

HIRONAKA'S ADDITIVE GROUP SCHEMES

HIROYUKI MIZUTANI

In [1] and [2], Hironaka referred to the importance of an additive group scheme $B_{p_n, \mathfrak{p}}$, which is associated with a point \mathfrak{p} in \mathbf{P}_n , in connection with the resolution of singularities in characteristic $p > 0$. Also he showed that if the dimension of $B_{p_n, \mathfrak{p}}$ is not greater than p , then it is a vector group.

By Oda [3], these schemes can be characterized in terms of vector spaces and differential operators of the coefficient field, as we recall in section 1. Moreover Oda classified these schemes in dimension ≤ 5 completely and conjectured that;

- (1) If $\dim B_{p_n, \mathfrak{p}} < 2p - 1$, then it is a vector group,
- (2) If $\dim B_{p_n, \mathfrak{p}} = 2p - 1$ and $B_{p_n, \mathfrak{p}}$ is not a vector group, then its type is unique.

In this paper we see that this conjecture is true, using some tools in Oda [3].

The author wishes to thank Professor T. Oda who taught this concept to him and gave him many suggestions.

Section 1.

Let $S = k[X_0, \dots, X_n] = \sum_{m \geq 0} S_m$, $\mathbf{P}_n = \text{Proj}(S)$, and $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbf{P}_n$. A graded subalgebra $U(\mathfrak{p}) = \sum_{m \geq 0} U_m(\mathfrak{p})$ of S is defined as follows:

$$U_m(\mathfrak{p}) = \{f \mid f \in S_m, \text{mult}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Proj}(S/fS)) \geq m\}.$$

Then $U(\mathfrak{p})$ is generated as a k -algebra by purely inseparable forms in S , i.e. elements of the form $a_0 X_0^{p^e} + \dots + a_n X_n^{p^e}$ with $a_i \in k$, $p = \text{ch}(k)$. (See [2], Th. 1, Cor.)

DEFINITION 1.1. A Hironaka scheme $B_{p_n, \mathfrak{p}}$ associated with \mathfrak{p} in \mathbf{P}_n is a homogeneous additive subgroup scheme of the vector group $\text{Spec}(S)$ defined by

Received June 18, 1973.

$$B_{p_n, p} = \text{Spec}(S/U_+(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot S), \quad \text{where } U_+(\mathfrak{p}) = \sum_{m>0} U_m(\mathfrak{p}).$$

For simplicity, we call $B_{p_n, p}$ the H -scheme associated with \mathfrak{p} .

In order to mention the following theorem, which is the main theorem of Oda's characterization in [3], we recall some terminologies.

(a) $L = \sum_{i \geq 0} L_i$ is a graded k -subspace of S , where L_i is the subset of S_{p^i} consisting of all the purely inseparable forms of degree p^i . Then L is a graded left $k[F]$ -module, with F acting as the p -th power map.

(b) $\text{Diff}(k)$ and $\text{Diff}_m(k)$ are the left k -vector spaces of differential operators over Z of k into itself, and those of order $\leq m$, respectively. When V is a subset of L_e , the following vector subspaces of L_e are defined for $i \leq e$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_i(V) &= \text{Diff}_{p^{i-1}}(k)V \\ \mathcal{N}_i(V) &= \{f \mid f \in L_i, \mathcal{D}_i(f) \subset k \cdot V\}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) When $Q = \sum_{i \geq 0} Q_i$ is a graded left $k[F]$ -submodule of L , we can find an integer e such that $Q_{i+1} = k \cdot FQ_i$ ($i \geq e$) and $Q_e \not\supseteq k \cdot FQ_{e-1}$. We call such e the exponent of Q and write $e(Q)$. We define the exponent of $B_{p_n, p}$ to be $e(U(\mathfrak{p}) \cap L)$.

(d) We call \mathfrak{p} in P_n the most generic point associated with an H -scheme B in $\text{Spec}(S)$ when $B_{p_n, p} = B$ and an arbitrary $\mathfrak{p}' \in P_n$, which satisfies $B_{p_n, \mathfrak{p}'} = B$, contains \mathfrak{p} .

Remark 1.2. B is a vector group if and only if the exponent of B equals 0.

THEOREM 1.3. (Oda [3], Th. 2.5). *Let N be a graded left $k[F]$ -submodule of L . Then $\text{Spec}(S/N \cdot S)$ is an H -scheme of exponent e if and only if $e(N) = e, N_e \subseteq L_e, \mathcal{N}_e \mathcal{D}_e(N_e) = N_e$ and $N = \text{rad}_L(k[F]N_e)$, where we define $\text{rad}_L(Q) = \{f \in L \mid \text{there exists a non-negative integer } j \text{ such that } F^j f \in Q\}$. Moreover $\text{rad}_S(\mathcal{D}_e(N_e) \cdot S)$ is the most generic point associated with $\text{Spec}(S/N \cdot S)$ and $\dim(\text{Spec}(S/N \cdot S)) = \dim_k(L_e/N_e)$.*

By this theorem H -schemes can be written in terms of vector spaces and differential operators as follows:

(*) Let W be a finite dimensional k^q -vector space and let V be a k -subspace of $k \otimes_{k^q} W$, with $q = p^e$. Then an H -scheme of exponent e is

in one to one correspondence with a pair (V, W) satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\mathcal{N}_e \mathcal{D}_e(V) = V$,
- (ii) $V \subseteq k \otimes_{k^q} W$,
- (iii) $V \supseteq k(V \cap (k^p \otimes_{k^q} W))$ if $e \geq 1$.

Here $\dim(H\text{-scheme}) = \dim_k(k \otimes_{k^q} W/V)$. Since $\text{Diff}_{q-1}(k)$ acts trivially on k^q , it is considered to act on $k \otimes_{k^q} W$ through the first factor. In this paper $H(V, W)$ means an H -scheme which is determined by a pair (V, W) satisfying (i) (ii) (iii). Also, when $e \geq 1$, we sometimes assume the condition (iv) below for the sake of convenience,

(iv) $V \cap W = 0$ and W is minimal (i.e. $k \otimes_{k^q} W' \not\supseteq V$, for any proper k^q -subspace W' of W).

The former condition of (iv) means that we are dealing with the smallest ambient vector group containing the H -scheme, and the latter means that we neglect the part of the vector group when we represent the H -scheme as (vector group) \times (not vector group).

Remark 1.4. When $e \geq 1$, it is evident that if (V, W) satisfies (iii) then (V, W) automatically satisfies (ii).

(V, W) and (V', W') are said to be of the same type when there exist a field automorphism σ of k and a k^q -semi-linear isomorphism $\psi: W \rightarrow W'$ such that the induced map $\sigma \otimes \psi: k \otimes_{k^q} W \rightarrow k \otimes_{k^q} W'$ sends V onto V' .

Section 2.

EXAMPLE 2.1. (See Oda [3].) Let W be a k^p -vector space of $\dim W = 2p$ with basis X_i, Z_i ($i = 0, \dots, p-1$). Let c_1 and c_2 be elements of k , p -independent over k^p . If $V = k \cdot f$ with $f = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} c_1^i (X_i + c_2 Z_i)$, then $H = H(V, W)$ is an H -scheme of exponent $e(H) = 1$ and $\dim H = 2p - 1$. Furthermore $\mathcal{D}_1(V) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} k \cdot (X_i + c_1^{p-1-i} c_2 Z_{p-1}) \oplus \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} k \cdot (Z_i - c_1^{p-1-i} Z_{p-1})$. The H -scheme corresponding to this pair is

$$\text{Spec} \left(k[x_i, z_i] / \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} c_1^i (x_i^p + c_2 z_i^p) \right),$$

with x_i, z_i ($i = 0, \dots, p-1$) indeterminates. This is the most typical example of those H -schemes which are not vector groups and associated with a closed point in \mathbf{P}_{2p-1} .

Now let W^* be the dual space of a k^q -vector space W with $q = p^e$. Since $\text{Diff}_{q-1}(k)$ acts on $k \otimes_{k^q} W^*$, we can define \mathcal{D}_i^* and \mathcal{N}_i^* in the same way as \mathcal{D}_i and \mathcal{N}_i for $i \leq e$.

DEFINITION 2.2. For a pair (V, W) we define (V^*, W^*) to be a pair where W^* is the dual k^q -vector space of W and $V^* = \mathcal{D}_e(V)^\perp$. We define conditions (i*) (ii*) (iii*) (iv*) in the same way as in (*) of § 1.

LEMMA 2.3. (Oda [3], Lemma 2.8.). For a k -subspace U of $k \otimes_{k^q} W$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_i(U)^\perp = \mathcal{D}_i^*(U^\perp) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_i(U)^\perp = \mathcal{N}_i^*(U^\perp) .$$

LEMMA 2.4. When $q = p^e$ and $q' = p^{e'}$ with $e' \leq e$, we have $\mathcal{D}_e(V) = \text{Diff}_{q-q'}(k)\mathcal{D}_{e'}(V)$.

Proof. Since $k \cdot V$ is a finite dimensional k -vector space, we can choose a base f_β ($\beta = 1, \dots, s$). There exists a finite set c_1, \dots, c_m of elements of k , p -independent over k^p so that $K = k^q(c_1, \dots, c_m)$ contains the coefficients of f_β ($\beta = 1, \dots, s$). Since $\text{Diff}_{q-1}(k)V = k \cdot \text{Diff}_{q-1}(K/k^q)V$, it is enough to show

$$\text{Diff}_{q-1}(K/k^q) = \text{Diff}_{q-q'}(K/k^q) \text{Diff}_{q'-1}(K/k^q) .$$

Let $D_{i,j}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq e-1$) be the k^q -linear map of K into itself defined by

$$D_{i,j} \left(\prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq m} c_\alpha^{t_\alpha} \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & (t_i < p^j) \\ \binom{t_i}{p^j} c_i^{t_i - p^j} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha \leq m \\ \alpha \neq i}} c_\alpha^{t_\alpha} & (t_i \geq p^j) . \end{cases}$$

Then $D_{i,j}$ is a differential operator of K over k^q of order p^j . Moreover $D_{i,j}$'s commute with each other. When $t_{i,j}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq e-1$) vary among integers satisfying

$$0 \leq t_{i,j} \leq p-1 .$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 0 \leq j < e}} t_{i,j} p^j \leq p^e - 1 ,$$

the operators $D = \prod D_{i,j}^{t_{i,j}}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j < e$) form a K -basis of $\text{Diff}_{q-1}(K/k^q)$. Then we see easily that D can be written as $D'D''$ with

D' in $\text{Diff}_{q-q'}(K/k^q)$ and D'' in $\text{Diff}_{q'-1}(K/k^q)$. Thus the lemma is proved.

PROPOSITION 2.5. (V, W) satisfies (i) of (*) in §1 if and only if (V^*, W^*) satisfies (i*). Under this condition, when $e \geq 1$, (V, W) satisfies (iii) (resp. (iv)) if and only if (V^*, W^*) satisfies (iii*) (resp. (iv*)).

Proof. If $\mathcal{N}_e \mathcal{D}_e(V) = V$, we have by Lemma 2.3 $\mathcal{D}_e^*(V^*)^\perp = \mathcal{D}_e^*(\mathcal{D}_e(V)^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{N}_e \mathcal{D}_e(V) = V$, and $\mathcal{N}_e^* \mathcal{D}_e^*(V^*) = \mathcal{N}_e^*(V^\perp) = \mathcal{D}_e(V)^\perp = V^*$. Thus the equivalence of (i) and (i*) is proved. To prove the equivalence of (iii) (resp. (iv)) with (iii*) (resp. (iv*)) it is enough to show the only if parts. If $V^* = k \cdot (V^* \cap (k^p \otimes W^*))$, then we have $\mathcal{D}_e^*(V^*) = k \cdot (\mathcal{D}_e^*(V^*) \cap (k^p \otimes W^*))$ by the fact in the proof of Lemma 2.4. Thus $V^\perp = k \cdot (V^\perp \cap (k^p \otimes W^*))$ and $V = k \cdot (V \cap (k^p \otimes W))$, and hence (iii) and (iii*) are equivalent. If (V, W) satisfies (i), we have $V \cap W = \mathcal{D}_e(V) \cap W$ and similarly in the dual space $V^* \cap W^* = V^\perp \cap W^*$ by the remark below Proposition 3.1 in Oda [3]. Thus W is not minimal if and only if there exists $0 \neq f \in W^*$ such that $\langle V, f \rangle = 0$, i.e. if and only if $\{0\} \neq V^\perp \cap W^* = V^* \cap W^*$. Thus W is minimal if and only if $V^* \cap W^* = \{0\}$. By the duality the equivalence of (iv) and (iv*) is proved.

Thus, when $e \geq 1$, we can associate the dual H -scheme $H(V^*, W^*)$, which we denote also by H^* , with $H = H(V, W)$. Evidently we have $e(H) = e(H^*)$ and $H^{**} = H$.

As was seen in Oda [3], $V \cap W = \mathcal{D}_e(V) \cap W$ is one of the handiest necessary conditions for a pair (V, W) to correspond to an H -scheme.

LEMMA 2.6. (Oda [3], Proposition 3.1.) *Let $H = H(V, W)$ be an H -scheme with $\dim H = d$, $e(H) = e$ and $\dim_k(V) = v$. Then there exists a k^{pe} -basis $\{X_i, Y_j\}_{(i=1, \dots, d, j=1, \dots, v)}$ of W and a k -basis $\{f_j\}_{j=1, \dots, v}$ of V such that*

$$f_j = Y_j + c_{1j}X_1 + \cdots + c_{dj}X_d (c_{ij} \in k) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_e \mathcal{D}_e(f_j) = k \cdot f_j.$$

Moreover we can choose f_1 so that $H_1 = H(k \cdot f_1, k^{pe} \cdot Y_1 \oplus \sum_{i=1}^d k^{pe} \cdot X_i)$ is an H -scheme with $\dim H_1 = d$ and $e(H_1) = e$.

LEMMA 2.7. *Let $H = H(V, W)$ be an H -scheme with $e(H) \geq 1$. When $0 \leq e' \leq e$, $H' = H(V, W')$ is an H -scheme with $e(H') = e'$ and $\dim H' = \dim H$, where $W' = k^{pe'} \otimes_{k^{pe}} W$.*

Proof. The conditions (ii) (iii) of (*) being trivially verified, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{N}_{e'} \mathcal{D}_{e'}(V) = V$ if $\mathcal{N}_e \mathcal{D}_e(V) = V$. By Lemma 2.4 above and Lemma 2.9 in Oda [3], we have $\mathcal{D}_{e'} \mathcal{N}_{e'} \mathcal{D}_{e'}(V) =$

$\text{Diff}_{p^e-p^{e'}}(k)\mathcal{D}_{e',\mathcal{N}_{e'},\mathcal{D}_{e'}}(V) = \text{Diff}_{p^e-p^{e'}}(k)\mathcal{D}_{e'}(V) = \mathcal{D}_e(V)$. Thus $\mathcal{N}_{e'}\mathcal{D}_{e'}(V) \subset \mathcal{N}_e\mathcal{D}_e(V) = V$. The inverse inclusion is trivial. The exponent and the dimension are easy to calculate.

This lemma means that the image H' of H by the Frobenius morphism $F^{e-e'}$ of the ambient vector group defined by $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (x_0^{p^{e-e'}}, \dots, x_n^{p^{e-e'}})$ is again H -scheme of exponent e' .

THEOREM 2.8. *If $H = H(V, W)$ is not a vector group (i.e. $e(H) \geq 1$), then $\dim H \geq 2p - 1$. Moreover if $\dim H = 2p - 1$ and H is not a vector group with $V \cap W = \{0\}$, then H is of the same type as Example 2.1.*

Proof. Let m be the smallest dimension of H -schemes with positive exponents. Then by Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 there exists $H_f = H(k \cdot f, W)$ such that $\dim H_f = m$ and $e(H_f) = 1$. Moreover it is an immediate consequence of the minimality of m that H_f satisfies (iv) of (*), hence in particular $\mathcal{D}_1(f) \cap W = \{0\}$. Now let us observe dimensions over k of the sequence

$$k \cdot f \subset \text{Diff}_1(k)f \subset \text{Diff}_2(k)f \subset \dots \subset \text{Diff}_{p-1}(k)f = \mathcal{D}_1(f).$$

We claim $\dim_k \text{Diff}_{i+1}(k)f \geq \dim_k \text{Diff}_i(k)f + 2$ ($i = 0, \dots, p-2$). If $\dim_k \text{Diff}_i(k)f = t$, then we may assume that $\text{Diff}_i(k)f$ is generated by $X_j + h_j$ ($j = 0, \dots, t-1$) over k , where h_j is a k -linear combination of X_t, \dots, X_m and $\{X_j\}_{j=0, \dots, m}$ is a k^p -basis of W . We define $c(g)$ to be the k^p -vector subspace of k spanned by the coefficients of $g \in k \otimes_{k^p} W$. There are the following three possibilities:

(1) There exists j ($0 \leq j < t$) such that there is no intermediate subfield of the form $k^p(a)$ containing $c(X_j + h_j)$. In this case, we may assume that there exist D_1, D_2 in $\text{Der}(k/k^p)$ with $D_1(X_j + h_j) = X_t + h'$ and $D_2(X_j + h_j) = X_{t+1} + h''$, where h' and h'' are linear combinations of X_{t+2}, \dots, X_m . The above statement is obvious in this case.

(2) For each j there exists an intermediate subfield $k^p(a_j)$ containing $c(X_j + h_j)$.

(i) If there exist $j \neq j'$ such that $k^p(a_j) \neq k^p(a_{j'})$, then we can choose $D_j, D_{j'}$ in $\text{Der}(k/k^p)$ satisfying $D_j(a_j) = 1$ and $D_{j'}(a_{j'}) = 1$. It is enough to show that $D_j(h_j)$ and $D_{j'}(h_{j'})$ are linearly independent over k . If $D_j(h_j) = u \cdot D_{j'}(h_{j'})$ with $u \in k$, then

$$c(D_j(h_j)) = u \cdot c(D_{j'}(h_{j'})) \subset k^p(a_j) \cap u \cdot k^p(a_{j'}).$$

But it is easy to show that

$$\dim_{k^p}(k^p(a_j) \cap u \cdot k^p(a_{j'})) \leq 1 .$$

Hence we readily get a contradiction in view of the property $\mathcal{D}_1(f) \cap W = \{0\}$.

(ii) For all $j, k^p(a_j) = k^p(a)$ with $a \in k$.

Then $\mathcal{D}_1(f) = k \cdot (\mathcal{D}_1(f) \cap (k^p(a) \otimes W))$ and thus we have $(k \cdot f)^* = k \cdot ((k \cdot f)^* \cap (k^p(a) \otimes W))$, since $(k \cdot f)^* = \mathcal{D}_1(f)^\perp$. Hence $(k \cdot f)^\perp = \mathcal{D}_1^*((k \cdot f)^*) = k \cdot (\mathcal{D}_1^*((k \cdot f)^*) \cap (k^p(a) \otimes W))$. Thus we may assume $c(f) \subset k^p(a)$. If D is a derivation with $D(a) = 1$, there exists an integer $s \leq p - 1$ such that $D^s(f) \neq 0$ and $D^{s+1}(f) = 0$. So $0 \neq D^s(f) \in \mathcal{D}_1(f) \cap W$, a contradiction. Hence (ii) does not happen.

Thus we conclude that $\dim \mathcal{D}_1(f) \geq 2p - 1$ and $\dim W \geq 2p$. Hence $\dim H_f = \dim W - \dim k \cdot f \geq 2p - 1$ and $m \geq 2p - 1$. But the dimension of the H -scheme in Example 2.1 is $2p - 1$, hence $m = 2p - 1$. The first part of the theorem is thus proved. Now let us prove the second part of Theorem 2.5. When $p = 2$, Hironaka already proved this theorem (Hironaka [2], Th. 3.). From now on we assume $p \neq 2$.

Step (I): The case where the H -scheme is of the form $H = H(k \cdot f, W)$ with $\dim H = 2p - 1$ and $e(H) = 1$. (Then H automatically satisfies (iv) of (*).) In this case the codimension of $\mathcal{D}_1(f)$ in $k \otimes_{k^p} W$ equals 1, i.e. the most generic point associated with H is a closed point, since $\dim_{k^p} W = \dim W^* = 2p$ and $(k \cdot f)^* \neq 0$, thus $2p - 1 \leq \dim H^* < 2p$, hence $\dim H^* = 2p - 1$ and $\text{codim}_k \mathcal{D}_1(f) = \dim_k (k \cdot f)^* = 1$. By the proof of the first part, the sequence of the dimensions of $k \cdot f \subset \text{Diff}_1(k)f \subset \dots \subset \text{Diff}_{p-1}(k)f$ is necessarily $1, 3, 5, \dots, 2p - 1$. In particular

$$\dim \text{Diff}_1(k)f = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \text{Diff}_2(k)f = 5 .$$

We put $K = k^p(c(f))$. Then $[K : k^p] = p^2$, since $\dim \text{Diff}_1(k)f = r + 1$ if $[K : k^p] = p^r$. Since $\text{Diff}_i(k)f = k \cdot \text{Diff}_i(K/k^p)f$ with arbitrary $i \geq 0$, we have

$$\dim_k \text{Diff}_2(k)f = \dim_K \text{Diff}_2(K/k^p)f = 5 .$$

But $\dim_K \text{Diff}_2(K/k^p) = 6$, thus there exists D in $\text{Diff}_2(K/k^p)$ such that $D \neq 0$ and $D(f) = 0$. Since W is minimal, we have

$$\dim_{k^p} c(f) = \dim_{k^p} W = 2p .$$

We may assume $c(f) \ni 1$. Hence by Lemma 2.9 below there exists D_0 in $\text{Der}(K/k^p)$ such that $D = u \cdot D_0^2$ with $u \in K$ and $D_0(c_1) = 0, D_0(c_2) = 1$ where $K = k^p(c_1, c_2)$. Thus

$$c(f) = k^p(c_1) \oplus c_2 \cdot k^p(c_1),$$

and H is of the same type as Example 2.1.

Step (II): The general case $H = H(V, W)$ with $\dim H = 2p - 1, e(H) = 1$, and $V \cap W = \{0\}$. Then H -schemes $H_j = H(k \cdot f_j, k^p Y_j \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{2p-1} k^p \cdot X_i)$ of dimension $2p - 1$ in Lemma 2.6 ($j = 1, \dots, v$) have exponent $e(H_j) = 1$, since $V \cap W = \{0\}$. Thus the codimension of $\mathcal{D}_1(V)$ in $k \otimes W$ is 1, since by the proof of step (I) $\mathcal{D}_1(f_j)$ are of codimension one in $k^p \cdot Y_j \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{2p-1} k^p X_i$ and have the property $\mathcal{D}_1(f_j) \cap W = \{0\}$ for all j . Hence $V^* = k \cdot f^*$ and $\dim \mathcal{D}_1^*(f^*) = \dim V^\perp = \dim H = 2p - 1$. By applying the proof of the first part to $H(k \cdot f^*, W^*)$, we have

$$\dim \text{Diff}_1(k)f^* = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \text{Diff}_2(k)f^* = 5.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.9 below $\dim c(f^*) \leq 2p$. Since $V \cap W = \{0\}$ if and only if W^* is minimal, we have $2p \geq \dim c(f^*) = \dim W^* = \dim W$, hence $\dim V = v = 1$. (II) is thus reduced to (I).

Step (III): The case $H = H(V, W)$ where $\dim H = 2p - 1$ and $e(H) = e > 1$. If there exists such $H(V, W)$, then by Lemma 2.6 there exists $H' = H(k \cdot f, W')$ with $\dim H' = 2p - 1$ and $e(H') = e$ satisfying (iv). Then by Lemma 2.7 and the minimality of $2p - 1$, $H'' = H(k \cdot f, W'')$ satisfies $\dim H'' = 2p - 1$, $e(H'') = 1$ and (iv), where $W'' = k^p \otimes_{k^{pe}} W'$. Thus by (I) H'' is of the same type as Example 2.1. But it is easy to calculate that

$$\mathcal{D}_e(f) \supset \text{Diff}_p(k)f = k \otimes_{k^p} W'' = k \otimes_{k^{pe}} W'.$$

Thus we have a contradiction to the property $\mathcal{D}_e(f) \cap W' = \{0\}$.

It remains to prove the following lemma to conclude the proof of Theorem 2.8.

LEMMA 2.9. *Let $k \supset K \supset k_p$ with $[K : k_p] = p^2$ and $p \neq 2$, and let D be an element of $\text{Diff}_2(K/k_p)$ with $D \neq 0$ and $D(1) = 0$. Then D satisfies the followings:*

(1) $\dim_{k^p} \ker(D) \leq 2p$ when D is considered to be a k^p -linear map from K to itself,

$\dim_{k^p} T$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{k^p}(K/T, K) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Hom}_{k^p}(K, K) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{k^p}(T, K) \longrightarrow 0$$

we get $\pi^*(\text{Hom}_{k^p}(K/T, K)) \supset I$ and $\dim_K \text{Hom}_{k^p}(K/T, K) = \dim_K \text{Hom}_{k^p}(K, K) - \dim_K \text{Hom}_{k^p}(T, K) = p^2 - n$. Thus $p^2 - n = \dim_K \text{Hom}(K/T, K) \geq \dim_K I \geq p(p - 2)$. Hence $n \leq 2p$ and we get (1). Moreover $n = 2p$ if and only if $\dim_K I = p(p - 2)$, hence I is generated by $A_{i,j}$ ($i = 1 \dots p, j = 3 \dots p$) as a K -vector space. To show (2) it is sufficient to show the existence of $D_0 \in \text{Der}(K/k^p)$ with $D = u \cdot D_0^2$, since $2p = \dim_{k^p} \ker(D) = \dim_{k^p} \ker(D_0^2) \leq 2 \dim_{k^p} \ker(D_0) \leq 2p$. Hence $\dim \ker(D_0) = p$ and $\text{Im}(D_0) \supset \ker(D_0) \ni 1$. Thus we can find such c_1, c_2 that $D_0(c_1) = 0, D_0(c_2) = 1$, and $k^p(c_1, c_2) = K$. In order to seek such D_0 , we use a primitive method depending on complicated calculations, of which we indicate only an outline below.

Since $\rho(D_1^{p-2}D) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & * & \dots & * & a \\ * & \dots & * & b & 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is in $\rho(I)$, we can write

$$\rho(D_1^{p-2}D) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq p \\ 3 \leq j \leq p}} x(i, j) \cdot \rho(A_{i,j}) \quad \text{with } x(i, j) \in K.$$

Comparing the $(i, p - i + 2)$ -components ($i = 2, \dots, p$) of both sides, we get

$$(a^2 - 4b)^{1/2(p-1)} = 0, \text{ hence } b = (\frac{1}{2}a)^2. \text{ Thus}$$

$$D = (D_1 + \frac{1}{2}aD_2)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(D_1(a) + \frac{1}{2}aD_2(a))D_2.$$

Similarly $\rho(I) \ni \rho(D_1^{p-1}D) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & * & \dots & * & & (p-1)D_1(a) \\ * & \dots & * & (p-1)\frac{1}{2}aD_1(a) & & (\frac{1}{2}a)^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

is of the form $\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq p \\ 3 \leq j \leq p}} y(i, j) \cdot \rho(A_{i,j})$ with $y(i, j) \in K$. From the comparison of the $(i, p - i + 3)$ -components ($i = 3, \dots, p$) and $(i, p - i + 2)$ -components ($i = 2, \dots, p$), we get

$$(\frac{1}{2}a)^{p-2}(D_1(a) + (\frac{1}{2}a)D_2(a)) = 0.$$

If $a = 0$, then $D = D_1^2$, and if $D_1(a) + (\frac{1}{2}a)D_2(a) = 0$, then $D = (D_1 + \frac{1}{2}aD_2)^2$. Thus Lemma 2.9 is proved.

Remark 2.10. In general let $m(e)$ be the smallest dimension of H -schemes whose exponents are not less than e . By Lemma 2.7 we have $m(1) \leq m(2) \leq \dots \leq m(e) \leq \dots$. It is quite likely that $m(e) = 2p^e - 1$. This is in fact the case for $e = 1$ as we saw in Theorem 2.8, as well as for $e = 0$ (for obvious reasons). Now let $H = H(k \cdot f, \sum_{\alpha} k^p \cdot X_{\alpha})$ be an H -scheme with $e(H) = 1$ and $f = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$, which is associated with a closed point. Suppose there exists a p -basis Λ of k over k^p such that a_{α} 's are in $k^{p^2}(\Lambda')$ with $\Lambda' \subsetneq \Lambda$. Let c be an element of Λ not in Λ' , and define

$$F = \sum_{\beta=0}^{p-1} (c^p)^{\beta} f_{\beta} \quad \text{with} \quad f_{\beta} = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha, \beta} .$$

Then $H_2 = H(k \cdot F, \sum_{\alpha, \beta} k^{p^2} \cdot Y_{\alpha, \beta})$ is an H -scheme with $e(H_2) = 2$ and is associated with a closed point. If we take the H -scheme in Example 2.1 as H , then H_2 is an H -scheme with $e(H_2) = 2$ and $\dim H_2 = 2p^2 - 1$. Thus inductively we can construct examples H_2, H_3, \dots, H_e such that

$$e(H_e) = e \quad \text{and} \quad \dim H_e = 2p^e - 1 .$$

Obviously we no longer have the uniqueness of type when $e > 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Hironaka, Certain numerical characters of singularities, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **10** (1970), 151–187.
- [2] ———, Additive groups associated with points of a projective space, *Ann. of Math.*, **92** (1970), 327–334.
- [3] T. Oda, Hironaka's additive group scheme, A volume dedicated to Prof. Y. Akizuki's 70th birthday.

Tokyo-Shibaura Electric, Inc.

