

ON UNIFORM APPROXIMATION BY RATIONAL
FUNCTIONS WITH AN APPLICATION TO
CHORDAL CLUSTER SETS*

J.T. GRESSER

For a closed and bounded set E in the complex plane, let $A(E)$ denote the collection of all functions continuous on E and analytic on E° , its interior; let $R(E)$ denote the collection of all functions which are uniform limits on E of rational functions with poles outside E . Then let \mathcal{A} denote the collection of all closed, bounded sets for which $A(E) = R(E)$. The purpose of this paper is to formulate a condition on a set, which is essentially of a geometric nature, in order that the set belong to \mathcal{A} . Then using approximation techniques, we shall construct a meromorphic function having a certain boundary behavior on a perfect set; this answers a question raised in [1].

Uniform Approximation

For any subset H of the complex plane, let $C(H)$ denote the set of all functions each of which is continuous on the whole plane, analytic outside some closed subset of H , bounded in modulus by the constant one, and equal to zero at infinity. Let

$$\alpha(H) = \sup_{f \in C(H)} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} |zf(z)|.$$

Then $\alpha(H)$ is called the analytic C -capacity of H .

The result we obtain does not depend on the rather complicated definition of the analytic C -capacity of a set, but depends instead only on the formal relationship appearing in the following theorem of A.G. Vituskin [6, Theorem 2].

THEOREM A. *Let E be a closed and bounded set. Then $E \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if for every open set G , the equality $\alpha(G - E) = \alpha(G - E^\circ)$ is satisfied.*

Received May 7, 1968

*The results presented here are part of the author's doctoral dissertation written at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee under the direction of Professor F. Bagemihl.

THEOREM 1. *Let $E, F \in \mathcal{A}$, and suppose that $\overline{E^\circ} \cap \overline{F^\circ} = \phi$. Then $E \cup F \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. An immediate consequence of E being in \mathcal{A} is that $\overline{E^\circ} \in \mathcal{A}$. To prove this we need only observe that any function in $A(\overline{E^\circ})$ can be continuously extended to E and then approximated by rational functions on this larger set. Similarly $\overline{F^\circ} \in \mathcal{A}$. It then follows that $\overline{E^\circ} \cup \overline{F^\circ} \in \mathcal{A}$ since $\overline{E^\circ}$ and $\overline{F^\circ}$ are disjoint. (This is easily established by approximating any function in $A(\overline{E^\circ} \cup \overline{F^\circ})$ on each of the individual sets, and then using [5, p. 15] to obtain the desired approximation on $\overline{E^\circ} \cup \overline{F^\circ}$.)

Let $H = E \cup F$, and let G be any open set. We then have, using Theorem A several times:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha(G - H) &= \alpha(G - (E \cup F)) \\
 &= \alpha((G - E) - F) \\
 &= \alpha((G - E) - F^\circ), \text{ since } F \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } G - E \text{ is open,} \\
 &= \alpha((G - E) - \overline{F^\circ}), \text{ since } \overline{F^\circ} \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } G - E \text{ is open,} \\
 &= \alpha((G - \overline{F^\circ}) - E) \\
 &= \alpha((G - \overline{F^\circ}) - E^\circ), \text{ since } E \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } G - \overline{F^\circ} \text{ is open,} \\
 &= \alpha((G - \overline{F^\circ}) - \overline{E^\circ}), \text{ since } \overline{E^\circ} \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } G - \overline{F^\circ} \text{ is open,} \\
 &= \alpha(G - (\overline{E^\circ} \cup \overline{F^\circ})) \\
 &= \alpha(G - (\overline{E^\circ} \cup \overline{F^\circ})^\circ), \text{ since } \overline{E^\circ} \cup \overline{F^\circ} \in \mathcal{A}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed by noting that since $\overline{E^\circ}$ and $\overline{F^\circ}$ are disjoint, $H^\circ = (\overline{E^\circ} \cup \overline{F^\circ})^\circ$ so that $\alpha(G - (\overline{E^\circ} \cup \overline{F^\circ})^\circ) = \alpha(G - H^\circ)$. Connecting the first and last expressions we have $\alpha(G - H) = \alpha(G - H^\circ)$, and hence by Theorem A, $H = E \cup F \in \mathcal{A}$.

We note that by Mergelyan's theorem [5, p. 367] closed and bounded sets which do not divide the plane are elements of \mathcal{A} . Using this we may readily construct by means of Theorem 1 many sets in \mathcal{A} which divide the plane into infinitely many components.

An Application

Let $f(z)$ be a function defined in a domain D , and let ζ be a boundary point of D . By a segment at ζ we mean a half open rectilinear segment contained in D with its open end point at ζ . We say that $f(z)$ has

the three-segment property at ζ if there are three segments, say $\Gamma_j(\zeta)$ ($j = 0, 1, 2$), at ζ for which the intersection $C(f, \Gamma_0(\zeta)) \cap C(f, \Gamma_1(\zeta)) \cap C(f, \Gamma_2(\zeta)) = \phi$; here $C(f, \Gamma(\zeta))$ denotes the cluster set of f at ζ along $\Gamma(\zeta)$. The reader is referred to [3] or [4] for the basic concepts of cluster sets. In answer to a question that appears in [1, p. 32, Question 3], we offer the following:

THEOREM 2. *There exists a meromorphic function in the open unit disk D which has the three-segment property at every point of a perfect subset of the boundary of D .*

Proof. We shall actually construct this function on the right open half plane H instead of D . From the line segment $[0, i]$ we delete the open "middle half" $(i/4, 3i/4)$; from the remaining closed intervals we delete the intervals $(i/16, 3i/16)$ and $(13i/16, 15i/16)$. By continuing this process inductively we arrive at a Cantor set A . Through each $\zeta \in A$ construct the three segments $\Gamma_j(\zeta)$ ($j = 0, 1, 2$) at ζ , having slopes $-1, 0$, and $+1$, respectively, and with their free end points on the vertical line through $z = 1$. It was shown in [1, p. 30] that there exists a continuous function $g(z)$ in H having the three-segment property at every point $\zeta \in A$, with $\Gamma_j(\zeta)$ ($j=0, 1, 2$) as the corresponding segments. We shall use this function to construct our meromorphic function.

We begin by defining a sequence A_n of sets on which we will make our approximations. For n and j fixed ($n \geq 2$), let

$$F_{n,j} = \left(\bigcup_{\zeta \in A} \Gamma_j(\zeta) \right) \cap \{z = x + iy : 1/(n+1) \leq x \leq 1/n\} \quad (j = 0, 1, 2).$$

Then $F_{n,j}$ is a closed set which does not divide the plane, so that by Mergelyan's theorem on uniform approximation by polynomials, $F_{n,j} \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $F_{n,j}$ has no interior points,

$$I_n = F_{n,0} \cup F_{n,1} \cup F_{n,2}$$

is in \mathcal{A} by Theorem 1. Let

$$H_n = \{z = x + iy : 1/n \leq x \leq n, \quad -n \leq y \leq n\} \quad (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots).$$

Finally set

$$A_n = H_n \cup I_n \cup I_{n+1}.$$

By Theorem 1 we have $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

It follows from [5, p. 15] (by making a second approximation) that we may assume in the sequel that the poles of our approximating functions $r_n(z)$ are always outside the set $I = \cup_{n=2}^{\infty} I_n$. Using a modification of a method devised by F. Bagemihl and W. Seidel, we now define a sequence of continuous functions $\varphi_n(z)$ on A_n and a sequence of rational functions $r_n(z)$ as follows:

$$\varphi_2(z) = \begin{cases} g(z) & \text{for } z \in I_3 \\ 3(1 - 2x)g(z) & \text{for } z \in I_2 \ (z = x + iy) \\ 0 & \text{for } z \in H_2. \end{cases}$$

The function $\varphi_2(z)$ is continuous on A_2 and analytic at all interior points, so there exists a rational function $r_2(z)$ such that

$$|r_2(z) - \varphi_2(z)| < 1/2^2 \text{ for } z \in A_2.$$

Suppose that we have defined the functions $r_2(z), r_3(z), \dots, r_{n-1}(z)$ in such a way that $\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} r_j(z)$ has no poles on I . Define

$$\varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} g(z) - \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} r_j(z) & \text{for } z \in I_{n+1} \\ (n + 1)(1 - nx)[g(z) - \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} r_j(z)] & \text{for } z \in I_n \ (z = x + iy) \\ 0 & \text{for } z \in H_n. \end{cases}$$

Again $\varphi_n(z)$ is continuous on A_n and analytic at all interior points, so there exists a rational function $r_n(z)$ such that

$$|r_n(z) - \varphi_n(z)| < 1/2^n \text{ for } z \in A_n.$$

Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} r_j(z), \ (z \in H).$$

We assert that $f(z)$ is meromorphic in H . To this end, choose $z_0 \in H$, and pick n large enough so that z_0 lies in the interior of H_n . Let G be an open disk about z_0 contained in H_n ; then for any $z \in G$ and for all $k \geq n$ we have

$$|r_k(z)| = |r_k(z) - \varphi_k(z)| < 1/2^k.$$

From this it easily follows that $f(z)$ is meromorphic at z_0 .

To establish the three-segment property of $f(z)$ it suffices to show that for every $\zeta \in A$

$$|f(z) - g(z)| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } z \longrightarrow \zeta \text{ along } \Gamma_j(\zeta), \quad (j = 0, 1, 2).$$

Thus, for fixed $\zeta \in A$ and $\Gamma_j(\zeta)$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose N so large that $1/2^{N-2} < \varepsilon$. Let z be any point on $\Gamma_j(\zeta)$ with $\operatorname{Re}(z) < 1/(N+1)$. Then there exists a natural number $n \geq N$ such that

$$(1) \quad z \in I_{n+1} \text{ and } z \in H_{j+2} \text{ for all } j \geq n.$$

We write

$$(2) \quad |f(z) - g(z)| \leq |\sum_{j=2}^n r_j(z) - g(z)| + |r_{n+1}(z)| + |\sum_{j=n+2}^{\infty} r_j(z)|.$$

Now by (1) for $j \geq n+2$

$$|r_j(z)| = |r_j(z) - \varphi_j(z)| < 1/2^j,$$

so that

$$(3) \quad |\sum_{j=n+2}^{\infty} r_j(z)| \leq \sum_{j=n+2}^{\infty} 1/2^j = 1/2^{n+1}.$$

Again by (1) we have $|r_{n+1}(z) - \varphi_{n+1}(z)| < 1/2^{n+1}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} |r_{n+1}(z)| &< 1/2^{n+1} + |\varphi_{n+1}(z)| \\ &= 1/2^{n+1} + (n+2)(1 - (n+1)x)|g(z) - \sum_{j=2}^n r_j(z)| \quad (z = x + iy) \end{aligned}$$

which, since $(n+2)(1 - (n+1)x) \leq 1$ for $z \in I_n$, implies

$$(4) \quad |r_{n+1}(z)| < 1/2^{n+1} + |g(z) - \sum_{j=2}^n r_j(z)|.$$

Combining (2), (3), and (4) we have

$$(5) \quad |f(z) - g(z)| < 2|\sum_{j=2}^n r_j(z) - g(z)| + 1/2^n.$$

Using (1) once more, we have $|r_n(z) - \varphi_n(z)| < 1/2^n$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{j=2}^n r_j(z) - g(z)| &= |r_n(z) - (g(z) - \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} r_j(z))| \\ &= |r_n(z) - \varphi_n(z)| < 1/2^n, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(6) \quad |\sum_{j=2}^n r_j(z) - g(z)| < 1/2^n.$$

Thus by (5) and (6),

$$|f(z) - g(z)| < 2/2^n + 1/2^n < 1/2^{n-2} \leq 1/2^{N-2} < \varepsilon.$$

It follows from [2, Theorem 4] that a normal meromorphic function cannot have the three-segment property on a set of positive measure. Fur-

thermore, it follows from the Fatou-Nevanlinna theorem that a meromorphic function of bounded characteristic cannot have the three segment property on a set of positive measure. However, it remains an open question whether a continuous or meromorphic function can be so constructed (cf. [1, p. 32, Question 1]).

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Bagemihl, G. Piranian and G.S. Young, Intersections of cluster sets, *Bul. Inst. Politehn. Iași (N.S.)* **5** (1959), 29–34.
- [2] F. Bagemihl, Some results and problems concerning chordal principal cluster sets, *Nagoya Math. J.* **29** (1967), 7–18.
- [3] E.F. Collingwood and A.J. Lohwater, *The theory of cluster sets*, Cambridge, 1966.
- [4] K. Noshiro, *Cluster sets*, Berlin, 1960.
- [5] J.L. Walsh, *Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex domain*. 3rd ed., Providence, 1960.
- [6] A.G. Vituškin, Necessary and sufficient conditions on a set in order that any continuous function analytic at the interior points of the set may admit of uniform approximation by rational functions, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **171** (1966), 1255–1258 = *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **7** (1966), 1622–1625.

University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee