

## GENERALIZED MAILLET DETERMINANT

S. OKADA

### § 1. Introduction

In this paper, we shall study a generalization of the Maillet determinant. Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and  $G = (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*$ . We shall identify any integer and its image in  $G$  if there is no fear of confusion. For any integer  $a$ , let  $R(a)$  denote an integer satisfying

$$R(a) \equiv a \pmod{p}, \quad 0 \leq R(a) < p.$$

Maillet studied the following determinant

$$D_p = \det (R(ab^{-1}))_{1 \leq a, b \leq (p-1)/2}$$

which is called the Maillet determinant and he raised the question whether  $D_p \neq 0$  for all  $p$ . Carlitz-Olson [1] proved that the Maillet determinant is not zero by showing the following formula:

$$D_p = \pm p^{(p-3)/2} h^-$$

where  $h^-$  is the first factor of the class number of  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ ,  $\zeta_p$  the primitive  $p$ -th root of unity.

Carlitz considered a generalization of  $D_p$  in [2]. We consider another generalization of the determinant  $D_p$ . Let  $S$  be a subset of  $G$ .  $S$  is called a CM-type if

$$S \cup (-S) = G, \quad S \cap (-S) = \phi.$$

Clearly  $\{1, 2, \dots, (p-1)/2\}$  is a CM-type. For any CM-type  $S$ , we define a determinant  $D_S$  by

$$D_S = \det (R(ab^{-1}))_{a, b \in S}.$$

We call  $D_S$  the generalized Maillet determinant for  $S$ . Since  $D_S = D_{-S}$ , we may only consider CM-types which contain 1.

Let  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character mod  $p$ . For any CM-type  $S$ , we define  $c_\chi = c_\chi(S)$  by

$$c_\chi = \sum_{a \in S} \chi(a).$$

Let  $B_{1,\chi}$  denote the first generalized Bernoulli number. If  $\chi$  is odd,  $B_{1,\chi} \neq 0$ . Therefore, we can define a rational number  $A_S$  by

$$A_S = \frac{2}{p-1} \sum_{\chi:\text{odd}} c_\chi c_{\bar{\chi}} B_{1,\chi}^{-1}.$$

Then we have

**THEOREM.** *For any CM-type  $S$  which contains 1, we have*

$$D_S = -\frac{1}{2}(-p)^{(p-3)/2}(1 + A_S)h^-.$$

We shall prove this theorem and see the connection between our theorem and Carlitz-Olson's formula.

**§ 2. Proof of the theorem**

We need the following lemma, which is well-known as the Dedekind determinant [3]:

**LEMMA.** *Let  $S$  be a CM-type, and  $f$  be an odd function on  $G$ . Then the determinant  $D(f) = \det (f(ab^{-1}))_{a,b \in S}$  is independent of  $S$ , and*

$$D(f) = \sum_{\chi:\text{odd}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in G} \chi(a) f(a).$$

We define the determinant  $D_S(x)$  as follows:

$$D_S(x) = \det (R(ab^{-1}) + x)_{a,b \in S}.$$

Since  $R(a) - (p/2)$  is an odd function, by Lemma

$$\begin{aligned} D_S\left(-\frac{p}{2}\right) &= \sum_{\chi:\text{odd}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in G} \chi(a) \left(R(a) - \frac{p}{2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\chi:\text{odd}} \frac{p}{2} B_{1,\chi} = -\frac{1}{2}(-p)^{(p-3)/2}h^-. \end{aligned}$$

And so, it suffices to show that

$$\left[A_S\left(x + \frac{p}{2}\right) + \frac{p}{2}\right]D_S = \frac{p}{2}(1 + A_S)D_S(x).$$

Now, it is clear that

$$(p - 1)R(a) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \bar{\chi}(a) \sum_{b \in G} \chi(b)R(b),$$

where  $\hat{G}$  denotes the character group of  $G$ . If  $\chi$  is not trivial, then

$$\sum_{b \in G} \chi(b)R(b) = pB_{1,\chi}.$$

Therefore,

$$(p - 1)R(a) = \frac{p(p - 1)}{2} + p \sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} \bar{\chi}(a)B_{1,\chi}$$

because  $B_{1,\chi} = 0$  for any non-trivial even character  $\chi$ . We define the rational number  $A(a)$  as follows:

$$A(a) = \sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} c_{\bar{\chi}} \chi(a)B_{1,\chi}^{-1}.$$

Then it is clear that  $A_S = \sum_{a \in S} A(a)$ . For  $b \in S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a \in S} A(a)(R(ab^{-1}) + x) \\ &= \sum_{a \in S} A(a) \left( x + \frac{p}{2} + \frac{p}{p - 1} \sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} \bar{\chi}(ab^{-1})B_{1,\chi} \right) \\ &= \frac{p - 1}{2} A_S \left( x + \frac{p}{2} \right) + \frac{p}{p - 1} \sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} \sum_{a \in S} A(a) \bar{\chi}(ab^{-1})B_{1,\chi}. \end{aligned}$$

And then

$$\sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} \sum_{a \in S} A(a) \bar{\chi}(ab^{-1})B_{1,\chi} = \sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} \sum_{\psi: \text{odd}} c_{\bar{\psi}} B_{1,\psi}^{-1} \chi(b)B_{1,\chi} \sum_{a \in S} \psi \bar{\chi}(a).$$

We have

$$\sum_{a \in S} \psi \bar{\chi}(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{p - 1}{2} & \text{if } \chi = \psi \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \neq \psi \end{cases}$$

because  $\psi \bar{\chi}$  is even and  $S$  is a complete system of representatives of  $G/(\pm 1)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} \sum_{a \in S} A(a) \bar{\chi}(ab^{-1})B_{1,\chi} &= \frac{p - 1}{2} \sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} c_{\bar{\chi}} \chi(b) \\ &= \frac{p - 1}{2} \sum_{a \in S} \sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} \chi(a^{-1}b) = \left( \frac{p - 1}{2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{a \in S} A(a)(R(ab^{-1}) + x) = \frac{p-1}{2} \left[ A_s \left( x + \frac{p}{2} \right) + \frac{p}{2} \right].$$

Therefore, there exists an integer  $a_i \in S$  such that  $A(a_i) \neq 0$ .

Now we put the matrix  $M(x) = (m_{a,b})_{a,b \in S}$  as follows:

$$m_{a,b} = \begin{cases} R(ab^{-1}) + x & \text{if } a \neq a_i \\ 1 & \text{if } a = a_i. \end{cases}$$

Then, by some properties of matrices, we have

$$A(a_i)D_s(x) = \frac{p-1}{2} \left[ A_s \left( x + \frac{p}{2} \right) + \frac{p}{2} \right] \det M(x),$$

and

$$A(a_i)D_s = \frac{p(p-1)}{4} (1 + A_s) \det M(0).$$

Since  $\det M(x) = \det M(0) \neq 0$  and  $A(a_i) \neq 0$ ,

$$\left[ A_s \left( x + \frac{p}{2} \right) + \frac{p}{2} \right] = \frac{p}{2} (1 + A_s) D_s(x).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

In the rest of this paper, we shall calculate  $A_s$  in more convenient form, and show that Carlitz-Olson's formula follows easily from our theorem. Let  $Z[G]$  be the group ring of  $G$ , and  $Z[G]^- = \{\alpha \in Z[G] \mid \sigma_{-1}\alpha = -\alpha\}$  where  $\sigma_a$  is the image in  $G$  of an integer  $a$ . Let  $S$  be a subset of  $G$ . We define the element  $s(S)$  in  $Z[G]$  by  $s(S) = \sum_{\sigma \in S} \sigma$ . We put the element

$$\theta' = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \frac{R(\sigma)}{p} \sigma^{-1} \quad \text{in } Q[G],$$

and the ideal of  $Z[G]$

$$\varphi' = \theta' Z[G] \cap Z[G].$$

Then the Stickelberger element is defined by

$$\theta = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \left( \frac{R(\sigma)}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \sigma^{-1} = \varepsilon^- \theta'$$

where  $\varepsilon^- = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sigma_{-1})$ . And the Stickelberger ideal is defined by

$$\varphi = \theta Z[G] \cap Z[G] = \{\alpha \in \varphi' \mid \sigma_{-1}\alpha = -\alpha\}.$$

Moreover, by [4] we have the formula

$$(\mathbf{Z}[G]^- : \varphi) = h^- .$$

For the CM-type  $S_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, (p-1)/2\}$

$$s(S_0) = (\sigma_{-1} + \sigma_2 - 1)\theta' ,$$

and so  $s(S_0) \in \varphi'$ . Therefore, for any CM-type  $S$ ,  $h^- \{s(S) - s(S_0)\} \in \varphi$  because  $s(S) - s(S_0) \in \mathbf{Z}[G]^-$ , and then  $h^- s(S) \in \varphi'$ . Therefore,

$$ks(S) = \theta' \alpha$$

for some  $\alpha = \alpha_s \in \mathbf{Z}[G]$  and some integer  $k | h^-$ . Then we have

PROPOSITION. For any CM-type  $S$ ,

$$A_S = \frac{1}{k} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S} n_\sigma - \sum_{\tau \notin S} n_\tau \right)$$

where  $ks(S) = \theta' \alpha$ ,  $\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in G} n_\sigma \sigma$ ,  $n_\sigma \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

*Proof.* We extend a character  $\chi$  to a function on  $\mathbf{Q}[G]$  by

$$\chi(\alpha) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} n_\sigma \chi(\sigma) \quad \text{for } \alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in G} n_\sigma \sigma \in \mathbf{Q}[G].$$

Then  $c_\chi = \chi(s(S))$ , and  $B_{1,\chi} = \chi(\theta')$  for any non trivial character  $\chi$ . Hence

$$kc_\chi = B_{1,\chi} \chi(\sigma)$$

and so,

$$A_S = \frac{2}{(p-1)k} \sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} c_\chi \chi(\alpha) .$$

For  $\sigma \in G$ ,

$$\sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} \chi(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{2} & \text{for } \sigma = 1, \\ -\frac{p-1}{2} & \text{for } \sigma = \sigma_{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} c_\chi \chi(\sigma) = \sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} \sum_{\tau \in S} \chi(\sigma \tau^{-1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{2} & \text{for } \sigma \in S \\ -\frac{p-1}{2} & \text{for } \sigma \notin S \end{cases}$$

Consequently,

$$A_S = \frac{1}{k} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S} n_\sigma - \sum_{\tau \notin S} n_\tau \right).$$

This completes the proof.

By the proposition and the theorem, for  $S_0$  we have Carlitz-Olson's formula.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] L. Carlitz and F. R. Olson, Maillet's determinant, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **6** (1955), 265-269.
- [ 2 ] L. Carlitz, A generalization of Maillet's determinant and a bound for the first factor of the class number, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **12** (1961), 256-261.
- [ 3 ] S. Lang, Cyclotomic Fields, Springer-Verlag, G.T.M., 1978.
- [ 4 ] K. Iwasawa, A class number formula for cyclotomic fields, Ann. of Math., **76** (1962), 171-179.

*Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Nagoya University  
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464  
Japan*