

ON A θ -WEYL SUM

YOSHINOBU NAKAI

0° . We treat the sum $\theta(\alpha^{-1}, \gamma; N, X) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sum_{x \leq n \leq x+N} e((2\alpha)^{-1}(n + \gamma)^2)$, where α and γ are real with α positive.*¹ This sum was treated first by Hardy and Littlewood [4], and after them, by Behnke [1] and [2], Mordell [9], Wilton [11] and others. The reader will find its history in [7] and in the comments of the Collected Papers [4]. Here we show that the sum can be expressed explicitly, together with an error term $O(N^{1/2})$, using the regular continued fraction expansion of α . As the statements have complications we will divide them into two theorems. In the followings all letters except $\vartheta, i, \sigma, \zeta, \chi$ and those in 3° are real, N is a positive real, and always k, n, a, A, B, C, D and E denote integers. The author expresses his thanks to Professor Tikao Tatzuzawa and Professor Tomio Kubota for their encouragements.

1° . LEMMA 1. *Let α, α', γ and γ' be reals such that*

$$\alpha^{-1} \equiv \alpha'^{-1} \pmod{1}$$

and

$$(2\alpha)^{-1}(1 + 2\gamma) \equiv (2\alpha')^{-1}(1 + 2\gamma') \pmod{1},$$

then we have

$$(2\alpha)^{-1}(n + \gamma)^2 \equiv (2\alpha')^{-1}(n + \gamma')^2 + (2\alpha)^{-1}\gamma^2 - (2\alpha')^{-1}\gamma'^2 \pmod{1}$$

for any integer n .

Proof. It is easy.

LEMMA 2 (Hardy-Littlewood, Mordell and Wilton). *If $0 < \omega \leq 2$,*

Received June 26, 1973.

*¹ In this note $e(\alpha)$ means $e^{2\pi i \alpha}$ for real α . N is the set of positive integers. Z is the set of all integers. The implied positive numerical constants in the symbol " \ll " in the statements and proofs of (Case 2) of Theorem 1 can be given arbitrarily. Other implied constants in the symbols " \ll ", " $O(\)$ " and " \bigcup_n " are absolute or can be explicitly calculated.

$-\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $N' - \frac{1}{2} \leq \omega N + x < N' + \frac{1}{2}$ with integral N and N' , then we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N'} e(\frac{1}{2}\omega n^2 + xn) = e(\frac{1}{8}) \cdot \omega^{-1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{N'} e(-\frac{1}{2}\omega^{-1}(n-x)^2) + \mathcal{O}(3 + 2\omega^{-1/2}),$$

where $|\mathcal{O}| \leq 1$. Here \sum' means that the first and last terms of the sum are to be halved.

Proof. This is the Theorem in [11].

LEMMA 3. Let α_0, N_0 and X_0 be reals with $\alpha_0 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $N_0 \geq 0$ and $N_0 \geq 2\alpha_0$. Expand α_0 as $\alpha_0 = a_0 + \alpha_1^{-1}$ with an integer a_0 . Here we suppose α_0 not to be an integer. Let γ_0 and γ_1 be reals with $\frac{1}{2}a_0 - \gamma_0 \equiv \alpha_1^{-1}\gamma_1 \pmod{1}$. Put $X_1 = \alpha_0^{-1}(X_0 + \gamma_0)$ and $N_1 = \alpha_0^{-1}N_0$. Then, for $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\varepsilon\alpha_0^{-1}, \gamma_0; N_0, X_0) \\ = e(\varepsilon(\frac{1}{8} + (2\alpha_1)^{-1}\gamma_1^2)) \cdot \alpha_0^{1/2} \cdot \theta(-\varepsilon\alpha_1^{-1}, \gamma_1; N_1, X_1) + O(1 + \alpha_0^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This can be obtained from Lemmas 1 and 2.

LEMMA 4 (van der Corput). Let $f(x)$ be a real valued function on the interval $[X, Y]$, whose first derivative $f'(x)$ is monotonic, not decreasing and such that $0 \leq f'(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ on the interval. Then we have

$$\sum_{x \leq n \leq Y} e(f(n)) = \int_X^Y e(f(u)) \cdot du + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{\pi^2}\right)^{1/2}\right),$$

where $|\mathcal{O}| \leq 1$.

Proof. This is ‘‘Satz 1’’ in [5]. A little less precise statements can be found in [10], Chap. 4.

LEMMA 5. Let α_0, N_0 and X_0 be reals with $\alpha_0 > 0$, $N_0 \geq 0$ and $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_0 \geq N_0$. Let γ_0 be given. Choose $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ so that $\tilde{\gamma}_0 \equiv \gamma_0 \pmod{\alpha_0}$ and that the interval $[\alpha_0^{-1}(X_0 + \tilde{\gamma}_0), \alpha_0^{-1}(X_0 + \tilde{\gamma}_0 + N_0)]$ is contained in the interval $[-\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$. Then, for $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, we have

$$\theta(\varepsilon\alpha_0^{-1}, \gamma_0; N_0, X_0) = e(\varepsilon(2\alpha_0)^{-1}(\gamma_0^2 - \tilde{\gamma}_0^2)) \int_{X_0 + \tilde{\gamma}_0}^{X_0 + \tilde{\gamma}_0 + N_0} e(\varepsilon(2\alpha_0)^{-1}u^2) du + O(1).$$

Proof. This is obtained from Lemmas 1 and 4.

We regard $\theta(\varepsilon\alpha_0^{-1}, \gamma_0; N_0, X_0)$ to be $\sum_{X_0 \leq n \leq X_0 + N_0} \mathbf{1}$ for $\alpha_0 = +\infty$. Then Lemma 5 holds also for $\alpha_0 = +\infty$.

LEMMA 6. Let α_0, γ_0, N_0 and X_0 be reals with $\alpha_0 > 0, N_0 > 0$ and $2\alpha_0 \geq N_0 \geq \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0$. Then, for $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, we have

$$\theta(\varepsilon\alpha_0^{-1}, \gamma_0; N_0, X_0) = O(1 + \alpha_0^{1/2}).$$

Proof. If $1 \gg \alpha_0 > 0$, the result is obvious. Suppose we have $\alpha_0 \geq 4$. We express the interval $[X_0, X_0 + N_0]$ as a union of at most $O(1)$ sub-intervals, each of length $\leq \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0$ and $\gg \alpha_0$. In each subinterval we can apply Lemma 5. The contribution of the terms containing integrals are $O(\sqrt{\alpha_0})$ by the convergence of the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e(u^2)du$, and so we have the result.

2°. We define several numbers concerning continued fraction expansion of α . Let α be positive. Choose α_0 uniquely so that $\alpha_0^{-1} \equiv \alpha^{-1} \pmod{1}$ and $+\infty \geq \alpha_0 > 1$. Expand α_0 as $\alpha_k = a_k + (\alpha_{k+1})^{-1}$ with $a_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $+\infty \geq \alpha_{k+1} > 1$, beginning with $k = 0$. If $\alpha_{k+1} = +\infty$ for some k , we stop the expansion at this k . Define integers A_k, B_k and $C_j^{(k+1)}$ as follows: $A_{-1} = 1, A_0 = a_0$ and $A_k = a_k A_{k-1} + A_{k-2}$ for $k \geq 1$; $B_{-1} = 0, B_0 = 1$ and $B_k = a_k B_{k-1} + B_{k-2}$ for $k \geq 1$; $C_{k+1}^{(k+1)} = 1, C_k^{(k+1)} = a_k$ and $C_j^{(k+1)} = a_j C_{j+1}^{(k+1)} + C_{j+2}^{(k+1)}$ for $k-1 \geq j \geq 0$. Define a matrix ζ_k to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_k & -B_k \\ (-1)^k A_{k-1} & (-1)^{k+1} B_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This belongs to $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, as can be seen from (2) of Lemma 7. Define \mathcal{E}_k and H_k as follows: $\mathcal{E}_k = 0$ or 1 with $\mathcal{E}_k \equiv A_k B_k \pmod{2}$ for $k \geq -1$ and $H_k = (-1)^k \mathcal{E}_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 0$. We have the following lemmas.

LEMMA 7. (1) A_k and B_k increase monotonically as k increases.

(2) $A_k B_{k-1} - A_{k-1} B_k = (-1)^{k+1}$ and $(A_k, B_k) = 1$ for $k \geq 0$.

(3) $C_1^{(k+1)} = B_k$ and $C_0^{(k+1)} = A_k$ for $k \geq -1$.

(4) $A_k + \alpha_{k+1}^{-1} A_{k-1} = \alpha_k \cdots \alpha_0$,

$B_k + \alpha_{k+1}^{-1} B_{k-1} = \alpha_k \cdots \alpha_1$, for $k \geq 0$, and

$B_k - \alpha_0^{-1} A_k = (-1)^k (\alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_0)^{-1}$, for $k \geq -1$.

(5) $\alpha_k \cdot \alpha_{k+1} > 2$ for $k \geq 0$.

(6) $\alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_0 \cup A_{k+1}$ for $k \geq -1$.

LEMMA 8 (best approximation). Let α_0 be > 1 , and make A_k and B_k from α_0 as above. Let also a rational number $B^{-1}A$ be given, where B and A are its irreducible denominator and numerator respectively, so that, for any rationals $B'^{-1}A'$ with $0 < B' \leq B$ and $B'^{-1}A' \neq B^{-1}A$, we

have $|B\alpha_0 - A| \leq |B'\alpha_0 - A'|$. Then the pair (A, B) is equal to (A_k, B_k) for some k .

Proof. All statements of Lemmas 7 and 8 are well-known or can be easily shown. See, for instance, [6]. Lemma 8 is included here to suggest the nature of A_k and B_k .

LEMMA 9. We have

$$\sum_{h; k \geq h \geq j-1} (\alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_{h+2})^{-1} \{(-\alpha_h) \cdots (-\alpha_j)\} = (-1)^{k+1-j} C_j^{(k+1)}$$

for $0 \leq j \leq k + 1$, where $\alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_{h+2} = 1$ for $h = k$ and $(-\alpha_h) \cdots (-\alpha_j) = 1$ for $h = j - 1$.

Proof. If we put $\delta_j^{(k+1)} = \sum_{h; k \geq h \geq j-1} (\alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_{h+2})^{-1} \{(-\alpha_h) \cdots (-\alpha_j)\}$, we have $\delta_j^{(k+1)} = -a_j \delta_{j+1}^{(k+1)} + \delta_{j+2}^{(k+1)}$ for $k - 2 \geq j \geq 0$. Also $\delta_{k+1}^{(k+1)} = 1$ and $\delta_k^{(k+1)} = -a_k$. Thus $(-1)^{k+1-j} \delta_j^{(k+1)}$ has the same properties as $C_j^{(k+1)}$. Hence they are identical.

Let a real γ be given. Using α_k, a_k etc., we define γ_k as follows: γ_0 is any real number satisfying

$$(2\alpha_0)^{-1}(1 - 2\gamma_0) \equiv (2\alpha)^{-1}(1 - 2\gamma) \pmod{1},$$

and

$$\gamma_{k+1} = (-1)^{k+1} \alpha_{k+1} (B_k \gamma_0 - \frac{1}{2} E_k) + (-1)^{k+1} B_{k-1} \gamma_0 + \frac{1}{2} H_k$$

for $k \geq 0$. Given a real X , we define X_k inductively by $X_0 = X$ and $X_{k+1} = \alpha_k^{-1}(X_k + \gamma_k)$ for $k \geq 0$.

LEMMA 10. We have the following equalities:

$$(1) \quad \alpha_{k+1}^{-1} \gamma_{k+1} = -\gamma_k + \frac{1}{2} a_k + (-1)^k D_k$$

for $k \geq 0$, where D_k is an integer defined by

$$D_k = \frac{1}{2} (E_k - a_k E_{k-1} + (-1)^k H_{k-1} + (-1)^{k+1} a_k).$$

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} X_{k+2} &= (\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{k+1})^{-1} X_0 + (-1)^k \alpha_0^{-1} \gamma_0 A_k \\ &\quad + (-1)^{k+1} \frac{1}{2} (A_k + B_k) + (-1)^k E_k \end{aligned}$$

for $k \geq 0$, where E_k is an integer defined by

$$E_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} C_j^{(k+1)} D_{j-1}.$$

Proof. The fact that $\mathcal{E}_k - a_k \mathcal{E}_{k-1} + (-1)^k H_{k-1} + (-1)^{k-1} a_k$ is an even integer follows from the definitions and (2) of Lemma 7. Therefore D_k and E_k are integers. The number $\alpha_{k+1}^{-1} \gamma_{k+1}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{k+1} (B_k \gamma_0 - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}_k) + \alpha_{k+1}^{-1} ((-1)^{k+1} B_{k-1} \gamma_0 + \frac{1}{2} H_k) \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} (B_k \gamma_0 - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}_k) + (\alpha_k - a_k) ((-1)^{k+1} B_{k-1} \gamma_0 + \frac{1}{2} H_k) \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \alpha_k (B_{k-1} \gamma_0 + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{k+1} H_k) + (-1)^{k+1} B_{k-2} \gamma_0 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{E}_k - \frac{1}{2} a_k H_k. \end{aligned}$$

The last sum is equal to $-\gamma_k + \frac{1}{2} H_{k-1} - \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{E}_k - \frac{1}{2} a_k H_k$, by $H_k = (-1)^k \mathcal{E}_{k-1}$. Thus the right hand side of (1) is easily obtained. As for (2), we see, by direct calculations, that X_{k+2} is equal to $(\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{k+2})^{-1} X_0 + \beta_{k+2}$, where β_{k+2} is $\alpha_{k+1}^{-1} \gamma_{k+1} + (\alpha_{k+1} \alpha_k)^{-1} \gamma_k + \cdots + (\alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_0)^{-1} \gamma_0$. Then β_{k+2} is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{h; k \geq h \geq 0} (\alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_{h+2})^{-1} \{(-\alpha_h) \cdots (-\alpha_1)\} (-\gamma_0 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 - \frac{1}{2}) \\ &+ (\alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_0)^{-1} (\gamma_0 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0) \\ &+ \left(\begin{aligned} & (-1)^k D_k + \cdots + (-1)^{j-1} D_{j-1} \sum_{h; k \geq h \geq j-1} (\alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_{h+2})^{-1} \\ & \times \{(-\alpha_h) \cdots (-\alpha_j)\} + \cdots + D_0 \sum_{h; k \geq h \geq 0} (\alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_{h+2})^{-1} \\ & \times \{(-\alpha_h) \cdots (-\alpha_1)\} \end{aligned} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 9, this sum is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^k B_k (-\gamma_0 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 - \frac{1}{2}) + (\alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_0)^{-1} (\gamma_0 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0) \\ &+ [(-1)^k D_k + \cdots + (-1)^{j-1} D_{j-1} (-1)^{k+1-j} C_j^{(k+1)} + \cdots \\ &+ D_0 (-1)^{(k+1)-1} C_1^{(k+1)}], \end{aligned}$$

for $k \geq 0$. Substituting the third formula of (4) of Lemma 7 with $(\alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_0)^{-1}$ in the second term of the above sum, we have the result (2).

The formula (2) of Lemma 7 and the fact that E_k is an integer are fundamental.

3°. Let τ be a complex variable whose imaginary part is positive. Let x and y be any complex numbers, and $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ be any matrix in $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$. Define $\sigma \langle \tau \rangle$ to be $(a\tau + b)(c\tau + d)^{-1}$. Then we see that

$$\theta(\tau; x, y) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{\pi i \tau (m-y)^2 + 2\pi i m x - \pi i x y}$$

is equal to

$$\chi(\sigma) \cdot e^{(\pi i/2)\{\eta(ax+by) - \xi(cx+dy)\}} \cdot (c\tau + d)^{-1/2} \theta(\sigma \langle \tau \rangle; ax + by - \frac{1}{2}\xi, cx + dy - \frac{1}{2}\eta),$$

where $\xi \equiv ab \pmod{2}$ and $\eta \equiv cd \pmod{2}$. Also $\chi(\sigma)$ is a certain eighth root of the unity which does not depend on x, y and τ . This formula is well-known. See, for instance, [3], pp. 47–66.

We restrict ξ, η and the branch of $(c\tau + d)^{1/2}$ as follows: $\xi = 0$ or 1 , $\eta = 0$ or ± 1 where the signature in ± 1 is given in advance for each σ , and, as for $(c\tau + d)^{1/2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (c\tau + d)^{1/2} &= 1 && \text{if } c = 0 \text{ and } d = 1, \\ (c\tau + d)^{1/2} &= e^{(1/2)\pi i} && \text{if } c = 0 \text{ and } d = -1, \\ 0 < \arg(c\tau + d)^{1/2} < \pi/2 && \text{if } c > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 > \arg(c\tau + d)^{1/2} > -\pi/2 \quad \text{if } c < 0.$$

Then we can write $\chi(\sigma)$ explicitly in terms of a, b, c and d , if we use the Jacobi symbol. The reader will find some of them, that is, those for $\sigma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2}$, in [8], for instance.

Rewriting the θ -formula, we have

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i \tau (m+\gamma)^2} = \chi(\sigma) (c\tau + d)^{-1/2} \cdot e^{\pi i (b\gamma + (1/2)\xi) r \sigma} e^{(\pi i/2)(d\xi - b\eta) r} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i \sigma \langle \tau \rangle (m+\gamma\sigma)^2},$$

where γ_σ is $(d\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\eta) - (\sigma \langle \tau \rangle)^{-1}(b\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\xi)$.

LEMMA 11. Let σ be ζ_k , that is, $\begin{pmatrix} A_k & -B_k \\ (-1)^k A_{k-1} & (-1)^{k+1} B_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Choose α, γ, ξ and η to be $\alpha_0^{-1} + i \cdot 0 +$, γ_0 , E_k and H_k respectively, with the notations defined in 2° . Then we have

$$\sigma \langle \alpha_0^{-1} + i \cdot 0 + \rangle = (-1)^{k+1} \alpha_{k+1}^{-1} + i \cdot 0 +$$

and

$$\gamma_\sigma = \gamma_{k+1} + i \cdot 0 \pm.$$

Here $0+$ or $0\pm$ stands for a sufficiently small positive or a real number respectively.

Proof. It is easy to check the assertion about $\sigma \langle \alpha_0^{-1} + i \cdot 0 + \rangle$ by the third formula of (4) of Lemma 7. Then the other part clearly holds.

4° . Now we proceed to the sum $\theta(\alpha^{-1}, \gamma; N, X)$. We suppose that

N is not smaller than 1. We use those notations in 2° relating to α, γ and X . Also we put $N_{k+1} = (\alpha_k \cdots \alpha_0)^{-1}N$ with $N_0 = N$. If $N_1 < \frac{1}{2}$, we define k_0 to be -1 . But, if $N_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, there is, by (5) of Lemma 7, some k_0 with $0 \leq k_0 \ll \log N$ so that $N_{k_0+1} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ but $0 \leq N_{k_0+2} < \frac{1}{2}$. We divide the statements into two theorems. We suppose $\alpha > 0$ and that $\alpha_0 \neq +\infty$.

THEOREM 1. (Case 1) *If $k_0 = -1$, we have*

$$\theta(\alpha^{-1}, \gamma; N, X) = e((2\alpha)^{-1}\gamma^2 - (2\alpha_0)^{-1}\tilde{\gamma}_0^2) \int_{X+\tilde{\gamma}_0}^{X+\tilde{\gamma}_0+N} e((2\alpha_0)^{-1}u^2)du + O(1),$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ is so chosen that $\tilde{\gamma}_0 \equiv \gamma_0 \pmod{\alpha_0}$ and that the interval

$$[\alpha_0^{-1}(X + \tilde{\gamma}_0), \alpha_0^{-1}(X + \tilde{\gamma}_0 + N)]$$

is contained in the interval $[-\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$.

(Case 2) *If $k_0 \geq -1$ and if $N_{k_0+1} \ll 1$ or $N_{k_0+2} \gg 1$, then we have*

$$\theta(\alpha^{-1}, \gamma; N, X) = O(N^{1/2}).$$

Proof. If $k_0 = -1$ the result is obtained from Lemma 5 and Lemma 1. If $k_0 \geq 0$ but if $N_{k_0+1} \ll 1$, we can apply Lemma 3 repeatedly $(k_0 + 1)$ times, as is ensured by (1) of Lemma 10, and can use the fact that

$$\theta((-1)^{k_0+1}\alpha_{k_0+1}^{-1}, \gamma_{k_0+1}; N_{k_0+1}, X_{k_0+1}) = O(1).$$

We have an estimate $O(1 + \sum_{h=0}^{k_0} (\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_h)^{1/2})$, which is $O(1 + (\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{k_0})^{1/2})$ by (5) of Lemma 7. But $\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{k_0} \cup N$, so we have done in this case. If $k_0 \geq 0$ and if $N_{k_0+2} \gg 1$, we again apply Lemma 3 repeatedly $(k_0 + 1)$ times and Lemma 6 after that. We have $O(1 + (\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{k_0+1})^{1/2})$ as an estimate in this case, which is $O(N^{1/2})$ again.

THEOREM 2. (Case 3) *If $k_0 \geq 0$, $N_{k_0+1} > 2$ and $0 < N_{k_0+2} < \frac{1}{4}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\alpha^{-1}, \gamma; N, X) &= \chi(\zeta_{k_0}) \cdot e^{\pi i \Delta_{k_0}} \cdot e((2\alpha)^{-1}\gamma^2 - (2\alpha_0)^{-1}\gamma_0^2) \\ &\quad \times (\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{k_0})^{1/2} \int_{X_{k_0+1}+\tilde{\gamma}_{k_0+1}}^{X_{k_0+1}+\tilde{\gamma}_{k_0+1}+N_{k_0+1}} e((-1)^{k_0+1}(2\alpha_{k_0+1})^{-1}u^2) \cdot du \\ &\quad + O(1 + A_{k_0}^{1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where Δ_{k_0} is

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{k_0+1}(-B_{k_0}\gamma_0 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}_{k_0}) + (-1)^{k_0+1}\frac{1}{2} \cdot (B_{k_0-1}\mathcal{E}_{k_0} + A_{k_0-1}H_{k_0})\gamma_0 \\ + (-1)^{k_0+1}(2\alpha_{k_0+1})^{-1}(\gamma_{k_0+1}^2 - \tilde{\gamma}_{k_0+1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Also ζ_{k_0} is $\left(\begin{matrix} A_{k_0} & -B_{k_0} \\ (-1)^{k_0} A_{k_0-1} & (-1)^{k_0+1} B_{k_0-1} \end{matrix} \right)$, and the value of $\chi(\zeta_{k_0})$ is that in 3° corresponding to $\xi = E_{k_0}$, $\eta = H_{k_0}$ and the branch of $(c\tau + d)^{1/2}$ is restricted as is stated there. The value $\tilde{\gamma}_{k_0+1}$ is so chosen that $\tilde{\gamma}_{k_0+1} \equiv \gamma_{k_0+1} \pmod{\alpha_{k_0+1}}$ and that the interval $[\alpha_{k_0+1}^{-1}(X_{k_0+1} + \tilde{\gamma}_{k_0+1}), \alpha_{k_0+1}^{-1}(X_{k_0+1} + \tilde{\gamma}_{k_0+1} + N_{k_0+1})]$ is contained in the interval $[-\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$.

(Case 4) If $k_0 \geq 0$ but $N_{k_0+2} = 0$, then, with the same $\chi(\zeta_{k_0})$ as above, we have

$$\theta(\alpha^{-1}, \gamma; N, X) = \chi(\zeta_{k_0}) e^{\pi i d'_{k_0}} \cdot e((2\alpha)^{-1} \gamma^2 - (2\alpha_0)^{-1} \gamma_0^2) \cdot A_{k_0}^{1/2} \\ \times \sum_{X_{k_0+1} \leq n \leq X_{k_0+1} + N_{k_0+1}} e((B_{k_0} \tilde{\gamma}_0 - \frac{1}{2} E_{k_0})n) + O(1 + A_{k_0}^{1/2}),$$

where A'_{k_0} is

$$(B_{k_0} \tilde{\gamma}_0 - \frac{1}{2} E_{k_0})((-1)^{k_0+1} B_{k_0-1} \tilde{\gamma}_0 + \frac{1}{2} H_{k_0}) \\ + (-1)^{k_0+1} \frac{1}{2} \cdot (B_{k_0-1} E_{k_0} + A_{k_0-1} H_{k_0}) \tilde{\gamma}_0.$$

In this case α_0 is $B_{k_0}^{-1} A_{k_0}$ with $A_{k_0} \leq 2N$.

Proof. (Case 3) Suppose $N_{k_0+2} \neq 0$. We use Lemma 3 repeatedly $(k_0 + 1)$ times and Lemma 5 after that. As $\gamma_\sigma, \sigma \langle \tau \rangle$ and $(c\tau + d)^{1/2}$ for $\sigma = \zeta_{k_0}$ and $\tau = \alpha_0^{-1} + i \cdot 0 +$ are equal to $\gamma_{k_0+1} + i \cdot 0 \pm$, $(-1)^{k_0+1} \alpha_{k_0+1}^{-1} + i \cdot 0 +$ and $(\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{k_0} + i \cdot 0 \pm)^{1/2}$ respectively, we have, from θ -formula in 3° , the main term in the result. We have $O(1 + (\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{k_0})^{1/2})$ as its errors, which is $O(1 + A_{k_0}^{1/2})$ by (6) of Lemma 7. (Case 4) Now we suppose $N_{k_0+2} = 0$, i.e., $\alpha_{k_0+1} = +\infty$. We have $\zeta_{k_0} \langle \alpha_0^{-1} + i \cdot 0 + \rangle = i \cdot 0 +$. We rewrite the θ -formula in 3° as follows:

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{\pi i \tau (m+\gamma)^2} = \chi(\sigma) (c\tau + d)^{-1/2} e^{(\pi i/2)(d\xi - b\eta)\gamma - \pi i(b\gamma + (1/2)\xi)(d\gamma + (1/2)\eta)} \\ \times \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{\pi i \sigma \langle \tau \rangle (m + d\gamma + (1/2)\eta)^2 - 2\pi i(b\gamma + (1/2)\xi)\gamma m}$$

Then we obtain the result in this case also by the similar considerations.

In the integrals in Cases 1 and 3, $\alpha_{k_0+1}^{-1}(X_{k_0+1} + \tilde{\gamma}_{k_0+1})$ is to be determined mod. 1. But it is equal to $X_{k_0+2} + \alpha_{k_0+1}^{-1}(\tilde{\gamma}_{k_0+1} - \gamma_{k_0+1})$; then X_{k_0+2} and the integer $\alpha_{k_0+1}^{-1}(\tilde{\gamma}_{k_0+1} - \gamma_{k_0+1})$ can be determined by (2) of Lemma 10.

5°. We fix an irrational number α_0 arbitrarily which is larger than 1. Make those numbers defined in 2° from $\alpha = \alpha_0$. Let $\psi(k)$ be a real valued function on $k = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$, whose value is larger than 2. If we suppose that N_{k_0+2} is larger than or equal to $(2\psi(k_0))^{-1}$, then we have $A_{k_0+1} \ll N\psi(k_0)$, as $N_{k_0+2} \cup \cap NA_{k_0+1}^{-1}$. Thus, by the convergence of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e(u^2) \cdot du$, we have

$$(1) \quad (\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{k_0})^{1/2} \int e((2\alpha_{k_0+1})^{-1}u^2)du \\ \ll (\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{k_0})^{1/2}(\alpha_{k_0+1})^{1/2} \ll A_{k_0+1}^{1/2} \ll (N\psi(k_0))^{1/2} .$$

Let us, on the contrary, suppose that N_{k_0+2} is smaller than $(2\psi(k_0))^{-1}$. Suppose also that we have a real β_0 which satisfies the following conditions, where $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of x :

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} |\{\beta_0 A_k\} - \frac{1}{2}| &\geq \psi(k)^{-1} && \text{if } A_k + B_k \text{ is odd with } k \geq 0, \\ \min(\{\beta_0 A_k\}, 1 - \{\beta_0 A_k\}) &\geq \psi(k)^{-1} && \text{if } k = -1 \text{ or if } A_k + B_k \text{ is even} \\ &&& \text{with } k \geq 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Then, if we substitute $X_0 = 0$ and $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0\beta_0$ in (2) of Lemma 10, the interval $[\{X_{k_0+2}\}, \{X_{k_0+2}\} + N_{k_0+2}]$ is contained in the interval $[(2\psi(k_0))^{-1}, 1 - (2\psi(k_0))^{-1}]$ for $k_0 \geq -1$. By the mean-value theorem on integrals, we have

$$(3) \quad (\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{k_0})^{1/2} \int_{X_{k_0+1} + \tilde{\tau}_{k_0+1}}^{X_{k_0+1} + \tilde{\tau}_{k_0+1} + N_{k_0+1}} e((2\alpha_{k_0+1})^{-1}u^2) \cdot du \ll (\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{k_0})^{1/2} \\ \times (\alpha_{k_0+1})^{1/2}(\alpha_{k_0+1}\psi(k_0)^{-2})^{-1/2} \ll (\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{k_0})^{1/2}\psi(k_0) \ll N^{1/2}\psi(k_0) .$$

Therefore, if we suppose the existence of a β_0 satisfying the condition (2), it follows, from (1) and (3) applied to Cases 1 or 3 and also from Case 2 of Theorems 1 and 2, that

$$(4) \quad \theta(\alpha_0^{-1}, \alpha_0\beta_0; 0, N) \ll N^{1/2}\psi(k_0)$$

for any $N \geq 1$.

The measure of the set of β_0 in the interval $[0, 1)$ which do not satisfy (2) for some $k \geq -1$ is obviously not larger than $\sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} 2\psi(k)^{-1}$. Therefore, if we suppose that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} 2\psi(k)^{-1} < 1 ,$$

the measure of the set of β_0 in $[0, 1)$ which satisfy the condition (2) for every $k \geq -1$ is not smaller than $1 - \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} 2\psi(k)^{-1} > 0$. If we give $\psi(k)$ the values $ck(\log k)^2$ for $k \geq 3$ with a large positive constant c , and some appropriate values for $2 \geq k \geq -1$, then the inequality (5) is satisfied. But $k_0 = O(\log N)$. Therefore we have the following

THEOREM 3. *If we are given any real irrational α_0 which is larger than 1, then there exists a set I_{α_0} of reals in the interval $[0, 1)$ whose*

measure is larger than $\frac{1}{2}$, so that we have

$$\theta(\alpha_0^{-1}, \alpha_0 \beta_0; 0, N) \ll N^{1/2} (\log 10N) (\log \log 10N)^2,$$

for all β_0 in I_{a_0} , where the implied constant is absolute.

This result is an improvement on the existence of an irrational $\alpha_0^{-1} \gamma_0$ such that we have $\theta(\alpha_0^{-1}, \gamma_0; 0, N) \ll N^{3/4}$, shown in [1], p. 294, Satz XV.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Behnke, Zur Theorie der diophantischen Approximationen, Part I, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **3** (1924), 261–318, with Corrigendum.
- [2] ———, Part II, the same Abh., **4** (1926), 33–46.
- [3] M. Eichler, Einführung in die Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen und Funktionen, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1963.
- [4] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Some problems of Diophantine approximation II: The trigonometrical series associated with the elliptic θ -functions, Acta Math., **37** = Collected Papers of G. H. Hardy, Vol. **1**, 67–114.
- [5] V. Jarnik and E. Landau, Untersuchungen über einen van der Corputschen Satz, Math. Z., **39** (1935), 745–767.
- [6] A. Ya. Khinchin, Continued fractions, The University of Chicago Press, 1964.
- [7] J. F. Koksma, Diophantische Approximationen, Springer Verlag, 1936.
- [8] T. Kubota, On a classical theta-function, Nagoya Math. J., **37** (1970), 183–189.
- [9] L. J. Mordell, The approximate functional formula for the theta function, J. London Math. Soc., **1** (1926), 68–72.
- [10] E. C. Titchmarsh, The theory of the Riemann Zeta-function, Oxford, 1951.
- [11] J. R. Wilton, The approximate functional formula for the theta function, J. London Math. Soc., **2** (1927), 177–180.

Nagoya University