

THE INVARIANT POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS FOR THE GROUPS $ISL(n)$ AND $ISp(n)$

HITOSHI KANETA

§0. Main theorems

This paper is a continuation to the previous one [3]. We shall show that, for the inhomogeneous linear group $ISL(n+1, \mathbf{R})$ (resp. $ISp(n, \mathbf{R})$), the coadjoint invariant polynomial algebra is generated by one (resp. n) algebraically independent element. We shall state our results more precisely.

(i) $ISL(n+1, \mathbf{R})$, ($n \geq 1$).

We can consider the following vector space \mathfrak{Q}_n to be a subspace of the dual space realized as in Section 1 of the Lie algebra of $ISL(n+1, \mathbf{R})$;

$$\mathfrak{Q}_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ y_{21} & & 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \vdots & \\ & y_{n+1,n} & & 0 & y_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let $t = (\prod_{k=1}^n y_{k+1,k}^k) y_{n+1}^{n+1}$ be a polynomial function on \mathfrak{Q}_n . Denote by \mathcal{F}_n the \mathbf{C} -algebra of the coadjoint invariant polynomial functions on the dual space of the Lie algebra of $ISL(n+1, \mathbf{R})$.

THEOREM 1. *The restriction map of \mathcal{F}_n into the set of polynomials on \mathfrak{Q}_n is an injective algebra-homomorphism, whose image is $\mathbf{C}[t]$.*

(ii) $ISp(n, \mathbf{R})$ ($n \geq 1$).

In this case we can consider the following vector space \mathfrak{Q}_n to be a subspace of the dual space realized as in Section 2 of the Lie algebra of $ISp(n, \mathbf{R})$;

and $f_\ell = {}^t(0 \cdots 0 \overset{\textcircled{1}}{1} 0 \cdots 0)$. The dual basis is given by the following \hat{e}_i , \hat{e}_{jk} and \hat{f}_ℓ ;

$$\hat{e}_i = -\frac{1}{2(n+1)^2} \begin{bmatrix} i - (n+1) \\ \vdots \\ i - (n+1) \\ \vdots \\ i \end{bmatrix}^{\textcircled{1}}, \quad \hat{e}_{jk} = \frac{1}{2(n+1)} e_{kj}$$

and $\hat{f}_\ell = f_\ell$. For a $g = \begin{pmatrix} u & a \\ o & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in IG_n$ we have

$$\left\langle g^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} X & x \\ o & 0 \end{pmatrix} g, (Y, y) \right\rangle = \langle X, uYu^{-1} \rangle_{st(n+1)} + \langle Xa + x, {}^t u^{-1}y \rangle_{n+1}.$$

Consequently the coadjoint action $\text{CoAd}(g)$ of g is given by

$$\text{CoAd}(g)(Y, y) = (uYu^{-1} + A, {}^t u^{-1}y)$$

with $A = \sum \langle \omega a, {}^t u^{-1}y \rangle_{n+1} \hat{\omega}$, where ω ranges the above bases of \mathfrak{g}_n (not of $I\mathfrak{g}_n$). In the sequel we shall use the notation $g \cdot (Y, y)$ for $\text{CoAd}(g)(Y, y)$. Moreover, we identify G_n and \mathbf{R}^{n+1} with the subgroups $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & o \\ o & 1 \end{pmatrix}; u \in G_n \right\}$ and $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{n+1} & a \\ o & 1 \end{pmatrix}; a \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \right\}$ of IG_n respectively. Denote by \mathcal{I}_n the algebra of IG_n -invariant polynomial functions on $I\mathfrak{g}_n^*$. \mathfrak{S}_n stands for the same as in Section 0. The Theorem 1 is an easy consequence of the following three lemmas.

LEMMA 1.1. *The union of the orbits $\{g \cdot \mathfrak{S}_n; g \in IG_n\}$ is dense in $I\mathfrak{g}_n^* = \mathfrak{g}_n \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$. In particular the restriction map $F \rightarrow F|_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ of \mathcal{I}_n into the set of polynomial functions on \mathfrak{S}_n is an injective algebra-homomorphism.*

Proof. We can show that almost all $(Y, y) \in I\mathfrak{g}_n^*$ is conjugate to some element of \mathfrak{S}_n . Indeed, if $y_{n+1} \neq 0$, there exists a $u \in G_n$ such that $u \cdot (Y, y) = (uYu^{-1}, {}^t u^{-1}y)$ with $({}^t u^{-1}y) = (0, \dots, 0, y_{n+1})$. Taking some $a \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, we obtain

$$a \cdot u \cdot (Y, y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

To complete the proof, it suffices to reverse the procedure in the proof of the following Lemma 1.3. The details, however, will be omitted.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let F be a homogeneous element of \mathcal{F}_n . Then the restriction $F|_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ takes the form $c(\prod_{k=1}^n y_{k+1,k}^k y_{n+1}^{n+1})^m$ for some constant c and non-negative integer m .*

Proof. Let d be the degree of F . Then the restriction $F|_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ can be written as

$$\sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} = d} a_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n y_{k+1,k}^{\alpha_k} \right) y_{n+1}^{\alpha_{n+1}}.$$

Since $F|_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ is invariant under the action of the diagonal matrix $[c_1, \dots, c_{n+1}] \in G_n$, $F|_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ must be equal to

$$\sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} = d} b_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n y_{k+1,k}^{\alpha_k} \right) y_{n+1}^{\alpha_{n+1}}$$

with $b_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}} = a_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}} (\prod_{k=1}^n c_{k+1}^{\alpha_k + \alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}})$. It is now immediate that $a_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}} = 0$ unless $\alpha_k = k\alpha_1$ for all k .

LEMMA 1.3. *There exists one and only one polynomial F in \mathcal{F}_n such that the restriction $F|_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ takes the form $(\prod_{k=1}^n y_{k+1,k}^k y_{n+1}^{n+1})$.*

Proof. Define subspaces \mathcal{Y}_k of $I\mathfrak{g}_n^* = \mathfrak{g}_n \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ and subgroups $G_{n,k}$ of G_n as follows ($1 \leq k \leq n+1$).

$$\mathcal{Y}_k = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & \dots & y_{1k} & & * & & 0 \\ & & & & & & \vdots \\ y_{k1} & \dots & y_{kk} & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & y_{k+1,k} & 0 & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & 0 & y_{n+1,n} & 0 & y_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} + \tau \begin{pmatrix} -I_n & o & o \\ o & n & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \tau \in \mathbf{R} \right\}$$

($1 \leq k \leq n$)

$$\mathcal{Y}_{n+1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} Y & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & y_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} + \tau \begin{pmatrix} -I_n & o & o \\ o & n & 0 \end{pmatrix}; y_{n+1,n+1} = 0, \tau \in \mathbf{R} \right\}$$

$$G_{n,k} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & z & 0 \\ o & c_0 & \\ 0 & & C \end{pmatrix} \in G_n; z \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}, C = \text{diag}[c_1, \dots, c_{n-k+1}] \right\}$$

($1 \leq k \leq n+1$).

Moreover, set $IG_{n,k} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & a \\ o & 1 \end{pmatrix}; u \in G_{n,k}, a \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \right\}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n+1$). Note that $IG_{n,k}$ leaves \mathcal{Y}_k invariant ($1 \leq k \leq n+1$). Let $Y_k(\tau)$ be a representative of \mathcal{Y}_k . Starting with a $G_{n,1}$ -invariant polynomial function

$$F_1(Y_1(\tau)) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^n y_{k+1,k}^k \right) y_{n+1}^{n+1} \quad (Y_1(\tau) \in \mathcal{Y}_1),$$

we shall define a polynomial function $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$ such that $F|_{\mathcal{Y}_1} = F_1$. For $Y_k(\tau) = Y_k + \tau \begin{pmatrix} -I_n & o & o \\ o & n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{Y}_k$ ($2 \leq k \leq n+1$), put $z_k = (y_{k,1}, \dots, y_{k,k-2})/y_{k,k-1}$ and

$$v_k = v(Y_k(\tau)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & & & 0 \\ z_k & & & 1 \\ & & & y_{k,k}/y_{k,k-1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & I_{n-k+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By simple calculation we obtain

$$v_k \cdot Y_k(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{k-1} & & * & * & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & y_{k,k-1} & 0 & * & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & & & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & y_{n+1,n} & 0 & y_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} + \tau \begin{pmatrix} -I_n & o & o \\ o & n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{Y}_{k-1}$$

with

$$Z_{k-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \dot{Y}_{k-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} + y_{k,k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where \dot{Y}_{k-1} denotes the $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ -matrix whose (i,j) -component ($1 \leq i, j \leq k-1$) is the one of Y_k . Define functions F_k on \mathcal{Y}_k inductively by $F_k(Y_k(\tau)) = F_{k-1}(v_k \cdot Y_k(\tau))$ ($2 \leq k \leq n+1$). Note that F_k does not depend on $y_{1,j}, \dots, y_{j-1,j}$ ($j \geq k$) nor τ . In particular F_k are invariant under the action of $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We shall show by induction on k that F_k are $G_{n,k}$ -invariant polynomials. Elementary calculation reveals that $g' = v(g \cdot Y_k(\tau))g v(Y_k(\tau))^{-1}$ takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} u' & z' & * \\ 0 \cdots 0 & c' & 0 & 0 \\ 0 \cdots \cdots 0 & & c_0 & \\ 0 & & & C \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } g = \begin{pmatrix} u & z & 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & c_0 & \\ 0 & & C \end{pmatrix} \in G_{n,k}.$$

We can verify easily that $F_{k-1}(g' \cdot Y_{k-1}(\tau)) = F_{k-1}(\tau)$ even though g' does not necessarily belong to $G_{n,k-1}$. Since $v(g \cdot Y_k(\tau)) \cdot (g \cdot Y_k(\tau)) = g' \cdot (v(Y_k(\tau)) \cdot Y_k(\tau))$, we have $F_k(g \cdot Y_k(\tau)) = F_k(Y_k(\tau))$. Note now that F_k is a polynomial in all variables except possibly for $y_{k,k-1}$. In case $k \geq 3$, F_k is a polynomial function on \mathcal{Y}_k , because $F_k(g \cdot Y_k(\tau)) = F_k(Y_k(\tau))$ for

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} I_{k-3} & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & -1 & 0 & \\ & & & I_{n-k+2} \end{pmatrix} \in G_{n,k}.$$

In case $k \leq 2$, $F_k = F_1$, which can be verified easily. To sum up, F_k ($1 \leq k \leq n+1$) is an $IG_{n,k}$ -invariant polynomial function on \mathcal{Y}_k . Now a function $F \in \mathcal{S}_n$ is to be defined. For $(Y, y) \in Ig_n^*$, put

$$v = v(Y, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & 0 \\ 0 & & \ddots & \\ {}^t\tilde{y} & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G_n \quad ({}^t\tilde{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)/y_{n+1}).$$

Keeping in mind that $v \cdot (Y, y) = (vYv^{-1}, {}^t v^{-1}y) \in \mathcal{Y}_{n+1}$, we define F by $F(Y, y) = F_{n+1}(v \cdot (Y, y))$. Then F belongs to \mathcal{S}_n . To see this, firstly we shall show F to be G_n -invariant. By simple calculation we get for $u \in G_n$

$$v(u \cdot (Y, y))uv(Y, y)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ o & y_{n+1}/({}^t u^{-1}y)_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \in G_{n,n+1}.$$

Since F_{n+1} is $G_{n,n+1}$ -invariant, it follows that $F(u \cdot (Y, y)) = F(Y, y)$. The same argument as for F_k yields that F is a polynomial. Secondly, on account of the $IG_{n,n+1}$ -invariance of F_{n+1} , we obtain for $a \in R^{n+1} (\subset IG_n)$

$$\begin{aligned} F(a \cdot (Y, y)) &= F(vav^{-1} \cdot (v \cdot (Y, y))) = F_{n+1}(vav^{-1} \cdot (v \cdot (Y, y))) \\ &= F_{n+1}(v \cdot (Y, y)) = F(Y, y), \end{aligned}$$

since R^{n+1} is a normal subgroup of IG_n . This completes the proof of Lemma 1.3.

§ 2. The group $ISp(n, R)$

Let now G_n and IG_n be the Lie groups $Sp(n, R)$ and $ISp(n, R)$ respectively. Namely,

$$G_n = \{u \in GL(2n, R); {}^t u J_n u = J_n\} \quad \text{with } J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & \\ -1 & 0 & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & -1 & 0 & \end{pmatrix}$$

and $IG_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & a \\ o & 1 \end{pmatrix}; u \in G_n, a \in R^{2n} \right\}$. Denote by \mathfrak{g}_n and $I\mathfrak{g}_n$ their Lie algebras respectively. We may assume $n \geq 2$, since $G_1 \cong SL(2, R)$. We can identify the dual space $I\mathfrak{g}_n^*$ of $I\mathfrak{g}_n$ with $\mathfrak{g}_n \times R^{2n}$ via the following bilinear form on $I\mathfrak{g}_n \times (\mathfrak{g}_n \times R^{2n})$;

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} X & x \\ o & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (Y, y) \right\rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle_{sp(n)} + \langle x, y \rangle_{2n}.$$

Here $\langle X, Y \rangle_{sp(n)} = 2(n+1) \operatorname{tr}(XY)$ i.e. the Killing form of \mathfrak{g}_n and $\langle x, y \rangle_{2n} = {}^t x J_n y$. In the sequel we consider G_n and R^{2n} to be the subgroups $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & o \\ o & 1 \end{pmatrix}; u \in G_n \right\}$ and $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} & a \\ o & 1 \end{pmatrix}; a \in R^{2n} \right\}$ of IG_n respectively. It is not difficult to see that the following $e_i, e_{2i-1, 2j}, e_{2i, 2i-1}, e_{j, 2k-1}, e_{j, 2k} (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq 2k-2, 2 \leq k \leq n)$ and $f_\ell (1 \leq \ell \leq 2n)$ form a basis of $I\mathfrak{g}_n$;

$$e_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & -1 & \\ & & & & & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_{2i-1, 2i} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

(2i)
(2i)

$$e_{2i, 2i-1} = {}^t e_{2i-1, 2i},$$

$$e_{j, 2k-1} = \begin{pmatrix} O_{2k-2} & & 0 & & \\ & z_j & \vdots & 0 & \\ & & 0 & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & & \\ {}^t(J_{k-1} z_j) & & O_2 & & \\ 0 & & & & O_{2n-2k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with } z_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \textcircled{1} \in R^{2k-2}.$$

$$e_{j,2k} = \begin{pmatrix} O_{2k-2} & 0 & & \\ & \vdots & z_j & 0 \\ & 0 & & \\ -{}^t(J_{k-1}z_j) & & O_2 & \\ 0 \dots \dots 0 & & & \\ & 0 & & O_{2n-2k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \textcircled{1}.$$

Elementary calculation shows that the following $\hat{e}_i, \hat{e}_{2i-1,2i}, \hat{e}_{2i,2i-1}, \hat{e}_{j,2k-1}, \hat{e}_{j,2k}$ and \hat{f}_ℓ form the dual basis of $I\mathfrak{g}_n^*$;

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e}_i &= e_i/4(n+1), \quad \hat{e}_{2i-1,2i} = e_{2i-1,2i}/2(n+1), \\ \hat{e}_{2i,2i-1} &= e_{2i-1,2i}/2(n+1), \quad \hat{e}_{j,2k-1} = e_{j',2k}/2(-1)^j, \\ \hat{e}_{j,2k} &= e_{j',2k-1}/2(-1)^j, \quad \hat{f}_\ell = -J_n f_\ell \quad (j' = j - (-1)^j). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$g^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} X & x \\ o & 0 \end{pmatrix} g = \begin{pmatrix} u^{-1}Xu & u^{-1}Xa + u^{-1}x \\ o & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } g = \begin{pmatrix} u & a \\ o & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in IG_n,$$

it follows that

$$\text{CoAd}(g)(Y, y) = (uYu^{-1} + A, uy) \quad \text{with } A = \sum \langle \omega a, uy \rangle_{2n} \hat{\omega},$$

where ω ranges the elements of the basis of \mathfrak{g}_n (not of $I\mathfrak{g}_n$) given above. Simpler notation $g \cdot (Y, y)$ will be used for $\text{CoAd}(g)(Y, y)$. $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n$ stand for the same as in Section 0.

LEMMA 2.1. *The union of the orbits $\{g \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n; g \in IG_n\}$ contains an open set of $I\mathfrak{g}_n^* = \mathfrak{g}_n \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}$. In particular the restriction map $F \rightarrow F|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n$ into the set of polynomial functions on $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ is an injective algebra-homomorphism.*

Proof. Denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ the union $\bigcup g \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ ($g \in IG_n$). Note that $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ contains elements of the form

$$(\dot{Y}) = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{Y} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_{2n-1,2n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\dot{Y} \text{ belongs to an open set } \mathcal{O}_{n-1} \text{ of } \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}).$$

This follows from the Proposition 1.3.4.1 [5, p. 101] and the simple fact that the set consisting of the following elements ($u \in G_{n-1}, y_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}$)

$$u \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_{12} & & & & \\ & 0 & \ddots & & & \\ y_{21} & & \ddots & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & & y_{2n-3,2n-2} & \\ y_{2n-2,2n-3} & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} u^{-1}$$

contains a Cartan subalgebra $\{\lambda_1 e_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n-1} e_{n-1}; \lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}\}$ of \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} . Using the notation in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we have $u_{n+1} \cdot (Y, y, y_{2n}) \in \mathcal{Y}_n$ for $(Y, y, y_{2n}) \in \mathcal{Y}_{n+1}$. In other words, there exists a smooth map of $\mathcal{Y}_{n+1} \setminus \{y_{2n-2} y_{2n-1,2n} = 0\}$ into \mathcal{Y}_n , which contains the set $\{\alpha \cdot (\dot{Y}); \dot{Y} \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}, \alpha \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}\}$ (recall that \mathbf{R}^{2n} is regarded as a subgroup of IG_n). Thus there exists an open set \mathcal{O}_{n+1} of \mathcal{Y}_{n+1} . Similar argument shows the existence of an open set \mathcal{O} of $I\mathfrak{g}_n^*$ such that $\mathcal{O} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$.

Let s_i ($0 \leq i \leq n-1$) be the i -th fundamental symmetric polynomial in $y_{2k,2k-1} y_{2k-1,2k}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n-1$) and set $t_i = s_i y_{2n-1} y_{2n}^2$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let F be an element of \mathcal{F}_n . The restriction $F|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n}$ takes the form $\sum_{\alpha_i \geq 0} a_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}} t_0^{\alpha_0} \cdots t_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}$.*

Proof. Since $F|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n}$ is invariant under the action of the diagonal matrix $[1, \dots, 1, c, c^{-1}] \in G_n$, it takes the form $\sum_{\beta \geq 0} B_\beta (y_{2n-1,2n} y_{2n}^2)^\beta$, where B_β are polynomials in $y_{2k,2k-1}, y_{2k-1,2k}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n-1$). Moreover, $F|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n}$ is invariant under any substitution $y_{2k,2k-1}, y_{2k-1,2k}$ for $-y_{2k,2k-1}, -y_{2k-1,2k}$ and the permutations of $y_{2k,2k-1}, y_{2k-1,2k}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n-1$). Consequently B_β can be written as $\sum_{\alpha_k \geq 0} b_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta} s_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots s_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}$. It remains to prove that $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} \leq \beta$. By simple calculation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} & & 0 & 0 \\ & & \vdots & \vdots \\ I_{2n-2} & & 0 & \vdots \\ & & \sigma & 0 \\ 0 \cdots \cdots \cdots 0 & & & I_2 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \sigma & 0 & \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_{12} & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y_{21} & 0 & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots & 0 \\ & & & & y_{2n-3,2n-2} & \vdots \\ & & & & & 0 \\ & & y_{2n-2,2n} & 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 \cdots 0 & -y & 0 & 0 & y_{2n-1,2n} & 0 \\ 0 \cdots \cdots \cdots 0 & & & 0 & 0 & y_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_{12} & & 0 \\ y_{21} & 0 & \ddots & \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & y_{2n-3,2n-2} \\ y_{2n-3,2n-3} & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{y^2}{y_{2n-1,2n}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \cdots \cdots 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & * & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & * & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & * & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & y_{2n-1,2n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & * & * & 0 & y_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

for $\sigma = -y/y_{2n-1,2n}$. Since the value of F at this point must be represented as a polynomial in y , we conclude that $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} \leq \beta$ (note that the value of F at this point does not depend on the omitted components: cf. the proof of Lemma 2.1).

LEMMA 2.3. *There exists uniquely $F^{(i)} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ such that the restriction $F^{(i)}|_{\mathcal{G}_n} = t_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq n-1$).*

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{Y}_k (resp. $G_{n,k}$) ($k = n, n+1$) the following subspaces (resp. subgroups) of Iq_n^* (resp. G_n);

$$\mathcal{Y}_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \dot{Y} & * & o & 0 \\ o & * & y_{2n-1,2n} & \dot{0} \\ * & * & * & y_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad \mathcal{Y}_{n+1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \dot{Y} & * & 0 \\ -{}^t(\mathcal{J}_{n-1}y) & * & y_{2n-1,2n} \\ * & * & * & y_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

$$G_{n,n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 \\ 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix}; u \in G_{n-1} \right\}, \quad G_{n,n+1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & * & o \\ o & * & 0 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}; u \in G_{n-1} \right\}.$$

Set $IG_{n,k} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & a \\ o & 1 \end{pmatrix}; u \in G_{n,k}, a \in \mathbf{R}^{2n} \right\}$ ($k = n, n+1$). We shall define polynomial functions $F_k^{(i)}$ on \mathcal{Y}_k ($k = n, n+1$). The values of $F_n^{(i)}$ at

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{Y} & * & o & 0 \\ o & * & y_{2n-1,2n} & \dot{0} \\ 0 & * & * & y_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

are defined by requiring that $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F_n^{(i)} T^{2(n-i-1)} = y_{2n-1,2n} y_{2n}^2 \times \det(T + \dot{Y})$, where T is an indeterminate. Note that the restriction $F_n^{(i)}|_{\mathcal{Y}_n}$ is equal to t_i up to the signature. Keeping in mind that $F_n^{(i)}$ does not depend on the omitted components, we can easily verify that $F_n^{(i)}$ are $G_{n,n}$ -invariant. For

$$(\dot{Y}, y, y_{2n}) = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{Y} & * & y & 0 \\ -{}^t(\mathcal{J}_{n-1}y) & * & y_{2n-1,2n} & \dot{0} \\ * & * & * & y_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{Y}_{n+1} \quad (y \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}),$$

put

$$v_{n+1} = v(\dot{Y}, y, y_{2n}) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n-4} & o & -\bar{y} & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ {}^t(J_{n-2}\bar{y}) & 1 & -y_{2n-3}/y_{2n-2} & \dot{0} & \vdots \\ o & 0 & 1 & \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ & & & & I_2 \\ \sigma {}^t(J_{n-1}y)/y_{2n-2} & & & & \end{pmatrix} \in G_n,$$

where $\bar{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{2n-4})/y_{2n-2}$ and $\sigma = -y_{2n-2}/y_{2n-1,2n}$. Then we have

$$v_{n+1} \cdot (\dot{Y}, y, y_{2n}) = \begin{pmatrix} \left(u\dot{Y}u^{-1} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} y_{2n-2}^2/y_{2n-1,2n} \right) & * & 0 & 0 \\ & * & \vdots & \vdots \\ & * & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & y_{2n-1,2n} & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & * & * & 0 & y_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where u is the first $(2n - 2) \times (2n - 2)$ -block of the matrix v_{n+1} . Secondly, defining function $F_{n+1}^{(i)}$ on \mathcal{Y}_{n+1} by $F_{n+1}^{(i)}(\dot{Y}, y, y_{2n}) = F_n^{(i)}(v_{n+1} \cdot (\dot{Y}, y, y_{2n}))$, we shall show that they are $IG_{n,n+1}$ -invariant polynomial functions. An element g of $G_{n,n+1}$ can be represented as $g_1 g_2$ for some

$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & b & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in G_{n,n} \quad \text{and} \quad g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n-2} & z & o \\ o & & I_2 \\ {}^t(J_{n-1}z) & & \end{pmatrix} \quad (z \in R^{2n-2}).$$

Clearly $g_j \cdot (\dot{Y}, y, y_{2n})$ ($j = 1, 2$) are equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} u\dot{Y}u^{-1} & * & c^{-1}uy & 0 \\ -c {}^t(J_{n-1}y)u^{-1} & * & c^2 y_{2n-1,2n} & \dot{0} \\ * & * & * & c^{-1}y_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{Y} - z {}^t(J_{n-1}y) - (y + y_{2n-1,2n}z) {}^t(J_{n-1}z) & * & * & 0 \\ -{}^t(J_{n-1}y) & & * & y_{2n-1,2n} & 0 \\ * & & * & * & y_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. Elementary calculation yields

$$v(g_j \cdot (\dot{Y}, y, y_{2n})) g_j v(\dot{Y}, y, y_{2n})^{-1} \in G_{n,n} \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

On account of $G_{n,n}$ -invariance of $F_n^{(i)}$, it follows easily that $F_{n+1}^{(i)}$ are $G_{n,n+1}$ -invariant. In particular, $F_{n+1}^{(i)}(g \cdot (\dot{Y}, y, y_{2n})) = F_{n+1}^{(i)}(\dot{Y}, y, y_{2n})$ for

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n-4} & & \\ & J_1 & \\ & & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \in G_{n,n+1}.$$

This implies that $F_{n+1}^{(i)}$ are polynomials, since $F_{n+1}^{(i)}$ are polynomials in all variables except possibly for y_{2n-2} . Recalling that $F_{n+1}^{(i)}$ depend only on \dot{Y}, y and y_{2n} , we conclude immediately that $F_{n+1}^{(i)}$ are invariant under the action of $a \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$. Thus $F_{n+1}^{(i)}$ are $IG_{n,n+1}$ -invariant polynomial functions. For $(Y, y) \in I\mathfrak{g}_n^* = \mathfrak{g}_n \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}$, let $v = v(Y, y)$ be the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{2n-2} & o & -\tilde{y} \\ {}^t(J_{n-1}\tilde{y}) & 1 & -y_{2n-1}/y_{2n} \\ o & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } {}^t\tilde{y} = (y, \dots, y_{2n-2})/y_{2n}.$$

Then $v \cdot (Y, y) = (vYv^{-1}, vy)$ belongs to \mathcal{Y}_{n+1} . To complete the proof of Lemma 2.3 we shall define functions $F^{(i)}$ on $I\mathfrak{g}_n^*$ by $F^{(i)}(Y, y) = F_{n+1}^{(i)}(v \cdot (Y, y))$ ($0 \leq i \leq n-1$) and show that $F^{(i)}$ are elements of \mathcal{S}_n . To being with, $F^{(i)}$ are G_n -invariant. Indeed, for $u \in G_n$, simple calculation reveals that

$$v(u \cdot (Y, y))uv(Y, y)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & o \\ * & * & * & \\ o & 1 & 0 & \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \in G_{n,n+1}.$$

Since $F_{n+1}^{(i)}$ are $G_{n,n+1}$ -invariant, it follows that $F^{(i)}$ are G_n -invariant. By the same argument as for $F_{n+1}^{(i)}$, we now conclude that $F^{(i)}$ are polynomials. Using the G_n -invariance of $F^{(i)}$ and $G_{n,n+1}$ -invariance of $F_{n+1}^{(i)}$, we obtain for $a \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(i)}(a \cdot (Y, y)) &= F^{(i)}(v(Y, y)av(Y, y)^{-1} \cdot (v(Y, y) \cdot (Y, y))) \\ &= F_{n+1}^{(i)}(v(Y, y)av(Y, y)^{-1} \cdot (v(Y, y) \cdot (Y, y))) \\ &= F_{n+1}^{(i)}(v(Y, y) \cdot (Y, y)) = F^{(i)}(Y, y). \end{aligned}$$

IG_n being generated by G_n and \mathbf{R}^{2n} , $F^{(i)}$ are IG_n -invariant. The proof of Lemma 2.3 is complete.

Theorem 2 follows at once from Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3.

Added in proof. After this paper had been accepted for publication, [6] appeared. [2] is now published (Comm. Math. Phys., 90 (1983), 353–372).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Abellanas and L. Martinez Alonso, A general setting for Casimir invariants, *J. Math. Phys.*, **16** (1975), 1580–1584.
- [2] M. Chaichian, A. P. Demichev and N. F. Nelipa, The Casimir operators of inhomogeneous groups, preprint.
- [3] H. Kaneta, The invariant polynomial algebras for the groups $IU(n)$ and $ISO(n)$, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **94** (1984), 43–59.
- [4] V. S. Varadarajan, *Lie groups, Lie algebras and their representations*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., London 1974.
- [5] G. Warner, *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups I*, Springer-Verlag 1972.
- [6] M. Perroud, The fundamental invariants of inhomogeneous classical groups, *J. Math. Phys.*, **24** (1983), 1381–1391.

*Faculty of Education
Tokushima University
Tokushima 770
Japan*

