T. Yamaguchi Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 97 (1985), 173-192

# ON THE NUMBER OF DIFFEOMORPHISM CLASSES IN A CERTAIN CLASS OF RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

# TAKAO YAMAGUCHI

### §0. Introduction

The study of finiteness for Riemannian manifolds, which has been done originally by J. Cheeger [5] and A. Weinstein [13], is to investigate what bounds on the sizes of geometrical quantities imply finiteness of topological types, —e.g. homotopy types, homeomorphism or diffeomorphism classes— of manifolds admitting metrics which satisfy the bounds. For a Riemannian manifold M we denote by  $R_M$  and  $K_M$  respectively the curvature tensor and the sectional curvature, by Vol(M) the volume, and by diam (M) the diameter.

CHEEGER'S FINITENESS THEOREM I [5]. For given  $n, \Lambda, V > 0$  there exist only finitely many pairwise non-diffeomorphic (non-homeomorphic) closed  $n(\neq 4)$ -manifolds (4-manifolds) which admit metrics such that  $|K_M| \leq \Lambda^2$ , diam  $(M) \leq 1$ , Vol  $(M) \geq V$ .

He proved directly finiteness up to homeomorphism for all dimension, and then for  $n \neq 4$  used the results of Kirby and Siebenmann which show that finiteness up to homeomorphism implies finiteness up to diffeomorphism. For n = 4, he put a stronger bound on ||VR||, where VR denotes the covariant derivative of curvature tensor R. For given  $n, \Lambda, \Lambda_1, V > 0$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{M}^n(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, V)$  a class of closed *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifolds M which satisfy the following bounds;

 $|K_{\scriptscriptstyle M}| \leq \Lambda^2$ ,  $||\nabla R_{\scriptscriptstyle M}|| \leq \Lambda_1$ , diam  $(M) \leq 1$ , Vol  $(M) \geq V$ ,

and set  $\mathfrak{M}(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, V) = \bigcup_n \mathfrak{M}^n(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, V)$ .

CHEEGER'S FINITENESS THEOREM II [5]. For given  $n, \Lambda, \Lambda_1, V > 0$ , the number  $\sharp_{\text{diff}} \mathfrak{M}^n(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, V)$  of diffeomorphism classes in  $\mathfrak{M}^n(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, V)$  is finite.

In the proof of the Cheeger finiteness theorem and our results as Received March 27, 1984. well, an estimate of the injectivity radius i(M) of the exponential map on M plays an important role. But since in his proof Ascoli's theorem is used essentially, it seems to us that it is impossible to bound the number  $\sharp_{\text{diff}} \mathfrak{M}^n(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, V)$  explicitly from above by using the proof as in [5]. The main purpose of this paper is to show the existence of an upper bound for  $\sharp_{\text{diff}} \mathfrak{M}(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, V)$  and express upper bounds for  $\sharp_{\text{diff}} \mathfrak{M}^n(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, V)$ and  $\sharp_{\text{diff}} \mathfrak{M}(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, V)$  explicitly in terms of a priori given constants. For a Riemannian manifold we denote by d the distance function induced from the Riemannian metric.

We obtain the following theorems.

THEOREM 1. For given  $n, \Lambda, \Lambda_1, R > 0$  there exist  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(n) > 0, r_1 = r_1(n, \Lambda, \Lambda_1, R) > 0$  such that if complete n-dimensional manifolds M and  $\overline{M}$  satisfy the following conditions, then M is diffeomorphic to  $\overline{M}$ ;

 $1) \quad |K_{\scriptscriptstyle M}|, \ |K_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{M}}| \leq \varLambda^2, \ \| {\it V} R_{\scriptscriptstyle M} \|, \| {\it V} R_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{M}} \| \leq \varLambda_1, \ i(M), \ i(\overline{M}) \geq R,$ 

2) for some  $r, r \leq r_i$ , and  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_i$ , there exist  $2^{-(n+\theta)}r$ -dense and  $2^{-(n+\theta)}r$ -discrete subsets  $\{p_i\} \subset M, \{q_i\} \subset \overline{M}$  such that the correspondence  $p_i \rightarrow q_i$  is bijective and  $(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} \leq d(q_i, q_j)/d(p_i, p_j) \leq 1+\varepsilon$  for all  $p_i, p_j$  with  $d(p_i, p_j) \leq 20r$ .  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $r_1$  can be written explicitly; e.g.

 $egin{aligned} &arepsilon_1 = 10^{-20}(n+1)^{-8}(n!)^{-2}2^{-(2n^2+41n)}\,, \ &r_1 = \min\left\{R/140,\,arepsilon_1/20A,\,\sqrt[8]{10^{-3}n^{-5}2^{-((n^2+17n)/2)}A_1^{-1}},\,(10(2n^2A^2+1))^{-1}
ight\}. \end{aligned}$ 

For a metric space X a subset A is  $\delta$ -dense iff for any  $x \in X$ ,  $d(x, A) < \delta$ . A subset A is  $\delta$ -discrete iff any two points of A have the distance at least  $\delta$ .

Let  $\omega_n$  denote the volume of the standard unit *n*-sphere. If we set  $R = \min \{\pi/\Lambda, (n-1)V/(2\omega_{n-2}e^{(n-1)\Lambda})\}$ , then *R* gives a lower bound of the injectivity radii i(M) for all *M* in  $\mathfrak{M}^n(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, V)$ , and every *M* in  $\mathfrak{M}(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, V)$  has the dimension at most  $n_0$ , where  $n_0 = 2 \max \{ [\log (k^{k+2}/k!V)], k\} + 3, k = [\pi e^{2A+1}] + 1$ , (§ 1. Lemma). Let  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(n), r_1 = r_1(n, \Lambda, \Lambda_1, R)$  be as in Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2.

$$egin{aligned} & \# & \mathfrak{M}^n(arLambda, \ arLambda_1, \ V) \leq (2^{2n+17}/arepsilon_1 r_1^2)^{\binom{N}{2}+1} N_0 \,, \ & \# & \mathfrak{M}(arLambda, \ arLambda_1, \ V) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{n_0} (2^{2n+17}/arepsilon_1 r_1^2)^{\binom{N}{2}+1} N_0, \end{aligned}$$

(No)

where,  $N_0 = [e^{\Lambda(n-1)}/(\Lambda 2^{-(n+9)}r_1)^n].$ 

Here we descrive another application of Theorem 1. For a bi-Lipschitz map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between two metric spaces X and Y, set

 $l(f) := \inf \{L; L^{-1} \le d(f(x), f(y))/d(x, y) \le L \text{ for all } x, y \in X\}.$ 

DEFINITION. Define  $\rho(X, Y)$  by

 $\begin{cases} \inf \{\log l(f); f: X \rightarrow Y \text{ is bi-Lipschitz map} \} \\ \infty \quad \text{if any bi-Lipschitz map does not exist.} \end{cases}$ 

It is clear that  $\rho$  is symmetric and satisfies the triangle inequality. In the case X and Y are compact, Ascoil's theorem implies

$$\rho(X, Y) = 0$$
 iff X is isometric to Y.

For a positive integer n we denote by  $\mathfrak{A}^n$  a class of complete *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifolds M with

$$|K_M| < \infty, \quad \|\nabla R_M\| < \infty, \quad i(M) > 0.$$

Of course  $\mathfrak{A}^n$  contains all compact Riemannian manifolds of dimension n. Conversely, according to [7] every noncompact *n*-manifold admits a metric which belongs to the class  $\mathfrak{A}^n$ . A theorem of Shikata [12] states that there exists an  $\varepsilon(n) > 0$  depending only on n such that if compact ndimensional Riemannian manifolds M and N satisfy  $\rho(M, N) < \varepsilon(n)$ , then they are diffeomorphic. We do not know whether  $\rho$  is distance on  $\mathfrak{A}^n$ , but can extend the Shikata theorem to the class  $\mathfrak{A}^n$ . Let  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(n)$  be as in Theorem 1 again.

COROLLARY 3. If M and  $N \in \mathbb{U}^n$  satisfy  $\rho(M, N) < \log (1 + \varepsilon_i)$ , then they are diffeomorphic.

Recently M. Gromov [8], [9] states without giving detail of the proof that a similar result to Theorem 1 holds without the assumption for ||VR||. But our Theorem 1 is still valid for noncompact manifolds. However the assumption for ||VR|| is essential in the proof of our Theorem 1. Our proof is of course different from Gromov's one. The main tool of our proof is a technique of center of mass which is developed in [2].

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Assuming Theorem 1, the proofs of Theorem 2 and Corollary 3 are given in Section 1. Theorem 1 is proved in Section 2-Section 4.

The author wishes to thank Professor K. Shiohama for his advice and encouragement.

## §1. Proofs of Theorem 2 and Corollary 3

For a  $\delta > 0$ , a system of points  $\{x_i\}$  in a metric space X is called a  $\delta$ -maximal system of X if  $\{x_i\}$  is maximal with respect to the property that the distance between any two of them is greater than or equal to  $\delta$ .  $\{x_i\}$  is a  $\delta$ -maximal iff it is a  $\delta$ -dense and  $\delta$ -discrete subset. We show that there exists a  $\delta$ -maximal system of every Riemannian manifold M. Take a sequence  $X_i$  of compact subsets of M such that  $\bigcup_i X_i = M$ ,  $\mathring{X}_{i+1} \supset X_i$ , where  $\mathring{A}$  denotes the interior of a set A. We denote by  $i(X_k)$  the infimum of the injectivity radius of the exponential map at points of  $X_k$ , and set  $r_k := \frac{1}{2} \min \{\delta, i(X_k)\}$ . Take a  $\delta$ -maximal system  $\{p_i^1\}_{1 \le i \le N_1}$  of  $X_i$ . Notice that since the balls  $B(p_i^1, r_i)$  have compact closure, they are contained in some  $X_{k_1}$ , and together with the fact that  $B(p_i^1, r_i)$  are disjoint, this implies

$$N_1 \leq \operatorname{Vol}(X_{k_1})/\min \operatorname{Vol}(B(p_i^1, r_1))$$
.

By induction, it is possible to take a  $\delta$ -maximal system  $\{p_i^k\}_{1 \le i \le N_k}$  of  $X_k$  such that  $p_i^k = p_i^j$  for every j < k and every  $i, 1 \le i \le N_j$ . Then the system  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{p_i\}_{N_{k-1}+1 \le i \le N_k}$  is a  $\delta$ -maximal system of M, where  $N_0 := 0$ .

Proof of Corollary 3 assuming Theorem 1. By the assumption there exists a bi-Lipschitz map  $f: M \to N$  such that  $l(f) < 1 + \varepsilon_1(n)$ . We may assume

$$|K_{\scriptscriptstyle M}|, |K_{\scriptscriptstyle N}| \leq \Lambda^2, \| 
abla R_{\scriptscriptstyle M} \|, \| 
abla R_{\scriptscriptstyle N} \| \leq \Lambda_1, \quad i(M), \, i(N) \geq R,$$

for some  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda_1$ , R > 0. Let  $r_1 = r_1(n, \Lambda, \Lambda_1, R)$  be as in Theorem 1, and take a  $(1 + \epsilon_1)2^{-(n+\vartheta)}r_1$ -maximal system  $\{p_i\}$  of M. Since f is bi-Lipschitz, it is surjective. Therefore it is easy to show that  $\{f(p_i)\}$  is  $2^{-(n+\vartheta)}r_1$ -dense and  $2^{-(n+\vartheta)}r_1$ -discrete. Q.E.D.

To prove Theorem 2 we recall an injectivity radius estimate. From now on, for given n and  $\delta > 0$ , let  $v(\delta)$  (resp.  $\tilde{v}(\delta)$ ) denote the volume of a  $\delta$ -ball in the *n*-dimensional hyperbolic space with constant curvature  $-\Lambda^2$  (resp. *n*-sphere with constant curvature  $\Lambda^2$ ). The following lemma is a dimension independent version of [5], [10] and [11].

LEMMA. For given  $\Lambda$ , V > 0, there exist  $n_0 = n_0(\Lambda, V)$  and  $R_0 = R_0(\Lambda, V)$ > 0 such that if M is an n-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold such that  $|K_M| \leq \Lambda^2$ , diam $(M) \leq 1$ , Vol $(M) \geq V$ , then

- (1)  $n = \dim M \leq n_0$ ,
- (2)  $i(M) \ge \min \{\pi/\Lambda, (n-1)V/(2\omega_{n-2}e^{(n-1)\Lambda})\} \ge R_0,$

where  $n_0$  and  $R_0$  can be written explicitly as

$$egin{aligned} n_{0} &= 2 \max \left\{ [\log{(k^{k+2}/k!\,V)}],\,k 
ight\} + 3, \quad k = [\pi e^{2A+1}] + 1, \ R_{0} &= \min_{2 \leq n \leq n_{0}} \left\{ \pi/\Lambda,\,(n-1) V/(2\omega_{n-2} e^{(n-1)\Lambda}) 
ight\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For (1), the Rauch comparison theorem yields

$$V \leq \operatorname{Vol}(M) \leq v(1) \leq \omega_{n-1} e^{(n-1)A},$$

where

$$\omega_{n-1} = egin{cases} 2\pi^m/(m-1)! & (n=2m) \ 2(2\pi)^m/(2m-1)(2m-3)\cdots 3\cdot 1 & (n=2m+1) \end{cases}$$

Notice that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\omega_{n-1}e^{(n-1)A}=0.$$

It is an easy calculation to estimate such an  $n_0$  that  $\omega_{n-1}e^{(n-1)A} < V$  for all  $n > n_0$ . For (2), it suffices to bound the lengths of closed geodesics from below. Suppose that there is a closed geodesic with length l. The Rauch comparison theorem implies that Vol(M) is not greater than the volume of the tublar neighborhood of radius 1 of a geodesic segment with length l in the *n*-dimensional hyperbolic space with constant curvature  $-\Lambda^2$ . Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Vol}(M) &\leq l \cdot \omega_{n-2} \int_0^1 (\sinh \Lambda t / \Lambda)^{n-2} \cosh \Lambda t \, dt \\ &= l \cdot \omega_{n-2} (\sinh \Lambda)^{n-1} / (n-1) \Lambda^{n-1} \\ &\leq l \cdot \omega_{n-2} e^{(n-1)\Lambda} / (n-1) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $l \ge (n-1)V/(\omega_{n-2}e^{(n-1)A})$ , and this yields (2).

Proof of Theorem 2 assuming Theorem 1. For each  $M_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{M}^{n}(\Lambda, \Lambda_{1}, V)$ , take a  $2^{-(n+\theta)}r_{1}$ -maximal system  $\{p_{i}^{\alpha}\}_{i}$  of  $M_{\alpha}$ . Note that since diam  $(M_{\alpha}) \leq 1$ ,

$$\# \{p_i^{\alpha}\}_i \leq v(1)/\tilde{v}(2^{-(n+\theta)}r_1) \leq [e^{(n-1)A/(A2^{-(n+\theta)}r_1)^n}] = N_0.$$

Set  $m := \#_{\text{diff}} \mathfrak{M}^n(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, V)$ ,  $L := 1/(2^{-(n+8)}r_1)$  and  $\varepsilon'_1 := \varepsilon_1/(2(1+\varepsilon_1)L)$ . Suppose that

$$m > (2^{2^{n+17}} / arepsilon_1 r_1^2)^{\binom{N_0}{2}+1} N_0 > \left( [L/2arepsilon_1] + 1 
ight)^{\binom{N_0}{2}+1} N_0 \,.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{M}^{n}(\Lambda, \Lambda_{1}, V)$  contains at least  $[m/N_{0}]$  pairwise non-diffeomorphic manifolds  $\{M_{a}\}_{a \in \Lambda}$  with the  $2^{-(n+\theta)}r_{1}$ -maximal systems whose numbers are all the same, say  $N_{1}, N_{1} \leq N_{0}$ . We concider the set

$$arsigma := \left\{ (i_k, j_k); \ 1 \leq k \leq inom{N_i}{2} {
m := N_1'} 
ight\}$$

of all the distinct pairs of the indices of the systems  $\{p_i^{\alpha}\}_i$  for  $\{M_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ . For each  $M_{\alpha}$  and  $M_{\beta}$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in A$ ), and for each  $(i_k, j_k) \in \Sigma$ , we set  $l(\alpha, \beta; k) = d(p_{i_k}^{\beta}, p_{j_k}^{\beta})/d(p_{i_k}^{\alpha}, p_{j_k}^{\alpha})$ . Notice that  $L^{-1} \leq l(\alpha, \beta; k) \leq L$ . We fix some  $\alpha \in A$ . For  $(i_1, j_1) \in \Sigma$  there is a  $t_1 \in [L^{-1}, L]$  such that if

$$A_1 := \{\beta \in A; \ l(\alpha, \beta; 1) \in [t_1 - \varepsilon'_1, t_1 + \varepsilon'_1]\}$$

then  $\# A_1 \ge [m/N_0]([L/2\epsilon_1] + 1)^{-1}$ . By induction, for  $(i_k, j_k) \in \Sigma$  there is a  $t_k \in [L^{-1}, L]$  such that if

$$A_k := \{\beta \in A_{k-1}; \ l(\alpha, \beta; k) \in [t_k - \varepsilon'_1, t_k + \varepsilon'_1]\}$$

then  $\# A_k \ge [m/N_0]([L/2\epsilon_1] + 1)^{-k}$ . By the assumption on m, it is possible to take distinct pair  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  in  $A_{N_1}$ . Then  $|l(\alpha, \beta; k) - l(\alpha, \beta'; k)| \le 2\epsilon_1'$ for all  $k, 1 \le k \le N_1'$ , and this implies  $(1 + \epsilon_1)^{-1} \le l(\beta, \beta'; k) \le 1 + \epsilon_1$ . This is a contradiction since by Theorem 1  $M_\beta$  is diffeomorphic to  $M_{\beta'}$ . The estimate for  $\#_{\text{diff}} \mathfrak{M}(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, V)$  is an immediate consequence of the previous lemma (1) and the estimate for  $\#_{\text{diff}} \mathfrak{M}^n(\Lambda, \Lambda_1, V)$ . Q.E.D.

# §2. Construction of local diffeomorphisms

The rest of this paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. For given  $n, \Lambda, R > 0$ , set  $R_0 := \frac{1}{2} \min \{R, \pi/\Lambda\}$  and let r and  $\varepsilon$  be adjustable parameters with  $0 < r \le R_0/70$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon \le 2^{-(n+14)}$ . From now on we denote by M and  $\overline{M}$  complete *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifolds which satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1 for r and  $\varepsilon$ . In the final part of the proof, we will set  $r \le r_1$ , and  $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_1$ . We use the bound for  $\|\nabla R\|$  actually only in Section 4. Let  $\{p_i\} \subset M$  and  $\{q_i\} \subset \overline{M}$  be  $2^{-(n+8)}r$ -dense and  $2^{-(n+9)}r$ -discrete subsets as in Theorem 1. For given  $p \in M$  and  $\delta > 0$ , we denote by  $M_p$ the tangent space of M at p, and by  $B(p, \delta)$  the  $\delta$ -ball with center p. Note that all  $\delta$ -balls with  $\delta \le R_0$  in M and  $\overline{M}$  are convex and that by the Rauch comparison theorem, for any  $v, w \in M_p$  with  $\|v\|, \|w\| \le t, t \le R_0$ 

 $\sin \Lambda t/\Lambda t \leq d(\exp_p v, \exp_p w)/||v - w|| \leq \sinh \Lambda t/\Lambda t.$ 

The purpose of this section is to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. For each *i* there exists a linear isometry  $I_i$  from  $M_{p_i}$  to  $\overline{M}_{q_i}$ such that if  $F_i := \exp_{q_i} \circ I_i \circ \exp_{p_i}^{-1} : B(p_i, R_0) \to B(q_i, R_0)$ , then  $d(F_i(p_j), q_j) \leq \delta_i r$  for every  $p_j$  with  $d(p_i, p_j) \leq 10r$ , where

$$\delta_1 = 2(n+1)(6^{n+2}n!2^{(n/2)+7})^{1/2}(40Ar+2\varepsilon)^{1/4}$$

**Proof.** Set  $\tilde{p}_j := \exp_{p_i}^{-1}(p_j)$  and  $\tilde{q}_j := \exp_{q_i}^{-1}(q_j)$ . Then  $\{\tilde{p}_j\}$  and  $\{\tilde{q}_j\}$  are  $2^{-(n+7)}r$ -dense and  $2^{-(n+10)}r$ -discrete subsets of the 10*r*-ball around 0 and satisfy  $(1+\varepsilon)^{-1}e^{-20Ar} \leq \|\tilde{q}_j - \tilde{q}_k\| / \|\tilde{p}_j - \tilde{p}_k\| \leq (1+\varepsilon)e^{20Ar}$  for all  $j, k, j \neq k$ . Hence Lemma 2.1 is a direct consequence of the following.

LEMMA 2.1'. Let  $\{x_i\}$  be a  $2^{-(n+7)}r$ -dense and  $2^{-(n+10)}r$ -discrete subset of  $B(0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $x_1 = 0$ . If a system  $\{y_i\}$  of points in B(0, r) with  $y_1 = 0$ satisfies  $(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \leq ||y_i - y_j||/||x_i - x_j|| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$  for every  $i \neq j$ . Then there exists a linear isometry I of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$||I(x_i) - y_i|| \le (n+1)(6^{n+2} \cdot n! \cdot 2^{(n/2)+7} \cdot \varepsilon^{1/2})^{1/2} r$$

for every i.

For the proof of the Lemma 2.1', it is convenient to introduce the following notion, a normal system, and to investigate some properties of a normal system. This is done in Lemma 2.3-Lemma 2.5.

DEFINITION 2.2. For  $0 \le \eta < 1$  and r > 0, we say that a system of n points  $\{p_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $(r, \eta)$ -normal if  $(1 - \eta)r \le ||p_i|| \le r$ ,  $|\langle p_i, p_j \rangle| \le \eta r^2$  for every  $i \ne j$ .

LEMMA 2.3. For every  $L \ge n+1$ , let  $\{p_i\}_{1\le i\le n}$  be an  $(r, 2^{-L})$ -normal system for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If we set  $p'_i := p_i - \langle p_i, u_1 \rangle u_1 - \cdots - \langle p_i, u_{i-1} \rangle u_{i-1}, u_i := p'_i / ||p'_i||$  inductively, then

- (1)  $\|p'_i\| \ge (1 2^{-(L-i)})^{1/2} r \ge (1 2^{-(L-i)}) r$ ,
- (2)  $|\langle p_k, u_i \rangle| \leq 2^{-(L-i)}r$

for every i, k with k > i.

*Proof.* For i = 1, (1) and (2) are trivial. Assume (1), (2) for  $j, 1 \le j \le i$ . Then we get

$$egin{aligned} \|p_{i+1}'\|^2 &= \|p_{i+1}\|^2 - \langle p_{i+1}, u_1 
angle^2 - \cdots - \langle p_{i+1}, u_i 
angle^2 \ &\geq ((1 - 2^{-L})^2 - 2^{-2(L-1)} - \cdots - 2^{-2(L-i)})r^2 \ &\geq (1 - 2^{-(L-i-1)})r^2 \geq (1 - 2^{-(L-i-1)})^2 r^2, \end{aligned}$$

and for k > i + 1,

$$egin{aligned} |\langle p_k, u_{i+1}
angle| &\leq \|p_{i+1}'\|^{-1}(|\langle p_k, p_{i+1}
angle| + |\langle p_{i+1}, u_1
angle\|\langle p_k, u_1
angle| + \cdots \ &+ |\langle p_{i+1}, u_i
angle\|\langle p_k, u_i
angle|) \ &\leq 2(2^{-L} + 2^{-2(L-1)} + \cdots + 2^{-2(L-i)})r \leq 2^{-L+i+1}r \,. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for  $L \ge n + 1$ , the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization procedure yields the orthonormal basis  $\{u_i\}$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  via an  $(r, 2^{-L})$ -normal system  $\{p_i\}$ .

LEMMA 2.4. If  $\{p_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$  is an  $(r, 2^{-L})$ -normal system for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and if for some  $\delta > 0$ , x and y in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfy

$$\|x\|, \|y\| \leq r, \ \|\|x\| - \|y\|| \leq \delta, \ \|\|x - p_i\| - \|y - p_i\|| \leq \delta$$

for all i,  $1 \le i \le n$ , then  $||x - y|| \le 3(n + 2^{-L+n+4})\delta$ .

*Proof.* Notice that

$$|\langle p_i, x-y \rangle| = 2^{-1} ||x||^2 - ||y||^2 + ||p_i - y||^2 - ||p_i - x||^2| \le 3\delta r.$$

By induction, we show that

$$|\langle u_i, x-y\rangle| \leq 3(1+2^{-L+i+1})^2\delta.$$

This is trivial for i = 1. Assume (\*) for  $j, 1 \le j \le i$ . Then we have with Lemma 2.3

$$egin{aligned} |\langle u_{i+1}, x-y
angle| &\leq \|p_{i+1}'\|^{-1}(|\langle p_{i+1}, x-y
angle|+|\langle p_{i+1}, u_1
angle||\langle u_i, x-y
angle|+ \cdots \ &+ |\langle p_{i+1}, u_i
angle||\langle u_i, x-y
angle|) \ &\leq 3(1-2^{-L+i+1})^{-1}(1+2^{-(L-1)}(1+2^{-L+2})^2+\cdots \ &+ 2^{-(L-i)}(1+2^{-L+i+1})^2)\delta \ &\leq 3(1+2^{-L+i+2})(1+2^{-L+2}+\cdots+2^{-L+i+1})\delta \ &\leq 3(1+2^{-L+i+2})^2\delta\,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we conclude that

$$\|x-y\| \leq \sum_{1}^{n} |\langle u_{i}, x-y \rangle| \leq \sum_{1}^{n} 3(1+2^{-L+i+1})^{2} \delta \leq 3(n+2^{-L+n+4}) \delta.$$
  
Q.E.D.

LEMMA 2.5. For k,  $1 \le k \le n$ , and  $L \ge k + 2$ , let  $\{e_i\}_{1 \le i \le k} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $(1, 2^{-L})$ -normal system for the linear subspace spanned by  $\{e_i\}$  with  $||e_i|| = 1$  for all i. If two unit vectors x and y which belong to  $\text{Span} \{e_i\}_{1 \le i \le k}$  satisfy the following inequalities;

$$|\langle \langle (e_i, x) - \langle \langle (e_i, y) | \leq lpha \ (1 \leq i \leq k-1), \ \langle x, e_k 
angle \geq 3/4, \ \langle y, e_k 
angle \geq 3/4,$$

then  $\langle \langle (x, y) \rangle \leq 6((k-1) + 2^{-L+k+3})\alpha$ , where  $\langle \langle (x, y) \rangle$  denotes the angle between x and y.

*Proof.* Notice that  $|\langle e_i, x \rangle - \langle e_i, y \rangle| \le \alpha$  ( $1 \le i \le k - 1$ ), and  $2^{-1} \not\lt (x, y) \le \sin \not\lt (x, y) \le ||x - y||$ .

Hence it suffices to estimate ||x - y|| from above. Let  $\{u_i\}$  be an orthonormal basis for Span  $\{e_i\}$  obtained by the Gram Schmidt process from  $\{e_i\}$ . From Lemma 2.4 (\*), we get  $|\langle u_i, x - y \rangle| \leq (1 + 2^{-L+i+1})^2 \alpha$   $(1 \leq i \leq k - 1)$ . By Lemma 2.3,

$$egin{aligned} &\langle u_k,x
angle \geq \|e_k'\|^{-1}\!\langle\langle e_k,x
angle - |\langle e_k,u_1
angle\|\langle u_1,x
angle| - \cdots - |\langle e_k,u_{k-1}
angle\|\langle u_{k-1},x
angle\| \ \geq \langle e_k,x
angle - 2^{-L+1} - \cdots - 2^{-L+k-1} \geq 3/4 - 2^{-L+k} \geq 1/2\,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the inequality;

$$|\langle u_k, x \rangle^2 - \langle u_k, y \rangle^2| = \left| \sum_{1}^{k-1} (\langle u_i, x \rangle^2 - \langle u_i, y \rangle^2) \right| \le 2 \sum_{1}^{k-1} \langle u_i, x - y \rangle|$$

implies

$$|\langle u_k, x-y\rangle| \leq 2\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |\langle u_i, x-y\rangle|,$$

and this yields that

$$egin{aligned} \|x-y\| &\leq \sum\limits_{1}^{k} |\langle u_i, x-y
angle| \leq 3 \sum\limits_{1}^{k-1} (1+2^{-L+i+1})^2 lpha \ &\leq 3((k-1)+2^{-L+k+3}) lpha \,. \end{aligned}$$
 Q.E.D.

From now we return to the situation in Lemma 2.1'. Let  $\{x_i\}$  be a  $2^{-(n+7)}r$ -dense and  $2^{-(n+10)}r$ -discrete subset of B(0, r) and let  $\{y_i\}$  be a system of points in B(0, r) with  $y_1 = 0$  such that

$$(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} \leq \|y_i-y_j\|/\|x_i-x_j\| \leq 1+\varepsilon \quad ext{for every } i\neq j.$$

LEMMA 2.6.  $|\langle (x_i, x_j) - \langle (y_i, y_j) | \leq 2^{(n/2)+8} \varepsilon^{1/2}$  for every  $i \neq j$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\alpha_{i,j} := \gtrless(x_i, x_j)$  and  $\beta_{i,j} := \gtrless(y_i, y_j)$ . First we show that  $|\cos \alpha_{i,j} - \cos \beta_{i,j}| \le 2^{(n+13)} \varepsilon$ . Set  $\kappa = 1 + \varepsilon$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \alpha_{i,j} &= (\|x_i\|^2 + \|x_j\|^2 - \|x_i - x_j\|^2)/2\|x_i\| \|x_j\| \\ &\leq (\kappa^2 (\|y_i\|^2 + \|y_j\|^2) - \kappa^{-2} \|y_i - y_j\|^2)/2\|x_i\| \|x_j\| \\ &= (\kappa^2 (2\|y_i\| \|y_j\| \cos \beta_{i,j} + \|y_i - y_j\|^2) - \kappa^{-2} \|y_i - y_j\|^2)/2\|x_i\| \|x_j\| \\ &= \kappa^2 \cos \beta_{i,j} \cdot \|y_i\| \|y_j\| / \|x_i\| \|x_j\| + (\kappa^2 - \kappa^{-2}) \|y_i - y_j\|^2 / 2\|x_i\| \|x_j\| \\ &\leq \kappa^4 \cos \beta_{i,j} + (\kappa^2 - \kappa^{-2}) (2^{(n+10)}\kappa + \kappa^2) \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$\cos lpha_{i,j} - \cos eta_{i,j} \le (\kappa^4 - 1) \cos eta_{i,j} + (\kappa^2 - \kappa^{-2})(2^{(n+10)}\kappa + \kappa^2) < 2^{(n+13)}\varepsilon$$
:

Hence we can get that  $|\cos \alpha_{i,j} - \cos \beta_{i,j}| \le 2^{(n+13)}\varepsilon$ , and this yields

$$\begin{split} &2(\sin{(|lpha_{i,j}-eta_{i,j}|/2)})^2 \leq 2^{(n+13)}arepsilon \ , \ &|lpha_{i,j}-eta_{i,j}| \leq 2\sin^{-1}((2^{n+12}arepsilon)^{1/2}) \ &\leq 2^{(n/2)+8}arepsilon^{1/2} \ \ \ (arepsilon\leq 2^{-(n+14)}) \ . \end{split}$$
 Q.E.D.

LEMMA 2.7. There exist  $\{x_{m_j}\}_{1 \le j \le n} \subset \{x_i\}$  and  $\{y_{m_j}\}_{1 \le j \le n} \subset \{y_i\}$  such that they are  $(r, 2^{-(n+4)})$ -normal systems for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.** Take an orthogonal basis  $\{w_j\}$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $||w_j|| = (1 - 2^{-(n+6)})r$ , and by denseness, take  $\{x_{m_j}\}_{1 \le j \le n} \subset \{x_i\}$  such that  $||x_{m_j} - w_j|| \le 2^{-(n+7)}r$ . An easy calculation shows that  $\{X_{m_j}\}_{1 \le j \le n}$  and the corresponding  $\{y_{m_j}\}_{1 \le j \le n}$  have the required properties. Q.E.D.

Proof of Lemma 2.1'. Let  $\{u_i\}$  and  $\{v_i\}$  be the orthonormal bases for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  obtained by applying the Gram-Schmidt process to  $\{x_{m_i}\}$  and  $\{y_{m_i}\}$  respectively. A required linear isometry I of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by  $I(u_i):=v_i$ . If we set  $X_k = I(x_{m_k})/||I(x_{m_k})||$  and  $Y_k = y_{m_k}/||y_{m_k}||$ , then we have with Lemma 2.3 (1)

$$\langle v_{\scriptscriptstyle k}, X_{\scriptscriptstyle k} 
angle, \langle v_{\scriptscriptstyle k}, Y_{\scriptscriptstyle k} 
angle \geq 1 - 2^{\scriptscriptstyle -(n+4-k)}$$
 .

This yields

$$egin{aligned} &\langle X_k,\,Y_k
angle \geq \cos{(\swarrow{(X_k,\,v_k)}+\,\grave{\triangleleft}\,(v_k,\,Y_k))} \ &\geq 2\cos^2{ heta}-1 \qquad (\cos{ heta}{:=}1-2^{-(n+4-k)}) \ &\geq 1-2^{-(n+2-k)}\geq 3/4\,. \end{aligned}$$

Assertion 1.  $(I(x_{m_k}), y_{m_k}) \leq (6k-5)6^{k-2}(k-1)!\epsilon'$ .  $\epsilon' := 2^{(n/2)+8}\epsilon^{1/2}$ .

Proof. From the triangle inequality and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$ig \langle (y_{m_i}, I(x_{m_k})) \leq \ensuremath{\swarrow} (I(x_{m_k}), I(x_{m_i})) + \ensuremath{\swarrow} (I(x_{m_i}), y_{m_i}) \ \leq \ensuremath{\swarrow} (y_{m_k}, y_{m_i}) + \ensuremath{\ll} (I(x_{m_i}), y_{m_i}) + \ensuremath{\varepsilon}',$$

and similarly,

$$\bigtriangledown (y_{m_i}, I(x_{m_k})) \geq \bigtriangledown (y_{m_k}, y_{m_i}) - \diamondsuit (I(x_{m_i}), y_{m_i}) - arepsilon'$$
 ,

hence,

$$|\langle \langle (y_{m_i}, I(x_{m_k})) - \langle \langle (y_{m_i}, y_{m_k}) | \leq \langle \langle (I(x_{m_i}), y_{m_i}) + \varepsilon' .$$

Clearly,  $\leq (I(x_{m_1}), y_{m_1}) = 0$ . Assume the assertion for  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , then we get for every  $i (1 \leq i \leq k-1)$ 

$$|\langle (y_{m_i}, I(x_{m_k})) - \langle (y_{m_i}, y_{m_k})| \le (6i-5)6^{i-2}(i-1)!\varepsilon' + \varepsilon' \le ((6k-11)6^{k-3}(k-2)!+1)\varepsilon'.$$

Notice that  $\{y_{m_i}/||y_{m_i}||\}_{1 \le i \le k}$  is a  $(1, 2^{-(n+3)})$ -normal system for its spanning subspace. Hence applying Lemma 2.5 to  $\{y_{m_i}/||y_{m_i}||\}_{1 \le i \le k}, X_k$  and  $Y_k$  in place of  $\{e_i\}_{1 \le i \le k}, x$  and y, we conclude

$$\langle \langle (I(x_{m_k}), y_{m_k}) \leq (6k-5)6^{k-2}(k-1)! \varepsilon'.$$
 Q.E.D.

This and Lemma 2.4 complete the proof of Lemma 2.1'.

*Proof of Assertion 2.* Assertion 1 and the triangle inequality imply that

$$ig \langle (I(x_i), y_{m_k}) \leq \langle (I(x_i), I(x_{m_k}) + \langle (I(x_{m_k}), y_{m_k}) \rangle \\ \leq \langle (y_i, y_{m_k}) + ((6k - 5)6^{k-2}(k - 1)! + 1)\varepsilon' \rangle$$

and similarly,

$$\langle \langle (I(x_i), y_{m_k}) \geq \langle \langle (y_i, y_{m_k}) - ((6k-5)6^{k-2}(k-1)! + 1)\varepsilon', \rangle$$

hence,

$$|\langle (I(x_i), y_{m_k}) - \langle (y_i, y_{m_k})| \leq ((6k-5)6^{k-2}(k-1)!+1)\epsilon'.$$

Therefore we have

$$egin{aligned} &\|\|I(x_i)-y_{m_k}\|^2-\|y_i-y_{m_k}\|^2\|\ &\leq |\|I(x_i)\|^2-\|y_i\|^2|+2\|y_{m_k}\|\|\|y_i\|\cos \diamondsuit (y_i,y_{m_k})\ &-\|I(x_i)\|\cos \diamondsuit (I(x_i),y_{m_k})|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|||I(x_i)||^2 - ||y_i||^2| \le 2\epsilon r^2$  and

$$egin{aligned} &\|y_i\|\cos \bigtriangledown (y_i,y_{m_k}) - \|I(x_i)\|\cos \gtrless (I(x_i),y_{m_k})\| \ &\leq r(|\measuredangle (y_i,y_{m_k}) - \measuredangle (I(x_i),y_{m_k}) + arepsilon) \ &\leq ((6k-5)6^{k-2}(k-1)!+2)arepsilon'r\,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the inequality

$$|\|I(x_i) - y_{m_k}\| - \|y_i - y_{m_k}\|| \le |\|I(x_i) - y_{m_k}\|^2 - \|y_i - y_{m_k}\|^2|^{1/2}$$

implies the required estimate.

### § 3. Reduction and $C^{\circ}$ -estimates

In this section we average the local diffeomorphisms  $F_i$ , constructed in the previous section, with a center of mass technique to obtain a smooth map  $F: M \to \overline{M}$  and control the  $C^0$  error between F and  $F_i$ . Let  $\psi$  be a smooth function such that

$$\psi|[0,4]=1, \quad \psi|[5,\infty)=0, \quad 0\geq \psi'\geq -2.$$

For every  $x \in M$ , define the weights  $\phi_i(x)$  of  $F_i(x)$  by

$$\phi_i(x) := \psi(d(x,p_i)/r) / \sum_j \psi(d(x,p_j)/r)$$
 .

Notice that all  $p_j$  with  $d(x, p_j) \leq 5r$  are finite and the corresponding  $F_j(x)$  are contained in some convex ball B. It is easy from convexity argument to see that for a fixed  $x \in M$ , the function  $C_x: \overline{M} \to R$  defined by  $C_x(y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \phi_i(x) d^2(y, F_i(x))$  is  $C^{\infty}$  strongly convex on B, and has a unique minimum point on  $\overline{M}$ . Setting

F(x) := the unique minimum point of  $C_x$ 

we define a map  $F: M \to \overline{M}$ . We show that F is smooth. Define a map V from a sufficiently small neighborhood of the graph of F in  $M \times \overline{M}$  to the tangent bundle  $T\overline{M}$  by

$$V(x, y) := -\sum_{i} \phi_{i}(x) \exp_{y}^{-1}(F_{i}(x))$$

Since  $V(x, y) = (\text{grad } C_x)(y)$ , we have V(x, F(x)) = 0. Let  $K: TT\overline{M} \to T\overline{M}$ be the connection map, and define a map  $D_2V_{(x,y)}: \overline{M}_y \to \overline{M}_y$  by  $D_2V_{(x,y)}(\dot{y}(0)) = \nabla_{\dot{y}(0)}V(x, y(t))$ , where we consider V(x, y(t)) as a vector field along a smooth curve y(t) with  $\dot{y}(0) = y$ . Notice that

$$K(d/dt \ V(x, y(t))|_{t=0}) = D_2 V_{(x, y)}(\dot{y}(0)),$$

and  $D_2 V_{(x,y)}$  is a linear map. From the standard Jacobi fields estimates (See (4.3) in the proof of Lemma 4.2),

$$\|D_2 V_{(x, y)}(\dot{y}(0)) - \dot{y}(0)\| \le (30 \Lambda r)^2 \|\dot{y}(0)\| < \|\dot{y}(0)\|.$$

This yields that  $D_2 V_{(x, y)}$  is a linear isomorphism, and hence for y = F(x), the space spanned by  $\{d/dt \ V(x, y(t))|_{t=0}\}$  and the (horizontal) tangent space of the zero section of  $T\overline{M}$  at (F(x), 0) span  $(T\overline{M})_{(F(x), 0)}$ . Therefore the implicit function theorem implies the smoothness of F.

From now on we fix  $x_0 \in M$  and set  $y_0 := F(x_0)$ .

LEMMA 3.1.  $dF_{x_0}$  has maximal rank iff

$$\begin{array}{ll} (*) & \sum\limits_{i} d/dt \; \psi(d(x(t),p_{i})/r)|_{t=0} \cdot \exp_{y_{0}}^{-1}(F_{i}(x_{0})) \\ & + \sum\limits_{i} \psi(d(x_{0},p_{i})/r) \cdot d(\exp_{y_{0}}^{-1})(dF_{i}(\dot{x}(0))) \neq 0 \end{array}$$

for every smooth curve x(t) with  $x(0) = x_0$  and  $\dot{x}(0) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Differentiating the curve V(x(t), F(x(t))) in the zero section of  $T\overline{M}$ , we have

$$(3.2) d/dt V(x(t), y_0)|_{t=0} + D_2 V_{(x_0, y_0)}(dF(\dot{x}(0))) = 0.$$

Hence  $dF_{x_0}$  has maximal rank iff  $d/dt V(x(t), y_0)|_{t=0} \neq 0$ . Since  $V(x_0, y_0) = 0$ ,

$$(3.3) \qquad \begin{aligned} d/dt \ V(x(t), y_0)|_{t=0} \\ &= -\sum_i d/dt \ \psi_i(d(x(t), p_i)/r)|_{t=0} \cdot \exp_{y_0}^{-1}(F_i(x_0)) / \sum_j \psi_j(d(x_0, p_j)/r) \\ &- \sum_i \phi_i(x_0) \cdot d(\exp_{y_0}^{-1}) (dF_i(\dot{x}(0))) \ . \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

We will show in Section 4 that in the above (\*), the norm of the first term is smaller than that of the second if r and  $\varepsilon$  are taken sufficiently small. To do this we must first estimate the numbers of the sum in each term.

LEMMA 3.4. If  $N_1 := \#\{i; \psi(d(x_0, p_i)/r) = 1\}$  and  $N_2 := \#\{i: \psi(d(x_0, p_i)/r) \neq 0\}$ , then  $N_2/N_1 \leq 6^n$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\{p_i\}$  is  $2^{-(n+8)}r$ -dense, the union of  $B(p_i, 2^{-(n+8)}r)$  with  $d(x_0, p_i) \leq 4r$  covers the 3.9*r*-ball around  $x_0$ , and since  $\{p_i\}$  is  $2^{-(n+9)}r$ -discrete, the family of  $B(p_i, 2^{-(n+10)}r)$  with  $d(x_0, p_i) \leq 5r$  are disjoint and contained in the 5.1*r*-ball around  $x_0$ . It follows from the Rauch comparison theorem that

$$N_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \geq ilde{
u}(3.9r)/
u(2^{_{-(n+8)}}r), \ \ N_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \leq 
u(5.1r)/ ilde{
u}(2^{_{-(n+10)}}r) \,.$$

Hence we can get an explicit bound for  $N_2/N_1$ . Q.E.D.

Now we fix i and k such that  $d(x_0, p_i)$ ,  $d(x_0, p_k) \leq 5r$ , and estimate  $d(F_i(x_0), F_k(x_0))$ .

LEMMA 3.5.  $|d(q_j, F_k(x_0)) - d(q_j, F_i(x_0))| \le \delta_2 r$  for every j with  $d(p_i, p_j)$ ,  $d(p_k, p_j) \le 10r$ , where  $\delta_2 = 2(\delta_1 + 600 \Lambda r)$ .

Proof. Notice that

 $e^{-20Ar} \leq d(F_k(x_0), F_k(p_j))/d(x_0, p_j) \leq e^{20Ar}$ .

By Lemma 2.1,

$$|d(q_{j},F_{k}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}))-d(F_{k}(p_{j}),F_{k}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}))|\leq \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}r$$
 .

Hence the triangle inequality implies

$$(3.6) |d(p_j, x_0) - d(q_j, F_k(x_0))| \le (\delta_1 r + 40 \Lambda r \cdot d(p_j, x_0)) \le \delta_2 r/2.$$

From the same estimate for i, we have the required bound. Q.E.D.

Here we assume the following bound on  $\varepsilon$  and r in order to bound  $\delta_2 \leq 1/2;$ 

$$(**) \qquad \qquad \varepsilon, \ 20 \ \Lambda r \leq 2^{-18} (n+1)^{-4} (6^{n+2} n! 2^{(n/2)+7})^{-2}.$$

This bound assures that  $d(F_i(x_0), F_k(x_0)) \leq 2r/3$ .

LEMMA 3.7.  $d(F_k(x_0), F_i(x_0)) \le \delta_3 r$ , where  $\delta_3 = 8(n+1)\delta_2$ .

*Proof.* Take a  $q_{m_0} \in \{q_i\}$  such that  $d(q_{m_0}, F_k(x_0)) \leq 2^{-(n+8)}r$ , and let  $x_k$  and  $x_i$  denote the images of  $F_k(x_0)$  and  $F_i(x_0)$  by  $\exp_{q_{m_0}}^{-1}$ . Then from the above bound (\*\*) we have that  $||x_k||, ||x_i|| \leq r$ . By Lemma 2.7, we can choose  $\{q_{m_j}\}_{1\leq j\leq n}$  out of  $\{q_i\}$  such that if  $\tilde{q}_{m_j}$  denotes the image of  $q_{m_j}$  by  $\exp_{q_{m_0}}^{-1}$ , then  $\{\tilde{q}_{m_j}\}_{1\leq j\leq n}$  1 is an  $(r, 2^{-(n+4)})$  normal system for  $\overline{M}_{q_{m_0}}$ . Notice that  $\{p_{m_j}\}_{1\leq j\leq n}$  corresponding to  $\{q_{m_j}\}_{1\leq j\leq n}$  are contained in  $B(p_k, 10r) \cap B(p_i, 10r)$ . From Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\|\| ilde{q}_{m_j} - x_k\| - \| ilde{q}_{m_j} - x_i\|\| \le 2\delta_2 r, \ \ 0 \le j \le n$$
 ,

and together with Lemma 2.4 this yields

$$d(F_k(x_0), F_i(x_0)) \le 8(n+1)\delta_2 r \,.$$
 Q.E.D.

From the definition of F it is clear that  $d(F(x_0), F_i(x_0)) \leq \delta_3 r$  for every i with  $d(x_0, p_i) \leq 5r$ . Hence we have with Lemma 3.4

$$(3.8) \qquad \begin{aligned} \|\sum_{i} d/dt \, \psi(d(x(t),p_{i})/r)|_{t=0} \cdot \exp_{y_{0}}^{-1}(F_{i}(x_{0}))\| \\ &\leq N_{2}(2/r)\delta_{3}r \, \|\dot{x}(0)\| \leq 2 \cdot 6^{n}\delta_{3}N_{1} \|\dot{x}(0)\| \; .\end{aligned}$$

# §4. $C^1$ -estimates

To estimate the second term in Lemma 3.1 (\*) from below, we must control the error between  $d(\exp_{y_0}^{-1})(dF_i(\dot{x}(0)))$  and  $d(\exp_{y_0}^{-1})(dF_k(\dot{x}(0)))$ . To

do this it is essential to estimate  $||dF_k(\dot{x}(0)) - PdF_i(\dot{x}(0))||$  from above, where P denotes the parallel translation along the minimizing geodesic from  $F_i(x_0)$  to  $F_k(x_0)$ . This is done in Lemma 4.5.

LEMMA 4.1. For each  $x \in \overline{M}$ , let  $\{q_{a_j}\} := \{q_i\} \cap B(x, r)$  and  $N' := \# \{q_{a_j}\}$ . The map  $\Phi : B(x, r/2) \to \mathbb{R}^{N'}$  defined by  $\Phi^j(y) = d^2(q_{a_j}, y)$  satisfies the following;

(1)  $\Phi$  is an embedding, and  $||d\Phi(v)|| \ge r||v||$  for every tangent vector v on B(x, r/2),

(2)  $N' \leq 2^{n(n+11)}$ .

**Proof.** The convexity of each component  $\Phi^i$  of  $\Phi$  implies the injectivity of  $\Phi$ . For a given tangent vector v on B(x, r/2), let  $\tilde{r}$  be a geodesic with  $\dot{r}(0) = v/||v||$ . Take a  $q_{a_j}$  such that  $d(q_{a_j}, \tilde{r}(r/2)) \leq 2^{-(n+\theta)}r$ . Comparing the triangle with vertices  $(\tilde{r}(0), \tilde{r}(r/2), q_{a_j})$  to a triangle with the same edge length in the sphere with constant curvature  $\Lambda^2$ , we have that  $\cos \tilde{\zeta}(\dot{r}(0), \dot{\sigma}(0)) \geq 1/2$ , where  $\sigma$  denote a unique minimizing geodesic from  $\tilde{r}(0)$  to  $q_{a_j}$ . This yields that

$$\|d arPhi(v)\| \geq |d arPhi^{j}(v)| \geq r \|v\|$$
 .

The same proof as in Lemma 3.4 implies (2).

We fix *i* and *k* with  $d(p_i, x_0)$ ,  $d(p_k, x_0) \leq 5r$  and take an embedding  $\oint : B(F_k(x_0), r/2) \to \mathbb{R}^{N'}$  defined in the previous lemma for  $F_k(x_0)$ , where we set  $\{q_{\alpha_j}\} := \{q_i\} \cap B(F_k(x_0), r)$ . For a unit tangent vector *v* at  $x_0$ , let  $\hat{\tau}, \sigma_k$  and  $\sigma_i$  be geodesics such that  $\dot{\tau}(0) = v$ ,  $\dot{\sigma}_k(0) = dF_k(v)$  and  $\dot{\sigma}_i(0) = dF_i(v)$ . For every  $q_{\alpha_j}$ , we set

$$egin{aligned} f_j(t) &= d^2(p_{a_j}, \varUpsilon(t))\,, & g_{m,\,j}(t) = \Phi^j(F_m \cdot \varUpsilon(t))\,, \ h_{m,\,j}(t) &= \Phi^j(\sigma_m(t))\,, & m = k,\,i\,. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4.2. On [0, r/2],

- (1)  $2(1 \Lambda^2 f_j) \le f''_j \le 2(1 + \Lambda^2 f_j),$   $2(1 - \Lambda^2 f_j) \le 2(1 + \Lambda^2 f_j),$ 
  - $2(1 \Lambda^2 h_{m,j})e^{-20\Lambda r} \leq h_{m,j}^{\prime\prime} \leq 2(1 + \Lambda^2 h_{m,j})e^{20\Lambda r},$

 $(2) |g_{m,j}''-h_{m,j}''| \leq \Omega_1 r$ 

where  $\Omega_1 = 82 + 10n^3 \Omega r$ ,

$$\Omega = 60n(n-1)(10\Lambda_1 r^2 + 4\Lambda^2 r + 400n^{3/2}\Lambda(\Lambda r)^3)e^{10(2n^2\Lambda^2 + 1)r}$$

(2) is the only place where we need the assumption for  $||\nabla R||$ .

Proof. We consider geodesic veriations

$$lpha(t,s) = \exp_{q_{lpha_j}} s(\exp_{q_{lpha_j}}^{-1} F_m(\mathbf{i}(t))) , \ eta(t,s) = \exp_{q_{lpha_j}} s(\exp_{q_{lpha_j}}^{-1} \sigma_m(t)) .$$

Then for a fixed t, we have Jacobi fields

$$J_0(s) = rac{\partial lpha}{\partial t}(t,s) \quad ext{and} \quad J(s) = rac{\partial eta}{\partial t}(t,s) \, ,$$

and the second variation formula yields

$$g_{{}^{\prime\prime}\!{}_{,\,j}}^{\prime\prime}(t)=2(\langle {arPhi}_{{}_{j}{}_{0}}J_{{}_{0}},\,T_{{}_{0}}
angle +\langle J_{{}_{0}},{arPhi}_{{}_{T}{}_{0}}J_{{}_{0}}
angle)(1),\quad h_{{}^{\prime\prime}\!{}_{m,\,j}}^{\prime\prime}(t)=2\langle J,{arPhi}_{{}_{T}}J
angle(1)\,,$$

where  $T_0$  and T denote the vector fields  $\partial \alpha / \partial s$  and  $\partial \beta / \partial s$ . We assert that

$$(*) \qquad (1 - \Lambda^2 \|T\|^2) \|J(1)\|^2 \le \langle J, {\not\!\!\! V}_T J \rangle (1) \le (1 + \Lambda^2 \|T\|^2) \|J(1)\|^2$$

which implies (1). Let  $\tau$  be a geodesic with  $\|\dot{\tau}\| = \|T\|$  in the *n*-sphere S with constant curvature  $\Lambda^2$  and I a linear isometry from  $\overline{M}_{q_{\alpha_j}}$  to  $S_{\tau(0)}$ , and W the vector field along  $\tau$  defined by using the parallel translations along  $\beta(t, \cdot)$  and  $\tau$  and I. Then a standard comparison argument implies

$$\langle J,J'
angle (1)=I_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(J,J')\geq I_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(W,\,W)\geq I_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(V,\,V)=\langle V,\,V'
angle (1)\,,$$

where  $I_0$  denote the index form and V the Jacobi field along  $\tau$  with V(0) = 0 and V(1) = W(1). It is easy to check that

$$egin{aligned} &\|V(s)\|^2 = s^2 \|J^{ \mathrm{\scriptscriptstyle T}}(1)\|^2 + rac{\sin^2 arLambda \|T\| s}{\sin^2 arLambda \|T\|} (\|J(1)\|^2 - \|J^{ \mathrm{\scriptscriptstyle T}}(1)\|^2)\,, \ &\langle V,\,V'
angle(1) = \|J^{ \mathrm{\scriptscriptstyle T}}(1)\|^2 + arLambda \|T\| \cot arLambda \|T\| \cdot (\|J(1)\|^2 - \|J^{ \mathrm{\scriptscriptstyle T}}(1)\|^2)\,, \end{aligned}$$

where  $J^{T}$  denote the tangential component of J. Hence we have that  $\langle J, J' \rangle(1) \geq (1 - \Lambda^{2} ||T||^{2}) ||J(1)||^{2}$ . Let P be a parallel vector field along  $\beta(t)$ , then we get

$$|\langle J(s)-sJ'(s),P
angle'|=|s\langle R(T,J)T,P
angle|\leq 2arLambda^2\|T\|^2\|J\|s$$
 .

The integration implies

$$(4.3) ||J(1) - J'(1)|| \le \Lambda^2 ||T||^2 ||J(1)||.$$

It follows

$$|\langle J, J' 
angle(1)| \leq \|J(1)\| \|J'(1)\| \leq (1 + \Lambda^2 \|T\|^2) \|J(1)\|^2.$$

For (2), we get with (\*)

DIFFEOMORPHISM CLASSES

$$egin{aligned} g_{m,\,j}''(t) &- h_{m,\,j}''(t)| \leq 2|\langle J_0,\,J_0'
angle(1) - \langle J,\,J'
angle(1)| + 2|\langle {ar arphi}_{J_0}J_0,\,T_0
angle(1)| \ &\leq e^{20Ar}(2+8A^2r^2) - e^{-20Ar}(2-8A^2r^2) + 2\|ar V_{J_0(1)}J_0\|\cdot 2.3r \ &\leq 82Ar + 4.6r\|ar V_{J_0(1)}J_0\|\,. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{e_i\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $M_{p_m}$  and  $\{x_i\}$ ,  $\{y_i\}$  the normal coordinate systems on  $B(p_m, 10r)$ ,  $B(q_m, 10r)$  based on  $\{e_i\}$ ,  $\{I_m(e_i)\}$  respectively. Let  $\Gamma_{i,j}^k$  and  $\overline{\Gamma}_{i,j}^k$  be the Cristoffel symbols with respect to  $\{x_i\}$  and  $\{y_i\}$  and let  $c := F_m \circ \mathcal{I}$ . Note that

$$egin{aligned} \dot{c} &:= \sum\limits_i \dot{c}_i rac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \quad \ddot{c}_k + \sum\limits_{i,j} \Gamma^k_{i,j}(ec{r}(t)) \dot{c}_i \dot{c}_j = 0 \ , \ & 
abla_i \dot{c} &= \sum\limits_k \left( \ddot{c}_k + \sum\limits_{ij} ar{\Gamma}^k_{i,j}(c(t)) \dot{c}_i \dot{c}_j 
ight) rac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \ &= \sum\limits_{k,i,j} \left( -\Gamma^k_{i,j}(ec{r}(t)) + ar{\Gamma}^k_{i,j}(c(t))) \dot{c}_i \dot{c}_j rac{\partial}{\partial y_k} 
ight. \end{aligned}$$

By the Rauch comparison theorem, we get

$$egin{aligned} &|\dot{c}_i| \leq e^{10Ar} \|\dot{c}\| \leq e^{30Ar}\,, & \left\|rac{\partial}{\partial y_k}
ight\| \leq e^{10Ar}\,, \ &|\Gamma^k_{i,j}| \leq e^{10Ar} \left\| m{arphi}_{_{\partial/\partial y_i}} rac{\partial}{\partial x_j} 
ight\|, &|ar{\Gamma}^k_{i,j}| \leq e^{10Ar} \left\| m{arphi}_{_{\partial/\partial y_i}} rac{\partial}{\partial y_j} 
ight\|, \end{aligned}$$

and from a Cheeger's result (See [4], Lemma 4.3), we can estimate with (\*\*) in Section 3

$$\left\| \mathcal{F}_{\partial/\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right\|, \quad \left\| \mathcal{F}_{\partial/\partial y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right\| \leq \Omega.$$

Therefore we conclude that  $\|\nabla_i \dot{c}\| \leq 2n^3 e^{80Ar} \Omega$ , and this yields (2). Q.E.D.

The following lemma is used in the proof of Lemma 4.5.

LEMMA 4.4. Let  $\varphi$ ;  $[0, t] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$ -function such that  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $|\varphi(s)| \leq \alpha$ ,  $|\varphi''(s)| \leq \kappa$  on [0, t]. Then  $|\varphi'(0)| \leq \alpha/t + \kappa t/2$ .

LEMMA 4.5.  $\|PdF_i(v) - dF_k(v)\| \leq 2^{n(n+11)/2}(11\delta_3 + \Omega_1 r/2)$ , where P denotes the parallel translation along the minimizing geodesic from  $F_i(x_0)$  to  $F_k(x_0)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\tau$  be a geodesic with  $\dot{\tau}(0) = PdF_i(v)$  and let  $u_j(t) := \Phi^j(\tau(t))$ . We apply the previous lemma to  $h_{k,j} - u_j$ . On [0, r/2] we have with (3.6) and Lemma 4.2 (2)

$$egin{aligned} |h_{k,j}-h_{i,j}| \leq |h_{k,j}-g_{k,j}| + |g_{k,j}-f_j| + |f_j-g_{i,j}| + |g_{i,j}-h_{i,j}| \ &< 4 \delta_2 r^2 + arOmega_1 r^3 \!/ 4 \,. \end{aligned}$$

and the Rauch comparison theorem implies

 $|h_{i,j}-u_j| \leq d(\sigma_i(0), \tau(0)) \cosh \Lambda r \cdot 4r \leq 5\delta_3 r^2,$ 

hence

$$|h_{k,j}-u_j|\leq (4\delta_2+5\delta_3+\Omega_1r/4)r^2.$$

Together with Lemma 4.2 (1), Lemma 4.4 applied to  $\varphi = h_{k,j} - u_j$  yields

$$egin{aligned} |darPhi^{\,\prime}(\dot{\sigma}_{\,k}(0)-\dot{ au}(0))| &\leq 2(4\delta_2+5\delta_3+arOmega_1r/4)r+82arAr^2/4\ &\leq (11\delta_3+arOmega_1r/2)r\,. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1, we conclude

$$\|PdF_i(v) - dF_k(v)\| \le 2^{n(n+11)/2} (11\delta_3 + \Omega_1 r/2) \,.$$
 Q.E.D.

Let  $P_k$ ,  $P_i$  denote the parallel translation along the minimizing geodesics from  $y_0$  to  $F_k(x_0)$ ,  $F_i(x_0)$ , and for simplicity, set

$$v_m := dF_m(v), \quad \tilde{v}_m := d(\exp_{y_0}^{-1})(dF_m(v)), \quad m = i, k.$$

Lemma 4.6.  $\|\tilde{v}_k - \tilde{v}_i\| \leq \delta_4$ , where  $\delta_4 = 2^{n(n+11)/2} (12\delta_3 + \Omega_1 r/2)$ .

*Proof.* From standard estimate of the Jacobi equation and an easy comparison argument, we get

$$\|P_k \widetilde{v}_k - v_k\|, \|P_i^{-1} v_i - \widetilde{v}_i\|, \|Pv_i - P_k P_i^{-1} v_i\| \leq \Lambda^2 r^2.$$

Together with Lemma 4.5, this yields

$$egin{aligned} \| ilde{v}_k - ilde{v}_i\| &= \|P_k ilde{v}_k - P_k ilde{v}_i\| \ &\leq \|P_k ilde{v}_k - v_k\| + \|v_k - Pv_i\| + \|Pv_i - P_k P_i^{-1} v_i\| \ &+ \|P_k P_i^{-1} v_i - P_k ilde{v}_i\| \ &\leq 2^{n(n+11)/2} (12 \delta_3 + arOmega_1 r/2) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\|\sum\limits_i \psi(d(x_0,p_i)/r) {\widetilde v}_i - \sum\limits_i \psi(d(x_0,p_i)/r) {\widetilde v}_k\| \leq \delta_4 N_2$$
 ,

hence with Lemma 3.4

$$\|\sum_{i} \psi(d(x_0, p_i)/r) \tilde{v}_i\| \ge (0.9 - 6^n \delta_4) N_1.$$

If we set  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_i$ ,  $r \leq r_i$ , then we get with (3.8)

$$\|\sum_i \psi(d(x_0,p_i)/r) \widetilde{v}_i\| > \|\sum_i d/dt \ \psi(d(\widetilde{r}(t),p_i)/r)|_{t=0} \cdot \exp_{y_0}^{-1}(F_i(x_0))\| + 0.1N_1 \ .$$

By Lemma 3.1, F is an immersion. Furthermore the above inequality and (3.3) imply

$$\|d/dt \ V(i(t), y_0)|_{t=0}\| > 0.1 \ N_1/N_2$$
.

On the other hand, a standard Jacobi fields estimate (4.3) yields

 $\| V_{dF(v)} V(x_0, F(i(t))) \| \le 4N_2 \| dF(v) \|$ .

Hence we have with (3.2) and Lemma 3.4

$$\|dF(v)\| \ge N_1/40 N_2^2 \ge \widetilde{v}(2^{-(n+10)}r)/40 \cdot 6^n v(5.1r) > 0$$
.

This conclude that F must be surjective, and hence injective since it is a homotopy equivalence by its construction. Q.E.D.

Added in proof. Recently we have received a preprint, S. Peters "Cheeger's finiteness theorem for diffeomorphism classes of Riemannian manifolds", where the finiteness of diffeomorphism classes of Cheeger type is proved for all dimensions without the assumption for ||VR|| by using a similar method to our Theorem 1.

### References

- [1] R. Bishop and R. Crittenden, Geometry of manifolds, Academic Press, New-York, 1964.
- [2] P. Buser and H. Karcher, Gromov's almost flat manifolds, Astérisque, 1981.
- J. Cheeger, Comparison and finiteness theorems for Riemannian manifolds, Ph. D. Thesis, Princeton Univ., 1967.
- [4] —, Pinching theorems for a certain class of Riemannian manifolds, Amer. J. Math., 91 (1969), 807-834.
- [5] —, Finiteness theorems for Riemannian manifolds, Amer. J. Math., 92 (1970), 61-74.
- [6] J. Cheeger and D. Ebin, Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry, North-Holland, 1975.
- [7] R. Greene, Complete metrics of bounded curvature on noncompact manifolds, Arch. Math., 31 (1978), 89-95.
- [8] M. Gromov, Almost flat manifolds, J. Differential Geom., 13 (1978), 231-241.
- [9] —, Structures métriques pour les variétés riemanniennes, rédigé par J. Lafontaine et P. Pansu, Cedic-Fernand Nathan, Paris, 1981.
- [10] E. Heintz and H. Karcher, A general comparison theorem with applications to volume estimates for submanifolds, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup., 11 (1978), 451–470.
- M. Maeda, Volume estimate of submanifolds in compact Riemannian manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 30 (1978), 533-551.
- [12] Y. Shikata, On a distance function on the set of differentiable structures, Osaka J. Math., 3 (1966), 65-79.

 [13] A. Weinstein, On the homotopy type of positively-pinched manifolds, Arch. Math., 18 (1967), 523-524.

Saga University Faculty of Science and Engineering Saga 840, Japan