

ON LOCAL MAXIMALITY FOR THE COEFFICIENT a_6

JAMES A. JENKINS and MITSURU OZAWA

Dedicated to Professor K. Noshiro on his 60th birthday

1. Recently a number of authors have studied the application of Grunsky's coefficient inequalities to the study of the Bieberbach conjecture for the class of normalized regular univalent functions $f(z)$ in the unit circle $|z| < 1$

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

Charzynski and Schiffer [2] applied this result to give an elementary proof of the inequality $|a_4| \leq 4$. One of the present authors [8] proved that if a_2 is real non-negative then $\Re a_6 \leq 6$. A natural first step in the study of the inequality for a coefficient is to prove local maximality for a_2 near to 2. Bombieri [1] announced that he had proved

$$\Re a_6 \leq 6 - A(2 - \Re a_2)$$

for $A > 0$, $\Re a_2$ sufficiently near to 2. As yet to our knowledge no complete account of his result has appeared. One of the present authors has shown [7] that in many cases the Area Principle is more effective than Grunsky's method. In the present instance the Area Principle takes the form of an inequality due to Golusin [4]. In this paper we use this inequality to prove the local maximality of $\Re a_6$ at the Koebe function. Our theorem implies the result of Bombieri.

During the preparation of this work there appeared a paper by Garabedian, Ross and Schiffer [3] which asserts the local maximality of $\Re a_{2n}$, $n=2,3,\dots$ at the Koebe function. Further consideration is required to determine its status. In any case it does not appear to include Bombieri's result.

2. Golusin's inequality and Grunsky's inequality.

Let $f(z)$ be a normalized regular function univalent in the unit disc $|z| < 1$, whose expansion around $z=0$ is

Received May 20, 1966.

The first author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation and the second author was supported by an N.S.F. Foreign Scientist Fellowship during 1965-66.

$$z + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} a_{\nu} z^{\nu} .$$

Let $G_{\mu}(w)$ be the μ^{th} Faber polynomial which is defined by

$$g_{\mu}(z) = G_{\mu}(g(z)) = z^{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{\mu\nu}}{z^{\nu}} ,$$

$$g(z) = f(1/z^2)^{-1/2} .$$

Then it is known that $\nu b_{\mu\nu} = \mu b_{\nu\mu}$. Let

$$Q_m(g(z)) = \sum_{\mu=1}^m x_{\mu} g_{\mu}(z) ,$$

then Golusin's inequality has the form

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu \left| \sum_{\mu=1}^m x_{\mu} b_{\mu\nu} \right|^2 \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^m \nu |x_{\nu}|^2 ,$$

and Grunsky's inequality has the form

$$(2) \quad \left| \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^m \nu b_{\mu\nu} x_{\mu} x_{\nu} \right| \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^m \nu |x_{\nu}|^2 .$$

One of the authors [7] pointed out that Grunsky's inequality is a direct consequence of Golusin's.

By a simple calculation we have

$$b_{11} = -\frac{1}{2} a_2, \quad b_{13} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(a_3 - \frac{3}{4} a_2^2 \right), \quad b_{15} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(a_4 - \frac{3}{2} a_2 a_3 + \frac{5}{8} a_2^3 \right),$$

$$b_{17} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(a_5 - \frac{3}{2} a_2 a_4 - \frac{3}{4} a_3^2 + \frac{15}{8} a_3 a_2^2 - \frac{35}{64} a_2^4 \right),$$

$$b_{22} = -a_3 + a_2^2, \quad b_{24} = -a_4 + 2a_2 a_3 - a_2^3,$$

$$b_{44} = -2a_5 + 4a_2 a_4 - 8a_3^2 a_3 + 3a_3^2 + 3a_2^4,$$

$$b_{31} = -\frac{3}{2} \left(a_3 - \frac{3}{4} a_2^2 \right) = 3b_{13}, \quad b_{33} = -\frac{3}{2} \left(a_4 - 2a_2 a_3 + \frac{13}{12} a_2^3 \right),$$

$$b_{35} = -\frac{3}{2} \left(a_5 - 2a_2 a_4 - \frac{5}{4} a_3^2 + \frac{29}{8} a_3 a_2^2 - \frac{85}{64} a_2^4 \right) = \frac{3}{5} b_{53},$$

$$b_{51} = 5b_{15}, \quad b_{55} = -\frac{5}{2} \left(a_6 - 2a_2 a_5 - 3a_3 a_4 + 4a_2^2 a_4 + \frac{21}{4} a_2 a_3^2 \right. \\ \left. - \frac{59}{8} a_3 a_2^3 + \frac{689}{320} a_2^5 \right).$$

From now on we shall use the following notations:

$$2-x+ix'=a_2 ,$$

$$y+iy'=a_3-\frac{3}{4}a_2^2,$$

$$\eta+i\eta'=a_4-\frac{3}{2}a_2a_3+\frac{5}{8}a_2^3,$$

$$\xi+i\xi'=a_5-\frac{3}{2}a_2a_4-\frac{3}{4}a_2^3+\frac{15}{8}a_3a_2^2-\frac{35}{64}a_2^4 .$$

3. Lemmas.

LEMMA 1. $7(\xi^2+\xi'^2)+5(\eta^2+\eta'^2)+3(y^2+y'^2)\leq 4x-x^2-x'^2 .$

Proof. This is a simple consequence of the area theorem for $f(1/z^2)^{-1/2}$.

LEMMA 2. $y\leq 3x-\frac{15}{4}x^2+\frac{10}{3}x^3-\frac{1}{4}x'^2 .$

Proof. One of the authors [6] proved the following result:

$$\Re\left\{e^{-2i\phi}\left(a_3-\frac{3}{4}a_2^2\right)\right\}\leq 1+\frac{3}{8}\tau^2-\frac{\tau^2}{4}\log\frac{\tau}{4}+\frac{1}{4}\Re\left\{e^{-2i\phi}a_2^2\right\}+\tau\Re\left\{e^{-i\phi}a_2\right\}$$

holds for every real ϕ and for every real τ satisfying $0\leq\tau\leq 4$.

Putting $\phi=\pi$ and $\tau=4e^{-s}$, we have

$$y\leq 2-8e^{-s}+6e^{-2s}+4se^{-2s}-x+\frac{x^2}{4}+4xe^{-s}-\frac{1}{4}x'^2 .$$

By a similar discussion in [8] we have the desired result.

LEMMA 3. $-x+\frac{x^2}{4}-\frac{x'^2}{4}\leq y .$

Proof. It is well-known that

$$\Re(a_2^2-a_3)\leq 1 .$$

This implies the desired result.

LEMMA 4. $\eta\leq \frac{5}{4}x-\frac{3}{4}x^2+\frac{7}{48}x^3-\frac{1}{2}x'y'+\frac{x'^2}{2}-\frac{xx'^2}{4} .$

Proof. In (2) we select $m=3$, $x_1=\beta$, $x_2=0$, $x_3=1/3$. Then

$$|a_4-2a_2a_3+\frac{13}{12}a_2^3+2\beta\left(a_3-\frac{3}{4}a_2^2\right)+\beta^2a_2|\leq\frac{2}{3}+2|\beta|^2 .$$

Put $\beta=(2-x)/4$ and take the real part. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta + \frac{1}{2}x'y' + \frac{1}{12}\left((2-x)^3 - 3(2-x)x'^2\right) + \frac{1}{16}(2-x)^3 \\ \leq \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{8}(2-x)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is nothing but the desired result.

LEMMA 5.
$$-\eta \leq \frac{1}{2}(2-x)y + 2x - \frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{3}x^3 + \frac{1}{4}(2-x)x'^2 + \frac{1}{2}x'y'.$$

Proof. One of the authors [6] proved the following fact:

$$\Re\{e^{-3i\phi}(-a_4 + 2a_2a_3 - a_2^3)\} \leq \frac{2}{3} + 2\sigma^2 + \frac{2}{3}\sigma^3 + 2\sigma \Re\{e^{-2i\phi}(a_2^2 - a_3)\}$$

for every real ϕ and for every real σ satisfying $-1 \leq \sigma \leq 1/3$.

Put $\phi=0$ and $\sigma=-1+x/2$. Then we have the desired result.

LEMMA 6.

$$\begin{aligned} (2-x)\eta - x'\eta' + \frac{3}{2}(y^2 - y'^2) - \frac{1}{2}\left((2-x)^2 - x'^2\right)y + (2-x)x'y' \\ - 2x + \frac{3}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x^3 + \frac{1}{16}x^4 - \frac{3}{8}(2+x)^2x'^2 + \frac{1}{16}x'^4 \leq 2\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Grunsky's inequality we have

$$|-2a_5 + 4a_2a_4 - 8a_2^2a_3 + 3a_3^2 + 3a_2^4| \leq 1.$$

This turns out to be the desired inequality taking the real part.

LEMMA 7.
$$\begin{aligned} \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}(2-x)\eta - \frac{1}{2}x'\eta' + \frac{1}{4}(y^2 - y'^2) + \frac{1}{2} + 3e^{-4x} \\ + 4xe^{-4x} + 4e^{-2x}\left(y - \frac{1}{4}(2-x)^2 + \frac{1}{4}x'^2\right) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\left(-y + \frac{1}{4}(2-x)^2 - \frac{1}{4}x'^2\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(-y' + \frac{1}{2}(2-x)x'\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq x \leq 2$.

Proof. One of the authors [6] proved the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Re\{e^{-4i\psi}(-a_5 + 2a_2a_4 + a_3^2 - 3a_2^2a_3 + a_2^4)\} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{16}\sigma^4 - \frac{1}{8}\sigma^4 \log \frac{\sigma^2}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\Re\{e^{-4i\psi}(a_2^4 - a_3)^2\} - \sigma^2 \Re\{e^{-2i\psi}(a_2^2 - a_3)\} \end{aligned}$$

for ψ real and $0 \leq \sigma \leq 2$. Put $\psi=0$ and $\sigma=2e^{-x}$, $0 \leq x \leq 2$.

Then a simple calculation leads to the desired result.

It should be remarked that for $x \rightarrow 0$

$$y = O(x), \quad \eta = O(x), \quad \xi = O(x),$$

and

$$x' = O(x^{1/2}), \quad y' = O(x^{1/2}), \quad \eta' = O(x^{1/2}), \quad \xi' = O(x^{1/2}).$$

So far as local maximality is concerned we can consider only terms of order $O(x)$. Hence we shall omit terms of higher order in the sequel.

4. By the Golusin inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 5|x_5 b_{55} + x_3 b_{35} + x_1 b_{15}|^2 + 3|x_5 b_{53} + x_3 b_{33} + x_1 b_{13}|^2 + |x_5 b_{51} + x_3 b_{31} + x_1 b_{11}|^2 \\ & \leq |x_1|^2 + 3|x_3|^2 + 5|x_5|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Put $x_5 = 1$, $x_3 = 5\beta/6$, $x_1 = 5\delta$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| a_6 - 2a_2 a_5 - 3a_3 a_4 + 4a_2^2 a_4 + \frac{21}{4} a_2 a_3^2 - \frac{59}{8} a_3 a_2^2 + \frac{689}{320} a_5^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left(a_5 - 2a_2 a_4 - \frac{5}{4} a_3^2 + \frac{29}{8} a_3 a_2^2 - \frac{85}{64} a_2^4 \right) \beta + \left(a_4 - \frac{3}{2} a_2 a_3 + \frac{5}{8} a_2^3 \right) \delta \right|^2 \\ (3) \quad & + \frac{3}{5} \left| a_5 - 2a_2 a_4 - \frac{5}{4} a_3^2 + \frac{29}{8} a_3 a_2^2 - \frac{85}{64} a_2^4 + \frac{1}{2} \left(a_4 - 2a_2 a_3 + \frac{13}{12} a_2^3 \right) \beta \right. \\ & \left. + \left(a_3 - \frac{3}{4} a_2^2 \right) \delta \right|^2 + \frac{1}{5} \left| a_4 - \frac{3}{2} a_2 a_3 + \frac{5}{8} a_2^3 + \frac{1}{2} \left(a_3 - \frac{3}{4} a_2^2 \right) \beta + a_2 \delta \right|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{4}{25} + \frac{1}{15} |\beta|^2 + \frac{4}{5} |\delta|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Put $x_5 = 0$, $x_3 = 2/3$, $x_1 = 2\beta$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 5 \left| a_5 - 2a_2 a_4 - \frac{5}{4} a_3^2 + \frac{29}{8} a_3 a_2^2 - \frac{85}{64} a_2^4 + \left(a_4 - \frac{3}{2} a_2 a_3 + \frac{5}{8} a_2^3 \right) \beta \right|^2 \\ (4) \quad & + 3 \left| a_4 - 2a_2 a_3 + \frac{13}{12} a_2^3 + \left(a_3 - \frac{3}{4} a_2^2 \right) \beta \right|^2 + \left| a_3 - \frac{3}{4} a_2^2 + a_2 \beta \right|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{4}{3} + 4|\beta|^2. \end{aligned}$$

From (4) we have, omitting higher order terms,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta + y(2\beta - 1) & \leq (1 + \beta^2)x + \frac{1}{2}x'^2 - \frac{1}{2}x'y' - \frac{1}{4}(y' + \beta x')^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{3}{4}(\eta' + (\beta - 1)y' + x')^2 - \frac{5}{4}(\xi' + (\beta - 1)\eta' + y')^2 \end{aligned}$$

with real β . Put $\beta=5/2$. Then

$$(5) \quad \eta+4y \leq \frac{29}{4}x + \frac{1}{2}x'^2 - \frac{1}{2}x'y' - \frac{1}{4}\left(y' + \frac{5}{2}x'\right)^2 \\ - \frac{3}{4}\left(\eta' + \frac{3}{2}y' + x'\right)^2 - \frac{5}{4}\left(\xi' + \frac{3}{2}\eta' + y'\right)^2.$$

From (3) putting $\beta=4$ and $\delta=2.25$ and omitting higher order terms, we have

$$(6) \quad \Re a_6 \leq 6 + 0.5\eta + 2y - (10 - 2.25^2)x - 12x'^2 - 14.5x'y' - 3.5y'^2 \\ - 7x'\eta' - 3y'\eta' - 2x'\xi' \\ - \frac{3}{4}(\xi' + \eta' + 1.25y' + 2x')^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\eta' + 2y' + 2.25x')^2.$$

By (5) we have

$$\Re a_6 \leq 6 - 1.3125x - 11.75x'^2 - 14.75x'y' - 3.5y'^2 - 7x'\eta' - 3y'\eta' \\ - 2x'\xi' - \frac{3}{4}(\xi' + \eta' + 1.25y' + 2x')^2 \\ - \frac{1}{4}(\eta' + 2y' + 2.25x')^2 - \frac{1}{8}\left(y' + \frac{5}{2}x'\right)^2 - \frac{3}{8}\left(\eta' + \frac{3}{2}y' + x'\right)^2 \\ - \frac{5}{8}\left(\xi' + \frac{3}{2}\eta' + y'\right)^2.$$

Since $x'^2 + 3y'^2 + 5\eta'^2 + 7\xi'^2 \leq 4x$ omitting higher order terms in Lemma 1, we have

$$(7) \quad \Re a_6 \leq 6 - F(x', y', \eta', \xi'), \\ F(x', y', \eta', \xi') = \left(11.75 + \frac{1.3125}{4}\right)x'^2 + 14.75x'y' + \left(3.5 + \frac{3 \times 1.3125}{4}\right)y'^2 \\ + 7x'\eta' + 3y'\eta' + \frac{5 \times 1.3125}{4}\eta'^2 + 2x'\xi' + \frac{7}{4}1.3125\xi'^2 \\ + \frac{3}{4}(\xi' + \eta' + 1.25y' + 2x')^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\eta' + 2y' + 2.25x')^2 + \frac{1}{8}\left(y' + \frac{5}{2}x'\right)^2 \\ + \frac{3}{8}\left(\eta' + \frac{3}{2}y' + x'\right)^2 + \frac{5}{8}\left(\xi' + \frac{3}{2}\eta' + y'\right)^2.$$

Now we shall prove the positive definiteness of $F(x', y', \eta', \xi')$. Consider $64 F(x', y', \eta', \xi')$. This is equal to

$$1120x'^2 + 1440x'y' + 528y'^2 + 760x'\eta' + 568y'\eta' + 283\eta'^2 \\ + 320x'\xi' + 200y'\xi' + 216\eta'\xi' + 235\xi'^2.$$

Consider the principal diagonal minor determinants

$$235, \left| \begin{array}{cc} 235 & 108 \\ 108 & 283 \end{array} \right|, \left| \begin{array}{ccc} 235 & 108 & 100 \\ 108 & 283 & 284 \\ 100 & 284 & 528 \end{array} \right|,$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{cccc} 235 & 108 & 100 & 160 \\ 108 & 283 & 284 & 380 \\ 100 & 284 & 528 & 720 \\ 160 & 380 & 720 & 1120 \end{array} \right|.$$

Then these are positive. Hence $64F(x', y', \eta', \xi')$ is positive definite. By continuity we have

$$\Re a_6 \leq 6 - Ax - Q(x', y', \eta', \xi')$$

with a suitable positive A and a suitable positive definite quadratic form $Q(x', y', \eta', \xi')$. This implies the following theorem.

THEOREM. *Let $f(z)$ be a normalized regular function univalent in $|z| < 1$, whose local expansion is*

$$z + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}.$$

Then

$$\Re a_6 \leq 6 - Ax, \quad A > 0$$

holds for $0 \leq x < \varepsilon$. If $\Re a_6 = 6$ in $0 \leq x < \varepsilon$, then $f(z)$ reduces to the Koebe function

$$\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}.$$

REFERENCES

[1] Bombieri, E., Sul problema di Bieberbach per le funzioni univalenti. *Rend. Lincei* **35** (1963), 469-471.
 [2] Charzinski, Z. and M. Schiffer, A new proof of the Bieberbach conjecture for the fourth coefficient. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **5** (1960), 187-193.
 [3] Garabedian, P.R., G.G. Ross and M. Schiffer, On the Bieberbach conjecture for even n . *Journ. Math. Mech.* **14** (1965), 975-989.
 [4] Golusin, G.M., On p -valent functions. *Mat. Sbornik (N.S.)* **8** (1940), 277-284.
 [5] Grunsky, H., Koeffizientenbedingungen für schlicht abbildende Funktionen. *Math. Zeits.* **45** (1939), 29-61.
 [6] Jenkins, J.A., On certain coefficients of univalent functions. *Analytic functions*. Princeton Univ. Press (1960), 159-194.
 [7] ———, Some area theorems and a special coefficient theorem. *Illinois Journ. Math.* **8** (1964), 80-99.

- [8] Ozawa, M., On the sixth coefficient of univalent function. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **17** (1965), 1–9.

Washington University, St. Louis and Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo.