

## SOME RESULTS ON HARMONIC ANALYSIS ON COMPACT QUOTIENTS OF HEISENBERG GROUPS

HISASI MORIKAWA

Heisenberg group  $H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})$  of dimension  $2g + 1$  is a real nilpotent group defined on  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^g \times \mathbf{R}^g$  by the law of composition

$$(x_0, \hat{x}, x) \circ (y_0, \hat{y}, y) = (x_0 + y_0 + \hat{x}'y, \hat{x} + \hat{y}, x + y),$$

which is isomorphic to the unipotent matrix group

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \hat{c}_1 & \cdots & \hat{c}_g & c_0 \\ & 1 & & & c_1 \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & 1 & c_g \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad (c_0, \hat{c}_i, c_i \in \mathbf{R}, 1 \leq i, j \leq g).$$

$H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z})$  means the discrete subgroup of integral elements, and  $L^2(H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R}))$  is the  $L^2$ -space of the quotient space

$$H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})$$

with respect to the invariant measure

$$dx_0 d\hat{x} dx = dx_0 d\hat{x}_1 \cdots d\hat{x}_g dx_1 \cdots dx_g.$$

The right action of  $H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})$  induces a unitary representation  $\rho$  on  $L^2(H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R}))$ :

$$\rho(y_0, \hat{y}, y)\phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x) = \phi((x_0, \hat{x}, x) \circ (y_0, \hat{y}, y)).$$

For each non-zero real number  $\lambda$   $H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})$  also acts on the usual  $L^2$ -space  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^g)$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\lambda(y_0, \hat{y}, y)f(\xi) &= \exp(2\pi\lambda\sqrt{-1}(y_0 + \hat{y}'\xi))f(\xi + y), \\ (f(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^g), \quad (y_0, \hat{y}, y) \in H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})), \end{aligned}$$

Since Lebesgue measure is invariant with respect to translations,  $\chi_\lambda$  is a

unitary representation of  $H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})$ .

In the present article, for each theta function  $\mathcal{Y}(\tau|z)$  of level  $n$ , we shall construct a transformation

$$\phi_\theta: L^2(\mathbf{R}^g) \longrightarrow L^2(H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R}))$$

such that

- i)  $\langle \phi_\theta(f_1), \phi_\theta(f_2) \rangle = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$  ( $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^g)$ )
- ii)  $\phi_\theta \circ \chi_n(y_0, \hat{y}, y) = \rho(y_0, \hat{y}, y) \circ \phi_\theta$  ( $(y_0, \hat{y}, y) \in H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})$ )

$\phi_\theta$  is actually given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_\theta(\exp(\pi n \sqrt{-1} (\hat{\xi} \tau^t \hat{\xi})) \xi^j)(x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\ &= (2\pi n \sqrt{-1})^{-|j|} \exp(\pi n \sqrt{-1} (x \tau^t x + 2x^t x - 2x_0)) \\ & \quad \cdot \left( 2\pi n \sqrt{-1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}} \right)^j \mathcal{Y}(\tau | \hat{x} + x\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing the canonical basis of theta functions

$$\mathcal{Y}^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}(\tau|z) \quad (a \in \mathbf{Z}^g/n\mathbf{Z}^g, n \geq 1),$$

we denote by  $\phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  the transformation

$$L^2(\mathbf{R}^g) \longrightarrow L^2(H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R}))$$

associating with theta function  $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}(\tau|z)$  and denote

$$H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^g)), \quad \overline{H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} = \overline{\phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^g))}.$$

Then the decomposition of the unitary representation  $\rho$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})) &= \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{a \in \mathbf{Z}^g/n\mathbf{Z}^g \\ n \geq 1}} H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ & \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{a \in \mathbf{Z}^g/n\mathbf{Z}^g \\ n \geq 1}} \overline{H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{(\hat{k}, k) \in \mathbf{Z}^g \times \mathbf{Z}^g} C \exp(2\pi n \sqrt{-1} (\hat{k}^t \hat{x} + k^t x)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The invariant subspaces  $H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \overline{H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}$  ( $a \in \mathbf{Z}^g/n\mathbf{Z}^g, n \geq 1$ ) are independent of the choice of  $\tau$ , and  $H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \exp(2\pi a^t \hat{x}) H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

In the next article, we shall be concerned with an application to quantum mechanics.

*Notations.*

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} = \{\text{non-negative integer}\},$$

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^g = \{j = (j_1, \dots, j_g) | j_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\},$$

$$|j| = j_1 + \dots + j_g,$$

$$j \pm \varepsilon_i = (j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j_i \pm 1, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_g),$$

$$\left(2\pi n\sqrt{-1}x + \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}}\right)^j = \left(2\pi n\sqrt{-1}x_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_1}\right)^{j_1} \cdots \left(2\pi n\sqrt{-1}x_g + \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_g}\right)^{j_g}$$

$$\left(x + \ell + \frac{a}{n}\right)^j = \left(x_1 + \ell_1 + \frac{a_1}{n}\right)^{j_1} \cdots \left(x_g + \ell_g + \frac{a_g}{n}\right)^{j_g}.$$

### § 1. Equivariant isomorphisms of $L^2(R^g)$ into $L^2(H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R}))$

1.1. First we choose a complex symmetric  $g \times g$  matrix  $\tau = \tau' + \sqrt{-1}\tau''$  with positive definite imaginary part  $\tau''$ , and fix  $\tau$  once for all. A system of complex coordinates is introduced,

$$(1.1) \quad z = \hat{x} + x\tau, \quad \bar{z} = \hat{x} + x\bar{\tau}.$$

Real and complex coordinates are related as follows

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \bar{\tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}.$$

$$(1.3) \quad \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = (\tau - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = 2\sqrt{-1}\tau'' \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}.$$

Let us recollect the definition of theta functions. An entire function  $f(z)$  in  $z$  is called a theta function of level  $n$  with respect to  $\tau$ , if it satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad f(z + \hat{b} + b\tau) = \exp(-\pi n\sqrt{-1}(b\tau^t b + 2z^t b))f(z) \\ ((\hat{b}, b) \in \mathbf{Z}^g \times \mathbf{Z}^g).$$

The space  $\Theta_0^{(n)}$  of theta functions of level  $n$  is a vector space of dimension  $n^g$  with a basis consisting of theta series of level  $n$

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{Y}^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | z) = \sum_{t \in \mathbf{Z}^g} \exp\left(\pi n\sqrt{-1} \left( \left(\ell + \frac{a}{n}\right) \tau^t \left(\ell + \frac{a}{n}\right) + 2z^t \left(\ell + \frac{a}{n}\right) \right)\right) \\ (a \in \mathbf{Z}^g / n\mathbf{Z}^g).$$

A function  $\varphi(u, z)$  in  $2g$  complex variables  $(u, z) = (u_1, \dots, u_g, z_1, \dots, z_g)$  is called an auxiliary theta function of level  $n$  (with respect to  $\tau$ ), if it satisfies,

i)  $\varphi(u, z)$  is a polynomial in  $z$  whose coefficient are entire functions in  $u$ .

$$\text{ii) } \varphi(u + b, z + \hat{b} + b\tau) = \exp(-\pi n\sqrt{-1}(b\tau' b + 2z'b))\varphi(u, z) \\ ((\hat{b}, b) \in \mathbf{Z}^g \times \mathbf{Z}^g).$$

In the previous article<sup>1)</sup> the author proved that the space  $\Theta^{(n)}$  of auxiliary theta functions of level  $n$  has a basis consisting of auxiliary theta series of level  $n$ ,

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{G}_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau|u, z) = \left(2\pi n\sqrt{-1}u + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^j \mathcal{G}^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau|z) \\ = (2\pi n\sqrt{-1})^{|\mathcal{J}|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbf{Z}^g} \left(x + \ell + \frac{a}{n}\right)^j \\ \cdot \exp\left(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\left(\left(\ell + \frac{a}{n}\right)\tau' \left(\ell + \frac{a}{n}\right) + 2z'\left(\ell + \frac{a}{n}\right)\right)\right) \\ (a \in \mathbf{Z}^g/n\mathbf{Z}^g, j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^g).$$

A mixed theta function of level  $n$  (with respect to  $\tau$ ) mean a real analytic function  $\varphi(\hat{x}, x)$  in  $(\hat{x}, x)$  such that

i)  $\varphi(\hat{x}, x)$  is a polynomial in  $x$  whose coefficients are entire function in complex variables  $z = \hat{x} + x\tau$ ,

$$\text{ii) } \varphi(\hat{x} + \hat{b}, x + b) = \exp(-\pi n\sqrt{-1}(b\tau' b + 2(\hat{x} + x\tau)' b))\varphi(\hat{x}, x) \\ ((\hat{b}, b) \in \mathbf{Z}^g \times \mathbf{Z}^g).$$

It will be shown soon that the space  $\Theta_{\text{mix}}^{(n)}$  of mixed theta functions of level  $n$  (with respect to  $\tau$ ) has a basis consisting of mixed theta series of level  $n$ ,

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{G}_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau|x, \hat{x} + x\tau) \\ = (2\pi n\sqrt{-1})^{|\mathcal{J}|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbf{Z}^g} \left(x + \ell + \frac{a}{n}\right)^j \\ \cdot \exp\left(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\left(\left(\ell + \frac{a}{n}\right)\tau' \left(\ell + \frac{a}{n}\right) + 2(\hat{x} + x\tau)' \left(\ell + \frac{a}{n}\right)\right)\right) \\ (a \in \mathbf{Z}^g/n\mathbf{Z}^g, j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^g, n \geq 1),$$

which are the specializations of auxiliary theta series with respect to  $(u, z) \mapsto (x, \hat{x} + x\tau)$ .

**1.2.** Let us introduced a family of real analytic functions

1) See [3].

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\
&= (2\pi n \sqrt{-1})^{-|j|} \exp(\pi n \sqrt{-1} (x\tau^t x + 2\hat{x}^t x - 2x_0)) \vartheta_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x, \hat{x} + x\tau) \\
&= \exp(-2\pi n \sqrt{-1} x_0) \sum_{\ell \in \mathbf{Z}^g} \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \exp\left(\pi n \sqrt{-1} \left( \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \tau^t \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) + 2\hat{x}^t \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \right)\right) \\
&\quad (a \in \mathbf{Z}^g / n\mathbf{Z}^g, j \in \mathbf{Z}^g, n \geq 1).
\end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 1.1.

$$(1.8) \quad \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} a^t \hat{x}) \phi_j \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \left( (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \circ \left( 0, 0, \frac{a}{n} \right) \right),$$

$$(1.9) \quad \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | (b_0, \hat{b}, b) \circ (x_0, \hat{x}, x)) = \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\ ((b_0, \hat{b}, b) \in H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}), a \in \mathbf{Z}^g / n\mathbf{Z}^g, j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^g, n \geq 1).$$

*Proof.* (1.8) is an immediate consequence of the definition of  $\phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x)$ . For each  $(b_0, \hat{b}, b)$  in  $H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} ((b_0, \hat{b}, b) \circ (x_0, \hat{x}, x)) \\
&= \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (b_0 + x_0 + \hat{b}^t x, \hat{b} + \hat{x}, b + x) \\
&= \exp(2\pi n \sqrt{-1} (b_0 + x_0 + \hat{b}^t x)) \sum_{\ell \in \mathbf{Z}^g} \left( x + b + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right)^j \\
&\quad \cdot \exp\left(\pi n \sqrt{-1} \left( \left( x + b + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \tau^t \left( x + b + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \left. + 2(\hat{x} + \hat{b})^t \left( x + b + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \right)\right) \\
&= \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.1 means that  $\phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x)$ ,  $\phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | \hat{x}_0, x)$  are real analytic functions on the quotient space  $H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})$ .

1.3. Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})$  of left invariant vector fields on  $H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})$  has a basis

$$D_0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}, \quad \hat{D}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_i}, \quad D_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \hat{x}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \quad (1 \leq i \leq g)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} [D_0, \hat{D}_i] &= [D_0, D_i] = [D_i, D_k] = [\hat{D}_i, \hat{D}_k] = 0 \\ [D_i, \hat{D}_k] &= \begin{cases} D_0 & (i = k) \\ 0 & (i \neq k). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1.1.

$$(1.10) \quad D_0 \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) = 2\pi n \sqrt{-1} \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x),$$

$$(1.11) \quad \hat{D}_i \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) = 2\pi n \sqrt{-1} \phi_{j+\varepsilon_i}^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x),$$

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{aligned} D_i \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) &= j_i \phi_{j-\varepsilon_i}^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\ &\quad + 2\pi n \sqrt{-1} \sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{i,p} \phi_{j+\varepsilon_p}^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \end{aligned}$$

( $1 \leq i \leq g, a \in \mathbf{Z}^g/n\mathbf{Z}^g, j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^g, n \geq 1$ ).

*Proof.* From the definition of  $\phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x)$  it follows

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \left\{ (2\pi n \sqrt{-1})^{-|j|} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \exp(\pi n \sqrt{-1} (x\tau^t x + 2\hat{x}^t x - 2x_0)) \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x, \hat{x} + x\tau) \right\} \\ &= 2\pi n \sqrt{-1} \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x), \\ \hat{D}_i \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_i} \left\{ \exp(-2\pi n \sqrt{-1} x_0) \sum_{\ell \in \mathbf{Z}^g} \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right)^j \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \exp\left( \pi n \sqrt{-1} \left( \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \tau^t \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) + 2\hat{x}^t \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \right) \right) \right\} \\ &= 2\pi n \sqrt{-1} \exp(-2\pi n \sqrt{-1} x_0) \sum_{\ell} \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right)^{j+\varepsilon_i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \exp\left(\pi n \sqrt{-1} \left( \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \tau^t \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) + 2\hat{x}^t \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \right) \right) \\ & = 2\pi n \sqrt{-1} \phi_{j+\varepsilon_i}^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & D_i \phi_j^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\ & = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \hat{x}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \right) \left\{ \exp\left(-2\pi n \sqrt{-1} x_0 \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right)^j \right. \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \exp\left(\pi n \sqrt{-1} \left( \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \tau^t \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) + 2\hat{x}^t \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \right) \right) \left. \right\} \\ & = \exp\left(-2\pi n \sqrt{-1} x_0 \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \left\{ j_i \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right)^{j-\varepsilon_i} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + 2\pi n \sqrt{-1} \left( -\hat{x}_i + \sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{ip} \left( x_p + \ell_p + \frac{a_p}{n} \right) + \hat{x}_i \right) \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right)^j \right\} \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \exp\left(\pi n \sqrt{-1} \left( \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \tau^t \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) + 2\hat{x}^t \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \right) \right) \\ & = j_i \phi_{j-\varepsilon_i}^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) + 2\pi n \sqrt{-1} \sum_{p=1}^g \phi_{j+\varepsilon_p}^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 1.1.1.

$$(1.13) \quad \left( D_i - \sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{ip} \hat{D}_p \right) \phi_j^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) = j_i \phi_{j-\varepsilon_i}^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x),$$

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left( \hat{D}_i D_i - \sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{ip} \hat{D}_i \hat{D}_p \right) \phi_j^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\ & = 2\pi n \sqrt{-1} j_i \phi_j^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x, \hat{x}, x). \end{aligned}$$

$$(1 \leq i \leq g, a \in \mathbb{Z}^g / n\mathbb{Z}^g, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^g, n \geq 1).$$

These are direct consequences of (1.11), (1.12).

COROLLARY.  $\mathcal{V}_j^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x, \hat{x} + x\tau)$  ( $a \in \mathbb{Z}^g / n\mathbb{Z}^g, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^g, n \geq 1$ ) are linearly independent.

*Proof.* For each  $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^g / n\mathbb{Z}^g$  we have

$$\mathcal{V}_j^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] \left( \tau | x, \hat{x} + \frac{\hat{a}}{n} + x\tau \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (2\pi n\sqrt{-1})^{|j|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \left(x + \ell + \frac{a}{n}\right)^j \\
&\quad \cdot \exp\left(\pi n\sqrt{-1} \left( \left(\ell + \frac{a}{n}\right) \tau^\ell \left(\ell + \frac{a}{n}\right) + 2\left(\hat{x} + \frac{\hat{a}}{n}\right)^\ell \left(\ell + \frac{a}{n}\right) \right)\right) \\
&= \exp\left(2\pi n\sqrt{-1} \frac{\hat{a}^\ell a}{n}\right) \mathcal{G}_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau|x, \hat{x} + x\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by virtue of (1.10), (1.13), (1.14) and the above relation we conclude the linearly independence of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau|x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\
&= (2\pi n\sqrt{-1})^{-|j|} \exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1} (x\tau^\ell x + 2\hat{x}^\ell x - 2x_0)) \mathcal{G}_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau|x, \hat{x} + x\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \text{the completion of the vector space} \\
&\quad \text{spanned by } \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau|x_0, \hat{x}, x) \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^g) \\
\overline{H_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} &= \text{the complex conjugate of } H_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 1.2.**  $H_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \overline{H_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}$  are irreducible invariant subspace of  $L^2(H_{2g+1}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbb{R}))$  with respect to the unitary representation  $\rho$ :

$$\rho(y_0, \hat{y}, y)\phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x) = \phi((x_0, \hat{x}, x) \circ (y_0, \hat{y}, y))$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \exp(2\pi a^\ell \hat{x}) H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\rho(y_0, 0, 0)\phi &= \exp(-2\pi n\sqrt{-1} y_0) \phi \quad \left(\phi \in H_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right), \\
\rho(y_0, 0, 0)\bar{\phi} &= \exp(2\pi n\sqrt{-1} y_0) \bar{\phi} \quad \left(\bar{\phi} \in \overline{H_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Theorem 1.1 states that the Lie algebra representation  $d\rho$  of  $\mathfrak{h}_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$  preserves  $H_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \overline{H_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} d\rho(D_0)\phi &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\phi = 2\pi n\sqrt{-1}\phi & \left(\phi \in H_{\tau}^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]\right), \\ d\rho(D_0)\bar{\phi} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\bar{\phi} = -2\pi n\sqrt{-1}\bar{\phi} & \left(\bar{\phi} \in H_{\bar{\tau}}^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi_j^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right](\tau|x_0, \hat{x}, x) = \exp(2\pi n\sqrt{-1}a^t\hat{x})\phi_j^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right](\tau|(x_0, \hat{x}, x) \circ (0, 0, a/n))$ , we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

1.4. Let  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^g, \mu_{\tau}^{(n)})$  be the  $L^2$ -space of  $\mathbf{R}^g$  with respect to the measure

$$\pi_{\tau}^{(n)}(d\xi) = \exp(-2\pi n\xi\tau''\xi) d\xi,$$

where  $n \geq 1$ .

LEMMA 1.1. *The transformation*

$$(1.15) \quad f(\xi) \longmapsto \exp(-\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau'\xi)f(\xi)$$

is an isomorphism of Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^g, \mu_{\tau}^{(n)})$  onto  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^g)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\tau - \bar{\tau} = 2\sqrt{-1}\tau''$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{R}^g} \overline{\exp(-\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau'\xi)} \exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau'\xi) f_2(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^g} \exp(-2\pi n\xi\tau''\xi) \overline{f_1(\xi)} f_2(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the transformations (1.15) is an isomorphism of  $L^2(\mathbf{R}, \mu_{\tau}^{(n)})$  onto  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^g)$ .

Since the set of monomials  $\{\xi^j | j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^g\}$  is a basis of  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^g, \mu_{\tau}^{(n)})$ , the set of functions  $\{\exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau'\xi)\xi^j | j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^g\}$  is a basis of  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^g)$ .

LEMMA 1.2.

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \setminus H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})} \phi_j^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right](\tau|x_0, \hat{x}, x) \phi_k^{(m)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} c/m \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right](\tau|x_0, \hat{x}, x) dx_0 d\hat{x} dx \\ &= \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbf{R}^g} y^{j+k} \exp(-2\pi n y \tau'' y) dy & (n = m, a \equiv C \pmod{n}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let us first integrate on fibers

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \phi_j^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right](\tau|x_0, \hat{x}, x), \phi_k^{(m)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} c/m \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right](\tau|x_0, \hat{x}, x) \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \setminus H_{g+1}(\mathbf{R})} \phi_j^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right](\tau|x_0, \hat{x}, x) \phi_k^{(m)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} c/m \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right](\tau/x, \hat{x}, x) dx_0 d\hat{x} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\ell, \ell' \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^g \setminus \mathbb{R}^g} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^g \setminus \mathbb{R}^g} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{Z}^g \setminus \mathbb{R}} \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(-n+m)x_0) \right. \\
&\quad \cdot dx_0 \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right)^j \left( x + \ell' + \frac{c}{m} \right)^k \\
&\quad \cdot \exp \left( \pi\sqrt{-1} \left( \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \tau^\ell \left( \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) + m \left( \ell' + \frac{c}{m} \right) \tau^\ell \left( \ell' + \frac{c}{m} \right) \right) \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \left( \hat{x} \left( -n^\ell \left( \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) + m^\ell \left( \ell' + \frac{c}{m} \right) \right) \right) \right\} d\hat{x} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x), \phi_k^{(m)} \begin{bmatrix} c/m \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \right\rangle = 0 \quad (n \neq m), \\
&\left\langle \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x), \phi_k^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} c/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \right\rangle = 0 \quad (a \not\equiv c \pmod{n}) \\
&\left\langle \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x), \phi_k^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^g \setminus \mathbb{Z}^g} \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right)^{j+k} \exp -2\pi n \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \tau'' \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^g} y^{j+k} \exp(-2\pi n y \tau'' y) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 1.3. *The transformations of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^g, \mu_{\tau''}^{(n)})$  onto  $H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  given by*

$$(1.17) \quad \xi_j \longmapsto \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^g)$$

*is an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces.*

This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.2.

We define unitary action of  $H_{2g+1}(\mathbb{R})$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$(1.18) \quad \lambda_n(x_0, \hat{x}, x) f(\xi) = \exp(-2\pi n \sqrt{-1}(x_0 + x^t \xi)) f(\xi + \hat{x}).$$

THEOREM 1.3. *Let  $\phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  be the transformation of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^g)$  onto  $H_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.19) \quad &\phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\exp(\pi n \sqrt{-1} \xi \tau^t \xi) \xi^j)(x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\
&= \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^g).
\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is an isomorphism of Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^g)$  to Hilbert space  $H_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  such that

$$(1.20) \quad \rho(y_0, \hat{y}, y) \circ \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \circ \chi_n(y_0, \hat{y}, y) \\ ((y_0, \hat{y}, y) \in H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})),$$

$$(1.21) \quad \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}a^t\hat{x})\rho\left(0, 0, \frac{a}{n}\right)\phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* By virtue of Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3  $\phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces. Let us prove the equivariance of  $\phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . From the action

$$\chi_n(x_0, \hat{x}, x)(\exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau^t\xi)\xi^j) \\ = \exp(-2\pi n\sqrt{-1}(x_0 + x^t\xi)) \exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}(\xi + \hat{x})\tau^t(\xi + \hat{x}))(\xi + \hat{x})^j,$$

we have

$$d\chi_n(D_0)(\exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau^t\xi)\xi^j) \\ = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \Big|_{x_0=0} (\chi_n(x_0, \hat{x}, x) - 1)(\exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau^t\xi)\xi^j) \\ = -2\pi n\sqrt{-1} \exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau^t\xi)\xi^j, \\ d\chi_n(D_i)(\exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau^t\xi)\xi^j) \\ = -2\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi_i \exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau^t\xi)\xi^j \\ = -2\pi n\sqrt{-1} \exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau^t\xi)\xi^{j+\varepsilon_i}, \\ d\chi_n(\hat{D}_i)(\exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau^t\xi)\xi^j) \\ = \exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau^t\xi) \left( 2\pi n\sqrt{-1} \left( \sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{ip}\xi_p \right) \xi^j + j_i \xi^{j-\varepsilon_i} \right) \\ = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{ip} \exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau^t\xi)\xi^{j+\varepsilon_p} + j_i \exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau^t\xi)\xi^{j-\varepsilon_i}.$$

Hence by virtue of (1.10), (1.11), (1.12) we have

$$\left( \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \circ d\chi_n(D_0) \right) (\xi^j \exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau^t\xi))(x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\ = 2\pi n\sqrt{-1} \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x, \hat{x}, x) \\ = d\rho(D_0)\phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\xi^j \exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}\xi\tau^t\xi))(x_0, \hat{x}, x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \circ d\chi_n(-D_i) \right) (\xi^j \exp(\pi n \sqrt{-1} \xi \tau^t \xi))(x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\
&= 2\pi n \sqrt{-1} \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\xi^{j+\varepsilon_i} \exp(\pi n \sqrt{-1} \xi \tau^t \xi))(x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\
&= 2\pi n \sqrt{-1} \phi_{j+\varepsilon_i}^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) = d\rho(\hat{D}_i) \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\
&= \left( d\rho(\hat{D}_i) \circ \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) (\xi^j \exp(\pi n \sqrt{-1} \xi \tau^t \xi))(x_0, \hat{x}, x), \\
& \left( \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \circ d\chi_n(\hat{D}_i) \right) (\xi_j \exp(\pi n \sqrt{-1} \xi \tau^t \xi))(x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\
&= j_i \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\xi^{j-\varepsilon_i} \exp(\pi n \sqrt{-1} \xi \tau^t \xi))(x_0, \hat{x}, x) + 2\pi n \sqrt{-1} \sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{ip} \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad \cdot (\xi^{j+\varepsilon_p} \exp(\pi n \sqrt{-1} \xi \tau^t \xi))(x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\
&= j_i \phi_{j-\varepsilon_i}^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) + 2\pi n \sqrt{-1} \sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{ip} \phi_{j+\varepsilon_p}^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\
&= d\rho(D_i) \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\
&= d\rho(D_i) \circ \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\xi^j \exp(\pi n \sqrt{-1} \xi \tau^t \xi))(x_0, \hat{x}, x).
\end{aligned}$$

This means

$$d\rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \circ \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \circ d\chi_n,$$

and thus

$$\rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \circ \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \phi_\tau^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \circ \chi_n,$$

where

$$\rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (x_0, \hat{x}, x) = \rho \left( (x_0, \hat{x}, x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

## §2. Decomposition of unitary representation $\rho$

**2.1.** An irreducible unitary representation  $\rho_\lambda$  of  $H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})$  is characterized by a real number  $\lambda$  such that

$$\rho_\lambda(y_0, 0, 0)\phi = \exp(-2\pi\lambda\sqrt{-1}y_0)\phi$$

provided  $\lambda \neq 0$ . If  $\lambda = 0$ , then it is characterized by a pair  $(\hat{k}, k) \in \mathbf{R}^g \times \mathbf{R}^g$  of vectors as follows

$$\rho_{\hat{k},k}(\mathcal{Y}_0, \hat{y}, y)\phi = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\hat{k}'\hat{y} + k'y))\phi.$$

For each integer  $b_0$  and  $\phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x)$  in  $L^2(H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R}))$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x) &= \phi((b_0, 0, 0) \circ (x_0, \hat{x}, x)) = \phi((x_0, \hat{x}, x) \circ (b_0, 0, 0)) \\ &= \rho_\lambda(b_0, 0, 0)\phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x),\end{aligned}$$

hence for every irreducible factor  $\rho_\lambda$  of  $\rho$ ,  $\lambda$  must be an integer.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $\phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x)$  be a real analytic function on  $H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})$  such that*

- i)  $\exp(2\pi n\sqrt{-1}x_0)\phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x)$  is independent on  $x_0$ ,
- ii)  $\left(D_i - \sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{ip}\hat{D}_p\right)\phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq g).$

Then

$$\psi(\hat{x}, x) = \exp(-\pi n\sqrt{-1}(x\tau'x + 2\hat{x}'x - 2x))\phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x)$$

is a theta function of level  $n$  in  $z = \hat{x} + x\tau$  with respect to  $\tau$ .

*Proof.* For each  $(b_0, \hat{b}, b)$  in  $H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\exp(-\pi n\sqrt{-1}((x+b)\tau'(x+b) + 2(\hat{x} + \hat{b})'(x+b) - 2b_0 - 2x - 2\hat{b}'x)) \\ \cdot \phi((b_0, \hat{b}, b) \circ (x_0, \hat{x}, x)) \\ = \exp(-\pi n\sqrt{-1}(b\tau'b + 2(\hat{x} + x\tau)'b)) \\ \cdot \exp(-\pi n\sqrt{-1}(x\tau'x + 2\hat{x}'x - 2x_0))\phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the difference relation:

$$\psi(\hat{x} + \hat{b}, x + b) = \exp(-\pi n\sqrt{-1}(b\tau'b + 2(\hat{x} + x\tau)'b))\psi(\hat{x}, x).$$

From the relation

$$\tau \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = (\tau - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}},$$

in order to prove  $(\partial/\partial \bar{z}_i)\psi(\hat{x}, x) = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq g$ ), it is sufficient to show

$$\left(\tau \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\psi(\hat{x}, x) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{ip} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_p} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)\psi(\hat{x}, x) &= \left(\sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{ip} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_p} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \hat{x}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\right)\psi(\hat{x}, x) \\ &= \left(\sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{ip} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_p} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \hat{x}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\right) \\ &\quad \cdot (\exp(-\pi n\sqrt{-1}(x\tau'x + 2\hat{x}'x - 2x_0)))\phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \exp(-\pi n \sqrt{-1} (x\tau^t x + 2\hat{x}^t x - 2x_0)) \left( \sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{ip} \hat{D}_p - D_i \right) \phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\psi(\hat{x}, x)$  is an entire function in  $z = \hat{x} + x\tau$  satisfying the difference equation for theta function of level  $n$ , and thus  $\psi(\hat{x}, x)$  must be a theta function of level  $n$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  be the completion of the vector space spanned by  $\left\{ \phi_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^g \right\}$  and  $\overline{H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}$  be the complex conjugate of  $H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\overline{H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}$  are irreducible invariant subspaces of  $L^2(H_{2g+1}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbb{R}))$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.1) \quad & \rho(y_0, 0, 0) \phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x) = \exp(-2\pi n \sqrt{-1} y_0) \phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\
& \rho(y_0, 0, 0) \overline{\phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x)} = \exp(-2\pi n \sqrt{-1} y_0) \overline{\phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x)} \\
& \left( \phi \in H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and the decomposition of  $\rho$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2) \quad & L^2(H_{2g+1}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbb{R})) \\
& = \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}^g / n\mathbb{Z}^g \\ n \geq 1}} H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}^g / n\mathbb{Z}^g \\ n \geq 1}} \overline{H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} \right) \\
& \quad \left( \bigoplus_{(\hat{k}, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^g \times \mathbb{Z}^g} C \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} (\hat{k}^t \hat{x} + k^t x)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The invariant subspaces

$$H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \exp(2\pi a^t \hat{x}) H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \overline{H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} = \exp(-2\pi a^t \hat{x}) \overline{H^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}$$

are independent of the choice of  $\tau$ .

*Proof.* Since the space  $\mathcal{A}$  of real analytic functions on  $H_{2g+1}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $L^2(H_{2g+1}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbb{R}))$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is invariant for  $D_0, \hat{D}_i, D_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq g$ ), i.e. for the action of  $H_{2g+1}(\mathbb{R})$ , it is sufficient to decompose  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $W$  be an irreducible invariant subspace of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\rho(y_0, 0, 0) \phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x) = \exp(-2\pi n \sqrt{-1} y_0) \phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x)$  ( $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \phi \in \overline{W}$ ). If  $n = 0$ , then there exists  $(\hat{k}, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^g \times \mathbb{Z}^g$  such that

$$W = C \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} (\hat{k}^t \hat{x} + k^t x)).$$

If  $n$  is negative, we replace  $W$  by  $\overline{W}$ . So we may assume that  $n$  is a positive integer. Let  $\nu$  be a  $\mathfrak{h}_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})$ -module isomorphism of  $H^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \cap A$  onto  $\overline{W}$ , i.e., a linear isomorphism satisfying

$$D_0 \circ \nu = \nu \circ D_0, \quad \hat{D}_i \circ \nu = \nu \circ \hat{D}_i, \quad D_i \circ \nu = \nu \circ D_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq g).$$

Since  $H^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \cap A$  contains the element  $\phi_0^{(n)}$  satisfying

$$\left(D_i - \sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{ip} \hat{D}_p\right) \phi_0^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right](\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq g).$$

There exists an element  $\phi_0(x_0, \hat{x}, x)$  in  $\overline{W}$  such that

$$\left(D_i - \sum_{p=1}^g \tau_{ip} \hat{D}_p\right) \phi_0(x_0, \hat{x}, x) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq g).$$

From  $\phi_0(x_0, \hat{x}, x) = \rho(x_0, 0, 0)\phi_0(0, \hat{x}, x) = \exp(-2\pi n\sqrt{-1}x_0)\phi_0(0, \hat{x}, x)$  we see that  $\phi_0(x_0, \hat{x}, x)$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.1, and thus

$$\phi_0(x_0, \hat{x}, x) = \exp(\pi n\sqrt{-1}(x\tau^t x + 2\hat{x}^t x - 2x_0)) \sum_{b \in \mathbf{Z}^g/n\mathbf{Z}^g} \alpha_b \mathcal{D}(n)\left[\begin{smallmatrix} b/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right](\tau | \hat{x} + x\tau)$$

with constants  $\alpha_b$ . Hence

$$\phi_0(x_0, \hat{x}, x) \in \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbf{Z}^g/n\mathbf{Z}^g} H^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} b/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] = H^{(n)}.$$

On the other hand  $W$  is spanned by  $\hat{D}^j \phi_0(j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^g)$ , and thus

$$W \subset \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbf{Z}^g/n\mathbf{Z}^g} H^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} b/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right].$$

From the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_j^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\tau | x_0, \hat{x} + \frac{\hat{a}}{n}, x\right) \\ &= \exp\left(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\left(\hat{a}^t x + \frac{1}{n}\hat{a}^t a\right)\right) \phi_j^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right](\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\ & \quad (\hat{a} \in \mathbf{Z}^g/n\mathbf{Z}^g, j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^g) \end{aligned}$$

we observe that an element  $\phi$  in

$$\bigoplus_{b \in \mathbf{Z}^g/n\mathbf{Z}^g} H^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} b/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \text{ belongs to } H^{(n)}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$$

if and only if

$$\phi\left(x_0, \hat{x} + \frac{\hat{a}}{n}, x\right) = \exp\left(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\left(\hat{a}'x + \frac{1}{n}\hat{a}'a\right)\right)\phi(x_0, \hat{x}, x)$$

$$(\hat{a} \in \mathbf{Z}^g/n\mathbf{Z}^g).$$

On the other hand  $\bigoplus_{b \in \mathbf{Z}^g/n\mathbf{Z}^g} \mathbf{H}^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} b/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  are independent on the choice of  $\tau$ , hence each  $\mathbf{H}^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is independent on the choice of  $\tau$ . From (1.18) we have

$$\mathbf{H}^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}a^t\hat{x})\mathbf{H}^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**COROLLARY 2.2.1.** *For a non-zero integer  $n$ ,  $\rho_n$  means the irreducible unitary representation such that*

$$\rho_n(y_0, 0, 0)\phi = \exp(-2\pi n\sqrt{-1}y_0)\phi \quad (y_0 \in \mathbf{R}),$$

then the multiplicity  $m_{\rho: \rho_n}$  of  $\rho_n$  in  $\rho$  is given by

$$(2.3) \quad m_{\rho: \rho_n} = |n|^g.$$

*Proof.* The space of theta functions of level  $n$  is a vector space of dimension  $n^g$ , hence by virtue of Theorem 2.1 we have (2.3).

**2.2.** Using Hermitian polynomials we shall first construct an orthogonal basis of  $L^2(H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R}))$  associating with  $\tau$ , and define a natural unitary representation of  $Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{R})$  on  $L^2(H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R}))$ .

Hermitian polynomials  $H_n(v)$  in one variable  $v$  are defined by the generating function

$$(2.4) \quad \exp(-(s^2 - 2sv)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^n}{n!} H_n(v),$$

which satisfy the orthogonal relation:

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_n(v)H_m(v)e^{-v^2} dv = \begin{cases} 2^n n! \sqrt{\pi} & (n = m) \\ 0 & (n \neq m). \end{cases}$$

For  $j = (j_1, \dots, j_g) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^g$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$  we denote

$$(2.6) \quad H_j(x) = H_{j_1}(x_1) \cdots H_{j_g}(x_g)$$

then Hermitian polynomials in many variables satisfy the orthogonal relation

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{R^g} H_j(x)H_k(x) \exp(-x^t x) dx = \begin{cases} 2^{|j|} j! \pi^{g/2} & (j = k) \\ 0 & (j \neq k). \end{cases}$$

Since  $\tau'' = (1/2\sqrt{-1})(\tau - \bar{\tau})$  is positive definite, we can define the unique square root  $\sqrt{\tau''}$ . The composite functions

$$H_j(x\sqrt{2\pi\tau''}) \quad (j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^g)$$

satisfy the orthogonal relation:

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{R^g} H_j(x\sqrt{2\pi\tau''})H_k(x\sqrt{2\pi\tau''}) \exp(-2\pi n x \tau'' x) dx \\ = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{|j|} j!}{2^{g/2} \sqrt{\det \tau''}} & (j = k) \\ 0 & (j \neq k). \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Putting*

$$(2.9) \quad H_j^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \\ = \frac{2^{g/4} (\det \tau'')^{1/4}}{2^{|j|/2} \sqrt{j!}} \exp(-2\pi n \sqrt{-1} x_0) \sum_{\ell \in \mathbf{Z}^g} H_j \left( \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \sqrt{2\pi\tau''} \right) \\ \cdot \exp(\pi n \sqrt{-1} \left( \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \tau \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) + 2\hat{x}^t \left( x + \ell + \frac{a}{n} \right) \right)) \\ (a \in \mathbf{Z}^g / n\mathbf{Z}^g, j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^g, n \geq 1),$$

we obtain an orthonormal basis

$$\left\{ H_j^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \mid j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^g \right\} \quad \text{of} \quad H^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right].$$

*Proof.* From the orthogonal relation for  $H_j(x\sqrt{2\pi\tau''})$  and Lemma 1.2 it follows the orthogonal relation

$$\int_{H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R})} \overline{H_j^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x)} H_k^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) dx_0 d\hat{x} dx \\ = \begin{cases} 1 & (j = k) \\ 0 & (j \neq k). \end{cases}$$

**COROLLARY 2.2.1.**  $L^2(H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash H_{2g+1}(\mathbf{R}))$  has an orthonormal basis

$$(2.12) \quad \left\{ H_j^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x), \overline{H_j^{(n)} \left[ \begin{matrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x)} \right. \\ \left. \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\hat{k}^t \hat{x} + k^t x)) \mid a \in \mathbf{Z}^g / n\mathbf{Z}^g, \right. \\ \left. j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^g, n \geq 1, (\hat{k}, k) \in \mathbf{Z}^g \times \mathbf{Z}^g \right\},$$

such that

$$(2.13) \quad H_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} a/n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\hat{a}) H_j^{(n)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau | x_0, \hat{x}, x) \circ \left(0, 0, \frac{a}{n}\right).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] V. Bargmann, On a Hilbert space of analytic functions and an associated integral transform I, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **14** (1961), 187–214.
- [ 2 ] J. Igusa, *Theta functions*, Springer, 1972.
- [ 3 ] H. Morikawa, A decomposition theorem on differential polynomials of theta functions, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **96** (1984), 113–126.
- [ 4 ] I. Satake, *Fock representations and theta functions*, *Ann. Math. Studies*, **66**, Princeton Univ. Press (1971), 393–405.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Faculty of Science*  
*Nagoya University*  
*Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464*  
*Japan*