_{x}=0$. Hence $(C G) \tilde{e}$ is the direct sum of the $[G: K]$ left ideals $(C G) \bar{e}_{x}$ or each $(C G) \bar{e}_{x}$ is a minimal left ideal and $(C G) \bar{e}$ affords $\bar{P}^{G}$.

Parts (b) and (c) follow using (a) above and [3, (45.6)] which completes the proof.

Let $R_{c}(H, D, K)$ and $I_{c}(H, D, K)$ be complete sets of representatives of the conjugate classes of $R(H, D, K)$ and $I(H, D, K)$ respectively. From the above lemma any two elements of $R_{c}(H, D, K)$ induce inequivalent representations of $G$ and any element of $R(H, D, K)$ induces a representation equivalent to some representation induced by an element of $R_{c}(H, D, K)$. Let $H$ be normal in $G$ and $\nu(D)=\left[G: N_{G}(D)\right]$. Then the number of elements of $R_{c}(H, D, K)$ is

$$
\nu(D) \varphi([H: D])[K: A] /[G: K] .
$$

In case $K^{\prime} \subseteq D$ we have $A=H$.
3. The generalized metabelian groups. We first give a

Definition. A finite group $G$ is called a generalized metabelian group if $G$ has a normal (invariant) series $E=A_{0} \subsetneq A_{1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq A_{n+1}=G$, where $E=\{1\}$, satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $A_{i} \mid A_{i-1}$ is abelian for $i=1,2, \cdots, n+1$.
(ii) For any subgroup $D_{i}$ of $G$ such that $A_{i-1} \subseteq D_{i} \varsubsetneqq A_{i}$ when $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and $A_{n-1} \subseteq D_{n} \subseteq A_{n}$, with $A_{i} / D_{i}$ cyclic, there exists a subgroup $K_{i}=K\left(A_{i}, D_{i}\right) \supseteq A_{i}$ of $G$ such that $K_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq D_{i}$ and $K_{i}$ satisfies (iii) and (iv) of $\S 2$.

Using the derived series, $E=G^{\prime \prime} \subseteq G^{\prime} \subseteq G$, of a finite metabelian group $G$, we can easily show that $G$ is a generalized metabelian group. We give an example of a group $G$ which is not metabelian but is generalized metabelian. In Blackburn [2], the existence of a $p$-group ( $p$ odd) $G=\{x, y, z\}$ whose derived group $G=\{a, b\}$ is metacyclic is proved. The defining relations of a special case is as follows:

$$
z^{p^{3}}=y^{p^{3}}=x^{p^{2}}=a^{p^{2}}=b^{p^{3}}=1
$$

with $x^{-1} y^{-1} x y=[x, y]=a,[y, z]=b,[a, z]=a^{p},[b, z]=b^{2 p},[a, y]=b^{p},[b, x]=$ $a^{-p} b^{p 2},[a, b]=b^{p 2}$, and $[b, y]=[a, x]=[z, x]=1$. The derived series of $G$ is $\{1\} \subseteq\left\{b^{p^{2}}\right\} \subseteq\{a, b\} \subseteq G$. Condition (i) in the definition is trivially satisfied. Let $K=\left\{a, b^{p}, x, y^{p 2}, z^{p}\right\}$. Then $K$ is normal in $G, K^{\prime}=\{1\}, K^{\prime} \cap G^{\prime \prime}=\{1\}$, and $K$ is maximal in the sense that $K \supseteq G^{\prime \prime}$ and $K^{\prime} \cap G^{\prime \prime} \subseteq D=\{1\}$. Hence (ii) of the definition above is satisfied for $\{1\} \subseteq D \subseteq\left\{b^{p^{2}}\right\}$. The proof for the remaining cases follows since $G /\left\{b^{p 2}\right\}$ is metabelian. Hence $G$ is a generalized metabelian group.

Let $D_{1}, \cdots, D_{t}$ form the set of all subgroups of a finite abelian group $A$ such that $A / D_{i}$ is cyclic. Then, from the self-duality of $A$, all the cyclic subgroups of $A$ are $C_{1}, \cdots, C_{t}$ with $C_{i} \cong A / D_{i}, i=1, \cdots, t$. If $T_{i}$ is the
 fore

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{t} \varphi\left(\left[C_{i}: 1\right]\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \varphi\left(\left[A: D_{i}\right]\right)=[A: 1] .
$$

Using the above result and the notations of the definition we prove,
Theorem. Let $G$ be a generalized metabelian group with the normal series given as in the above definition. Then all the irreducible inequivalent representations of $G$ are given by the set of all $\bar{P}^{G}$ with $\bar{P} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\cup^{(i)} R_{c}\left(A_{i}, D_{i}, K_{i}\right)\right\}$ and the union $\cup^{(i)}$ is over all $D_{i}$ which are non-conjugate in $G$.

Proof. Applying the Lemma $\bar{P}^{G}$ is irreducible and any $\bar{P}^{G}$ and $\bar{P}^{\prime G}$, with $\bar{P} \neq \bar{P}^{\prime}$, are inequivalent. The number of elements of $R_{c}\left(A_{i}, D_{i}, K_{i}\right)$ is

$$
\nu\left(D_{i}\right) \varphi\left(\left[A_{i}: D_{i}\right]\right)\left[K_{i}: A_{i}\right] /\left[G: K_{i}\right] .
$$

Each $\bar{P}^{G}$ for $\bar{P} \in R_{c}\left(A_{i}, D_{i}, K_{i}\right)$ is of degree [ $\left.G: K_{i}\right]$. Hence multiplying the above number by $\left[G: K_{i}\right]^{2}$ and summing over all possible $D_{i}$ 's given in the definition we have for $i=1,2, \cdots, n-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^{\prime} & \nu\left(D_{i}\right) \varphi\left(\left[A_{i}: D_{i}\right]\right)\left[G: A_{i}\right] \\
\quad & =\left[\Sigma \varphi\left(\left[A_{i}: D_{i}\right]\right)\right]\left[G: A_{i}\right] \\
& =\left(\left[A_{i}: A_{i-1}\right]-1\right)\left[G: A_{i}\right]=\left[G: A_{i-1}\right]-\left[G: A_{i}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first summation $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is over all non-conjugate $D_{i}$ 's such that $A_{i-1} \subseteq D_{i} \subseteq A_{i}, A_{i} / D_{i}$ cyclic, and the second summation $\Sigma$ is over all $D_{i}$ 's such that $A_{i-1} \subseteq D_{i} \varsubsetneqq A_{i}$ and $A_{i} / D_{i}$ cyclic. For $i=n$ the sum is [ $G: A_{n}$ ]. Hence adding all the above quantities for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ we get $[G: 1]$, the order of $G$. This completes the proof.

Corollary. Let $G$ be as in the above theorem. Then $C G=\Sigma(C G) \bar{e}$ is a decomposition of $C G$ as a direct sum of its minimal left ideals where $\bar{e}$ runs over all elements of the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left\{U^{(i)} I\left(A_{i}, D_{i}, K_{i}\right)\right\}$ where the union $U^{(i)}$ is over all $D_{i}$, for fixed $i$, which are non-conjugate in $G$.

Using the lemma, we may set $\tilde{e}$ as the sum of distinct conjugates of $\bar{e}$, and hence we have $C G=\Sigma(C G) \tilde{e}$, a decomposition of $C G$ into its simple components.

Corollary. Let $G$ be a finite metabelian group, A a normal abelian subgroup, and $G / A$ abelian. Then all the irreducible inequivalent representations of $G$ are given by the set of all $\bar{P}^{G}$ where $P \in \cup R_{c}(A, D, K)$ and the union is taken over all non-conjugate subgroups $D$ of $A$ such that $A / D$ is cyclic.

The above result for the case where $G$ splits over $A$ was proved by Berman [1].

Corollary. Every irreducible representation of a generalized metabelian group $G$ is equivalent to a monomial representation.

Another proof for the above corollary for the case where $G$ is metabelian is given in [3, §52]. Still another proof can be given by applying a result on faithful representations of metabelian groups proved by Shoda [6], which was also differently proved by Itô [4]. Below we generalize this result of Shoda to the generalized metabelian group.

Corollary. Let $G$ be a generalized metabelian group with the normal series as in the definition and assume $G$ has an irreducible faithful representation. Then all the irreducible faithful representations $S$ of $G$ have the same degree $d$, and all the maximal abelian subgroups $K$, containing $A_{1}$, which are normal in $G$ have the same index $t$, and $t=d$. Moreover $S$ is equivalent to an induced representation $\bar{P}^{G}$ where $\bar{P}$ is some one-dimensional representation of any $K$.

Proof. From the theorem, the faithfulness of $S$ implies that there exists a subgroup $D_{1}, A_{1} / D_{1}$ is cyclic and $\bigcap_{x \in G} x^{-1} D_{1} x=\{1\}$. Hence $K=K\left(A_{1}, D_{1}\right)$ is abelian and the result follows.
4. Applications. The methods of the previous two sections are used to find all the representations of the groups below. The symbols $P, \bar{P}, D$, $K, \bar{e}$, and $\tilde{e}$ have the same meanings as before and sometimes a subscript is added whenever confusion is likely to occur. To avoid repetition the calculations are omitted.
(i) Representations of the metacyclic group. This is also done by Munkholm [5].

Let $G$ be a metacyclic group with the defining relations

$$
a^{n}=b^{m}=1, a^{k}=b^{t}, b^{-1} a b=a^{r}
$$

where $t \mid m$ and $k r-k \equiv r^{t}-1 \equiv 0(\bmod n)$. Consider the normal series $\{1\} \subseteq\{a\}$ $\subseteq\{a, b\}=G$ and let $D_{s}=\left\{a^{s}\right\}$, $s \mid n$. Then $K_{s}=K\left(\{a\}, D_{s}\right)=\left\{a, b^{t_{s}}\right\}$ where
 multiplicative group of the reduced residues modulo $s$ and consider $R_{s}=\{r\}$ as a subgroup of $M_{s}$. Let $\zeta_{s}$ be a primitive $s$-th root of unity, $P_{s}(a)=\zeta_{s}^{y}$, $(y, s)=1$, and $\theta_{s}^{(i)}, i=1, \cdots, t / t_{s}$, set of all solutions of $\theta^{t^{\prime} t_{s}}=\zeta_{s}^{y_{k}}$. 'Also, let $\bar{P}_{s}(a)=P_{s}(a)$ and $\bar{P}_{s}\left(b^{t_{s}}\right)=\theta_{s}^{(i)}$. Then all the irreducible inequivalent representations of $G$ are $\bar{P}_{s}^{G}$ where $s$ runs over all divisors of $n, y$ runs over
a complete set of coset representatives of $M_{s}$ by $R_{s}$ and $i=1, \cdots, t / t_{s}$. Also, we have

$$
\bar{e}_{s}=\bar{e}_{s}^{(y)}=t /\left(n t_{s}\right) \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{t / t_{s}-1} \zeta_{s}^{-y_{\alpha}}\left(\theta_{s}^{(i)}\right)^{-\beta} a^{\alpha} b^{t_{s} \beta}, \text { and } \tilde{e}_{s}=\sum_{j=0}^{t_{s}-1} \bar{e}_{s}^{\left(y r^{\prime}\right)} \text {. }
$$

(ii) Representations of a group of order $2 s p^{2}$. Let $p$ be an odd prime, $(r, p)=1, \quad r \neq 1(\bmod p), \quad$ and $s$ the smallest positive integer such that $r^{s} \equiv 1(\bmod p) . \quad$ Let $G=\{a, b, x, y\}$ be the group with the following defining relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{p}=b^{p}=x^{s}=y^{2}=a^{-1} b^{-1} a b=x^{-1} y^{-1} x y=1 \\
& x^{-1} a x=a^{r}, \quad x^{-1} b x=b^{r}, y^{-1} a y=b, \text { and } y^{-1} b y=a .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $G^{\prime}=\{a, b\}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}=\{1\}$. Let $\zeta$ be a primitive $p$-th root of unity. We list below a complete set of non-conjugate subgroups $D, G^{\prime} \mid D$ cyclic, and for each $D$ we find the corresponding $\bar{P}, \bar{e}$, and $\tilde{e}$.
(1) $D=\{b\}, D=\left\{b a^{g^{t}}\right\}, i=1,2, \cdots,(p-1) / 2-1$ where $g$ is a primitive root $\bmod p$. Here $K=K\left(G^{\prime}, D\right)=G^{\prime}$ and $P(a)=\zeta^{t},(t, p)=1$, and $P(d)=1$ for $d \in D$. The $(p-1) / s$ irreducible inequivalent representations $P^{G}$ of degree $2 s$ are given by letting $t$ run over a complete set of coset representatives of $M_{p}=\{g\}$ by $R_{p}=\{r\}$. The idempotents corresponding to $D_{1}=\{b\}$ and $D_{2}=\left\{b a^{g^{i}}\right\}$ are

$$
\bar{e}_{1}=\bar{e}_{1}^{(t)}(a, b)=p^{-2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{-t_{\alpha}} a^{\alpha} b^{\beta} \text { and } \bar{e}_{2}=\bar{e}_{2}^{(t)}(a, b)=p^{-2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{t\left(g^{t} \alpha-\beta\right)} a^{\alpha} b^{\beta},
$$

respectively and $\tilde{e}_{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{s-1}\left[\bar{e}_{j}\left(t r^{k}\right)(a, b)+\bar{e}_{j}\left(t r^{k}\right)(b, a)\right], \quad j=1,2$.
(2) $D=\{a b\}$ where $s$ is odd. Here $K=G^{\prime}, P(a)=\zeta^{t}$ and $P(a b)=1$ where $(t, p)=1$. The $(p-1) / 2 s$ irreducible inequivalent representations $P^{G}$ of degree $2 s$ are given by letting $t$ run over a complete set of coset representatives of $M_{p}$ by $R_{1}=\{-r\}$. Here

$$
\bar{e}=\bar{e}^{(t)}=p^{-2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{t(\alpha-\beta)} a^{\approx} b^{\beta} \text { and } \tilde{e}=\sum_{k=0}^{s-1}\left[\bar{e}^{\left(t r^{k}\right)}+\bar{e}^{\left(-t r^{k}\right)}\right] .
$$

(3) $D=\{a b\}$ where $s$ is even. Here $K=\left\{G^{\prime}, y x^{s / 2}\right\}, P(a)=\zeta^{t}$ and $P(a b)=1$ where $(t, p)=1$. We have $\bar{P}_{i}(h)=P(h)$ for $h \in G^{\prime}$ and $\bar{P}_{i}\left(y x^{s / 2}\right)=$ $(-1)^{i}, \quad i=1,2$. The $(p-1) / s$ irreducible inequivalent representations $\bar{P}^{G}$,
$i=1,2$, of degree $s$ are given by letting $t$ run over a complete set of coset representatives of $M_{p}=\{g\}$ by $R_{p}=\{r\}$. Also

$$
\bar{e}_{i}=\bar{e}_{i}^{(t)}=1 /\left(2 p^{2}\right) \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{t(\alpha-\beta)} a^{\alpha} b^{\beta}\left[1+(-1)^{i} y x^{s / 2}\right] \text { and } \tilde{e}_{i}=\sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \bar{e}_{i}\left(t r^{k}\right) .
$$

(4) $D=\left\{a b^{-1}\right\}$. Exactly as (3) with $y$ exchanged for $y x^{s / 2}, P\left(a b^{-1}\right)=1$ for $P(a b)=1$, and $\zeta^{-t(\alpha+\beta)}$ for $\zeta^{t(\beta-\alpha)}$.
(5) $D=G^{\prime} . K=G$ and we get $2 s$ one-dimensional representations of G.
5. Cyclic factor groups. In this section we give an algorithm to find all the subgroups $D$ of a finite abelian group $A$, with $A / D$ cyclic. Clearly we only need to give this for the case where $A$ is an abelian $p$ group.

Algorithm. Let $A=\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}$ be an abelian $p$-group of type $\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right), 1 \leqslant \alpha_{1} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \alpha_{n}, a_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}=1$. Let $B=\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n-1}\right\}$ and assume $D$ is a subgroup of $B$ such that $B / D$ is cyclic of order $p^{\alpha}, 0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \alpha_{n-1} \leqslant \alpha_{n}$, and set

$$
B=D+a D+\cdots+a^{p^{\alpha}-1} D .
$$

If $\alpha=\alpha_{n}$ then all the distinct subgroups $\bar{D}$ of $A$ such that $A / \bar{D}$ is cyclic and $B \cap \bar{D}=D$ are given by $\bar{D}=\left\{a_{n} a^{k}, D\right\}, k=0,1, \cdots, p^{\alpha}-1$, and we may set $A=\bar{D}+a \bar{D}+\cdots+a^{p^{x}-1} \bar{D}$.

If $\alpha<\alpha_{n}$ then, in addition to the subgroups $\bar{D}$ above, we have $\bar{D}=\left\{a_{n}^{p^{\sigma} k} a, D\right\}, \quad \sigma=1, \cdots, \alpha_{n}-\alpha, \quad 1 \leqslant k \leqslant p^{\alpha}, \quad(k, p)=1$, and we may set $A=\bar{D}+a_{n} \bar{D}+\cdots+a_{n}^{p^{\alpha+\sigma}-1} \bar{D}$.

Proof. Since $D=\bar{D} \cap B$, the smallest positive power of $a$ in $\bar{D}$ is $a^{p \alpha}$. If $d \in \bar{D}$ then $d=a_{n}^{x} a^{y} d^{\prime}, d^{\prime} \in D$. If $p / x$, for some $x$, then it can be shown that $\bar{D}=\left\{a_{n} a^{k}, D\right\}$. If $p \mid x$ for every $x$, then $p / y$ for some $y$ and $\alpha<\alpha_{n}$. The results follow after some calculations. Note that when $D$ runs over all subgroups of $B, B / D$ cyclic, $\bar{D}$ runs over all subgroups of $A$, $A / \bar{D}$ cyclic.
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