

## HIGHER RECIPROCITY LAW, MODULAR FORMS OF WEIGHT 1 AND ELLIPTIC CURVES

MASAO KOIKE

### §0. Introduction

In this paper, we study higher reciprocity law of irreducible polynomials  $f(x)$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of degree 3, especially, its close connection with elliptic curves rational over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and cusp forms of weight 1. These topics were already studied separately in a special example by Chowla-Cowles [1] and Hiramatsu [2]. Here we bring these objects into unity.

Let

$\mathcal{C}_0$  = the set of number fields  $K$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  such that

- (1)  $K$  is a Galois extension over  $\mathbf{Q}$  with  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q}) \cong S_3$ , the symmetric group of degree 3,
- (2)  $K$  contains an imaginary quadratic field  $k$ .

For any  $K$  in  $\mathcal{C}_0$ , we can associate three other objects: (1)  $f(x)$ : irreducible polynomials over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of degree 3, (2)  $F(\tau)$ : cusp forms of weight 1, (3)  $E$ : elliptic curves rational over  $\mathbf{Q}$ ;

let

$\mathcal{C}_1$  = the set of all irreducible polynomials  $f(x)$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of degree 3 whose splitting field  $K_f$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_0$ .

$\mathcal{C}_2$  = the set of all normalized cusp forms  $F(\tau)$  of weight 1 on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  whose Mellin transform is  $L$ -function with an ideal character  $\chi$  of degree 3 of imaginary quadratic field  $k$  and the abelian extension  $K_F$  over  $k$  which corresponds to the kernel of  $\chi$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_0$ .

$\mathcal{C}_3$  = the set of all elliptic curves  $E$  rational over  $\mathbf{Q}$  such that the field  $E_2$  generated by coordinates of 2-division points on  $E$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_0$ .

Therefore we can define maps  $\varphi_i: \mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) as follows;

$$\varphi_1(f) = K_f, \quad \varphi_2(F) = K_F, \quad \varphi_3(E) = E_2.$$

For any  $K$  in  $\mathcal{C}_0$ , let  $f(x) \in \varphi_1^{-1}(K)$ ,  $F(\tau) \in \varphi_2^{-1}(K)$  and  $E \in \varphi_3^{-1}(K)$ . Then our theorems give

- (I) the relation between the higher reciprocity law of  $f(x)$  and Fourier coefficients of  $F(\tau)$ , which is called the arithmetic congruence relation.
- (II) the relation between the higher reciprocity law of  $f(x)$  and  $L$ -function of  $E$ .
- (III) congruences modulo 2 between  $F(\tau)$  and  $L$ -function of  $E$ .

These results are a generalization of an example given in [1] and [2].

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Prof. Hiramatsu for giving him a lecture on this subject and invaluable conversation.

### §1. Proof of (I)

Hereafter we fix  $K$  in  $\mathcal{C}_0$ . Let  $f(x) = ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d$  be an element in  $\varphi_1^{-1}(K)$ . Let  $M$  be the product of all primes which appear in  $a, b, c$  and  $d$ .

For any prime  $p$ ,  $p \nmid M$ , put  $f_p(x) = f(x) \pmod{p}$ . Then  $f_p(x)$  is a polynomial over  $F_p$ , the finite field with  $p$  elements, of degree 3. We define  $\text{Spl}\{f(x)\}$  to be the set of primes such that the polynomial  $f_p(x)$  factors into a product of distinct linear polynomials over  $F_p$ . By the higher reciprocity law for  $f(x)$ , we mean a rule to determine the set  $\text{Spl}\{f(x)\}$  up to finite set of primes.

Let  $F(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)e[n\tau]$ ,  $e[\tau] = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau)$ , be a normalized cusp form of weight 1 in  $\varphi_2^{-1}(K)$ . Let  $\chi$  be the non-trivial ideal character of  $k$  corresponding to the abelian extension  $K$  over  $k$ . Let  $-D$  and  $\mathfrak{f}$  denote the discriminant of  $k$  and the conductor of  $\chi$ . Then

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)n^{-s}$$

and  $F(\tau)$  is a cusp form of weight 1 on  $\Gamma_0(DN\mathfrak{f})$  with the character  $(-D/*)$  where  $N\mathfrak{f}$  denotes the norm of  $\mathfrak{f}$  on  $k$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let  $\rho$  denote the complex conjugation. From the assumption, it follows that  $\chi(\alpha)^\rho = \chi(\alpha^\rho)$  for any integral ideal  $\alpha$  of  $k$ .

**THEOREM 1** (arithmetic congruence relation). *Let  $p$  be any prime such that  $p \nmid M \cdot D \cdot N$ . Then we have*

$$\# \{ \alpha \in F_p \mid f_p(\alpha) = 0 \} = a(p)^2 - \left( \frac{-D}{p} \right).$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2 in [2]. Let  $p$  be a prime as above. It is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} a(p) = 0 &\iff (-D/p) = -1, \\ &\iff \text{the splitting field of } f_p(x) \text{ over } F_p \text{ is a quadratic extension over } F_p, \\ &\iff f_p(x) \text{ has exactly 1 linear factor over } F_p. \end{aligned}$$

Now we assume that  $(-D/p) = 1$ . Then  $p$  decomposes into a product of two prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}'$  where  $\mathfrak{p}'$  is the conjugate of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} a(p) = 2 &\iff \chi(\mathfrak{p}) = 1, \\ &\iff \mathfrak{p} \text{ splits completely in } K, \\ &\iff f_p(x) \text{ has exactly 3 distinct linear factors over } F_p. \end{aligned}$$

And also it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} a(p) = -1 &\iff \chi(\mathfrak{p}) = \omega, \text{ a non-trivial cube root of unity,} \\ &\iff \mathfrak{p} \text{ remains prime in } K. \\ &\iff \text{the splitting field of } f_p(x) \text{ over } F_p \text{ is a cubic extension over } F_p, \\ &\iff f_p(x) \text{ has no linear factor over } F_p. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing these results, we obtain a proof of Theorem 1. Q.E.D.

**COROLLARY 1.**  *$\text{Sp1} \{f(x)\}$  coincides with the set*

$$\{p: \text{prime} \mid p \nmid M \cdot D \cdot N, a(p) = 2\}$$

*up to finite set of primes.*

*Proof.* This is obvious from Theorem 1. Q.E.D.

## §2. Proof of (II)

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve rational over  $\mathbf{Q}$  in  $\varphi_3^{-1}(K)$ , which is defined by  $y^2 = f(x)$  where  $f(x)$  is a polynomial of degree 3 over  $\mathbf{Q}$ ;  $f(x) = ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d$ ,  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Q}$ . Let  $N$  denote the conductor of  $E$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let  $E_2$  denote the field generated by the coordinates of 2-division points on  $E$

over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Then  $E_2$  coincides with the splitting field of  $f(x)$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let  $p$  be an odd prime such that  $p \nmid N$ , and let  $\tilde{E}_p$  denote the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $E$  which is an elliptic curve over  $F_p$ . Let  $N_p = N_p(E)$  denote the number of  $F_p$ -rational points of  $\tilde{E}_p$ . Further we assume that  $p$  is prime to  $MDN\bar{f}$  as in Section 1, and put  $f_p(x) = f(x) \bmod p$ . Then we can prove

LEMMA 1. *With the notation as above, we have*

$$(*) \quad N_p - 1 \equiv \# \{ \alpha \in F_p \mid f_p(\alpha) = 0 \} \pmod{2}.$$

*Proof.* The proof was given in a special case in [1], but for the completeness of the paper, we give here the proof in detail. It is known that the number of solutions of  $y^2 \equiv f(x) \pmod{p}$  in  $F_p^2$  is equal to  $N_p - 1$ . We notice that the right hand side of (\*) is odd if and only if  $f_p(x)$  has at least one linear factor over  $F_p$ . And, it is clear that  $f_p(x)$  has a linear factor if and only if the number of solutions of  $y^2 \equiv f(x) \pmod{p}$  is odd.

Q.E.D.

THEOREM 2. *With the notation as above, we have the following equivalences:*

- (1)  $f_p(x)$  has exactly one linear factor over  $F_p$  if and only if  $N_p - 1$  is odd and  $(-D/p) = -1$ .
- (2)  $f_p(x)$  is irreducible over  $F_p$  if and only if  $N_p - 1$  is even and  $(-D/p) = 1$ .
- (3)  $f_p(x)$  has three distinct linear factors over  $F_p$  if and only if  $N_p - 1$  is odd and  $(-D/p) = 1$ .

*Proof.* (2) is obvious from Lemma 1. (1) is already proved in the proof of Theorem 1. Hence (3) is also proved. Q.E.D.

*Remark 1.* The Galois group of  $E_2$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  is isomorphic to  $S_3$  if and only if  $E$  has no  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational points of order 2 and the discriminant of  $E$  is not square.

*Remark 2.* We should remark that, in the proofs of Lemma 1 and Theorem 2, we need not use the condition that  $K_f (= E_2)$  contains an imaginary quadratic field. This condition is needed only for assuring the existence of cusp forms of weight 1.

*Remark 3.* Let  $E, E'$  be in  $\varphi_3^{-1}(K)$ . Let  $N$  and  $N'$  denote the conductors of  $E$  and  $E'$ . Let  $p$  be any odd prime such that  $p \nmid NN'$ . Then Lemma 1 shows that, for almost all  $p$ ,

$$N_p(E) \equiv N_p(E') \pmod{2}.$$

§3. Proof of (III)

Let  $E$  be in  $\varphi_3^{-1}(K)$  and  $F(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)e[n\tau]$  in  $\varphi_2^{-1}(K)$ . We use same notation as in Section 1 and Section 2. Combining Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we obtain

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $p$  be any odd prime such that  $p \nmid NMDN\bar{\tau}$ . Then we have*

$$N_p(E) \equiv a(p) \pmod{2}.$$

For elliptic curves rational over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , there is a famous Taniyama-Weil conjecture. If we assume this conjecture, for the elliptic curve  $E$  in Section 2, there exists the normalized cusp form  $G(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(n)e[n\tau]$  of weight 2 on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  such that

$$N_p(E) = 1 + p - c(p), \quad \text{for any prime } p, p \nmid N.$$

Hence, we get

**COROLLARY.** *With the above assumption, we get the congruence mod 2 between  $F(\tau)$  and  $G(\tau)$ :*

$$c(p) \equiv a(p) \pmod{2}$$

for any odd prime  $p$ , such that  $p \nmid NMDN\bar{\tau}$ .

*Remark.* In a special example treated in [1], this type of congruences mod 2 means that

$$\eta(\tau)^2\eta(11\tau)^2 \equiv \eta(2\tau)\eta(22\tau) \pmod{2},$$

which follows easily from the fact,  $(1 - x)^2 \equiv 1 - x^2 \pmod{2}$ .

§4.

Let  $F(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)e[n\tau]$  be an element in  $\mathcal{C}_2$ . We assume that there exists a cusp form  $H(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b(n)e[n\tau]$  of weight 2 satisfying

- (1)  $H(\tau)$  is a normalized primitive cusp form,
- (2)  $b(n) \in \mathbf{Z}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ,
- (3) For almost all primes  $p$ ,  $a(p) \equiv b(p) \pmod{2}$ .

By the assumptions (1) and (2), there exists an elliptic curve  $E$  defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$  associated with  $H(\tau)$  as in Section 3.

THEOREM 4. *Under the above assumption, we have*

$$K_f = E_2.$$

*Namely,  $E$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_3$  and  $\varphi_3(E) = \varphi_1(F)$ .*

*Proof.* We denote the defining equation of  $E$  by  $y^2 = g(x)$  where  $g(x)$  is a polynomial over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of degree 3. For any good prime  $p$  for  $E$ , let  $N_p$  denote the number of  $F_p$ -rational points of the reduction mod  $p$  of  $E$ . Then the assumption (3) shows that

$$N_p \equiv a(p) \pmod{2}, \quad \text{for almost all odd, good primes.}$$

Put  $T_1 = \{p: \text{good prime} \mid a(p) = 2\}$ ,  $T_2 = \{p: \text{good prime} \mid a(p) = 0\}$ , and  $T_3 = \{p: \text{good prime} \mid a(p) = -1\}$ . Applying Tchebotarev density theorem to  $K_f$ , we know that the densities of  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  are  $1/6$ ,  $1/2$  and  $1/3$  respectively. The above congruence shows that  $T_3 = \{p: \text{prime} \mid N_p \text{ is odd}\}$  up to finite set of primes.

If  $g(x)$  is reducible over  $\mathbf{Q}$ ,  $N_p$  is even for any good prime; this contradicts the above result. Hence  $g(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . We assume that the splitting field  $K_g$  of  $g(x)$  is abelian over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Then the densities of sets of primes  $U_1 = \{p: \text{prime} \mid g_p(x) \text{ is a product of linear factors over } F_p\}$  and  $U_2 = \{p: \text{prime} \mid g_p(x) \text{ is irreducible over } F_p\}$  are  $1/3$  and  $2/3$  respectively; this contradicts the above result. Hence  $[K_g: \mathbf{Q}] = 6$ . Let  $k'$  denote the quadratic field contained in  $K_g$ . We assume that  $k \neq k'$ . Let  $(k/p)$  denote the Kronecker symbol. Then  $(k/p) = -1$  induces  $a(p) = 0$ , hence  $N_p$  is even. Also  $(k'/p) = -1$  induces that  $N_p$  is even. Since  $k \neq k'$ , the density of the set of primes  $\{p: \text{prime} \mid (k/p) = -1 \text{ or } (k'/p) = -1\}$  is  $3/4$ ; this contradicts the above result. Hence  $K_g \supset k$ . Since  $K_f/k$  and  $K_g/k$  are abelian extensions and the decomposition rule of primes of  $k$  in  $K_f$  and  $K_g$  coincides to each other, we get  $K_f = K_g$ . Q.E.D.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] S. Chowla and M. Cowles, On the coefficients  $c_n$  in the expansion  $x \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)^2 (1 - x^{11n})^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n$ , *J. reine angew. Math.*, **292** (1977), 115-116.
- [ 2 ] T. Hiramatsu, Higher reciprocity law and modular forms of weight one, *Comm. Math. Univ. St. Paul*, **31** (1982), 75-85.
- [ 3 ] T. Hiramatsu and Y. Mimura, The modular equation and modular forms of weight one, preprint.
- [ 4 ] T. Hiramatsu, N. Ishii and Y. Mimura, On indefinite modular forms of weight one, preprint.

- [ 5 ] C. Moreno, The higher reciprocity law: an example, *J. Number Theory*, **12** (1980), 57–70.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Nagoya University*  
*Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464*  
*Japan*

