

## TENSOR PRODUCTS OF POSITIVE DEFINITE QUADRATIC FORMS, VII

YOSHIYUKI KITAOKA

In this paper we generalize results of the third paper of this series. As a corollary we can show the following: Let  $L_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) be a positive definite quadratic form which is equivalent to one of Cartan matrices of Lie algebras of type  $A_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ),  $D_n$  ( $n \geq 4$ ),  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$ ,  $E_8$  and assume that  $\otimes_{i=1}^n L_i \cong \otimes_{i=1}^m M_i$  where  $M_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) is positive definite quadratic forms and satisfies that  $\text{rk } M_i \geq 2$  and  $M_i \cong K \otimes L$  implies  $\text{rk } K$  or  $\text{rk } L = 1$ . Then we have  $n = m$  and  $L_i$  is equivalent to a constant multiple of  $M_{s(i)}$  for some permutation  $s$ . Therefore we get the uniqueness of decompositions with respect to tensor products in this case.

We explain notations and terminology.

By a positive lattice we mean a lattice on a positive definite quadratic space over the rational number field. Let  $L$  be a positive lattice. We put

$$m(L) = \min_{\substack{x \in L \\ x \neq 0}} Q(x),$$

where  $Q(\ )$  is a quadratic form associated with  $L$ . Put  $\mathfrak{M}(L) = \{x \in L \mid Q(x) = m(L)\}$  and denote by  $\tilde{L}$  a submodule of  $L$  spanned by  $\mathfrak{M}(L)$ . If  $\mathfrak{M}(L \otimes M) \subset \{x \otimes y \mid x \in L, y \in M\}$  holds for every positive lattice  $M$ , then  $L$  is called of  $E$ -type and then  $\mathfrak{M}(L \otimes M) = \mathfrak{M}(L) \otimes \mathfrak{M}(M)$ ,  $m(L \otimes M) = m(L)m(M)$  hold. Unless  $L$  is isometric to the tensor product of positive lattices  $M, N$  with  $\text{rk } M > 1$ ,  $\text{rk } N > 1$ ,  $L$  is called indecomposable with respect to tensor products.

Let  $A$  be a finite set and  $[\ , ]$  a mapping from  $A \times A$  to  $\{t \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  satisfying

- (i)  $[a, a'] = 1$  if and only if  $a = a'$ , and
- (ii)  $[a, a'] = [a', a]$  for  $a, a' \in A$ .

Then we call  $(A, [\ , ])$  or simply  $A$  a weighted graph.

Let  $A$  be a weighted graph.  $A$  is called connected unless there exist subsets  $A_1, A_2$  of  $A$  such that  $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ ,  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \phi$  and  $[a_1, a_2] = 0$  for any  $a_i \in A_i$ .

Let  $A, B$  be weighted graphs. For  $(a, b), (a', b') \in A \times B$  we define  $[(a, b), (a', b')]$  by  $[a, a'] \cdot [b, b']$ . Then  $A \times B$  becomes a weighted graph. If there exists a bijection  $\sigma$  from  $A$  on  $B$  such that  $[a, a'] = [\sigma(a), \sigma(a')]$  ( $a, a' \in A$ ), then we say that  $A, B$  are isometric and write  $\sigma : A \cong B$ . Unless a weighted graph  $A$  is isometric to  $B \times C$  ( $|B| > 1, |C| > 1$ ), we say that  $A$  is indecomposable.

Let  $L$  be a positive lattice with a bilinear form  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  ( $B(x, x) = Q(x)$ ). Put  $G(L) = \mathfrak{M}(L)/\pm$  and for  $a, b \in G(L)$ , put  $[a, b] = |B(a, b)|/m(L)$ . Then  $G(L)$  becomes a weighted graph.

Let  $L, M$  be positive lattices. Then it is obvious that the isometry  $\sigma : L \cong M$  induces the isometry  $\bar{\sigma} : G(L) \cong G(M)$ , and that  $G(L \otimes M) \cong G(L) \times G(M)$  if either  $L$  or  $M$  is of  $E$ -type.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $A, A', B, C$  be weighted graphs and assume that  $A = \{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $\sigma : A \times B \cong A' \times C$ . Take any element  $b \in B$  and fix it. Define  $f_i \in A', c_i \in C, g_{ij} \in A, b_{ij} \in B$  by*

$$\sigma(e_i, b) = (f_i, c_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(g_{ij}, b_{ij}) = (f_i, c_j).$$

*Then we have  $[e_i, e_j] = 0$  if  $b_{ij} \neq b$ .*

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $A, A', B, C$  be weighted graphs and assume that  $A = \{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is connected and  $\sigma : A \times B \cong A' \times C$ . Take any element  $b \in B$  and put  $\sigma(e_i, b) = (f_i, c_i)$ . Then we have*

$$A \cong \{\sigma(e_i, b) | 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \{f_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\} \times \{c_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Lemmas 1, 2 are proved in Section 1 of [4] when  $A = A'$ . Moreover we did not use the condition  $A = A'$ . Hence the proof in case of  $A = A'$  is valid with trivial changes like that  $f_i$  is regarded as an element not of  $A$  but of  $A'$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $A, B, C$  be connected weighted graphs and let  $\sigma$  be an isometry from  $A \times B$  on  $A \times C$ . If there exist  $b_0 \in B, c_0 \in C$  such that  $\sigma(x, b_0) = (f(x), c_0)$  for every  $x \in A$ , then  $f$  is an isometry from  $A$  on  $A$  and there is an isometry  $g$  from  $B$  on  $C$  with  $\sigma(x, y) = (f(x), g(y))$  ( $x \in A, y \in B$ ).*

This is proved in [3].

**THEOREM.** *Let  $A_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $B_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be connected weighted graphs and suppose that  $|A_i| > 1$ ,  $|B_j| > 1$  and  $A_i, B_j$  are indecomposable ( $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ ). Assume*

$$\sigma : \prod_{i=1}^n A_i \cong \prod_{i=1}^m B_i .$$

*Then we have  $n = m$  and there exist a permutation  $s$  and isometries  $\sigma_i : A_i \cong B_{s(i)}$  and  $\sigma$  is equal to the product of  $\sigma_i$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $|A_1| \geq |A_i|, |B_j|$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ ). Take any element  $e_i \in A_i$  ( $i \geq 2$ ) and put  $e = e_2 \times \cdots \times e_n \in \prod_{i=2}^n A_i$ . By interchanges of  $B_i$  we may assume that the projection of  $\sigma(A_1 \times e)$  on  $B_1$  includes at least two distinct elements. Applying Lemma 2 to  $A = A_1, B = \prod_{i=2}^n A_i, A' = B_1, C = \prod_{i=2}^m B_i$ , we get

$$\sigma(A_1 \times e) \subset B_1 \times c \quad \text{for some } c \in C ,$$

since  $A_1$  is indecomposable. By the assumption on  $A_1$  we have  $\sigma(A_1 \times e) = B_1 \times c$ . Hence by virtue of Lemma 3 there exist isometries  $f : A_1 \cong B_1, g : \prod_{i=2}^n A_i \cong \prod_{i=2}^m B_i$  such that  $\sigma(x \times y) = (f(x), g(y))$  for  $x \in A_1, y \in \prod_{i=2}^n A_i$ . Therefore our theorem is inductively proved.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $L_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) be a positive lattice of  $E$ -type and assume that*

- (i)  $[L_i : \tilde{L}_i] < \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\tilde{L}_i$  is indecomposable,
- (iii)  $L_i$  is indecomposable with respect to tensor products, and
- (iv)  $\text{rk } L_i > 1$ .

*Suppose that  $\sigma : \otimes_{i=1}^n L_i \cong \otimes_{i=1}^m M_i$  where  $M_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) is a positive lattice satisfying the above conditions (iii), (iv) for  $M_i$  instead of  $L_i$ . Then we have  $n = m$  and, interchanging  $M_i$  if necessary,  $\sigma = \otimes \sigma_i$  where  $\sigma_i$  is an isometry from  $L_i$  on  $M_i^{a_i}$  (scaling of  $M_i$  by a positive constant  $a_i$ ).*

*Proof.* Put  $L = \otimes_{i=1}^n L_i$ . Since  $L_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) is of  $E$ -type,  $L$  is also of  $E$ -type and  $\tilde{L} = \otimes_{i=1}^n \tilde{L}_i$  and then  $[L : \tilde{L}] < \infty$ . Since  $\tilde{L}_i$  is indecomposable and  $[L_i : \tilde{L}_i] < \infty$ ,  $L_i$  is also indecomposable. Hence  $L$  and  $\tilde{L}$  are indecomposable [2]. Then by virtue of Theorem in [4]  $M_i$  is of  $E$ -type and satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) for  $M_i$  instead of  $L_i$ . Therefore without loss of generality we may assume that  $m(L_i) = m(M_j) = 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ ) and interchanging  $L_i$  if necessary,  $L_1$  satisfies

- (1)  $\text{rk } L_1 \geq \text{rk } L_i, \text{rk } M_j$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ ),  
(2) if  $\text{rk } L_1 = \text{rk } M_j$ , then  $dL_1 \leq dM_j$ .

Since  $\tilde{L}_i, \tilde{M}_j$  are indecomposable, associated graphs  $G(L_i), G(M_j)$  are connected.  $\sigma$  induces an isometry  $\tilde{\sigma}: \prod G(L_i) = \prod G(M_i)$ . Fix any element  $e_i \in \mathfrak{M}(L_i)$  ( $i \geq 2$ ) and  $e_i$  is regarded as an element of  $G(L_i)$ . Then we have

$$\tilde{\sigma}(G(L_1) \times e_2 \times \cdots \times e_n) = \prod G_i, G_i \subset G(M_i).$$

Denoting by  $M_i^0$  a submodule of  $M_i$  spanned by elements of  $\mathfrak{M}(M_i)$  which are projected in  $G_i$ , we get

$$\sigma(\tilde{L}_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) = M_1^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_m^0.$$

Put  $\bar{M}_i = M_i \cap \mathbf{Q}M_i^0$ , then  $\bar{M}_i$  is a direct summand of  $M_i$  and  $[\bar{M}_i : M_i^0] < \infty$ . Comparing direct summands, we have

$$\sigma(L_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) = \bar{M}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{M}_m.$$

By the assumption (iii) we may assume  $\text{rk } \bar{M}_i = 1$  ( $i \geq 2$ ), interchanging  $M_i$  if necessary. Then  $\bar{M}_i$  ( $i \geq 2$ ) is spanned by an element  $f_i$  in  $\mathfrak{M}(M_i)$ . Since we assumed  $m(L_i) = m(M_i) = 1$ , there is an isometry  $\sigma_i$  such that  $\sigma(x \otimes e_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) = \sigma_i(x) \otimes f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_m$ . By virtue of Lemma 3 the isometry  $\sigma_i$  is independent of  $e_i$  ( $i \geq 2$ ), since the sign can be absorbed in  $f_i$ . The assumption on  $L_1$  implies  $\text{rk } M_1 \leq \text{rk } L_1 = \text{rk } \sigma_1(L_1) \leq \text{rk } M_1$  and then  $dL_1 \leq dM_1 \leq d\sigma(L_1) = dL_1$  and then  $\sigma_1(L_1) = M_1$ . Moreover Lemma 3 implies that there is an isometry  $\tilde{\sigma}_2: \prod_{i \geq 2} G(L_i) \cong \prod_{i \geq 2} G(M_i)$  such that  $\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}_1 \times \tilde{\sigma}_2$  on  $\prod_{i=1}^n G(L_i)$ . Therefore for any fixed  $e_i \in \mathfrak{M}(L_i)$  we have

$$\sigma(e_1 \otimes e) = \sigma_1(e_1) \otimes \sigma_2(e) \quad \text{for } e \in \mathfrak{M}(\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} L_i),$$

where  $\sigma_2(e) \in \mathfrak{M}(\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} M_i)$ .

Since  $\sigma$  is an isometry,  $\sigma_2$  is an isometry from  $\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} \tilde{L}_i$  on  $\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} \tilde{M}_i$ . Moreover  $[\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} L_i : \bigotimes_{i \geq 2} \tilde{L}_i] < \infty$  and  $e_1 \otimes (\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} L_i), \sigma_1(e_1) \otimes (\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} M_i)$  are direct summands. Hence  $\sigma_2(\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} L_i) = \bigotimes_{i \geq 2} M_i$  follows. For  $e'_1 \in \mathfrak{M}(L_1)$  we have, similarly,  $\sigma(e'_1 \otimes e) = \sigma_1(e'_1) \otimes \sigma'_2(e)$  for  $e \in \mathfrak{M}(\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} L_i)$  where  $\sigma'_2$  is an isometry from  $\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} L_i$  on  $\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} M_i$ . Since  $\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}_1 \times \tilde{\sigma}_2$ ,  $\sigma_2(e) = \pm \sigma'_2(e)$  holds for  $e \in \mathfrak{M}(\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} L_i)$ . If  $B(e_1, e'_1) \neq 0$ , then  $B(e_1, e'_1) = B(e_1 \otimes e, e'_1 \otimes e) = B(\sigma_1(e_1) \otimes \sigma_2(e), \sigma_1(e'_1) \otimes \sigma'_2(e)) = B(e_1, e'_1)B(\sigma_2(e), \sigma'_2(e))$  implies  $B(\sigma_2(e), \sigma'_2(e)) = 1$  and then  $\sigma_2(e) = \sigma'_2(e)$  since  $m(M_i) = 1$  and  $\sigma_2(e), \sigma'_2(e) \in \mathfrak{M}(\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} M_i)$ . Thus  $\sigma_2 = \sigma'_2$  holds on  $\mathfrak{M}(\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} L_i)$  and then  $\sigma_2 = \sigma'_2$  on  $\bigotimes_{i \geq 2} L_i$ . Since  $G(L_1)$  is connected,

$\sigma_2$  is independent of the choice of  $e_1$ . Thus we have proved  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2$  on  $\otimes_{i \geq 1} L_i$  where  $\sigma_1 : L_1 \cong M_1$ ,  $\sigma_2 : \otimes_{i \geq 2} L_i = \otimes_{i \geq 2} M_i$ . Theorem is inductively proved.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $L_i$  be those in Theorem. Then the orthogonal group of  $\otimes L_i$  is generated by the orthogonal group of  $L_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and interchanges of  $L_i$  and  $L_j$  if  $L_i, L_j$  are isometric.*

This follows directly from Theorem.

**EXAMPLES.** Let  $L$  be a positive lattice which is associated to the Cartan matrix of one of Lie algebras of type  $A_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ),  $D_n$  ( $n \geq 4$ ),  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$ ,  $E_8$ . Then  $L$  is of  $E$ -type [1] and the conditions (i), (ii), (iv) are obviously satisfied. The condition (iii) is checked as follows: Suppose  $L \cong M \otimes N$ ,  $\text{rk } M, \text{rk } N > 1$ . Then by virtue of Theorem in [4],  $M, N$  are of  $E$ -type and  $M = \tilde{M}$ ,  $N = \tilde{N}$  since  $L = \tilde{L}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $m(M) = 1$ ,  $m(N) = 2$ . For  $e \in \mathfrak{M}(M)$ ,  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{M}(N)$ ,  $Z \ni B(e \otimes f_1, e \otimes f_2) = B(f_1, f_2)$  follows. If  $B(f_1, f_2)$  is even for every  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{M}(N)$ , then  $N$  is decomposable since the scale of  $N$  is  $2Z$ . This is a contradiction. Hence for some  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{M}(N)$ ,  $B(f_1, f_2) = 1$  holds. Then we have  $Z \ni B(e_1 \otimes f_1, e_2 \otimes f_2) = B(e_1, e_2)$  for  $e_i \in \mathfrak{M}(M)$  and then the scale of  $M$  is  $Z$ . Therefore  $M$  is decomposable. This is also a contradiction.

Other examples are found in [4].

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] Y. Kitaoka, Scalar extension of quadratic lattices II, Nagoya Math. J., **67** (1977), 159–164.
- [ 2 ] ———, Tensor products of positive definite quadratic forms, Göttingen Nachr. Nr., **4** (1977).
- [ 3 ] ———, Tensor products of positive definite quadratic forms III, Nagoya Math. J., **70** (1978), 173–181.
- [ 4 ] ———, Tensor products of positive definite quadratic forms, V, Nagoya Math. J., **82** (1981), 99–111.
- [ 5 ] O. T. O'Meara, Introduction to quadratic forms, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1963.

*Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Nagoya University  
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464  
Japan*

